

11

*When Christians became  
the Teacher of Hindu  
Society*

*Maanoj Rakhit*

# Table of Contents

## **FIRST, THEY DESTROYED THAT ANCIENT HINDU EDUCATION SYSTEM AND HINDU SYSTEM OF JUSTICE, WHICH HAD CONSISTENTLY PRODUCED FOR MILLENNIUMS 'HUMANS OF SUCH QUALITY' THAT WOULD MAKE HUMANITY PROUD** 11

HERE ARE SOME OF THE FACTS 11

LISTEN TO IT IN THEIR OWN WORDS THAT THEY DESTROYED THAT EDUCATION SYSTEM WITH THE SOLE OBJECTIVE OF CHRISTIANIZING HINDUS 11

## **NEXT, THEY POISONED THE MINDS OF A VAST MAJORITY OF THE HINDUS BY SYSTEMATICALLY POURING VENOM INTO THE UNSUSPECTING MINDS OF THE HINDU CHILDREN WHO WENT TO SCHOOLS RUN BY CHRISTIAN MISSIONARIES** 13

THEY TAUGHT HINDU CHILDREN NINE BIG LIES 13

THE WORD HINDU WAS ROBBED OF ALL ITS PRIDE THAT IT HAD ACQUIRED DURING PAST CENTURIES 13

THAT: ARYANS (AARYA आर्य PEOPLE) INVADED OUR COUNTRY IN THE SECOND MILLENNIUM BEFORE CHRIST, AND THEY DROVE ORIGINAL INHABITANTS DOWN SOUTH AND TO THE JUNGLES 14

SO, WHAT DID THEY DO? 14

WHAT DID THEY GAIN BY DOING THAT? 14

WHO SHOWED THEM THE WAY TO DIVIDE AND RULE? JESUS OF HOLY BIBLE! SURPRISED? LET US CHECK BIBLE 15

THAT: THEY CREATED THE CASTE SYSTEM TO MAINTAIN THEIR SUPERIORITY OVER THE ORIGINAL INHABITANTS AND CALLED THEM SHOODR 15

THAT: THEIR LITERATURE DESCRIBED THE ORIGINAL INHABITANTS BY VARIOUS DEROGATORY NAMES LIKE DAAS, DASYU, ASUR, NAAG, RAAKSHAS 16

THAT: BRAAHMANS (ब्राह्मण NOT BRAHMIN ब्राह्मिन) WERE THE CUNNING LOT, AND THEY CONTROLLED THE SOCIETY AND REAPED RICH DIVIDEND FOR THEMSELVES 16

THE NAME OF THIS ILLUSTRIOUS SAINT WAS FRANCIS XAVIER. HE WROTE TO THE SOCIETY OF JESUS IN HIS LETTER THAT IF THERE WERE NO BRAAHMANS IN HINDU SOCIETY, HE WOULD HAVE CONVERTED ALL HINDUS INTO CHRISTIANITY. HERE WAS THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST. 17

THAT: BRAAHMANS DESTROYED BUDDHISM, JAINISM BECAUSE THOSE WERE RATIONALISTS AND HUMANITARIAN RELIGIONS, AS OPPOSED TO BRAAHMANISM, WHICH WAS ESSENTIALLY A USELESS RITUAL ORIENTED, PRIMITIVE, SUPERSTITIOUS AND CRUEL IN ITS CASTE ADMINISTRATION 17

THAT: BRAAHMANISM HINDUISM HAS BEEN ECONOMICALLY EXPLOITATIVE, SOCIALLY OPPRESSIVE, AND SUPPORTIVE OF CULTURALLY DILAPIDATED CASTE SYSTEM 18

## **LIES THEY TAUGHT GREW LEAPS AND BOUNDS THROUGH DEDICATED EFFORTS OF THOSE RAISED BY CHRISTIAN ENGLISH EDUCATION SYSTEM** **19**

JAWAHARLAL NEHRU	19
PROFESSOR MUHAMMAD HABIB OF ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY	19
PUNDIT SUNDERLAL	20
M N ROY	20
SANSKRIT EDUCATED BRAAHMANS OF YESTERYEARS AND ENGLISH EDUCATED BRAAHMANS OF TODAY	20
ALL-OUT ATTACK ON HINDUISM	20
THE STUBBORN HINDUISM DOES NOT GIVE UP	20
ABUSE OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA	20
JAWAHARLAL NEHRU AS THE FIRST PRIME MINISTER	21
MUHAMMAD HABIB AND JAWAHARLAL NEHRU JOIN HANDS	21
ETHNIC CLEANSING CONTINUES UNABATED	21
EFFECTIVELY, HINDU BECAME THE TRUE MINORITY ON HIS OWN SOIL FOR ALL PRACTICAL PURPOSE	22

## **HOW THEY PULLED OUT THE ENTIRE CONSPIRACY** **24**

### **INTELLECTUAL CONSPIRACY AND SOCIAL FRAUD OF IMMENSE MAGNITUDE** **25**

ABOUT MAX MULLER	25
TWO FACES OF MAX MULLER	25
CONSPIRACY AND CONSPIRACY OF SILENCE	26
YOU HAVE WITNESSED GLIMPSES OF AN AMAZING CHARACTER OF HINDU SOCIETY IN COURSE OF YOUR JOURNEY THROUGH EARLIER PART OF THIS WORK	27
I CALL IT A CONSPIRACY BECAUSE THE VICTIMS WERE NOT AWARE OF THE MOTIVE	27
THIS WOULD BE OUR APPROACH	27
PROFESSOR WILSON SAYS HE WROTE THOSE LECTURES TO DISPROVE HINDUISM	27
THE OTHER FACE OF MAX MULLER	27
1855 MAX MULLER AT AGE 32 MACAULAY POISONS MAX MULLER'S MIND	28
1860 MAX MULLER AT AGE 37 SAYS THE WHOLE HUMAN RACE NEEDS TO ADMITTED TO THE TRUTH OF CHRISTIANITY; AND OBLIQUELY POINTING TOWARDS BHAGAVADGITA, HE SAYS HINDUISM, THROUGH ITS OWN ERRORS, MAY HAVE PAVED THE WAY FOR CHRIST	28
1866 MAX MULLER AT AGE 43 CLAIMS VEDIC HYMNS ARE EXTREMELY CHILDISH AND LOW GRADE	29

1866 MAX MULLER AT AGE 43 WRITES TO HIS WIFE THAT HIS TRANSLATION OF RIG'VED WILL SHOW HINDUS HOW INFERIOR IS THE ROOT OF THEIR RELIGION AND THAT IS THE ONLY WAY OF UPROOTING THEM AND DESTROYING ALL THAT HAS SPRUNG FROM THAT ROOT DURING PAST THOUSANDS OF YEARS AND THAT WOULD SEAL THE FATE OF THE MILLIONS IN INDIA – QUITE AN IRONY THAT I GREW UP LEARNING THAT MAX MULLER HAD DONE A GREAT SERVICE TO HINDUISM BY TRANSLATING VEDS AND MAKING THEM KNOWN TO THE WHOLE WORLD WHEN WE HINDUS, OURSELVES, HAD IGNORED THEM AND FORGOTTEN ABOUT THEM – EDUCATION SYSTEM CAN DO WONDERS BY BRAINWASHING CHILDREN MAKING THE VILLAIN LOOK LIKE THE SAVIOUR AND VICTIMS LOOK LIKE THE CULPRITS 29

AND WHY DID MAX MULLER DO IT? TO GET A SHARE OF THE PLUNDER AND WORLDWIDE RECOGNITION AS AN INTELLECTUAL OF EMINENCE 30

MAX MULLER WRITES TO HIS SON THAT IN THE ORDER OF SUPERIORITY AMONG ALL RELIGIOUS DOCUMENTATIONS IN WORLD WITH REGARD TO MORAL TEACHINGS FIRST COMES THE NEW TESTAMENT OF CHRISTIAN BIBLE, THEN QUR'AN OF ISLAM, THEN OLD TESTAMENT OF CHRISTIAN BIBLE, FOLLOWED BY BUDDHIST, CHINESE AND IN THE END OF THE LINE STAND HINDU VEDS 30

**TO PUT THINGS IN PERSPECTIVE IT BECOMES NECESSARY TO BRING IN SOME SPECIMEN OF THOSE MORAL TEACHINGS FROM THE NEW TESTAMENT, QUR'AN AND OLD TESTAMENT SO THAT YOU CAN FORM YOUR OWN OPINION ABOUT THEIR SUPERIORITY IN COMPARISON TO HINDUISM** 31

MORAL TEACHINGS OF NEW TESTAMENT [MAX MULLER RANKS BY SUPERIORITY 1ST] – WHAT WOULD BE YOUR EVALUATION? 31

MORAL TEACHINGS OF QUR'AN [MAX MULLER'S RANKING 2ND BY SUPERIORITY] – WHAT WOULD BE YOUR EVALUATION? 32

MORAL TEACHINGS OF OLD TESTAMENT [MAX MULLER'S RANKING 3RD BY SUPERIORITY] – WHAT WOULD BE YOUR EVALUATION? 34

**INTELLECTUAL CONSPIRACY AND SOCIAL FRAUD OF IMMENSE MAGNITUDE (CONTD.)** 36

1868 MAX MULLER AT AGE 45 WRITES TO THE MINISTER FOR INDIA NOW THAT HINDUISM IS DOOMED CHRISTIANITY MUST STEP IN TO FILL THE VACUUM 36

18?? MAX MULLER AT AGE ?? CHARGES N K MAJUMDAR WHAT STOPS YOU AND YOUR COUNTRYMEN FROM OPENLY FOLLOWING CHRIST NOW THAT ENGLISH EDUCATED BRASS OF YOUR COUNTRY IS ALREADY CONVERTED TO CHRISTIANITY 36

DO YOU NOTICE THE REACH OF RELIGION IN HUMAN LIVES? THIS IS WHY I MIX RELIGION WITH HISTORY BECAUSE HUMAN THOUGHTS AND ACTIONS CAN NEVER BE UNDERSTOOD WELL WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING THE LATENT RELIGIOUS BIAS, AND HISTORY IS NOTHING BUT A COMPILATION OF HUMAN ACTIONS BASED ON HUMAN BELIEFS SHAPED BY RELIGION, AND THEREFORE - 36

1882 MAX MULLER AT AGE 59 GAINS MUCH NEEDED WISDOM AND TAKES AN ABOUT TURN: "I SHOULD POINT TO INDIA..." 37

HERE WE SEE MAX MULLER REVERSE HIS STAND 37

BUT THEN FEW HAD THE MATCHING TENACITY	37
NEED TO LOOK AT MAX MULLER IN PROPER PERSPECTIVE	37
PROF M MONIER-WILLIAMS CLAIMS BRAAHMANISM MUST DIE OUT FOR IT IS INCAPABLE OF IMPARTING EVEN BASIC KNOWLEDGE AND EDUCATION AND THEREFORE, WITHOUT THE AID OF CHRISTIANITY THE FOUNDATION OF HINDUISM WILL CRACK	38
MONIER-WILLIAMS WRITES THE VICTORY OF CHRISTIANITY WILL BE COMPLETE AS THE SOLDIERS OF CROSS WILL STORM IN, ENCIRCLE AND UNDERMINE THE MIGHTY FORTRESS OF BRAAHMANISM	38
DO YOU NOTICE THAT IT WAS AN ALL OUT WAR BY CHRISTIANITY AGAINST HINDUISM? FOR STRATEGIC REASONS, THEY NAMED IT NOT HINDUISM BUT BRAAHMANISM	38
1869 PRINCIPAL RUDOLF HOERNLE WROTE HE HOPES THAT CHRISTIANITY WILL FILL IN THE GAP AS HINDUS GIVE UP HINDUISM ON PERSUASION OF SWAMI DAYAANAND OF AARYA SAMAJ WHO MAY BE ABLE TO CONVINCED HINDUS THAT PRESENT FORM OF HINDUISM IS IN OPPOSITION TO VEDS	39
1925 PROFESSOR WINTERNITZ COULD NOT TOLERATE SCHOPENHAUER PLACING HINDU UPANISHADS IN HIGH ESTEEM	40
WINTERNITZ CLAIMS THAT WHATEVER LITTLE WORTH HINDU VEDS MAY HAVE THEY CERTAINLY DO NOT MATCH HEBREW RELIGIOUS DOCUMENTATIONS	40
RIPLEY TERMS HINDUISM AS CURSE FOR INDIA	40
PROFESSOR MCKENZIE FINDS HINDUISM DEVOID OF ETHICS WHICH PREVENTS DEVELOPMENT OF STRENUOUS MORAL LIFE; ISN'T IT RATHER AMUSING THAT THESE CREATURES DEFINE ETHICS AND MORALITY, WHO THEMSELVES HAVE NONE OF IT	41
THESE PEOPLE TALK OF MORALITY?	41
WONDER WHY I USE SUCH HARSH LANGUAGE?	41
TODAY YOU MUST SHOW THE COURAGE TO STAND UP AND POINT FINGERS AT THEM, THOSE WHO DID IT ALL THE WHILE TO YOU	41
I KEEP USING THE WORD CHRISTIAN, AGAIN AND AGAIN, OVER AND AGAIN, SO THAT YOU DO NOT LOSE SIGHT OF THE FACT THAT IT HAS ALL ALONG BEEN MONOTHEIST CHRISTIANITY'S WAR AGAINST POLYTHEIST HINDUISM WITH THE SOLE OBJECTIVE OF ELIMINATING IT FROM THE FACE OF THE EARTH AFTER HAVING BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN ELIMINATING ALL OTHER POLYTHEIST FAITHS THAT SURVIVED FOR THOUSANDS OF YEARS IN EVERY CORNER OF THE GLOBE UNTIL THE AVATAAR OF LOVE JESUS CHRIST DESCENDED AND CURSED ALL NON-CHRISTIANS THE ETERNAL HELL FROM WHICH YOU COULDN'T GET A BAIL	41
CHRISTIANBRITISH FINALLY SUCCEEDED IN MENTALLY ENSLAVING YOU BY REPLACING ANCIENT HINDU EDUCATION SYSTEM WITH CHRISTIANENGLISH EDUCATION SYSTEM	42
SIR WILLIAM CECIL DAMPIER HOLDS HINDUISM RESPONSIBLE FOR LACK OF ITS CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS SCIENCE	42

**TO PUT THE THINGS IN PROPER PERSPECTIVE IT MAY BE APPROPRIATE TO BRING-IN HERE  
A FEW EXAMPLES OF HINDU CONTRIBUTION TO SCIENCE SO THAT IT CAN RESPOND TO  
THEIR ANTI-HINDUISM PROPAGANDA ON THIS COUNT AS WELL** **43**

PROFESSOR MACDONNELL WENT ON RECORD SAYING EUROPEANS LEARNED PLASTIC SURGERY FROM THE HINDUS WHO HAD ALREADY ATTAINED SUCH HEIGHTS IN MEDICAL SURGERY THAT THEY COULD CUT HUMAN HAIR LONGITUDINALLY (LENGTHWISE) 43

STEPHEN KNAPP TELLS US THAT YAJUR'VED SPEAKS OF ASTRONOMY, GEOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY, MEDICINAL PLANTS, HYDROSTATICS AND ELECTRICITY 43

STEPHEN KNAPP TELLS US THAT YAJUR'VED SPEAKS OF ENGINEERING, SHIPS, AIRPLANES 43

STEPHEN KNAPP TELLS US THAT ATHARV'VED SPEAKS OF ATOMIC ENERGY FISSION, NINETY-NINE ELEMENTS, BOMBARDMENTS OF NEUTRONS, MASS OF MOLECULAR ADJUSTMENTS OF THE ELEMENTS 44

YOU THINK ALL THESE ARE WILD IMAGINATIONS? SO, ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS FOR YOURSELF 44

WELL, FOR YOU THE ANCIENTS DID NOT HAVE THE TECHNOLOGY 44

IS THIS NOT TECHNOLOGY? 44

HAVE YOU TRULY ADVANCED? 44

WHAT YOUR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY HAS DEVELOPED TO MATCH THAT? 44

ANOTHER THING: WHATEVER YOUR MODERN SCIENCE KNOWS NOT TODAY SIMPLY DID NOT EXIST AS PER YOUR BELIEF SYSTEM. 45

HOW IS IT THAT REFERENCES TO RIG'VED CLEARLY STATED THE SPEED OF LIGHT WITH AN AMAZING DEGREE OF PRECISION? 45

FOR ONCE DROP THIS EGOISTIC IDEA THAT WHATEVER MODERN SCIENCE DOES NOT KNOW TILL NOW OR COULD NOT CREATE AS YET, HINDU ANCIENTS COULD NOT HAVE KNOWN THAT OR COULD NOT HAVE CREATED THAT – LOOK AT THESE HOMO FLORESIENSIS, HUMANS WHO LIVED FROM 90,000 YEARS AGO, AND UNTIL AS LATE AS 12,000 YEARS BEFORE CHRIST – AND, YOUR MODERN SCIENCE KNEW NOTHING ABOUT IT UNTIL VERY RECENTLY 45

BUT CHRISTIAN SENSE OF SUPERIORITY WOULD NOT ALLOW THEM TO ACCEPT IT, LEAVE ASIDE LEARN ANYTHING FROM THE HINDUS 46

**SANSKRIT WAS TURNED INTO A DEAD LANGUAGE WITHIN A MATTER OF A CENTURY** **47**

I GREW UP UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT SANSKRIT HAD BEEN A DEAD LANGUAGE FOR OVER TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED YEARS, I.E., EVEN BEFORE BUDDH'S TIME 47

PROF M MONIER-WILLIAMS INADVERTENTLY LEAKS AWAY THE TRUE MOTIVE BEHIND HIS COMPILING SANSKRIT-ENGLISH DICTIONARY 47

SANSKRIT WAS A THRIVING LANGUAGE UNTIL EARLY 19TH CENTURY 47

DID YOU HAVE ANY IDEA OF THIS? 48

WHAT DOES THIS IMPRESSION DO TO YOUR THOUGHT PROCESS? 48

DOES IT NOT MATTER TO YOU? 48

**YOUR HERITAGE AND YOUR DUTY TOWARDS PROTECTING IT – THERE IS NO WAY YOU CAN GO BACK TO YOUR ROOTS UNLESS YOU LEARN TO GIVE DUE IMPORTANCE TO THESE SMALL LITTLE THINGS THAT YOU WOULD OTHERWISE WANT TO DISMISS AS PETTY**

**MATTERS 50**

KNOW THE BEAUTY OF YOUR HERITAGE LANGUAGE	50
PROTECT THE PHONETIC INTEGRITY OF THE LANGUAGE THAT SHAPED YOUR HERITAGE	50
WHY DOES THIS HAPPEN?	51
AVERAGE READER’S EXPECTATIONS AND LIMITATIONS	51
VISUALLY PHONETIC APPROACH	52
KNOW THE SANSKRIT TERMS AS THEY ARE WRITTEN IN SANSKRIT ALPHABET	52

**HOW CHRISTIAN BRITISH SYSTEMATICALLY DESTROYED HINDU**

**BHAARATVARSH 56**

WHAT WAS HINDU BHAARATVARSH LIKE?	57
CHRISTIANBRITISH SYSTEMATICALLY DESTROYED THE THEN EXISTING HINDU EDUCATION SYSTEM ~ READ THEIR OWN REPORTS ~ BENGAL BORE THE BRUNT	57
WHAT DOES THIS ALL MEAN? THAT WE HAVE HAD OUR OWN HINDU EDUCATION SYSTEM PREVALENT ALL OVER THE COUNTRY, AND THE RATE OF EDUCATION WAS BETTER THAN THAT IN EUROPE OF THAT TIME	57
TODAY WE SPEAK OF ILLITERACY AMONGST THE MASSES ~ WHERE DID IT COME FROM JUST WITHIN ONE CENTURY (1835-1947)?	57
MINDS OF THE CHRISTIAN EDUCATED PEOPLE HAVE BEEN ENSLAVED TO SUCH AN EXTENT THAT THEY MUST STAND UP IN DEFENCE OF THEIR BYGONE MASTERS BUT NOT FOR THEIR LOST HERITAGE!	58
CHRISTIANBRITISH SYSTEMATICALLY DESTROYED MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY OF THIS NATION AND MADE IT A CRIPPLE ~ READ THEIR OWN REPORTS	58
MANY OF YOU MAY NOT HAVE ANY FAITH IN NUMBERS GIVEN BY HINDUWORLD BUT MAY HAVE TREMENDOUS FAITH IN NUMBERS GIVEN BY CHRISTIANWORLD WHICH YOU FONDLY CALL WESTERNWORLD. THEREFORE, LET US GIVE YOU COMPARATIVE DETAILS OF THE LEVEL OF INDUSTRIALIZATION AS PUBLISHED BY ONE OF THE EUROPEAN JOURNALS-	59
CHRISTIANBRITISH SYSTEMATICALLY SIPHONED OUT THE WEALTH OF THIS HINDU NATION LEAVING IT IN A POVERTY STRICKEN STATE – THEIR METHODOLOGY WAS AKIN TO THAT OF PARASITES	59
THEIR INNATE CHARACTER NEVER CHANGES DISREGARD WHAT EXTERNAL FORM THEY ADOPT	60
CHILD LABOR ~ GIFT OF THE CHRISTIANBRITISH ~ THOUGH YOU WON’T BELIEVE IT UNTIL YOU READ THEIR OWN REPORTS	61

CORRUPTION ~ GIFT OF THE CHRISTIANBRITISH ~ THOUGH YOU MAY FIND IT DIFFICULT TO BELIEVE IT	61
WHAT HAPPENED THEN? HOW COME YOU SEE SO MUCH CORRUPTION ALL AROUND TODAY?	62
HOW CHRISTIANBRITISH DESTROYED HINDU SCHOOLING SYSTEM	63
CARNIVOROUS CHRISTIANITY AT THE ROOT, <b>NOT</b> THE BRITISH NATIONALITY, OR THE EUROPEAN CONTINENTALITY	64
GREATEST CONTRIBUTION OF THE CHRISTIANBRITISH TO THE HUMANITY	65
OUR YOUTH OF TODAY	67
EPISODE SAARA AAKAASH ON STAR PLUS	67
ANTI-HINDU DISPLAYS AND PRO-SECULAR IMAGE BUILDING WHERE SECULAR MEANS 'NON-HINDU ONLY'	67
THE MESSAGE IS LOUD AND CLEAR TO THE VIEWERS	68
QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY WANT TO ASK YOURSELF	68
EPISODE BHAABHI ON STAR PLUS	68
EPISODE KYONKI SAAS BHI KABHI BAHU THI ON STAR PLUS	69
THEY ASSUME ROLE OF SELF-APPOINTED JUDGES UNDERSTANDING LITTLE OF THE SUBJECT THEY HAVE CHOSEN TO JUDGE	70
EPISODE KUMKUM ON STAR PLUS	70
OH! THESE CHILDREN OF RAMANAND SAGAR	71
THE SAME BIG RED TILAK EVERYWHERE	73
SATI PRATHA TOO – EVEN BEFORE IT BEGAN!	74
MURDERING HISTORY IN HISTORICAL EPISODE	74
SHUKRIA...SHUKRIA...PRITHVIRAAJ	76
SAI BABA	76
VAIDS	77
ALLOPATHY	77
AAYUR'VED आयुर्वेद	78
THE HYBRIDS – VARN'SANKARS वर्णसंकर	78
B. R. CHOPRA'S CHILDREN ALSO HAVE JOINED THE RACE	79
AND, WHY SHOULD PRINT MEDIA STAY BEHIND – RAPE INSIDE A MOSQUE BY A MUSLIM AND DESECRATION OF MASAHEB MINATAI'S STATUE AT SHIVAJI PARK MUMBAI	80
BHIWANDI POLICE FIRING ISSUE	82
SUPREME COURT JUDGES ALSO JOIN THE BANDWAGON	83
THE JOKE THEY MADE OF MAHAABHAARAT	83
WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO THE NATION WHEN 'THIS' IS THE CHARACTER OF SO-CALLED FOUR ESTATES OF DEMOCRACY	89



**BIBLIOGRAPHY** **90**

---

**INDEX** **92**

---

**REFERENCES AND NOTES** **95**

---

## *Table of Images*

FIGURE 53-MAX MULLER (YOUNG – AMBITIOUS OF GETTING QUICK RICH AND ACQUIRING QUICK FAME) .....	25
FIGURE 54-MAX MULLER (OLD – AMBITIONS FULFILLED – WISER AND CLOSER TO THE TRUTH) .....	26
FIGURE 55-MAX MULLER (YOUNG – AMBITIOUS OF GETTING QUICK RICH AND ACQUIRING QUICK FAME) .....	28
FIGURE 56-MAX MULLER (OLD – AMBITIONS FULFILLED – WISER AND CLOSER TO THE TRUTH) .....	37
FIGURE 57-SARA AAKAASH AT STAR PLUS .....	67
FIGURE 58-BHAABHI AT STAR PLUS .....	68
FIGURE 59-KYUNKI SAAS BHI KABHI BAHU THI ON STAR PLUS .....	70
FIGURE 60-KUMKUM ON STAR PLUS.....	71
FIGURE 61-RAAMAAYAN BY RAMANAND SAGAR .....	72
FIGURE 62-JAI CHAND'S MAMA ON STAR PLUS .....	73
FIGURE 63-NAZI SWASTIK COMPARE WITH HINDU SWASTIK .....	73
FIGURE 64-PRITHVI RAJ CHAUHAN ON STAR PLUS .....	75
FIGURE 65-SAI BABA ON STAR PLUS .....	76
FIGURE 66-VIRAASAT ON STAR PLUS.....	79
FIGURE 67-B R CHOPRA'S MAHAABHAARAT (1990) .....	84
FIGURE 68-LETTER FROM UNDER SECRETARY GOVT OF INDIA TO B R CHOPRA REGARDING FINANCING FILM MAHAABHAARAT.....	86

# *First, they destroyed that ancient Hindu Education system and Hindu system of Justice, which had consistently produced for millenniums 'humans of such quality' that would make humanity proud*

## *Here are some of the facts*

*Oxford Dictionary describes Macaulay, the 1st Baron (1800-59), as a civil servant in India, where he established a system of education and a new criminal code.*<sup>1</sup>

*In 1835 Macaulay instituted a new education system manned by Christian Missionaries. He organized to destroy the infrastructure that supported ancient Hindu Education system and ancient Hindu system of Judiciary. A nation that had a literacy rate higher than most other nations of Europe in those days, even at a village level, was reduced to an illiterate nation. Irony of the situation is that today we admire the conspirators and loath the victim. Conspirators are those who conspired to bring us to this lowly state. Victims are we, ourselves, who have been kept in dark as to how this illiteracy was imposed on us.*

*Max Muller: Sir Thomas Munro estimated the children educated at public schools in the Madras presidency as less than one in three. But low as it was, it was, as he justly remarked, a higher rate than existed till very lately in most countries of Europe.*<sup>2</sup>

*In Bengal there existed no less than 80,000 native schools ...According to a Government Report of 1835; there was a village school for every 400 persons.*<sup>3</sup>

*Ludlow (British India, I. 62) writes: In every Hindu village, which has retained its old form, I am assured that the children generally are able to read, write, and cipher; but where we have swept away the village system, as in Bengal, there the village school has also disappeared.*<sup>4</sup>

*Thus, ChristianBritish eliminated the Hindu education system, and made people illiterate. They destroyed the entire structure and substituted by fewer schools run by ChristianBritish missionaries with an intent to Christianize the souls of students from early childhood.*

## *Listen to it in their own words that they destroyed that education system with the sole objective of Christianizing Hindus*

*Macaulay was fiercely Christian in his thought and belief. He wanted to put Christian Bible in action. Christian Bible states "Idolaters to be put to death" [Deuteronomy 13 heading]. But in the 19th century he could not have pronounced physical death to idol worshipper Hindus. So, he chose to sentence them with ideological death.*

Michel Danino: That was what Macaulay, again, summarized best when he proudly wrote his father in 1836: “Our English schools are flourishing wonderfully ... It is my belief that if our plans of education are followed up, there will not be a single idolater among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence”.<sup>5</sup>

The question is was he successful in his endeavour? Here we have a live example. Mahendr Nath Gupta, principal of the renowned Vidya Saagar School argues with Shri RaamKrishn ParamHans Dev about idolatry. He argues that people should not worship clay images of gods as it is wrong to do so. Where did he learn this? Obviously from the school he went for his education. As principal of a school, now he was teaching the next generation that they should not worship clay images. You would agree that Mahendr Nath Gupta, as the principal of renowned Vidya Saagar School was a befitting example of what Macaulay described as *among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence*. Did it happen in thirty years from 1836? I do not know but I know for sure it did happen in forty five years from 1836 because Mahendr Nath Gupta [M] had an argument with Shri RaamKrishn ParamHans Dev in February 1882.<sup>6</sup>

Michel Danino: In the middle of the 1857 uprising, the Governor-General Lord Canning (\*was it Cuning!) wrote to a British officials: “As we must rule 150 millions of people by a handful (more or less small) of Englishmen, let us do it in the manner best calculated to leave them divided ... and to inspire them with the greatest possible awe of our power and with the least possible suspicion of our motives.”<sup>7</sup>

- *That is the beauty of their cunningness as clearly spelled out by none other than Canning. Do whatever you want to but with least possible suspicion of our motives!*

# *Next, they poisoned the minds of a vast majority of the Hindus by systematically pouring venom into the unsuspecting minds of the Hindu children who went to schools run by Christian Missionaries*

## *They taught Hindu children Nine Big Lies*

*That: Aryans invaded our country in the second millennium BC and they drove out original inhabitants down south or in jungles.*

*That: They created the Caste system to maintain their superiority over the original inhabitants and called them Shoodr.*

*That: Their literature described the original inhabitants by various derogatory names like Daas, Dasyu, Asur, Naag, and Raakshas.*

*That: Braahmans were the cunning lot, and they controlled the society and reaped rich dividend for themselves.*

*That: Braahmans destroyed Buddhism, Jainism because those were rationalists and humanitarian religions, as opposed to Braahmanism, which was essentially a useless ritual oriented, primitive, superstitious, and cruel in its caste administration.*

*That: Braahmanism Hinduism has been economically exploitative, socially oppressive, and supportive of culturally dilapidated caste system.*

*That: Braahmanical philosophies were essentially a fraud to hide their real character.*

*That: Hinduism alias Brahmanism has continued, and will continue to suppress, sidetrack, subvert by all possible means any other religious or secular movement that can threaten caste system and challenge supremacy of deceitful Braahmans.*

*That: Scientifically speaking, in above historical perspective, original inhabitants are the real high caste and present high castes are dirty swindlers.*

## *The word Hindu was robbed of all its pride that it had acquired during past centuries*

*It was made synonymous to invaders who committed gross crimes against the natives. Come to think of it what a fraud it was: those very Braahmans who had given shape to Hindu society that displayed consistent behaviour pattern over the millenniums – such character*

*that had been applauded without an exception by so many foreign visitors – those very Braahmans were made nasty people today.*

***That: Aryans (Aarya आर्य people) invaded our country in the second millennium before Christ, and they drove original inhabitants down south and to the jungles***

Here we have an interesting analogy. ChristianBritish, greedy of imperialistic power, landed in four countries: present day USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. In each place they found original inhabitants. ChristianBritish occupied their lands and drove them to jungles. *In India they could not do it because here they met with a civilization superior to their own.*

***So, what did they do?***

*They decided to teach false history to the original inhabitants in schools and colleges. Question they faced what should be that false history. They did not have to go far. They looked at their own doings. They remembered what they themselves had done with natives of present day USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. On similar lines they fabricated a story. That Aarya people came from some place probably in Europe. They did not say which place because they themselves did not know. Besides, they were afraid someone would go there and investigate, and their fraud will get exposed. So, they left the origin of Aarya people uncertain. They have NOT found out till today, as to from which imaginary place in Europe, these Aarya people came from. They have not been able to do so because they created that imaginary place from thin air. Historical fraud was nothing new to ChristianBritish. They had perfected the art already. They had practiced civilized frauds of one kind or another for grabbing title to the lands from the native Canadians.*

***What did they gain by doing that?***

*Advantages were several. If Hindu Aarya people actually came from outside India, and drove the original inhabitants down south or to jungles, then they were essentially foreigners to this land, as were the ChristianBritish. On that count, there was no particular difference between the two. Hence, there was no cause for resentment against foreign occupation by the ChristianBritish!*

*If Hindu Aarya people displaced original inhabitants from their ancestral land then they were essentially oppressors. On that count there was enough ground for Dravidians (those who had been driven down south) and Aadivaasis (those driven to jungles) to resent against foreign occupation by Hindu Aarya people, whereas there was not enough reason for resentment against ChristianBritish because they did not displace Dravidians and Aadivaasis.*

*If Hindu Aarya people were the oppressors and Dravidians and Aadivaasis were the oppressed ones for over past two thousand years, then this was sufficient impetus for sowing seeds of separatism between them. This would easily break the unity amongst them that had been so far achieved under one umbrella: Hinduism (or, Sanaatan Dharm as you may want to call it).*

## ***Who showed them the way to Divide and Rule? Jesus of Holy Bible! Surprised? Let us check Bible***

*Where did the ChristianBritish get the idea of divide and destroy the unity? Well, they learned it from their messiah Jesus Christ.*

New Testament 2nd part of Christian Bible [Oxford p 1249]

Gospel the record of Christ's life and teachings in the first four books of the New Testament [Oxford Dictionary p 792]

St Matthew - Apostle, author of 1st Gospel [Oxford Dictionary p 1143] (\*one of the 12 chief disciples)

Matthew 10:34 Think not I am come to send peace on earth: I come not to send peace, but a sword. 10:35 For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against the mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. 10:36 And a man's foe shall be they of his own household.

St Luke evangelist, author of the 3rd Gospel [Oxford p 1099]

Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but rather division: 12:52 For from henceforth there shall be five in one house divided, three against two, and two against three. 12:53 The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law against her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law.

*St Thomas - Apostle* [Oxford Dictionary p 1928] (\*one of the 12 *chief* disciples)

Apostle - 12 chief disciples of Jesus Christ [Oxford p 77]

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas, Ishwar Sharan, p 76 notes

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war. For five will be in a house, there will be three against two and two against three, the father against the son and the son against the father. And they will stand because they are single ones.

## ***That: they created the Caste system to maintain their superiority over the original inhabitants and called them Shoodr***

This was an extension of the same divisive policy with intent to create rift between Shoodrs and other three Varn वर्ण in Hindu society. And they have been quite successful at it. *There plan was to divide the Hindu society into pieces until it bleeds to death.* What we see today in Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and other provinces is a rather full blown picture of what had been conceptualized roughly six generations ago by the ChristianBritish, and propagated intensively and extensively by use of ChristianBritish education and media of every kind. After the British left, their stooges continued this task with renewed vigour. We speak here of six<sup>8</sup> generations because it had been about 170 years from 1835, and it has been assumed that a new generation is ready in about thirty years.

The issue of 4 Varn वर्ण is very much misrepresented today, by friends and foes of Hinduism alike, and therefore, I have dealt with this subject in considerable depth in Gita Today. If you wish to acquire an insight into the subject from an entirely different perspective than what has been presented to you so far, all I could do is to request you to read those chapters in Gita Today without which your impressions on the subject will remain considerably flawed.

However, I do reckon that it is only the 1st edition of Gita Today and the presentation may need improvement but you should be able to get a feel from the maiden edition.

***That: their literature described the original inhabitants by various derogatory names like Daas, Dasyu, Asur, Naag, Raakshas***

Again this has been a thorough misrepresentation because Hindu literature did not describe original inhabitants by those names. Those categories referred to certain attributes amongst people. For example, Aasuric culture referred to a specific type of culture, and the term Asur referred to those people who were part of that particular culture. I have dealt with this topic in *Popes Saints Cardinals Archbishops Bishops*. As for now I would want to say that it is not very difficult to identify these people even today. Just look around and see you will find plenty of specimens around you. From that you will know who were the Asur and Raakshas in Hindu terminology. Such people existed even in those days. All you need is to understand the concept clearly to be able to identify them readily.

***That: Braahmans (ब्राह्मण not Brahmin ब्राह्मिन) were the cunning lot, and they controlled the society and reaped rich dividend for themselves***

By and large Hindu Braahmans have been very poor and they kept themselves to priesthood and teaching. On the other hand, Christian and Muslim clergy have controlled national politics and governance of the State administration. Popes have done that for centuries and Khomeini<sup>9</sup>, Taliban<sup>10</sup> do it even today.

*Hindu priests did not assume the role of their Christian and Islamic counterparts yet they have been made to look like them, on purpose with a predefined motive: to weaken the very foundation of Hindu Society*

Seven hundred years ago Pope Boniface VIII had asserted his secular authority in the following words: Both swords, the spiritual and the material [or secular], are in the power of the Church; the material for the Church. The one by the hand of the priest; the other by hands of kings and knights at the will and the sufferance [\*toleration] of the priest.<sup>11</sup>

*They took clues from the deeds of Christian priests and rewrote the Hindu history depicting Hindu Braahmans in bad light. They taught this fabricated version of Hindu history to Hindu children generation after generation and created public opinion hostile towards Hindu Braahmans. They did not limit their propaganda to India but took it on a global scale. The need for this fabrication arose when there was conflict of interest, and the losing party needed to resort to fabrication. The brain behind it was a person whom Christians call a Saint today. He has been granted the sainthood because he could give rise to a concept so apt for further development of a fabricated version of history that would stick. The dividends they reaped (not Braahmans but Christians) were so rich and the implications were so far reaching that Vatican could not but to offer sainthood to this person. Actually the very term “saint” has totally opposite (true) meanings in Christianity and Hinduism. This you will fully well understand when you read *Popes Saints Cardinals Archbishops Bishops*.*



*The name of this illustrious saint was Francis Xavier. He wrote to the Society of Jesus in his letter that if there were no Braahmans in Hindu society, he would have converted all Hindus into Christianity. Here was the conflict of interest.*

He wanted to convert all Hindus into Christianity, but Hindus had little faith in the Christian Saint, and they had greater faith in Hindu Braahmans. Why they had greater faith? It is simple. Look at the character of Braahmans in general, read through the earlier parts of this work. They were truthful, honest, and simple like child. These are the descriptions by foreigners, not by Hindus. On the other hand, the Christian saint being untruthful and cunning, he described Braahmans as wicked and crafty men, in his letter to the Society of Jesus, and also documented the true reason for his hating Braahmans.

Xavier wrote in another letter to the Society of Jesus, “There are in these parts among the pagans a class of men called Braahmans. They are as perverse and wicked a set as can anywhere be found, and to whom applies the Psalm, which says: ‘From an unholy race, and wicked and crafty men, deliver me, Lord.’ If it were not for the Braahmans, we should have all the heathens embracing our faith.”<sup>12</sup>

*That: Braahmans destroyed Buddhism, Jainism because those were rationalists and humanitarian religions, as opposed to Braahmanism, which was essentially a useless ritual oriented, primitive, superstitious and cruel in its caste administration*

This was yet another historical fraud because it was Muslims who had uprooted Buddhism from India, not the Braahmans. On the contrary, Braahmans took care of Buddhist temple at BodhGaya when Buddhists abandoned it. It is the most significant temple of Buddhists in India because it was in Gaya that Buddha attained his enlightenment.

Abdul Quddoos Ansari: The iconoclastic fury of Islam must have [had] a terrible effect on the shrines of the Gaya region, and particularly on Buddhism, with the result that a time came when, there being no Buddhists to look after their own shrines and worship at BodhGaya, the Braahmans had to do their work even by going [outside] their jurisdiction. [Archaeological Remains, p 119] According to [the famous Tibetan monk] Dharma swami [1234-36 in that area], the BodhGaya establishment had been deserted by all except for [some] monks, on account of repeated Turkish conquests.’ [Archaeological Remains, p 26]. Koenraad Elst: The popular support base and training grounds for Buddhist monks were being destroyed in all of North India, and BodhGaya was dying as a Buddhist centre along with all those other establishments that were being physically eliminated by the Turks. Not Hinduism but Islam destroyed Buddhism in India.<sup>13</sup>

Vincent Smith: The ashes of the Buddhist sanctuary at SaarNaath near Benaaras (Vaaraanasi) still bear witness to the rage of the image-breakers. Many noble monuments of the ancient civilization of India were irrevocably wrecked in the course of the early Muslim invasions. These invasions were fatal to the existence of Buddhism as an organized religion in northern India where its strength resided chiefly in Bihaar and certain adjoining regions.<sup>14</sup>

Heinrich Zimmer: Buddhist and Hindu (Braahmanical) themes alternate in rich profusion. The two traditions flourished side by side, even sharing colleges and monasteries, for nearly two millenniums, until about the height of the Muslim conquest (c. AD 1200); Buddhism disappeared from the land of its birth.<sup>15</sup>

*That: Braahmanism Hinduism has been economically exploitative, socially oppressive, and supportive of culturally dilapidated caste system*

Again this is yet another aspect of the same historical fraud, because if Hindu civilization could not be wiped out from the face of this earth despite hundreds of years of brutal, beastly onslaught by Muslims, it is due to the inherent strength of Hinduism, and *Braahmanism was pivotal to this inherent strength. And for this very reason, it has been so very necessary for Christianity (and later day Communism) to focus its entire offensive strategy towards Braahmanism.*

# *Lies they taught grew leaps and bounds through dedicated efforts of those raised by Christian English Education System*

*For this ChristianBritish needed to prepare a brigade of Hindu born Christianized cadre to give impetus to the offensive. Out of this was born Jawaharlal Nehru who took pride in saying: I am a Christian by education, Muslim by inclination and Hindu by accident!*

Macaulay: We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Indians in blood and colour, but (\*Christian) English in taste, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.<sup>16</sup>

*These historical fabrications were continually taught in schools and colleges controlled and run by ChristianBritish establishments and Christian missions. From the 'twenties of last century' these started finding place in Communist pamphlets and periodicals. Mounting this wave, one section of the Indian National Congress started strutting around as progressive, radical, revolutionary, socialist.*

## *Jawaharlal Nehru*

In 1927 Jawaharlal visited Soviet Union briefly and after his return became the leader of this progressive, radical, revolutionary, socialist group. Then he wrote *Glimpses of World History* and *Discovery of India*, which revelled in this lore. It was held classics in prestigious press in India and overseas. This is when Nehru started fancying himself as a great historian. During his daughter Indira Gandhi's regime a TV serial was made on Nehru's book *Discovery of India* by one those prominent FakeSecular intellectual directors using taxpayers' money. It was regularly telecast during 1980's, and was repeated in 2000's. They make sure that this garbage is filled in the minds of each new generation.

## *Professor Muhammad Habib of Aligarh Muslim University*

*Muslim historians of Marxist school came up with another innovation. Muhammad Habib of Aligarh Muslim University fabricated a new theory that Islamic invaders destroyed Hindu temples not because Islam taught Muslims to do so but because they wanted to loot those temples for their wealth. This fabrication was aimed at salvaging blood-soaked history of Islam. Jawaharlal Nehru and his so-called progressive sect in the Indian National Congress immediately welcomed this theory with open arms.*

## ***Pundit Sunderlal***

Well, Pundit Nehru and Habib were not alone but there were more of the likes. When something new starts gaining popularity others also join the bandwagon. So came along Pundit Sunderlal and he painted Islam and the Medieval Muslim Rule in glorious colours.

## ***M N Roy***

*Then came another of the lot: M N Roy. He innovated yet another theory. That is, Islam came to India with a great purpose: to complete a social revolution. And what that revolution was? Well, that was to complete the unfinished task of Buddhism. It was unfinished, in his mind, because those supposedly cunning Braahmans used fire and sword to finish Buddhism.*

## ***Sanskrit educated Braahmans of yesteryears and English educated Braahmans of today***

Education can do wonders to human mind and thought. Ancient Hindu education turned Braahmans into truthful lot and Modern Christian education turned them into cunning liars. Pundit is a Braahman title and I think Roy also.

## ***All-out attack on Hinduism***

One thing seemed to be very clear: there was an all-out attack on Hinduism from every possible direction with one motive, that is, to obliterate it.

## ***The stubborn Hinduism does not give up***

*But, this Hinduism was too stubborn. It would not give up, and it would not die howsoever hard one tried. Islam tried for hundreds of years, Christianity tried also for centuries since ChristianPortuguese landed in Goa and established notorious papal (pope's) Inquisition. The more stubborn Hinduism remains, more new fanatics are born to obliterate it. Only they change their method, when one fails the other gets ready! ChristianWorld gave such conspirators a respectable position in the society. With all these onslaughts Hinduism was not yet exhausted. Probably there was too much strength remained hidden somewhere, unseen, but it was there. It would not simply get lost, and so will be the invaders, in one form or other. The term Hindu still covered, by and large, Buddhists, Jains, and Sikhs. Swami Dayaanand, Bankim Chandr Chatterjee, Swami Vivekananda, Shri Aurobindo had probably seen through the ChristianBritish game in different measures. Shri RaamKrishn ParamHans Dev had silently prepared Vivekananda for the ordeal.*

## ***Abuse of the Constitution of India***

The Constitution of independent India adopted in January 1950 made things quite smooth for the Christian missions. They surged forward with renewed vigour. Nationalist resistance to what had been viewed as an imperialist incubus during the Struggle for Freedom from British rule, broke down when the very leaders who had frowned upon it started speaking in its favour. Voices which still remained 'recalcitrant' (\*wilfully disobedient) were sought to be

silenced by being branded as those of 'Hindu communalism'. Nehruvian Secularism had stolen a march under the smokescreen of Mahaatma Gandhi's Sarv-Dharm-Sambhaav. The Christian missionary orchestra in India after independence has continued to rise from one crescendo to another with the applause of the Nehruvian establishment manned by a brood of self-alienated Hindus spawned by missionary-Macaulayite education.<sup>17</sup>

### ***Jawaharlal Nehru as the first Prime Minister***

After independence from the ChristianBritish, Nehru became the supreme power and he became the combined spokesman of Islamic, Christian and Communist imperialistic ideologies. *To further his designs he placed the Ministry of Education in the hands of a Muslim Marxist combine headed by Maulaana Abul Kalam Azad. Simultaneously he gave Christian missions full freedom and encouragement to teach and convert Hindus as much as possible. He also patronized the Communist Party of India such that soon it became a formidable force. He let Muslim-Marxist combine of 'historians' to capture the Indian History Congress and let them drive out many honest historians. And this was facilitated by Westernized democratic system of which we are so proud of.*

### ***Muhammad Habib and Jawaharlal Nehru join hands***

*In 1954 Professor Muhammad Habib came up with another thesis that Hindu working class had preferred Shariat and Turks and Muslim conquest was an 'urban revolution' and 'turn of public opinion'. Nehru approved this thesis in a Preface despite the fact that so-called working class and Shoodrs fought shoulder-to-shoulder with so-called Hindu upper class in their struggle with Muslim barbarians. If Hindu working class had truly favoured Shariat why they did not adopt Islam without struggle and without bloodshed? Why Muslim barbarians had to offer the working class Hindus one of the two choices: Islam or death? Why did they have to kill innocent working class Hindu civilians, old men, women and children? Why did they have to enslave working class Hindu women and children to convert them into Islam? It is truly unfortunate for the nation that our leaders like Jawaharlal Nehru, in whose hands Hindu India had given its rein, had such a questionable character. I dare say 'Hindu India' because Jinnah had taken away Muslim Pakistan, and he had even offered Nehru to exchange left over Muslims in India, in lieu of left over Hindus in Pakistan.*

### ***Ethnic cleansing continues unabated***

Nehru turned it down and the result is today Pakistan and BanglaDesh have barely enough Hindus left on account of systematic *ethnic cleansing* over past 50 years, whereas Indian Muslims have multiplied many fold and enjoyed better privileges in comparison to their counterparts in Pakistan, legally granted to them such privileges on the pretext of minority protection. Enormous Hajj subsidy is one such example, and FakeSecular Nehru is the author.

*1947 - 24% Hindus in Pakistan but now less than 1%*

*1947 - 30% Hindus in BanglaDesh but now about 7%.<sup>18</sup>*

## *Effectively, Hindu became the true Minority on his own soil for all practical purpose*

The first Prime Minister of independent India became the leader of a Muslim-Christian-Communist combine for forcing Hindus and Hinduism first on the defensive and then on a run for shelter. Now on everything, which Hindus held sacred could be questioned, ridiculed, despised and insulted. At the same time the darkest dogmas of Islam and Christianity were not only placed beyond the pale of discussion but also invested with divinity so that anyone who asked any inconvenient questions about them invited the attention of laws which were made more and more punitive.<sup>19</sup>

Would you like an example of the above statement “now on everything, which Hindus held sacred could be questioned, ridiculed, despised and insulted”? Here is one:

Take, for example, what Hussain painted. He has not only portrayed some Hindu deities in the nude but has gone to the extent of showing Sita masturbating on the long tail of Hanumaan!<sup>20</sup>

*Here we see that a famous Muslim painter (who lives on this soil where 80% population still comprises of Hindus though gradually declining) can boldly disrespect the sentiments of Hindus (Mother Sita has been the example of purity) and we have no right to be angered by it. If we express our anger, it is promptly denounced by the secularists who occupy significant positions.*

As far as the Macaulayite elite is concerned, it is the fear of the rise of the nationalism, which has brought it into the arms of the Muslim Fundamentalist forces. It defends vociferously M F Hussein’s ‘artistic’ right to desecrate Hindu icons, but remains tongue tied when Salmaan Rushdie and Taslima Nasreen are threatened with death for blasphemy. It holds on to discredited history like the Aryan invasion of India because rejecting it would make India the home of a great and ancient civilization. It attacks Natwar Jha and this writer for providing a solution to the puzzle of the Indus script because it means going to the Vedic sources to find the answer. All this, testimony to the greatness of India’s past, this elite finds intolerable<sup>21</sup>

Nehru’s daughter Indira became the Prime Minister soon after Nehru’s death and to ensure her monopoly of power, she split the Congress Party. *She gave positions of power in Central and State Governments, and in prestigious institutions, to well known Communists and fellow-travellers.* Politicians love power so much that they would go to any length to attain their objectives. *ICHR was placed under control of Muslim-Marxist combine ‘historians’ and they were given extensive patronage. NCERT was taken over by these people and they laid down guidelines for producing school textbooks on various subjects and rewrote the fraudulent history with substantial amount of fabrication. JNU was created and financed on fabulous scale. Communist professors from all over the country were brought to JNU to form the frontline brigade to launch all out assault on Hindu society by way of anti-Hindu campaigns. The slogan of secularism was given tremendous impetus and no one was supposed to question or examine if it was being used with its true meaning, or otherwise. Its meaning in Indian context was attributed by this Muslim-Marxist combine as it served their purpose. The political backing to this manipulated concept was so enormous that no*

*questions were supposed to be asked. The new meaning was to be taken for granted. The political support, the academic thrust, and the media coverage together made anything that was related to Hinduism, and Nationalism, as 'Communalism'. This is when 'Hindu' started becoming a dirty word. Sikhs had opted out of Hindu fold and Jains started becoming more vocal that they were not Hindus. In the context of Sikhs, many readers who have not known it, may find it surprising that till lately every good Hindu family donated their one son to Sikhism. This means, from every good Hindu family one son became Sikh, so thin was the line between Hinduism and Sikhism.*

Francois Gautier: The Sikhs, because they had to defend themselves against the terrible persecution by the Muslims, cut themselves from the mainstream spirit of Hindu tolerance from where they originally came. But do they not come from the great Hindu family? Has not till lately every good Hindu family donated one of their sons to Sikhism? Do not Hindus still today go to Gurudwaras?<sup>22</sup>

The climax came when the Ramakrishna Mission and the Arya Samaj petitioned the High Courts for obtaining the status of non-Hindu religions. An article in the Constitution, which gave certain concessions to non-Hindu educational institutions, was being cited in defense of this volte-face. But that was only an excuse. The real reason was that nobody who thought he was somebody was prepared to be known as Hindu any more.<sup>23</sup>

The Bhaaratiya Jan Sangh had been launched by some Hindus who were already shying away from the word 'Hindu', and opting for the word 'Bhaaratiya'. It was taken over in due course by a pompous Nehruvian, and whoever objected to the coup was hounded out, or silenced. The party was now trying frantically to prove its Secular credentials. It was mortally afraid of being called a Hindu party, and frequently displayed its Muslim membership. Its tragedy was that the authentic secularists were not prepared to accept its claims, although it had invited every stalwart of Secularism to use its platform for delivering lectures on the sanctified subject. Again, the climax came when, under pressure from the newly formed Janata Party of which Bhaaratiya Jan Sangh had become a constituent, the RSS also got ready to consider dropping of the word 'Hindu' from its constitution. One wonders how things would have turned out if the Janata Party government had not fallen before the critical session of the RSS could be held. In any case, leaders of Bhaaratiya Janata Party, the reincarnation of the Bhaaratiya Jan Sangh, could be heard saying till recently that they could no more afford to be known as Hindus (ab apne aapko Hindu kahne se kaam nahi chalega).<sup>24</sup>

**Further reading:** Supplement 1 (And the process goes on)

*How They Pulled Out The  
Entire Conspiracy*



# *Intellectual Conspiracy and Social Fraud of immense magnitude*

## *About Max Muller*

Max Muller (1823-1900) has long been regarded as the ‘Western Indologist par excellence’. He was born on 6 December, 1823 in Dessau, the capital of the German Duchy of Anhalt-Dessau. His father Wilhelm Muller (1794-1827), a famous poet and teacher, died leaving his wife and two young children in dire poverty, supported only by a modest pension. After his early years in Dessau, he was sent at the age of twelve to Leipzig to finish his schooling, receiving a thorough grounding in Greek and Latin. As a student at Leipzig University, he fought duels and left with a doctorate before he was twenty. After his wanderjahre spent in Berlin, Paris and London, he arrived in Oxford in 1848, where he settled. He became Tylorian Professor of Modern European Languages (1854), a Fellow of All Souls College (1858) and Professor of Comparative Philology (1868). Max Muller’s oeuvre was marked by encyclopaedic range and scholarship. His edition of the Rig Veda (1849-73), and the forty-nine volumes of the Sacred Books of the East, made Max Muller pre-eminent among interpreters of Indian thought in the West. His work on Vedic literature, Sanskrit, philology, mythology and comparative religion aroused wide interest. He was an important influence not only on his Western contemporaries, but also on Indian thinkers. Max Muller died on 28 October, 1900.<sup>25</sup>

## *Two faces of Max Muller*



*Figure 1-Max Muller (Young – Ambitious of getting Quick Rich and acquiring Quick Fame)*



*Figure 2-Max Muller (Old – Ambitions fulfilled – Wiser and closer to the Truth)*

Max Muller: It is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek, or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Indians (\*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first.<sup>26</sup>

This was Max Muller in 1882 at Cambridge University in England. By then he had substantially matured in life and acquired the much needed wisdom that comes *with age*. He had also approached closer to his grave when people tend to admit the truth more easily than in their youth when survival and ambitions take precedence in life. Therefore, be prepared to see yet *another face* of the same Max Muller in his youth, much influenced by the 1st Baron of erstwhile British Empire Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay. *That will tell you the reason why you hold today such a low opinion of Hindu society.*

It is a story of intellectual fraud carried out by eminent people of European society, mostly under British umbrella. *It was a social fraud of an immense magnitude that blackened the face of an entire society.* It was like painting milk white with charcoal black. It was much like covering bright sun shine with the dead of night. *And the most amazing thing that you will notice from their personal admissions is that it was their Christian faith, the belief in Jesus and his doctrine, which drove them towards carrying out a social fraud of this magnitude.* Mention of Jesus at this point may surprise you but to understand Jesus well you may have to read my other works on Christianity. This work will quote only those teachings of his which are relevant to the context. This is a work on history and if religious teachings are quoted occasionally then it is *to demonstrate what shaped those historical events. At this moment, these are only words but as you will progress through my work these words will come alive as hard facts of history, which had been deliberately withheld from common knowledge of the Hindu society in particular, and the world at large.*

### ***Conspiracy and Conspiracy of Silence***

Conspiracy has been defined by Oxford Dictionary as A secret plan by a group to do something unlawful or harmful

The phrase a conspiracy of silence has been defined by Oxford Dictionary (p 393) as an agreement to say nothing about an issue that should be generally known.

### ***You have witnessed glimpses of an amazing character of Hindu society in course of your journey through earlier part of this work***

*Now, this part of your journey will take you through the amazing conspiracy which consigned that civilization into oblivion. As you will progress you will notice that the sole objective of these intellectual conspirators was to convert Hindus into Christianity and thus gradually eliminate Hinduism.*

### ***I call it a conspiracy because the victims were not aware of the motive***

*A conspiracy of silence has been maintained on this subject because 99.9% Hindus today are not aware of this. Out of one billion Hindus world over, you may find it difficult to locate even that minuscule 0.1% (1 million or 10 lakh) Hindus who know about all this.*

### ***This would be our approach***

We will go about this whole issue in a sequential manner; first looking into the driving force, then the motive, finally the methodology and its systematic and consistent implementation.

*Listen to it in their own words; it was the religion that drove them all along! When you think it was their nationality you are identifying the wrong culprit*

### ***Professor Wilson says he wrote those lectures to disprove Hinduism***

Prof Wilson was a man of very noble disposition, but he had his obligations towards the motives of the founder of the Chair he occupied. He, therefore, wrote a book on ‘The Religious and Philosophical System of the Hindus’ and explaining the reason for writing it he says “These lectures were written to help candidates for a prize of [Pounds] 200 given by John Muir, a well-known old Haileybury man and great Sanskrit scholar, for the best refutation<sup>27</sup> of the Hindu Religious System”.<sup>28</sup>

*Thus the tool was created with the sole objective of demoting Hindu religious system. And it was financed by one who was known as a great Sanskrit scholar. What did he find in Sanskrit literature that made him put up a prize money for denouncing Hindu religious system? Did he see the real strength of Sanskrit literature and felt insecure enough to use his loot money for further downgrading Hindu religious system? Here I refer to John Muir.*

I speak of loot money because he and the likes of him earned their livelihood plus enormous amounts of money that they did not deserve by plunder (systematic loot) of Bengal and the rest of the India. Professor Wilson himself may not have wanted to do it and yet he may have succumbed to the pressure from his employers.

### ***The other face of Max Muller***

*In earlier part of this work you have read how valiantly Max Muller fought for Hinduism in 1882 at Cambridge University. That was when he had matured sufficiently. Now, we will*

*have a look at his youth-driven blunder amounting to intellectual conspiracy, which he sought to partially correct by the time he matured and nearing grave; but the enormous damage to Hinduism was done, and could not be reversed.*

Max Muller was born in 1823. He acquired his doctorate before he was 20. He was a voluminous writer, and a forceful writer. He died in 1900.



*Figure 3-Max Muller (Young – Ambitious of getting Quick Rich and acquiring Quick Fame)*

### ***1855 Max Muller at age 32 Macaulay poisons Max Muller's mind***

Max Muller's interview with Lord Macaulay on the 28 December 1855 also played a great part in his anti-Indian views. Max Muller had to sit silent for an hour while the historian poured out his diametrically opposite views and then dismissed his visitor who tried in vain to utter a simple word: "I went back to Oxford", writes Max Muller, "a sadder man and a wiser man."<sup>29</sup>

### ***1860 Max Muller at age 37 says the whole human race needs to admitted to the truth of Christianity; and obliquely pointing towards BhagavadGita, he says Hinduism, through its own errors, may have paved the way for Christ***

Max Muller: History seems to teach that the whole human race required a gradual education before, in the fullness of time, it could be admitted to the truths of **Christianity**. All the fallacies of human reason had to be exhausted, before the light of a high truth could meet with ready acceptance. The ancient religions of the world were but the milk of nature, which was in due time to be succeeded by the bread of life ... 'The religion of Buddha has spread far beyond the limits of the Aryan world, and to our limited vision, it may seem to have retarded the advent of Christianity among a large portion of the human race. But in the sight of Him with whom a thousand years are but as one day, that religion, like the ancient religions of the world, may have but served to prepare the way of **Christ**, by helping through its very errors to strengthen and to deepen the ineradicable yearning of the human heart after the truth of God.'<sup>30</sup>

Max Muller displays such deep faith in Christianity and Christ that he claims all other religions needed to be raised to the level of Christianity!

Max Muller's references to "the Aryan world" and to "in the sight of Him with whom a thousand years are but as one day" are pointers towards *Hinduism*. Without understanding what *Bhagavaan Shri Krishn* meant in *Shrimad BhagavadGita Adhyaay 8 Shlok 17* Max Muller proceeds to claim "that religion may have served to prepare the way of Christ by helping through its very errors". These pitiable characters, profoundly educated in Christian education system, speak of "ineradicable yearning of the human heart after the truth of God"! Their over inflated ego made them think of "whole human race required a gradual education before it could be admitted to the truths of *Christianity*".

*His own words make it abundantly clear leaving no doubt whatsoever that the assault on Hinduism was driven by his faith in Christianity and Jesus Christ.*

### ***1866 Max Muller at age 43 claims Vedic hymns are extremely childish and low grade***

Max Muller: Large number of Vedic hymns are childish in the extreme; tedious, low, commonplace<sup>31</sup>

Max Muller had *not yet* risen in his life to the level where he could understand the depth of Vaidik (Vedic) literature. His mind was so very *obsessed* with supremacy of Christianity that it was simply *not open* to receive any thing *nobler* than its own. Twenty two years later he publicly acknowledged [see earlier parts of this work] supremacy of Hinduism and *fought* for it realizing his earlier mistake *but by then it was too late and irreversible damage had been done!*

***1866 Max Muller at age 43 writes to his Wife that his translation of Rig'Ved will show Hindus how inferior is the root of their religion and that is the only way of uprooting them and destroying all that has sprung from that root during past thousands of years and that would seal the fate of the millions in India – quite an irony that I grew up learning that Max Muller had done a great service to Hinduism by translating Veds and making them known to the whole world when we Hindus, ourselves, had ignored them and forgotten about them – education system can do wonders by brainwashing children making the villain look like the saviour and victims look like the culprits***

In a letter of AD 1866 (Hindu Vikram Samvat 1923) he writes to his wife: This edition of mine and the translation of the Ved will hereafter tell to a great extent on the fate of India ... it is the root of their religion and to show them what the root is, I feel sure, is the only way of uprooting all that has spring from it during the last three thousand years.<sup>32</sup>

[Note: Notice the gap "..." in the above quotation? Michel Danino fills the gap in above quotation though without indicating the chronology and therefore it has been necessary to present both quotes. They complemented each other's deficiencies. I was lucky to get both simultaneously. These are some practical problems associated with investigations into the past. Lest some of you find fault with the two quotes let me clarify that referenced page

numbers are different (346 and 328) as they seem to have used different editions. Michel Danino has indicated the publisher and the edition of 1902 but Bhagavan Dutt has not.]

Max Muller to his wife: This edition of mine and the translation of the Ved will hereafter tell to a great extent on the fate of India and on the growth of millions of souls in that country. It is the root of their religion and to show them what the root is, I feel sure, is the only way of uprooting all that has spring from it during the last three thousand years.<sup>33</sup>

Max Muller writes to his wife describing his planned strategy for uprooting Hindu heritage through his translation of RigVed by showing it in poor light.

### *And why did Max Muller do it? To get a share of the plunder and worldwide recognition as an intellectual of eminence*

Do you want to know who financed<sup>34</sup> this operation? It was East India Company. They hired Max Muller to translate Rig'Ved. His time, body and mind were hired by the ChristianBritish East India Company with the sole motive of uprooting Hindus from their roots using translation of Rig'Ved as the weapon. This weapon was meant to be used against English educated Hindus who had no ability to read and understand Sanskrit on their own. And such English educated ChristianizedHindus were going to take the battle against Hinduism further down the lane. In return, Max Muller was to get plenty of money and worldwide recognition.

Those who use their knowledge for such *subversive* purposes, I call them intellectual whores for they put on rent their body (physical abilities and energies), mind (mental abilities and energies) and time for pleasure of those like Macaulay and East India company.

### *Max Muller writes to his Son that in the order of superiority among all religious documentations in world with regard to moral teachings first comes the New Testament of Christian Bible, then Qur'an of Islam, then Old Testament of Christian Bible, followed by Buddhist, Chinese and in the end of the line stand Hindu Veds*

In another letter he writes to his son: Would you say that any one sacred book is superior to all others in the world? ...I say the New Testament, after that, I should place the Koran, which in its moral teachings, is hardly more than a later edition of the New Testament. Then would follow according to my opinion the Old Testament, the Southern Buddhist Tripitaka, the Tao-king of Laotze, and the Kings of Confucius, the Ved and the Avestha.<sup>35</sup>

Max Muller writes to his son that in order of *superiority in moral teachings*, he places Christian Bible New Testament at 1st place, Islamic Qur'an at 2nd place, Christian Bible Old Testament in the 3rd place, then Buddhist, Chinese, and at the end of the line Hindu Veds and Persian Avestha. You have learned sufficiently about Hindu morals "in action" through testimonies presented in earlier parts of this work. You will get to witness plenty of Muslim morals through the real life conducts of the prophet and his followers as you proceed through this work. Now you are seeing what Christian morals are like because these conducts of those people are based on those very moral teachings of Christian Bible.

*To put things in perspective it becomes necessary to bring in some specimen of those moral teachings from the New Testament, Qur'an and Old Testament so that you can form your own opinion about their superiority in comparison to Hinduism*

*Moral teachings of New Testament [Max Muller Ranks by Superiority 1st] – what would be your evaluation?*

Matthew 10:34: Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword. 10:35 For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against the mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. 10:36 And a man's foe shall be they of his own household. 10:37 He that loveth father or mother more than me is not worthy of me. 12: 30 He that is not with me is against me<sup>36</sup> (New Testament is the second part of the Christian Bible. (2) Gospel is the record of Christ's life and teachings in the first four books of the New Testament (3) St Matthew was an Apostle and the author of the first Gospel<sup>37</sup>)

Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay<sup>38</sup>; but rather division: 12:52 For from henceforth there shall be five in one house divided, three against two, and two against three. 12:53 The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law against her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. 14:26 If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple.<sup>39</sup> (St Luke was an evangelist and the author of the third Gospel)<sup>40</sup>

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war. For five will be in a house; there will be three against two and two against three, the father against the son and the son against the father. And they will stand because they are single ones. 56 Jesus said: He who will not hate his father and his mother cannot be my disciple. And he who will not hate his brothers and sisters, and carry his cross as I have, will not become worthy of me.<sup>41</sup> (St Thomas was an Apostle and each of the twelve chief disciples of Jesus Christ is an

Apostle)<sup>42</sup> (Gospel of Thomas: the second century Coptic text of this Gnostic gospel, probably written in Syria, was discovered in Egypt in 1946. It contains the secret sayings of Jesus as recorded by St Thomas.)<sup>43</sup>

Swami Vivekananda: Christians talk of universal brotherhood; but anyone who is not a Christian must go to that place where he will be eternally barbecued (\*roasted like animals).<sup>44</sup>

Ishwar Sharan: Jesus was the first religious teacher in history to threaten those, who did not agree with him, with eternal damnation. This is the only original idea that he contributed to the world's vast body of religious thought, and in two millennia it has destroyed nations and whole civilizations and caused Thomas Jefferson<sup>45</sup> to declare, 'The Christian God is cruel, vindictive, capricious and unjust'.<sup>46</sup>

### *Moral teachings of Qur'an [Max Muller's Ranking 2nd by Superiority] – what would be your evaluation?*

2:193: Fight against them until idolatry is no more and Allah's religion reigns supreme.<sup>47</sup>

2:216 Fighting is obligatory for you, much as you dislike it.<sup>48</sup>

4:56 Lo! Those who disbelieve Our revelations, We shall expose them to Fire. As often as their skins are consumed We shall exchange them for fresh skins that they may taste the torment. Lo! Allah is ever Mighty, Wise.<sup>49</sup>

8:12 I shall cast terror into the hearts of the infidels. Strike off their heads, maim them in every limb<sup>50</sup>

8:15-18 It was not you, but Allah, who slew them. It was not you who smote them; Allah smote them so that He might richly reward the faithful.<sup>51</sup>

8:39: Make war on them until idolatry is no more and Allah's religion reigns supreme.<sup>52</sup>

8:67 It is not for any Prophet to have captives until he has made slaughter in the land...<sup>53</sup>

9:2-3 Allah will humble the unbelievers... Allah and His apostle are free from obligation to the idol-worshipper... Proclaim a woeful punishment to the unbelievers.<sup>54</sup>

9:7 Allah and His apostle repose no trust in idolaters...<sup>55</sup>

9:39 If you do not fight He will punish you sternly and replace you by other men...<sup>56</sup>

9:41 Whether unarmed or well equipped, march on and fight for the cause of Allah, with your wealth and your persons.<sup>57</sup>

9:73 O Prophet! Make war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites. Be harsh with them. Their ultimate abode is Hell, a hapless journey's end.<sup>58</sup>

9:111 Lo! Allah hath bought from the believers their lives and their wealth because the Garden [\*paradise] will be theirs: they shall fight in the way of Allah and shall slay and be slain. It is promise which is binding on Him in the Torah and the Gospel and the Qur'an. Who fulfilleth His covenant better than Allah? Rejoice then in your bargain that ye have made, for that is the supreme triumph.<sup>59</sup>



9:123 Believers! Make war on the infidels who dwell around you. Let them find harshness in you.<sup>60</sup>

Quran 9.123, 29, 5 Surely the worst beasts in God's sight are the unbelievers. O ye who believe! Fight those of the unbelievers and let them find in you harshness. Humiliate the nonMuslims to such an extent that they surrender and pay tribute. Then, when the sacred months are drawn away, slay the idolaters wherever you find them, and take them and confine them, and lie in wait for them at every place of ambush.<sup>61</sup>

22:19-21 Garments of fire have been prepared for unbelievers. Scalding (\*boiling) water shall be poured upon their heads, melting their skins and that which is in their bellies. They shall be lashed with red iron.<sup>62</sup>

47:4-15 When you meet the unbelievers in the battlefield strike off their heads and when you have laid them low, bind your captives firmly. Then grant them their freedom or take ransom from them, until war shall lay down her armour. Thus shall you do! Had Allah willed, He could Himself have perished them (without your help); but He has ordained it thus that He might test you, the one by the other. As for those who are slain in the cause of Allah, He will not allow their works to perish. He will vouchsafe them guidance and ennoble their state; He will admit them to the Paradise He has made known to them. Believers! If you help Allah, he will help you and make you strong. But the unbelievers shall be consigned to perdition (\*eternal damnation). Because they have opposed His revelations, He will frustrate their works ... Allah is the protector of the faithful; unbelievers have no protector. Allah will admit those who embrace the true faith to gardens (\*paradise) watered by running streams. The unbelievers take their full of pleasure and eat as the beasts eat; but Hell shall be their home ... They shall abide in Hell forever and drink scalding water, which will tear their bowels.<sup>63</sup>

What has made it particularly alluring for the faithful throughout the ages is something else, namely, the bevy of beautiful virgins who never grow old or lose their charms, and who never tire of providing newer and ever more plentiful pleasures to those who have lived or died for the faith. Lusty and lurid descriptions of paradise comprise a whole corpus of Islamic lore starting with the Qur'an and the Hadis.<sup>64</sup>

48:29 Muhammad is Allah's apostle. Those who follow him are ruthless to the unbelievers but merciful to one another.<sup>65</sup>

60:4 We renounce you (i.e., the idolaters): enmity and hate shall reign between us until you believe in Allah only...<sup>66</sup>

66:9 O Prophet! Make war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites and deal sternly with them. Hell shall be their home, evil their fate.<sup>67</sup>

69:30-33 We shall say, 'Lay hold of him and bind him. Burn him in the fire of Hell, then fasten him with a chain seventy cubits long. For he did not believe in Allah, the Most High.'<sup>68</sup>

4:91 Then, if they turn their backs, take them and slay them wherever you find them.<sup>69</sup>

84:57 Whosoever changes his Islamic religion kill him.<sup>70</sup>

82:794-7 The Prophet had them caught. He then ordered their hands and legs to be cut off, their eyes to be branded with heated pieces of iron. He ordered that the cut hands and legs should not be cauterized so that they bleed to death. 'And when they asked for water to drink', records the Hadis, 'they were not given water.'<sup>71</sup>

Swami Vivekananda: Mohammedans talk of universal brotherhood, but what comes out of that in reality? Why, anybody who is not a Mohammedan will not be admitted into the brotherhood; he will more likely have his throat cut.<sup>72</sup>

### ***Moral teachings of Old Testament [Max Muller's Ranking 3rd by Superiority] – what would be your evaluation?***

Deuteronomy 12:1 These are the statutes and judgments, which ye shall observe to do in the land, which the LORD God of thy fathers giveth thee to possess it, all the days ye live upon the earth. 12:2 Ye shall utterly destroy all the places, wherein the nations which ye shall possess served their Gods, upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and every green tree: 12:3 And ye shall overthrow their altars, and break their pillars, and burn their groves with fire; and ye shall hew<sup>73</sup> down the graven<sup>74</sup> images of their gods, and destroy the names of them out of that place.<sup>75</sup> (Old Testament is the first part of the Christian Bible and Deuteronomy is the fifth book of the Bible)<sup>76</sup>

Deuteronomy 13:6 If thy brother, the son of thy mother, or thy son, or thy daughter, or the wife of thy bosom, or thy friend, which is as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and serve other Gods, which thou hast not known, nor thy fathers; 13:7 Namely, of the gods of the people which are round about you, nigh unto thee, or far off from thee, from the one end of the earth even unto the other end of the earth; 13:8 Thou shalt not consent unto him, nor hearken unto him; neither shall thine eye pity him, neither shalt thou spare, neither shalt thou conceal him: 13:9 But thou shalt surely kill him; thine hand shall be first upon him to put him to death, and afterwards the hands of all people. 13:10 And thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die; because he hath sought to thrust thee away from the LORD thy God<sup>77</sup> (Deuteronomy 13 Idolaters to be put to death)<sup>78</sup>

Deuteronomy 20:16 But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: 20:17 But thou shalt utterly destroy them<sup>79</sup>

Deuteronomy 32:24 They shall be burnt with hunger, and devoured with burning heat, and with bitter destruction: I will also send the teeth of beasts upon them, with the poison of serpents of the dust. 32:25 The sword without, and terror within, shall destroy both the young man and the virgin, the suckling also with the man of gray hairs.<sup>80</sup>

Exodus 23:24 Thou shalt not bow down to their gods, nor serve them, nor do after their works: but thou shalt utterly overthrow them, and quite break down their images.<sup>81</sup>

Exodus 34:13 But ye shall destroy their altars, break their images, and cut down their groves. 34:14: For thou shalt worship no other god: for the LORD, whose name is Jealous, is a jealous God:<sup>82</sup> (Exodus is the second book of the Bible)<sup>83</sup>

Numbers 31:17: Now therefore kill every male among little ones, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him. 31:18 But all the women children, that have not known a man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves.<sup>84</sup> (Numbers is the fourth book of the Bible)<sup>85</sup>

Isaiah 13:16: Their children also shall be dashed<sup>86</sup> to pieces before their eyes; their houses shall be spoiled, and their wives ravished<sup>87</sup> (\*raped).<sup>88</sup> (Isaiah was a major Hebrew prophet. Isaiah is a book of Bible containing his prophecies)<sup>89</sup>

Nahum 1:2 God is jealous, and the LORD revengeth; the LORD revengeth, and is furious; the LORD will take vengeance on his adversaries, and he reserveth wrath for his enemies.<sup>90</sup> (Nahum a book of the Bible)<sup>91</sup>

# *Intellectual Conspiracy and Social Fraud of immense magnitude (contd.)*

*1868 Max Muller at age 45 writes to the Minister for India now that Hinduism is doomed Christianity must step in to fill the vacuum*

On 16th December 1868 AD (Samvat 1925) he writes to Duke of Argyle, the Minister for India: “The ancient religion of India is doomed and if Christianity does not step in, whose fault will it be?”<sup>92</sup>

The extent to which Max Muller goes to subvert Hinduism can be seen from this letter to the then Minister for India. Christianity’s assault on Hinduism explored every possible avenue of persuasion.

*18?? Max Muller at age ?? charges N K Majumdar what stops you and your countrymen from openly following Christ now that English educated brass of your country is already converted to Christianity*

Max Muller grew so insolent and audacious that he started to challenge Indians in a direct foolhardy manner. It is clear from a letter written by him to N K Majumdar: “Tell me some of your chief difficulties that prevent you and your countrymen from openly following Christ, and when I write to you I shall do my best to explain how I and many who agree with me have met them and solved them ... From my point of view, India, at least the best part of it, is already converted to Christianity. You want no persuasion to become a follower of Christ. Then make up your mind to work on yourself. Unite your flock - to hold them together and prevent them from straying. The bridge has been built for you by those who came before you. STEP BOLDLY FORWARD, it will break under you, and you will find many friends to welcome you on the other shore and among them none more delighted that your old friend and fellow labourer F Max Muller”.<sup>93</sup>

*Do you notice the reach of religion in human lives? This is why I mix religion with history because human thoughts and actions can never be understood well without understanding the latent religious bias, and history is nothing but a compilation of human actions based on human beliefs shaped by religion, and therefore -*

*Do not underestimate religion*

*It can influence best brains*

It may not be so apparent because they know how to hide their true feelings in public, if necessary, but their letters *betray* their innermost feelings. They found it simply *intolerable*

that such a large mass of people should follow any religion other than Christianity. That *intolerance* is reflected through Max Muller's tone in the letter.

### ***1882 Max Muller at age 59 gains much needed wisdom and takes an about turn: "I should point to India..."***

Max Muller: If I were to look over the whole world to find out the country most richly endowed with all the wealth, power and beauty, that nature can bestow, I should point to India. If I were asked under what sky the human mind has mostly deeply pondered over the greatest problems of life and has found solutions of some of them which well deserve the attention even of those who have studied Plato and Kant, I should point to India. And if I were asked myself from what literature, we here in Europe, we who have nurtured almost exclusively on the thoughts of Greeks and Romans, and of Semitic Race, the Jewish, may draw that corrective which is most wanted in order to make our inner life more perfect, more universal, in fact, more truly than, a life not for this life only, but a transfigured and eternal life, again I should point to India.<sup>94</sup>



*Figure 4-Max Muller (Old – Ambitions fulfilled – Wiser and closer to the Truth)*

### ***Here we see Max Muller reverse his stand***

*He had grown in age. With that came maturity. The ambition of youth had subsided. The hunger for recognition through support of British establishment had been fulfilled. The need and greed for money had reduced having already made enough. Now it was the beginning of a journey towards the grave. Time for reconciliation with truth!*

### ***But then few had the matching tenacity***

*In his youth he fought ferociously for Christianity. And now in his maturity he valiantly fought for the truth. Alas! The damage he had done in his youth, he could not undo it in his maturity.*

### ***Need to look at Max Muller in proper perspective***

When we **condemn** Max Muller we do not want to look at his work; with that remains *ignored* the enormous documentary evidence he compiled in favour of Hinduism – who is the *loser*? There is no point *bragging* how great a nation we were. In today's environment people want printed evidence. And that too, only such evidence that they *feel* trustworthy.

ChristianEnglish education for past six generations has moulded our thinking in such a direction that we consider only *foreigners*' evidence as admissible. This makes Max Muller's work very *valuable* to us. When we **glorify** Max Muller we also look very favourably at Germans and British – we remember them by their nationality. This glorification makes them *respectable* in our eyes. In the process, we fail to see the *damage* they have done to us.

They have **cut us off** our roots. They have made us *forget* our past. They have made us *despise* ourselves. They have made us look at ourselves in *low esteem*. They have made us their *mental slaves*. They have taught us to look at different factors in an **isolated** manner. They have made us to perceive them as Portuguese, French, Dutch, German, British. They have **taken our sight off** the **sole driving force** behind them that was **Christianity**.

Neither condemnation nor glorification in *isolated* manner is desirable. We need to accept both faces. Time has put its *inerasable stamp* on his conducts that stood one opposite the other. Both faces were true faces but in *exclusive* sense. One represented his *irresponsible* youth, the other showed his *matured* wisdom. The ChristianWest knows only to admire the irresponsible youth in humans. Old is not gold for them. ChristianWest treats old as *spent* force. This attitude is most evident in the manner they treat their old family members. The same attitude they have inculcated *in us* through six generations of ChristianizedEnglish education.

*Prof M Monier-Williams claims Braahmanism must die out for it is incapable of imparting even basic knowledge and education and therefore, without the aid of Christianity the foundation of Hinduism will crack*

Monier-Williams, who revealed the real object of the purpose of the establishment of the Boden chair, thus delivers himself: "**Braahmanism, therefore, must die out.** In point of fact, false ideas on the most ordinary scientific subjects are so mixed up with its doctrines that the commonest education – the simplest lesson in geography – without the aid of Christianity must inevitably in the end sap its foundations".<sup>95</sup>

*Monier-Williams writes the Victory of Christianity will be Complete as the Soldiers of Cross will storm in, encircle and undermine the mighty fortress of Braahmanism*

Monier-Williams: When the walls of the mighty fortress of Braahmanism are **encircled, undermined, and finally stormed** by the **soldiers of the cross**, the **victory of Christianity** must be signal and complete.<sup>96</sup>

*Do you notice that it was an all out War by Christianity against Hinduism? For strategic reasons, they named it not Hinduism but Braahmanism*

He writes "soldiers of Cross" must storm in to make Christianity victorious. What we see *again and again* that it was **Christianity's war**. British or Macaulay were mere tools. Do not perceive them as the true offender. Or else, you will never find out who had been working against you day and night. It was the Cross, the Jesus<sup>97</sup>, who had been working all the while

to turn you Christian. He inspired his soldiers to adopt foul means. He was pulling the strings from behind the curtain. May be he was dead and buried but his ghost was still at work controlling the minds of these people whom you have mistakenly learned to respect.

*1869 Principal Rudolf Hoernle wrote he hopes that Christianity will fill in the gap as Hindus give up Hinduism on persuasion of Swami Dayaanand of Arya Samaj who may be able to convince Hindus that present form of Hinduism is in opposition to Veds*

Rudolf Hoernle was the Principal of Queen's College, Banaaras, in [\*Vikram] Samvat 1926 (\*AD 1869) [\*even after the turn of a century, in 1966, Queen's College commanded very high respect from the local students fraternity]. At that time Swami Dayaanand Saraswati, who later on founded the Arya Samaj happened to reach Banaaras for the first time for the propagation of his mission. Dr Hoernle met Swami Dayananda on several occasions. He wrote an article on Swamiji from which the following extract is noteworthy, because it reveals the real intention of many European scholars who take to study of Sanskrit and ancient scriptures of India. Hoernle says: "He (Dayaanand) may possibly convince the Hindus that their modern Hinduism is altogether in the opposition to the Veds... If once they became thoroughly convinced of this radical error, they will no doubt abandon Hinduism at once ... They cannot go back to the Vedic state; that is dead and gone, and will never revive; something more or less new must follow. We hope it may be **Christianity**."<sup>98</sup>

He writes Swami Dayananda may show Hindus that modern Hinduism is in totally opposition to Vaidik Hinduism, and this may lead Hindus to fall out of Hinduism, and while looking for a better alternative, they may embrace Christianity. Thus, he expected Swami Dayananda to play *pawns* in the hands of Christian manipulators. Rudolf Hoernle was right. Dayananda convinced a very small segment of Hindu society and brought it under the umbrella of Arya Samaj, *effectively splitting the Hindu society*.

Only five weeks ago, on 29 April 2007, I happened to be "accidentally" present, as an onlooker, at Silver Jubilee celebrations of Arya Samaj at Vashi, New Bombay. One celebrated scholar told the audience that *Hindu is their first enemy*, no Arya Samaji took objection. She also claimed that the popular *anti-Hindu* slogan "Raam Naam japna, paraya maal apna" was coined by Arya Samaj! One of the speakers mentioned of a debate between scholars of Arya Samaj and Hindus where Hindu scholar mentioned of "laghu shanka" where laghu shanka could mean small doubt or urination. Arya Samaji scholar replied "do laghu shanka and put it in your mouth" meaning urinate and put it in your mouth. The speaker implied that in this manner Arya Samaj scholars outwitted Hindu scholars in Shaastraarth (debate on scriptures).

- *It has been well propagated, and firmly implanted in the minds of Hindus, that Arya Samaj was formed to reform Hindus. I am not too sure as to how one can ever reform someone whom he thinks as his first enemy?*

- *Christianity and Islam claim theirs is True God while Hindus' god is false god. Arya Samaj claims that only Arya Samaj knows the path to True God and Hindu gods are all imaginary.*

Arya Samajis claim they are on Hindu side when Muslims attack (Arya Samajis know that when Muslims attack Hindus, they also attack Arya Samajis). Arya Samajis know that they can live peacefully so long India is Hindu dominated (but they will be killed and raped if India becomes Muslim dominated much the same as in BanglaDesh and Pakistan). In spite of all this, *an average Hindu has been kept under the impression* that he should love and respect all of them who hate them!

### ***1925 Professor Winternitz could not tolerate Schopenhauer placing Hindu Upanishads in high esteem***

The pride of the superiority of their own philosophy and religion, and of the infallibility of their own conclusions has become so ingrained in the above-mentioned type of Western Sanskrit scholars that they feel no hesitation in giving expression to it brazen-facedly before the public. Reverent admiration of the philosophy of the Upanishads by Schopenhauer, often quoted by Bharatiya writers, ranked in the heart of the Europeans, and as late as AD 1925 Prof Winternitz thought it incumbent on him to denounce the sincere and heartfelt views of Schopenhauer in the following words: “Yet I believe, it is a wild exaggeration when Schopenhauer says that the teaching of the Upanishads represents ‘the fruit of the highest human knowledge and wisdom’ and contains ‘almost superhuman conceptions the originators of which can hardly be regarded as mere mortals...’”<sup>99</sup>

Winternitz found it necessary to pull down Schopenhauer and attempted to *discredit* him because Schopenhauer had unqualified reverence for Hindu Upanishads.

### ***Winternitz claims that whatever little worth Hindu Veds may have they certainly do not match Hebrew religious documentations***

Not content with his invective (\*abusive speech or oratory) against the Upanishads he had the audacity to deprecate even the greatness of the Veds by saying: “It is true, the authors of these hymns rise but extremely seldom to the exalted flights and deep fervour of, say, religious poetry of the Hebrews.”<sup>100</sup>

Old Testament, which was originally written in Hebrew, contains those religious poetry that Winternitz boasts about. We have already presented you with a few samples. You may have noticed what *exalted* flights they have had. Naturally, Hindu Veds could not have lowered themselves to those levels!

### ***Ripley terms Hinduism as Curse for India***

The climax of hatred against Hinduism is seen in the highly mischievous and provoking remarks like the following even in popular literature: “The curse of India is the Hindu religion. More than two hundred million people believe a monkey mixture of mythology that



is strangling the nation. ... He who yearns for God in India soon loses his head as well as his heart.”<sup>101</sup>

- *Think what effect would it have had on those American masses who had no first hand information on Hinduism.*

*Professor McKenzie finds Hinduism devoid of ethics which prevents development of strenuous moral life; isn't it rather amusing that these creatures define ethics and morality, who themselves have none of it*

Prof McKenzie of Bombay finds the ethics of India defective, illogical and antisocial, lacking any philosophical foundation, nullified by abhorrent ideas of asceticism and ritual and altogether inferior to the 'higher spirituality' of Europe. He devotes most of his book 'Hindu Ethics' to upholding this thesis and comes to the triumphant conclusions that Hindu philosophical ideas, "when logically applied [\*Hindu philosophical ideas] leave no room for ethics, and they prevent the development of a strenuous moral life.”<sup>102</sup>

*These people talk of morality?*

- *Who will tell them that you do not look for ethics in the books and the rotten minds of intellectual whores who rent their intellect for money as did Max Muller (in his youth only to realize the blunder he had committed as he neared maturity) and so many others. The real-life application of ethics needs to be seen in the day to day acts of common people. Therefore, compare the real-life conducts of Hindu "people" over two millenniums, as documented by the foreigners visiting India, and then see the comparative moral bankruptcy of these celebrated "intellectuals" of Christianity.*

*Wonder why I use such harsh language?*

- *Do you honestly think that they deserve any better? Wonder why I keep harping on their Christian lineage while you are used to hearing terms like British, German, European, Modern, Western, etc. Have you read their words carefully? Do you have any doubt left that it was not Christianity but some obscure nationality, or continentality, or modern view point, or East-West division that moved them in that direction?*

*Today you must show the courage to stand up and point fingers at them, those who did it all the while to you*

- *Do not be timid. Do not keep justifying your inactivity saying: you are being big-hearted!*

*I keep using the word Christian, again and again, over and over, so that you do not lose sight of the fact that it has all along been monotheist Christianity's war against polytheist Hinduism with the sole objective of eliminating it from the face of the earth after having been successful in eliminating all other polytheist faiths that survived for thousands of years in every corner of the*

*globe until the Avataar of Love Jesus Christ descended and cursed all non-Christians the eternal hell from which you couldn't get a bail*

- *Then began the systematic ethnic cleansing of all other faiths only to be given further momentum by advent of Islam which was essentially a Judeo-Christian by-product. You have failed to remember this finer aspect, and you always viewed them by their different nationalities as British, Portuguese, French, and so on. You have lost sight of this fundamental truth that it was not their nationality that drove them it was their Christian faith that drove them to eliminate you.*

*ChristianBritish finally succeeded in mentally enslaving you by replacing ancient Hindu education system with ChristianEnglish education system*

- *This is how they managed within a matter of 112 years (1835-1947) what Islam could not achieve taking many centuries.*

*Sir William Cecil Dampier holds Hinduism responsible for lack of its contribution towards science*

This vilification did not remain confined to Sanskrit scholars alone, but through them it percolated into the field of Science. Not knowing a word of the exact and multifarious scientific knowledge of the ancient Hindus, Sir William Cecil Dampier writes: “Perhaps the paucity (\*scarcity, smallness of quantity, fewness) of Indian contribution to other sciences (the Philosophy and Medicine) may in part be due to the Hindu religion.”<sup>103</sup>

Which lack of contribution was he talking about? Let us see where Hinduism stood vis-à-vis sciences which they had no inclination to learn about.

*To put the things in proper perspective it may be appropriate to bring-in here a few examples of Hindu contribution to Science so that it can respond to their anti-Hinduism propaganda on this count as well*

*Professor MacDonnell went on record saying Europeans learned plastic surgery from the Hindus who had already attained such heights in medical surgery that they could cut human hair longitudinally (lengthwise)*

Professor MacDonnell: European surgery has borrowed the operation of rhinoplasty<sup>104</sup> (formation of artificial noses) from India. They had attained such finesse in surgery that they could cut a hair longitudinally! The ancient medical men beginning from the great Dhanwantari (whose prescriptions were said to be infallible), Sushrut, Charak and others down the line were bold and skilful in their surgery. They conducted amputations, arrested bleeding by pressure, bandage or boiling oil, performed operations in the abdomen and uterus, cured hernia, fistula and piles, set broken bones and dislocations and could deftly remove foreign substances from the body. The great surgeon Sushrut is said to have advised dissection of dead bodies to a student of surgery and stressed the importance of knowledge gained from experiment and observation.<sup>105</sup>

*Stephen Knapp tells us that Yajur'Ved speaks of astronomy, geography, geology, medicinal plants, hydrostatics and electricity*

Yajur'Ved 6:21 Through astronomy, geography and geology, go through to all the different countries of the world under the sun. Mayest thou attain through good preaching to statesmanship and artisanship, through medical science obtain knowledge of all medicinal plants, through hydrostatics learn the different uses of water, through electricity understand the working of ever-lustrous lightning. Carry out instructions willingly<sup>106</sup>

*Stephen Knapp tells us that Yajur'Ved speaks of engineering, ships, airplanes*

Yajur'Ved 10:19 O royal skilled engineer, construct sea-boats, propelled on water by our experts, and airplanes, moving and flying upward, after the clouds that reside in the mid-region, that fly as the boats move on the sea, that fly high over and below the watery clouds. Be thou, thereby, prosperous in this world created by the Omnipresent God, and flier in both air and lightning.<sup>107</sup>

*Stephen Knapp tells us that Atharv’Ved speaks of atomic energy fission, ninety-nine elements, bombardments of neutrons, mass of molecular adjustments of the elements*

Atharv’Ved 20:41:1-3 The Atomic Energy fissions the ninety-nine elements, covering its path by the bombardments of neutrons without let of hindrance. Desirous of stalking the head, i.e., the chief part of the swift power, hidden in the mass of molecular adjustments of the elements, this atomic energy approaches it in the very act of fissioning it by the above-noted bombardments. Herein verily the scientists know the similar hidden striking force of the ray of the sun working in the orbit of the moon.<sup>108</sup>

*You think all these are wild imaginations? So, answer these questions for yourself*

Do these all sound like wild imaginations, and day dreaming by the ancients? From your point of view, they could not have known about, conceptualized, and documented any of these things.

*Well, for you the ancients did not have the technology*

*Let us say, you are proud of your printing technology. How many thousand years, these pages that you hold in your hand now, will last in good condition? Now, go back to the technology of the ancients. They wrote on leafs. You think that was primitive. Look at it differently. These leaf documents lasted for thousands of years. Look at the ink they used, whatever kind that may be. That too lasted for thousands of years.*

*Is this not technology?*

Is your definition of technology limited to Internet which can bring you lots of pornography, and the television which can mature your kids before time by showing them lots of sex and violence? Is that the technology, but not the one which your ancients had?

*Have you truly advanced?*

*Go and look at the Garud Dhvaj at Delhi and see the writing with Braahmi script on it. This huge iron pillar is standing there for thousands of years, un-rusted. Do you think your backward ancients could develop an iron pillar that could withstand tyranny of extreme weather conditions for thousands of years, undisturbed, unbent, and un-rusted!*

*What your advanced technology has developed to match that?*

Whatever you have that all has to stand the test of time to prove, whatever may be your tall claims about, your modern technology! Until proven they are only assumptions, and claims that they will last so long is what you think but no one knows if it truly will. As compared to that, the technologies of the ancients have already proven them against test of time.

***Another thing: whatever your modern science knows not today simply did not exist as per your belief system.***

So, look at the following and realize for yourself how little your modern science knows, on whose knowledgebase you gloat over so much. Those things that your modern science did not teach you, you thought all that could not have existed. So, where from do the following things come up?

***How is it that references to Rig'Ved clearly stated the speed of light with an amazing degree of precision?***

*Where was your modern science then? Michel Danino says that Sayana's commentary on Rig'Ved clearly stated the Speed of Light with an amazing degree of precision*

The speed of light is clearly given, to an amazing degree of precision, in Sayana's commentary on the Rig'Ved.<sup>109</sup>

Sayana, commenting on R'V 1.50.4, who records: In Sayana's time, the yojan was about 14.5 km (as given in the ArthShaastr), and a nimesh 16/75th of a second. This takes us to 299,334 km/s, which is a mere 0.15% away from the accepted velocity of light (299,792 km/s). Even a different value for the yojan would remain of the same order.<sup>110</sup>

*Look at the level of precision in their mathematical calculations: they divided a second by 75 and calculated to that level of precision. And, that character Thomas Babington Macaulay and his followers convinced the world that we Hindus were primitive. Now what should I call them? Arrogant fools – no; that would be too mild a description for those rogues. If they had stopped at only that, may be one could have thought of pardoning them. But they went far beyond, and destroyed the entire establishment and the whole infrastructure of ancient Hindu Education System, and they did it systematically 'on purpose'. Their crime was far too great in comparison to barbarians of MuslimWorld. These rascals of ChristianWorld were far greater criminals in the eyes of humanity, and that is the place they should be given in the History. Glorifying those characters by addressing them as 'Lord' or 'Sir' is a matter of shame!*

***For once drop this egoistic idea that whatever modern science does not know till now or could not create as yet, Hindu ancients could not have known that or could not have created that – look at these Homo floresiensis, humans who lived from 90,000 years ago, and until as late as 12,000 years Before Christ – and, your modern science knew nothing about it until very recently***

A recent discovery has unearthed a completely new species of humans who lived from 90,000 years ago to as late as 12,000 BC before apparently becoming extinct. This species almost certainly coexisted, if not actually interacted, with our Cro-Magnon cousins. Identified by Australian and Indonesian scientists from a cache of fragmented bones buried in the sediments of a limestone cave on the remote Indonesian island of Flores, it has officially been named Homo floresiensis. This particular group of hominids were on average no taller than a

meter or so, with a brain the size of a grapefruit. Nonetheless, they still managed to fashion delicate stone tools, light fires to cook food, organize group hunts for a dwarf species of elephant extant (\*surviving) at that time, and possibly develop a proto-language of sorts along the way. Sounds too much like us to be true? Hard as it might be for us to accept, it could well be for real. The discovery, heralded as one of the most important palaeo-anthropological finds in the last 50 years, has the potential to drastically change all the received wisdom gathered till now concerning human evolution. As one spokesperson of Natural History Museum in London says, it raises the whole issue of what it is to be human, or a member of the genus homo, and shows how little we know about our ancestry. The find also challenges our species-specific anthropocentrism of posturing as the greatest kids on the block to date. Because if it gets confirmed that a creature with so small a brain was capable of such advanced behaviour – including perhaps even communication skills – then many theories about the evolution of our own intelligence might need to be hurriedly revamped. Actually, it appears we never learn. Remember our collective chagrin (\*disappointment, annoyance, distress, humiliation) when we discovered that lowbrow “brutes” like Homo Neanderthals buried their dead with flowers? Homo floresiensis – or ‘Homo hobbitus’ as he’s been nicknamed because of his small size, reminiscent of J R R Tolkien’s Hobbits – suggests that there are many routes to what we call ‘humanity’. No single species can lay claim to the original planet.<sup>111</sup>

*“All the received wisdom gathered till now” meant only that little part of the wisdom, which the ChristianWorld could ever manage to acquire on their own. “How little we know” on account of the self-imposed limitation by egoist crusaders of Christianity and their burning desire to destroy a highly developed civilization and its documentations from which they could have learned a lot. They lost the readily available opportunity on account of their inflated sense of superiority. “Many theories about the evolution” have been developed by doing nothing substantial but indulging in intellectual luxuries, at enormous cost to the humanity, in trying to reinvent the wheel. “It appears we never learn”, but the question is how could you ever learn? To learn, first requirement would be to shove that ego down your own throat, and then humbly proceed towards the knowledgebase of those ancients, whom you all along condemned as ignorant, superstitious, and primitive.*

Voltaire: We have shown how much we surpass the Indians in courage and wickedness, and how inferior to them we are in wisdom.<sup>112</sup>

***But Christian sense of superiority would not allow them to accept it, leave aside learn anything from the Hindus***

*They had a simple option: if we cannot raise ourselves to their level, let us bring them down to our level. They also figured out the modus operandi.*

## *Sanskrit was turned into a DEAD language within a matter of a century*

*I grew up under the impression that Sanskrit had been a dead language for over two thousand five hundred years, i.e., even before Buddh's time*

Though Sanskrit was taught when I was in school, I heard time and again that it was a dead language as a result most students never took it seriously as a subject worth learning. If they did study the subject it was only to pass the examination. This attitude and atmosphere never allowed most students to look at it as a respectable subject which they would want to learn for their benefit. I was, however, lucky for a very short period of time when I was in Udaipur and our Sanskrit teacher took immense pride in teaching the subject. His voice was so melodious when he would read out stanzas from Sanskrit text that it would fall into my ears as music. I loved listening to him recite Sanskrit prose.<sup>2009-08-04</sup>

*Prof M Monier-Williams inadvertently leaks away the true motive behind his compiling Sanskrit-English Dictionary*

Lieutenant-Colonel Boden of the Bombay native Infantry returned to England in 1807 and died at Lisbon on 21 November 1811. His daughter died on 24 August 1827. His estate passed to the University of Oxford. In Vikram Samvat 1890 (\*AD 1833) Horace Hayman Wilson became the first *Boden Professor of Sanskrit* at the Oxford University. His successor was Monier-Williams.

Monier-Williams: I must draw attention to the fact that I am only the second occupant of the Boden Chair, and that its Founder, Colonel Boden, stated most explicitly in his will (dated August 15, 1811 AD) that the special object of his munificent bequest was to promote the translation of Scriptures<sup>113</sup> into Sanskrit; so as to enable his countrymen to proceed in the conversion of the natives of India to the Christian religion.<sup>114</sup>

Take a note of this statement. The special object of Lt. Col. Boden's will was to promote *the translation of Scriptures into Sanskrit; so as to enable his countrymen to proceed in the conversion of the natives of India to the Christian religion.*

*Sanskrit was a thriving language until early 19th century*

*This leads us to the question: why would they want to translate scriptures (Bible) into Sanskrit, a supposedly dead language, instead of translating it into Bengali, Bhojpuri, Punjaabi, Raajasthaani, Maraathi, Oriya, Kannada, Telugu, Tamil, Malayaalam, Sindhi, Urdu, etc.? There could have been only one reason that could have prompted them to translate Christian Bible into Sanskrit and, that is, it was NOT a dead language. Was then Sanskrit well understood, and spoken, for day to day matters by a large enough section of*

people, who really mattered, so that they could be influenced by the Sanskrit translation of Bible?

The answer would be yes! Sanskrit was **THE** language that connected the whole of India into one thread like English does today. This does not mean that every man and woman on the road or in every village, needed to know how to speak in Sanskrit, as today **NOT** every man and woman on the road or in every village knows how to speak in English. Yet, some intellectuals write that English is the unifying factor for India but they do not care to recognize that Sanskrit did the same only about a century ago until ChristianBritish systematically uprooted Sanskrit from common use!

Sanskrit was one language that was used by all those (through the length and breadth of the country) who were teachers and guides to the society. And that is what mattered. **And for this reason ChristianBritish wanted to influence them with Sanskrit translation of Christian Bible, hoping that they would in turn influence the rest of the people, as they were the teachers and guides to the society.**

**Did you have any idea of this?**

No, you have learned that Sanskrit is a **DEAD** language. The image that you carry is: it had been **DEAD** and **GONE** for **AGES**, as far as we can think of.

**What does this impression do to your thought process?**

That the heritage we talk of is a **LOST CAUSE**, a matter of bygone days that even our memory cannot reach. Now, what's the point bothering about it at all? English is the language of today, Sanskrit belongs to an unknown **PAST**. But **HOW FAR** is that past – **ONLY 100-150 YEARS**? Monier-William's Sanskrit-English Dictionary was first published in 1899.

**Does it NOT matter to you?**

A language that was alive and thriving not so long ago has been consigned to total oblivion? And with that has gone the memory of your heritage, everything good that you possessed, everything **SUPERIOR** that you possessed. That was done with an ulterior motive: to cut you off from your roots. And you have not been kept aware of it. Rather, means for you to get back to them have been removed from your easy reach.

Is that not a conspiracy against the entire society and Hindu civilization? Plenty of your Sanskrit manuscripts on science, law, history, geography, astronomy, philosophy, logic, humanities, name anything, have been handpicked and consigned to Vatican for recreating fraudulently modified versions, and to England for dumping, so that they could be never traced back. If any of them were translated into any European language (example: Rig'Ved) it was done not with a motive to show your heritage in a favourable light. It was done with a dirty motive to show your heritage in a very poor light. I had read and heard on various occasions that Max Muller did a great favour to Hinduism by translating Rig'Ved and letting the world know how great Hinduism is. Such false notions have been floating around for long and our generation after generation have been fooled.



Monier-Williams: seven years after its [\*Sanskrit-English Dictionary] publication the following testimony to its utility was voluntarily tendered by the Rev J Wenger, translator of Bible into Sanskrit and Editor of Dr Yate's Sanskrit Dictionary.<sup>115</sup>

Rev J Wenger: I received a copy of Professor Monier Williams' English and Sanskrit Dictionary at a time when I was about to commence a translation into Sanskrit of portions of the Old Testament. I have used it daily for the last seven years ... Indian missionaries generally owe him a large debt of gratitude.<sup>116</sup>

Now, you have the confirmation from Rev J Wenger who translated selectively some parts of Old Testament of Christian Bible. This dictionary was extensively used for translation of Bible into Sanskrit. I do not have to tell you that these translations of Bible were done with the sole purpose of converting Hindus into Christianity.

- *But we were given to understand that White Sahibs were learning our language as a tribute to our heritage! They used their new found knowledge of Sanskrit not to improve themselves but to lower us to their own level. Their true identity was not simply British, it was ChristianBritish. It was not their British nationality but their Christian faith, which consistently drove them to conspire against you.*
- *You were taught to admire the British. In the process, you failed to notice the true motives of the ChristianBritish because you treated Christian and British in two separate compartments!*
- *Take a note of their ulterior motive behind their plans and actions. They were first Christians and then they were British! Therefore, you will find me address them as ChristianBritish.*

*It is not my communal hatred that gives them that name. It is their communal hatred that justifies this as their true identity. However, the term communal hatred is never used for the Christians anywhere in the world. For them a softer term has been coined 'racial hatred'. If they must use the harder term 'communal hatred' they reserve it for the Hindus, example: Narendra Modi.*

*They were paid for this work be it compilation of Sanskrit English Dictionary or be it translation of Bible into Sanskrit, and they were paid from our money which they acquired by plunder (systematic loot). In return of that payment, they were required to find ways and means to show Hinduism in poor light.*

*Their research was oriented towards a predefined objective to give shape to a predetermined action plan. Their research and scholastic efforts were not oriented towards seeking truth. **You must learn one basic lesson that those not worthy of glorification must never be glorified.***

*Your Heritage and your duty towards protecting it – there is no way you can go back to your roots unless you learn to give due importance to these small little things that you would otherwise want to dismiss as petty matters*

*Know the beauty of your heritage language*

Serious Western students of Indian civilization - “Orientalists” as they were called - tended to share such views, especially after the 1780s, when the first European scholars started reading Sanskrit. The founder of Calcutta’s Asiatic Society, Sir William Jones, in his well-known praise of Sanskrit, found it to be “of wonderful structure, more perfect than Greek, more copious<sup>117</sup> than the Latin, and more exquisitely refined than either.”<sup>118</sup>

*Protect the phonetic integrity of the language that shaped your heritage*

- *Language shapes our thoughts and clothes our expressions*
- *Our past was documented in Sanskrit*
- *If at all you care to identify yourself with your lost heritage then, you will also need to recognize the strengths of this language*
- *Language is the foundation of a culture, because the fabric of that culture is woven around the thought process of a society, and such thoughts are expressed through the medium of a language*
- *For this reason, you cannot take the language lightly*
- *Nor can you allow it to be corrupted by gross apathy towards it*
- *Remember that relating yourself back to your heritage has to be in totality, not in piecemeal*
- *You cannot take few things of your culture and then mix them with another culture, which seeks to destroy your culture, and thus create a hotchpotch, and pride yourself having found a nice blend*
- *Please do not fool yourself with these tricks because that is how you can bring about the greatest harm to yourself.*

## Phonetic integrity

Sanskrit has a *scientifically phonetic* alphabet English does not. For this reason, we pronounce 'put' and 'but' differently though we write them similarly. Sanskrit does not face such *irrationality* as it has double the number of letters in its alphabet as compared to English. *Our effort should be to retain this phonetic integrity of Sanskrit as much as possible. There is no reason to let that be corrupted en-masse due to our apathy. Today what is happening is that we are bringing down Sanskrit to the level of English as far its phonetic capabilities are concerned.*

## Corruption galore

Let me give a glaring example. Take any copy of *BhagavadGita* printed in Sanskrit alphabet. You will find the title of each and every chapter ending with Sanskrit term योग. But everyone pronounces it as योगा. This en-masse corruption in phonetic character of this popular term has come about due to *only one* reason: the presence of 'tailing-a' in Yoga ('a' at the end).

## Why does this happen?

It happens because there is an *English phonetic tradition*. It is: if you see a tailing-a, pronounce it as long-a. By *long-a* we mean 'a' as in 'arm'. In English we have only one 'a'. Depending on the situation you pronounce it either as a 'long-a' or as a short-a. By '*short-a*' we mean 'a' as in 'rural'.

## What is the situation with Sanskrit?

In Sanskrit, you look at the letter, and pronounce it *exactly as it appears* to you in print because each letter has a *unique* pronunciation. This is possible because short-a is written as अ and long-a is written as आ. They are *visually* different. You do not need to know in *which situation*, it should be pronounced how.

## Highly unfair

Since Sanskrit provides this flexibility, it is highly unfair to pronounce योग as योगा. Occasionally it may not matter. But doing it consistently is highly unfair.

## Average reader's expectations and limitations

An average reader is not expected to know how a Sanskrit term should be pronounced when he or she looks at the Sanskrit word written in English alphabet. They will simply apply English phonetic traditions *because* they are reading it in English. They *cannot* be expected to know what Sanskrit phonetic traditions are. It would also be *foolish* to expect them to consult an expert every time they need to pronounce a Sanskrit term printed in English. They will simply look at the Sanskrit word printed in English alphabet, use their *common sense*, and pronounce it. Considering the fact that every average reader pronounces योग as योगा the same way when he or she looks at its printed form then naturally, they are in line with reason.

## Helping young Hindus with better Sanskrit pronunciation

Our newer generations *do not* have the benefit of learning Sanskrit terms by looking at them in Sanskrit *original* script. Most English educated Hindus today learn to pronounce Sanskrit terms by *looking at* their English spellings. Use of visually phonetic English spellings for Sanskrit terms may help them understand *relatively* correct pronunciation. Such pronunciations may then come into practice over a period of time through *repetition*.

## Visually phonetic approach

Visually phonetic spelling is that which, by its *look and feel*, *prompts a reader* to pronounce the term as close to *the intended* pronunciation, as possible. Readers use their *common sense* to decide how a written word should be pronounced. They look at the written word. They *feel* it, and they pronounce it. *The look and feel of the written word is important to them. Therefore, a written word should look closer to how it should be pronounced.*

## Blending English and Sanskrit phonetic traditions

Proper blending of desired Sanskrit phonetic traditions with current English phonetic traditions is necessary; ignoring either of them won't help.

## Helping Westerners with better Sanskrit pronunciation

Living in the West has brought me the awareness that in today's world Westerners have been becoming increasingly conscious of pronouncing Eastern names the way Easterners *themselves* would want to pronounce. It is *up to us* to take advantage of this growing consciousness and correct the situation by *ourselves adopting* correct pronunciations. That can be put in to practice when we ourselves start writing Sanskrit terms in English alphabet *differently*. For this, first thing we have to do is to break our *mental barriers*. That can happen only when we stop *blindly following* those who are supposed to be the experts, and *start applying* the head above our shoulders.

## Know the Sanskrit terms as they are written in Sanskrit alphabet

Here I wish to deal with a few typical examples. I have no desire to fill pages with whole array of Sanskrit terms that I may be using in my works. These *typical* examples attempt to explain the *theory* and can be applied with advantage to a *variety* of situation.

"Bharat" does not give us a clue that "first-a" should be pronounced as long-a, whereas "second-a" should be pronounced as short-a. Expect the unsuspecting reader to pronounce both as short-a. That will be भरत the illustrious younger *brother* of Bhagavaan Shri Raam Chandr not our country भारत. To make it look like भारत you must spell it as Bhaarat. Traditionally our country was known as भारतवर्ष and that must look like BhaaratVarsh, without tailing-a, or else that would distort it as भारतवर्षा

*Bhaaratiya* should *not* have a tailing-a but then if we drop it, left over Bhaaraty will get pronounced as भारती which is worse than भारतीया. Sanskrit term is भारतीय which is *closer* to भारतीया than to भारती. In such circumstances we are constrained to *retain* the tailing-a.

*Brahmin* ब्राह्मिन is a horrible *distortion* with use of totally unwarranted single-i. Quite obviously it was designed by someone who simply did not know how to pronounce the Sanskrit term ब्राह्मण and the beauty is that every one down the line *blindly followed* it for centuries like meek sheep who only know how to follow each other with no mind of their own. Besides, the single-a offers no clue that it should be pronounced as long-a. Our common sense should tell us that *Braahman* ब्राह्मण serves the purpose beautifully, double-a signifying long-a, and single-a indicating short-a.

Yet another horrible distortion and *sheep mentality* is reflected through the persistent use of *Ganges* गँजेस which has *no resemblance* whatsoever to गंगा. No one needed to tax his brains too much to notice that simple solution lies in *Ganga* where the tailing-a gets pronounced as long-a in line with English phonetic tradition.

Pardon me for my *harshness* but those who occupy the position of experts in transliteration must admit their due share of blame in letting our heritage language go down the *drain* phonetically.

Chandragupta has unwarranted ‘a’ at two places which turn चन्द्रगुप्त into चन्द्रगुप्ता or for that matter चन्द्रागुप्ता. *ChandrGupt* takes care of these anomalies, and with its *capital-G* it also hints at the *joining* of two words चन्द्र and गुप्त

*Mahabharata* महाभारता does not give the reader any visual clue as to which of *five* single-a in *Mahabharata* stand for long-a and which for short-a? *MahaaBhaarat* महाभारत can deal with that because it provides adequate visual clue to the reader as to where long-a emphasis is needed as opposed to short-a.

One does not need to be an expert in transliteration. All that one needs is to have some common sense. Sometimes I wonder if common sense happens to be the sole domain of the common people. The moment such common people graduate to the level of expert they seemingly leave that common sense behind. I wouldn’t know why but may be because it does not suit their new found status any more.

*Maha* महा and *Bhaarat* भारत are two words. The capital-B provides the reader a visual clue as to where the two words join. As an *independent word*, *Maha* with one tailing-a works well, as readers tend to assume that tailing-a always represents long-a of arm, not short-a of rural. But when the same word *Maha* becomes part of a *composite word*, it becomes necessary to use double-a to signify long-a of arm, as in *MahaaBhaarat*.

*Raam* represents राम correctly without any distortion. *Rama* gets pronounced as रामा which in Sanskrit, means a woman of low origin. Christian missionaries are very fond of spelling *Ram* रैम as it means, in English, a male sheep!

Rama रामा (a) beautiful woman, a charming young woman (b) a beloved, wife, mistress (c) a woman in general (d) *a woman of low origin* (e) vermilion (f) Asa Foetida<sup>119</sup>

Sanskrit texts do not show *Bhagavad-Gita* भगवद्-गीता with a hyphen. Sanskrit texts also do not show *Bhagavad Gita* भगवद् गीता as two separate words without a hyphen. Sanskrit term is श्रीमद्भगवद्गीता or भगवद्गीता. It is one word and without a hyphen. *Bhagavad Gita*, written as two separate words *without* a hyphen, conveys the wrong message. It tells the reader that *Bhagavad* with 'd' द ि is an *independent* word. But this is not true because *Bhagavat* with 't' त ि is an independent word. *Bhagavad-Gita*, written *with* a hyphen, also conveys the wrong message. It tells the reader that *Bhagavad* with 'd' द when joined with *Gita*, make the *composite word* *Bhagavad-Gita*. But this is not true because *Bhagavat* with 't' त when joined with *Gita*, becomes *Bhagavad* with 'd' द

*Aryan* has promoted distorted pronunciation आर्यन Sanskrit term is आर्य You can see for yourself the difference between आर्यन and आर्य The difference is quite visible. You can even hear the two words and they will *sound* to you very different. For आर्य *Aarya* आर्या is a closer option than *Aary* आरी as you can see/hear for yourself.

Do you notice the *obvious* difference between *Jnana* ज्ञाना and the actual Sanskrit term ज्ञान? You can even hear the two words, and they will sound to you very different. In fact, so very *different* that you may feel that there is no similarity whatsoever! If you want to see the difference in print then you can magnify the printed word and the difference will become obvious. *Gyaan* may help promote the pronunciation ज्ञान. But there is a relatively minor disadvantage. ज्ञ 'gya' is required to be pronounced with a *nasal tone*, of which we have no English *letter* equivalent to give you a good enough clue. *Jnana* prompts a reader to pronounce *Jn* ज न as in *Jack* and *Norman*. Experts did *not* want that to happen. Yet it *does* happen because that is how it *looks* to common man. Experts need to take notice of this instead of summarily *ignoring* it, and remaining blissfully *content* with the *incremental* corruption of Sanskrit pronunciations with each new generation. Instead of showing the younger generations the right way to pronounce, experts show them the way to *corrupt* it further. *Gnyan* prompts readers to pronounce *Gn* ग न as in *God* and *Norman*. This helps not. And, single-a in *Gnyan* does not convey to the reader that it requires long-a emphasis. *Swami Jnanananda* gets called as स्वामी ज्ञानानन्दा whereas his true name is स्वामी ज्ञानानन्द If you

know the difference between the *sounds* that these two names produce, you will realize what I am saying. The two names *sound* very different.

Sanskrit has three *visually distinguishable* syllables, one for *S* स as in *see*, and the other two for *Sh* ष and श as in *she*. Sanskrit also has a letter, which sounds like the combination of *Ksh*, and it is written as क्ष *Kuruksetra* कुरुक्सेत्रा sounds different from Sanskrit term कुरुक्षेत्र which is better represented by *KuruKshetr*.

Sanskrit has two visually distinguishable different syllables for short ‘u’ उ as in *put* and long ‘oo’ ऊ as in *boot*. *Shudra* शुद्रा sounds different from Sanskrit term शूद्र with long-u which is better represented by *Shoodr*

*Yajna* यजना is a phonetically *corrupt* version of Sanskrit term यज्ञ See the difference between यजना and यज्ञ? It is quite visible. You can even hear the two words. यजना and यज्ञ *sound* poles apart. Hearing them you may feel that there is *no* similarity whatsoever. *Yagya* can be a much better choice. But a relatively small problem ज्ञ ‘gya’ is required to be pronounced with a *nasal tone*, of which we have no English *letter* equivalent to give you a good enough clue. *Yajna* prompts a reader to pronounce *Jn* ज न as in Jack and Norman. *Experts did not want that to happen but it does happen because that is how it looks to common people.*

- Experts do not seem to realize that they devised transliteration for the common people. It seems as if they designed it for themselves so that only they know how to use it. And I am not even sure if they too know how to use it. For, I have heard the so-called experts pronounce Sanskrit terms in phonetically corrupt manner having no regard whatsoever for the extraordinary phonetic beauty of their heritage language Sanskrit
- Remember that all kind of corruption begins at the top and percolates down to the bottom. *Therefore, I see no reason to be respectful towards those who occupy those upper berths but let their heritage language corrupt. Yagya with the nuisance of tailing-a is a better option than Yagy without it. We have to look for reducing the element of phonetic corruption through careful selection of English spellings for Sanskrit terms.*

*How Christian British*

*Systematically Destroyed*

*Hindu Bhaaratvarsh*



### ***What was Hindu BhaaratVarsh like?***

Sir Thomas Munro (eminent Governor of Madras Presidency in Southern India) had documented in writing “If a good system of agriculture, *unrivalled manufacturing skill*, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, *schools established in every village* for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the *general practice of hospitality and charity* amongst each other, and above all, a *treatment of the female sex* full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and *if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and India, I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo*”.<sup>120</sup>

### ***ChristianBritish systematically destroyed the then existing Hindu education system ~ read their own reports ~ Bengal bore the brunt***

Ludlow (British India, I. 62) writes: “In every Hindu village which has retained its old form I am assured that the children generally are able to read, write, and cipher; *but where we have swept away the village system, as in Bengal, there the village school has also disappeared*”.<sup>121</sup>

Elphinstone, *Hist. of India*, p 205, “In Bengal there existed no less than 80,000 native schools”.<sup>122</sup>

*Missionary Intelligencer*, IX, 183-193, “According to Government Reports of **1835**, there was a village school for every 400 persons”<sup>123</sup>

Sir Thomas Munro: “a *higher rate than existed till very lately* in most countries of Europe”.<sup>124</sup>

### ***What does this all mean? That we have had our own Hindu education system prevalent all over the country, and the rate of education was better than that in Europe of that time***

Notice the year 1835 mentioned in the *Missionary Intelligencer*. This much had survived through barbaric (an adjective given by Christian historians) Muslim destructions and also so-called civilized<sup>(?)</sup> Christian destructions. Visualize how glorious would have been the situation prior to destructions.

### ***Today we speak of illiteracy amongst the masses ~ where did it come from just within one century (1835-1947)?***

*Whose gift it is? Who else but the ChristianBritish! They made the major part of the nation illiterate and you credit them for having given us education? Are you out of your mind?*

*Or, are you simply ignorant? And, why are you ignorant of the facts? Isn't it because these hard facts have been withheld from you with a purpose?*

- And you had no clue that you had been kept in dark deliberately? Wouldn't you agree that this fits the dictionary definition of a conspiracy where something significantly harmful was done to the whole society and the facts were hidden from them?

***Minds of the Christian Educated people have been enslaved to such an extent that they must stand up in defence of their bygone masters but not for their lost heritage!***

*I have heard someone say this all is common knowledge. That person thinks that he and those like him know, and that represents common knowledge, not a hidden fact.*

*He does not care to total the number of such people, who may turn out to be in thousands or even Lakhs, and then if he divides their impressive number by one billion Hindus, what percentage would he arrive at?*

*On one hand he speaks of current illiteracy (think what would have been the situation when ChristianBritish left in 1947) and on the other hand he refuses to accept this as a conspiracy against the nation and the society as a whole. Yes, I am speaking of a staunch Hindutv soldier, a DIG (Deputy Inspector General of Police when I last spoke to him).*

Sir Thomas Munro: “a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, *reading, writing, and arithmetic*”.<sup>125</sup>

So, the illustrious Governor of the then Madras Presidency vouched for the fact that we did have schools established in every village and they taught not only how to read and write but also arithmetic. The ChristianBritish destroyed that infrastructure with an ulterior motive to be able to keep this Hindu nation enslaved forever but today, these Hindu students beat those ChristianBritish hollow in arithmetic on international platforms. The conspiracy could not wipe out the talent from their Hindu genes. Do not confuse them with Indian genes. I am speaking of Hindu genes.

***ChristianBritish systematically destroyed manufacturing industry of this nation and made it a cripple ~ read their own reports***

They destroyed Bhaaratiya manufacturing industry of which Sir Thomas Munro has spoken so highly: “*unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury*”.

A D Campbell, the Collector of Bellary, in his report dated 17 August 1823: “*I am sorry to state that this is ascribable to the gradual but general impoverishment of the country. The means of the manufacturing classes have been greatly diminished by the introduction of our own English manufacturers*”.<sup>126</sup>

They dumped their own products at fabulous prices, which Anquetil-Duperron described as: “it was not enough that they should invade your commerce, make up the price of foodstuffs and goods triple, alter their quality; your factories almost wiped out, the workers taking refuge in the mountains”.<sup>127</sup>

*Many of you may not have any faith in numbers given by HinduWorld but may have tremendous faith in numbers given by ChristianWorld which you fondly call WesternWorld. Therefore, let us give you comparative details of the level of industrialization as published by one of the European journals-*

Manufacturing Output		
Year	BhaaratVarsh	European/Western World
1750	24.5%	18.2%
1800	19.7%	23.3%
1830	17.6%	31.1%
1860	8.6%	53.7%
1880	2.8%	68.8%
1900	1.7%	77.4%
1913	1.4%	81.6%

*Table 1-Journal of European Economic History (fall 1982, 269-334), International industrialization levels from 1750 to 1980 by Paul Bairoch, quoted by Samuel Huntington reproduced by G P Srinivasan in Cloning the Village Republic*

- This table published in a European Journal reveals that in 1750 the industrial production/manufacturing output of India was greater than the entire Europe, USA, and Canada put together. In 1757 was the battle of Plassey which the ChristianBritish won by cheating, as usual! Then ChristianBritish began the process of blood-sucking till the last drop of blood was left, and within 50 years, by 1913, they saw to it that our nation had sunk to the miserable level of manufacturing output.

During my childhood days I had read a story. I would think it was written by Shakespeare. The vague memory that I have of it tells me, there was a Jew who wanted a pound of flesh from a person who could not pay his dues. ChristianBritish went one step ahead. They took the flesh and the blood both without having loaned anything to us that we could not return.

This is where the pedigree becomes very important. Judaism (Jewish religion) happens to be the seed. Christianity is the carnivorous plant originating from that seed<sup>128</sup>. Therefore, ChristianBritish had to surpass the Jewish model in their performance standards.

*ChristianBritish systematically siphoned out the wealth of this Hindu nation leaving it in a poverty stricken state – their methodology was akin to that of parasites*

Those unworthy of fabulous remuneration were employed at magnificent salaries and matched perquisites. They were not permitted to invest their surplus funds in this land which gave it to them. They were required to send it home.

- You have read earlier how they sucked out the wealth from Bengal ~ one state of India wealthier than the entire nation of Britain ~ within less than a century leaving Bengal in a poverty stricken state.
- After bringing the State to such a condition, they did not care to reduce the land revenue that they mercilessly extracted from the people of Bengal ~ *they sucked the last drop of blood causing famines everywhere* ~ generating further business opportunity for themselves to convert Hindus into Christians. *These are plain talks, may not be very pleasant to hear.*

A D Campbell, the Collector of Bellary, in his report dated 17 August 1823: “The transfer of the capital of the country from the native government and their officers, who liberally expended it in India, to Europeans, restricted by law from employing it even temporarily in India; and daily draining from the land, has tended this effect which has not been alleviated (\*made easier) by a less rigid enforcement of the revenue to the state”.<sup>129</sup>

### *Their innate character never changes disregard what external form they adopt*

I was taking my dinner. On television ‘Aastha’ channel was on. Swami RaamDeo was speaking. Not many television gurus display such extraordinary love for the nation when they speak. He was speaking of British having looted India. He gave an example. The numbers I mention here is what I heard. I should have written them down. It has been several weeks ago sometime in August 2005 perhaps. What I recall is something like this. When Clive arrived in India he earned a salary of Rupees five hundred from erstwhile East India Company. A time came when he was earning forty Lakhs [four million]. It was *eight thousand times* of what his worth was initially estimated by East India Company. Such was the extent of loot<sup>130</sup>. Think if one man [who would have served well as a sea pirate] earning four millions a month. What would be the value of that four million in today’s terms? After a passage of two and half centuries perhaps it could be trillions. Now if one man looted the people of India to such extent think what would be the quantum of the loot by all white skin ChristianBritish? Let your imagination run wild. And then think if that was the amount looted collectively by perhaps several thousands of white skin ChristianBritish what would have been the quantum of loot by the East India Company. Then think if that was the quantum of loot by one company what would have been the magnitude of loot by the Crown of Britain that is the ChristianBritish government. They sucked every little drop of blood from our body. And these white skin ChristianBritish have now spread over rest of the ChristianWorld namely America, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and where not. And these parasites you admire today! Have you gone mad? Cannot you recognize a blood sucker? They never change. They are same today.

Today they come in the garb of **multinational companies**. They draw every drop of water underneath our earth to make Coca Cola and Pepsi. As Swami RaamDeo says every day on television that if you pour Cola in toilet it will flush clean such is the acid content of Colas<sup>131</sup>. That acid goes inside your stomach, and gradually makes it porous. And then multinational pharmaceutical companies will come forward to loot you. They will offer medicines hundred

times their cost in the name of help to make you well. Thus Cola companies will make holes<sup>132</sup> in your stomach and Drug companies will drug you. What will happen to your body which Mother Nature has given you? And what will happen to that land from which they draw water in massive quantities every day to make Cola. Soon those lands will become barren and famine will strike the villagers. And then will flow the charity from the ChristianWorld. *With that Christian Missionaries will arrive to negotiate your soul.* They will ask you to pledge your soul to one who wants to destroy your family threadbare<sup>133</sup> in return of few loafs of bread. Such are these people who claim to be the champions of service to the humanity.

### *Child labor ~ gift of the ChristianBritish ~ though you won't believe it until you read their own reports*

- ChristianBritish did not leave the middle and lower classes of Hindu society in a condition that they could allow their children to go to school.
- They were brought to such a state where they had no option left but to employ their children for earning bread for themselves.
- This all is the gift of the ChristianBritish and today their descendants, who now make up good part of the ChristianWorld of the Americas, sit on judgment<sup>134</sup> of present day Hindu society and its child labor problem.
- So low this ChristianWorld has stooped in its morality and ethical values. And these are the people who claim to benchmark human rights today!

A D Campbell, the Collector of Bellary, in his report dated 17 August 1823: “The greater part of middle and lower classes of the people are **now unable to defray the expenses incident upon the education of their offspring, while their necessities require assistance of their children as soon as their tender limbs are capable of the smallest labor.**”<sup>135</sup>

No wonder Anquetil-Duperron<sup>136</sup> wrote: “a dying son asking his father what harm he did those foreigners who have taken the bread out of his mouth - nothing touches or softens their hearts”.

### *Corruption ~ gift of the ChristianBritish ~ though you may find it difficult to believe it*

Max Muller: “It is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek, or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the *national character of the Indians (\*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first.*”<sup>137</sup>

Max Muller: “So I could go on *quoting from book after book, and again and again we should see how it was the love of truth that struck all the people who came in contact with India, as the prominent feature in the national character of its inhabitants. No one ever accused them of falsehood. There must surely be some ground for this, for it is not a remark that is frequently made by travelers in foreign countries, even in our time, that their inhabitants*

*invariably speak the truth.* Read the accounts of English travelers in France, and you will find very little said about French honesty and veracity, while French accounts of England are seldom without a fling at *Perfide Albion!*"<sup>138</sup>

- Now my friends use your common sense. So described Hindu people ~ could they be corrupt? If you are honest to yourself your answer will be straight and simple: No!

*What happened then? How come you see so much corruption all around today?*

- Good or bad qualities are like fluids. They flow downwards. Water flows from higher altitude to a lower altitude. So do human qualities.

What did Hindus see in their new masters who bore a white skin and practiced Christianity? They saw that the least worthy were drawing fabulous salaries and perquisites. They saw them bathing themselves in liquor. They saw them treating fellow Hindu beings as animals [worse than their pet dogs and cats].

Those Hindus that went to Christian schools (for Hindu schools were destroyed by their white skin masters) they learned to ape their Christian masters. These Christianized Hindus perceived themselves somewhere in between the white skin and the brown skin. They became brown Sahibs.

- They saw their white master (morally) corrupt to the hilt the manner they had been drawing blood from the natives but at the same time these white masters were wearing the mask of being gentlemen, civilized and cultured. Thus, they learned a new definition of honesty (moral corruption the Christian British way).

Our brown Sahibs practiced the corruption of their white masters on much smaller scale. Those down the line like peons etc taking the clue from their brown Sahibs practiced the same at even much more smaller scale, such that you can call it petty.

- And their white masters saw it all but turned their face other way. Reason was simple. They knew that they had been looting the nation on a massive scale. And therefore, they had no right to stop their brown subordinates who were following their footprints on a much smaller scale.

It is a human tendency. We all like to keep company that is our type. A drunkard would prefer the company of another drunkard. A gambler would prefer the company of another gambler. A cheat will prefer the company of another cheat. Similarly white Christian British preferred the company of brown Christianized Hindus who operated on the same lines as did the whites.

- Only difference was that they were on much smaller scale. Lower they went pettier became their form of corruption. Now, the beauty of Christian World is that if you are corrupt on a massive scale you are not treated as corrupt but as a respected one in the community.

The reason is simple. Money rules the ChristianWorld. Those who have practiced corruption on massive scale have already become rich and famous. They also have used small part of that money for putting things on paper in a manner that it would appear as if everything had been done within the framework of law.

- For instance, someone can pay hundred thousand to an employee worth only thousand. So long there is an appointment letter/contract it is within the framework of law. Similarly, a product that will sell in contemporary international market for thousand pounds the ChristianBritish government or the businessman can bill the East India Company for a million pounds. So long there is a written agreement between the two parties it is all within the framework of law.

In the final analysis, who paid for all this? The citizens of India paid for it. How they paid it? Through the taxes. ChristianBritish government strangulated Indians and extracted it in form of agricultural revenue.

- Now returning to the petty corruption that Indians learned to live with in small measures of unearned gratis. Two hundred years of habit cannot go away so soon. It plagues our society till today. It is only fifty years that those ChristianBritish (morally) corrupt masters have left us but their legacy continues.

### *How ChristianBritish destroyed Hindu schooling system*

A D Campbell, the Collector of Bellary, in his report dated 17 August 1823: “*Of nearly million souls in the district (Bellary) not 700 are at school, a proportion that exhibits but too strongly the result above stated. In many villages where formerly there were large schools, now only a few children of the most opulent are taught, others being unable from poverty to attend.*”<sup>139</sup>

- This is the state to which the ChristianBritish brought Hindu education system. And what else did they do? They stopped all grants to the schools. Prior to these greedy ChristianBritish take over, Hindu rulers gave handsome grants to those schools.
- With care our Hindu rulers had cultivated and maintained through thousands of years this ancient Hindu education system. But the ChristianBritish greed and hunger for wealth was so acute that they sucked till the last drop of blood. That makes them worthy of the title Parasite!

A D Campbell, the Collector of Bellary, in his report dated 17 August 1823: “*Of the 553 institutions of education in this district, I am ashamed to say, not one now derives any support from the state ... There are no doubts that in former times, especially under the Hindu governments very large grants, both money and in land, were issued for support of learning.*”<sup>140</sup>

- Among ChristianBritish there were only countable few, truly the exceptions, who were honest to themselves. A D Campbell seems to be one of them.

A D Campbell, the Collector of Bellary, in his report dated 17 August 1823: “*Whilst science, deserted by the powerful aid she formerly received from Government, has often been reduced*

to beg her scanty and uncertain meal from the chancy benevolence of charitable individuals; and it would be difficult to point out in the history of India when she stood more in need.”<sup>141</sup>

- These were not isolated cases but representative of ChristianBritish conduct throughout this land of Hindu nation. Let us hear him:

A D Campbell, the Collector of Bellary, in his report dated 17 August 1823: “Such is the state of this (one) district of the various schools in which reading, writing and arithmetic are taught in *vernacular dialects* of the country, as has *always been usual in India*.”<sup>142</sup>

### *Carnivorous Christianity at the root, NOT the British nationality, or the European continentality*

- Why do I keep writing ChristianBritish, not only British? It is because I maintain that, the British character and the British conduct that we observe, is not on account of their nationality. It is on account of their upbringing as the Christians. It is not the British violence but the Christian violence that has gone into their genes.
- There is nothing better that a carnivorous religion like Christianity could have given to the British. Had these British been raised under Hinduism they would have been a different race altogether. But their Aasuric character that we observe is the gift of Christianity to them.

Spain’s prosperity started with the invasion of Mexico, and Britain’s with the occupation of India. ... *The West won the world not by superiority of its ideas or values or religion ... but rather by its superiority in applying organized violence. Westerners often forget this fact; non-Westerners never do.* Refer: Samuel P Huntington, *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of the World Order*, 1997, pp 48-51<sup>143</sup>

- When most ChristianBritish were busy in the civilized loot only a few voices of conscience like these put their observations in print. Of those in print, most never reached us and we never knew of their existence.
- Even if they existed in some corners of ChristianBritain who cared for them. Not even our own Hindu born people because ChristianEnglish education had already Christianized their souls.

Isn’t it why our first Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru used to say that he was a *Christian by education, Muslim by inclination and Hindu by accident*? Isn’t it why his father Motilal Nehru, the super rich barrister, wrote in the guest register of a London hotel that *his religion was Hindu, adding an unwarranted comment that he was born a Hindu because of his sins of his earlier births*?<sup>144</sup>

Isn’t it what Lord T B Macaulay wanted when he systematically destroyed ancient Hindu education system, and replaced it by inferior ChristianEnglish education system with an objective which he defined was to produce *Indian in blood and color, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals and in intellect*?<sup>145</sup>



### *Greatest contribution of the ChristianBritish to the humanity*

This is something for which the ChristianBritish should be remembered for. They were magicians.

- They managed in *190 years* something that *IslamicTurks* could not manage in several centuries. And that is, they turned the *richest nation on the earth* amongst *one of the poorest*.
- Within that short a time frame as 190 years, they turned one of the *most literate* nations in the world into one of the *least literate* ones.
- Read my other works<sup>146</sup> and experience for yourself what an extraordinary society it has been *that had survived 5,000 years* but in a matter of 190 years (1757-1947) the *ChristianBritish dragged it back* to many thousand years.

Sir Thomas Munro had written if civilization was to become an article for trade between England and India, he was convinced that England would gain by the import cargo.

- *Where did it vanish? Can anyone on this earth match their ability to destroy civilizations? It is the gift of Christianity to the British and of the British to the Hindus.*

*Supplement 1*

*And The Process*

*Goes On*

### *Our youth of today*

Yesterday night (3 May 2004) a college day friend of mine called after 30 years. He got my number from a common friend. It was good to hear from him. He told me that he had settled at a place called Pichhor in Madhya Pradesh. You may not have even heard the name of that place. I mention this, to make you realize that it is not a place big enough to be known by many, and certainly you cannot expect it to be a Westernized place. During the conversation he told me that he would now give the phone to his son and I could possibly give him some direction for life<sup>147</sup>. I spoke to his son in Hindi, which happened to be his mother tongue but not my mother tongue<sup>148</sup>. Hindi wasn't my mother tongue but knowing it was his, I spoke to him all the while in Hindi. What struck me after I kept down the phone is that whatever little he spoke to me or responded to me was wholly in English! So, in a small place like Pichhor, the influence of English is no less than one's mother tongue. I have noticed it rather too often that in most television talks the first time comers tend to respond in English to every question in Hindi thrown at them by the anchor Aman Varma. *I thought initially that they all tried to impress that they knew English but gradually I tend to identify that English has spread its wings far and wide. Thus, plants have been separated from their roots!* If not separated, then they have become a kind of hotchpotch who knows not what they are doing.

### *Episode Saara Aakaash on Star Plus*



*Figure 5-Sara Aakaash at Star Plus*

Let me give you an example, though there could be plenty. These days *Star Plus* television channel telecasts every Thursday a serial *Sara Aakaash*<sup>149</sup>. It is about an air force base equipped with “latest fighter planes Sukhoi” and their pilots. Then the story moves towards a “contemporary<sup>150</sup> kingly state” situated at the border of “present-day” *India* and *Pakistan*.

### *Anti-Hindu displays and pro-Secular image building where secular means ‘non-Hindu only’*

*The Minister adds "Satya ki Vijay ho (let the truth prevail)" with every sentence he speaks. That establishes him as a Hindu in viewers mind. He is shown as the ace villain, a traitor to the nation, and an oppressor of poor villagers. He is also a plotter, manipulator. Then you have the Hindu prince as arrogant, and cruel. The one who stands against them and defeats them is a girl with Christian name Monica, though she comes from a Hindu Singh family. This ChristianizedHindu is the patriot and a saviour of the poor villagers. Those*

*nonChristianizedHindu kingly<sup>151</sup> characters are the oppressors, traitor, and archaic Hindu-ritual bound people.*

Then, the heroine goes to Pakistan. All Muslims show deep love for her. Even a Muslim priest is benevolent to her. Pakistan Government and *Indian* Government are gaga in love with each other--both jointly fighting the enemy terrorists! No mention, however, that these terrorists are created by the same Pakistani Government and funded and assisted by them all the while. This is how the public is fooled with make-believe stories<sup>152</sup>.

### *The message is loud and clear to the viewers*

Hindu rulers (mind you, in today's environment of year 2004 with Sukhoi flying all around)--with their archaic thoughts--are traitors to the nation and oppressors of poor villagers. The messiah of simpleton villagers as the ChristianEnglish educated Christian named heroine, who encourages villagers to revolt against the oppressor ruling class. Muslims of Pakistan overflowing with love towards the Hindus. Pakistan Government such a great friend of *India*--the two governments fighting the common enemy terrorist. This is how the images are created through use of media. For that, all you need is money, motive and those who can give it a shape.

### *Questions that you may want to ask yourself*

Have I noticed increasing presence of Pakistani Muslims in entertainment industry today? Do I get to see frequent television programs organized by our television channels featuring increasing number of Pakistani Muslim mimicry artists who entertain us by their adult (some filthy) jokes? Do I notice some Pakistani Muslim artists who have now stationed themselves permanently in Bollywood and have gained considerable fame over the recent years? Do I get to see a legendary superstar bearing a Hindu name (but a great supporter of an anti-Hindu pro-Muslim political party from UP) who features in an advertisement that pokes fun at Hindu Mantr(s)? Do I get to see very substantial increase in the number of Muslim stars/superstars in Bollywood (unsure of their "true" birthplace though their families may have lived long in *India*) who have gained prominent place in the industry? How much money may be rolling in and out to keep raising them to those levels, and maintaining them there?

### *Episode Bhaabhi on Star Plus*



*Figure 6-Bhaabhi at Star Plus*

We have a court room scene in this popular serial. Nautiaal is the name of the lawyer who represents the villain.

*This lawyer has a huge Tilak on his forehead, a very conspicuous one. This is to identify him as a staunch Hindu<sup>153</sup> who would go to the court to plead his case with a prominent Tilak on his forehead. Mind you, he is not an old guy who does it by traditional habit that may be difficult to die. He is a very young man, a product of Christianized English education but it was felt necessary that he must be portrayed as a staunch Hindu on the screen for he was defending the villain, and indirectly happened to be the one who represented the evil side of the story.*

Interestingly, no one other than him, on television screen of that episode bore anything on his/her appearance that would strongly suggest that he/she was a Hindu. Not even the victim Manju from her dress, or even appearance, would suggest that she could only be a Hindu. Saroj who represented the victim as her pleader did not also have any positive indication on her appearance or dress (in the court room) that would strongly suggest to a casual onlooker that she was as staunch a Hindu as Nautial was made to look.

*Thus the victim's side was fully secular and the villain's side was staunch Hindu. So much so that the villain's name was Jagdish Uppal which spoken by Saroj and Manju always in such a manner that it was not easy to distinguish it from Jagjit Uppal who happens to be a renowned Hindu astrologer and long time Taj Hotel's in-house astrologer in Mumbai.*

Makers of the TV serial will comfortably brush it off saying this all was there by mere coincidence, and it is well covered under the small print "Disclaimers" displayed at the beginning of every telecast. Well, for an excuse that would do but not for a convincing reply.

*Then Nautiaal makes derogatory remarks on Saroj who was not a qualified lawyer but was given an opportunity by the judge to plead for the victim. Those strong derogatory remarks against women in general and Saroj in particular had to come from the staunch Hindu man Nautiaal to be promptly flayed by the extraordinary presence of mind of secular looking Saroj.*

*Anyhow the point I make here is these all innocent coincidences repeated over and again through many episodes with a variety of backgrounds do leave an inerasable mark on the psyche of the viewers, and particularly the youth and the adolescent in their formative stages in life.*

*Repetition is what cements impressions with time. Those impressions guide their thought process and build their attitudes. Those attitudes reflect in their future actions. It is a long drawn clever game plan that is not easily noticed by all with regard to its harmful and lasting impact.*

### ***Episode Kyonki Saas bhi Kabhi Bahu thi on Star Plus***

It was sometimes probably between September 2002 and March 2003, and as far as I recollect, it was the number one<sup>154</sup> soap opera of that time Kyunki Saas bhi Kabhi Bahu thi<sup>155</sup> on Star Plus.

One of the characters tells us that Bhagavaan Shri Krishn had said in Gita that one lie that does some good is better than hundred truths. I wrote to Star Plus by visiting their web site that I have read the entire BhagavadGita fully and carefully and I would like them to quote

which Adhyaay it is and which Shlok it is that Bhagavaan Shri Krishn had said so. I also told them to apologize if they cannot find that quote because they have no right to spread the untruth quoting BhagavadGita.



*Figure 7-Kyunki Saas bhi kabhi Bahu thi on Star Plus*

They neither replied to me nor apologized. They simply ignored it. They knew that what they wanted had already been done. The lie had been planted in the minds of the millions. Their purpose had been fulfilled. Nothing else mattered.

### *They assume role of self-appointed judges understanding little of the subject they have chosen to judge*

Then we have those who try to interpret Hindu epics like Raamaayan using the glasses that their Christianized English Education has put on their noses. They understand so little of what they judge. It is about C N Sreekantan Nair and Sarah Joseph in Retelling the Ramayana: Voices from Kerala.

“No matter who the story teller or what their political agenda, Sita’s story is in itself alluring and multilayered. In Sreekantan’s interpretation, Raam is seen as a helpless human caught in the vortex (\*whirlpool) of power and righteousness. His inability to take independent decisions, his dilemma on whether to win the world’s applause at the cost of personal happiness, his confused leadership style - being led by the will of the people instead of showing them the way - add to the pathos<sup>156</sup> of Raam’s character” Book Review by Meenakshi Shivram, Retelling the Ramayana: Voices from Kerala, C N Sreekantan Nair and Sarah Joseph in DNA Mumbai 7 Aug 2005 p28

These idiots<sup>157</sup> speak of Shri Raam’s leadership qualities! Shri Raam’s leadership qualities have withstood the Test of Time. He is and has been revered by billions and billions, across the continents, through the course of the entire history of mankind. What better can be expected of these jokers<sup>158</sup> who are still at such abysmally low level of their evolution based on (a) ‘crawling’ ChristianEnglish education system barely few hundred years old and (b) pathetic moral value system of Christian world<sup>159</sup>.

### *Episode Kumkum on Star Plus*

Today (15 June 2005) afternoon *Star Plus* was showing yet another popular serial *Kumkum*. This serial was running in 2004 and its popularity can be gauged by the fact that it is running till now in 2008. In the process, it continues to influence the thought process of the audience which comprises mostly of Hindu viewers.



*Figure 8-Kumkum on Star Plus*

While I was having my lunch it caught my eyes. The murderer walks in through the main door past midnight. His movements are slow and steady. *His whole body is covered by a black outfit. His face covered with black scarf, only two eyes are visible. Right at the centre of his black gown (chest area) there is a prominent large Swastik. Its silvery touch gives a glowing effect. The Swastik is very conspicuous and the camera keeps it in focus all the while as he steadily walks through the huge house towards the bedroom of Kumkum with intent to kill her.*


*Thus, the Hindu sacred Swastik is cleverly associated with murder. Much the same way as Swastik was prominently displayed in every Hollywood movie that dealt with Nazi Hitler. The same Swastik was splashed all over the covers of bestselling titles by authors like Ludlum and others. It was the ChristianWorld which cleverly associated Nazis with Hindu Swastik using popular media to divert the public attention from the fact that every Nazi was a Christian first and a Nazi afterwards. Nowadays their Indian Christian and ChristianizedHindu stooges seem to be busy popularizing Swastik's association with murder and mystery using Hindi television channels watched by millions and millions.*

*This is how systematically they create subconscious images in public minds, and over a period of time with consistent repetition they turn the untruth as truth, and truth as untruth.*

*And we fools think that civilization has essentially progressed by reaching technology to common man, least realizing that it is only a tool in the hands of the rich and the powerful to control the minds of the masses in the manner they see fit. The common man has been given a few toys for entertainment in exchange of their ability to think on their own but to be guided at every step by those who bring them those toys.*

### ***Oh! These children of Ramanand Sagar***

Do you remember Raamaayan (1986) the television serial which “virtually brought *India* to a standstill during its two year run”? I do. Roads of Mumbai would be vacant. Hardly there would be any auto-rickshaw plying on the roads. All eyes<sup>160</sup>, at practically every household, would be glued to the television screen at nine in the morning. Those who habitually got up late on Sunday mornings would be wide awake by the time serial started. It had the legendary pull at television viewer-ship probably incomparable to any other serial. Missing out on a single telecast used to be so painful to the dedicated viewers. The central characters Arun Govil and Deepika became Raam-Sita personified. And, no one has ever played the role of Bhakt'Var Hanumaan better than Dara Singh did. They each offered their personal tributes to those characters by personifying those immortal roles.



*Figure 9-Raamaayan by Ramanand Sagar*

It is that legendary (Dr) Ramanand Sagar whose children are now telecasting PrithviRaaj Chauhaan for about a year. The children, obviously, are the products of modern age, may be convent educated, must have derived some qualities from their father but at the same time many more qualities from their educationists, who would have helped them through their formative age in building up their thought process, their impressions about Hindu society, about Braahmans, about rituals and traditions, etc. This particular grooming up is reflected very well through their creations like *Dharti ka Veer Yoddha Prithviraj Chauhan* and *Sai Baba*. There is another thing which is very clear from these two television serials made by them. And that is the hotchpotch they are made of. That is the hotchpotch of ChristianizedEnglish education and half-cooked Hindu life system. Let us look at few examples in brief. I would want to make these examples brief because I realize at this point that if I were to continue in this manner, this book will be full of such examples derived from various wakes of life from current scenario. And, that is not the scope of this work. Therefore, I may have to draw a line where I may have to restrain myself from making enhancements to current title by each new edition.




## *The same big red Tilak everywhere*



*Figure 10-JaiChand's Mama on Star Plus*

So, returning to the examples from the television serial PrithviRaaj Chauhan. The ace villain, uncle of JaiChand, is always shown with a big red Tilak on his forehead. This display is made with amazing consistency. But for any other character, particularly any of the 'major' good characters, it is hardly ever done so. In other words, the objective of writer-producer-director combine is to display all evil as closely connected with Tilakdhaaris – those who bear the stamp of being Hindu. Whereas the good characters would never display red Tilak, with such amazing consistency on their forehead or, any such other indication that can be attributed 'only to' Hinduism – leave aside qualifications like 'amazing consistency' we hardly ever notice an occasional display of association that can solely be attributed to Hinduism with total exclusion of any other 'ism'. In their mind, Hinduism cannot be endowed with anything that is great. It is about the minds of creative people who call themselves 'Artists' and proudly declare that an artist is beyond religion.



*Figure 11-Nazi Swastik compare with Hindu Swastik*

This reminds me of the 'conspicuous' use of Swastik in every Hollywood movie on Hitler or Nazis and equally 'conspicuous' use of Swastik on cover page of every English novel that dealt with Nazis. There had been a 'systematic' campaign to associate Swastik with Hitler and Nazis. Equally 'consistent' campaign to associate the term Aryan with Hitler and Nazis.

Have you ever tried to understand the 'motive' behind such systematic and consistent campaign? Think: what was the image of Hitler? It was of a Bad-Bad guy. Associating Swastik and Aryan with Hitler would make those too equally 'Bad' in the eyes of the audience. Now think of what is connected to Swastik and Aryan? Hinduism would be your answer - isn't it? So, the world learns to connect the Bad guy Hitler with Hinduism through

Swastik and Aryan. Have you ever thought of the following question? Was this systematic and consistent campaign was to 'suppress' the fact that Hitler and Nazis were STAUNCH Christian?<sup>161</sup>

Oh! I wanted to keep it brief. But then, once the thoughts begin to flow on, it becomes difficult to restrain them. It is quite natural for a writer who happens to write from his heart. Writing from mind is the domain of those who manipulate history and mankind.

### *Sati Pratha too – even before it began!*

So, let us return to PrithviRaaj Chauhan. Do you remember that episode when JaiChand's father VijayPaal died and his mother RoopSundari wanted to commit Sati? Well, the writer-director-producer-trio had learned from their ChristianizedEnglish education system that Hindus followed *Sati Pratha*. That education system had taught them that Hinduism had been ridden with such inhuman rituals. So, they could not restrain their desire to help Hindu audiences by making them aware of it. They also wanted to show that the young JaiChand was one of the reformers, for he wouldn't agree to his mother committing Sati, such a disgusting tradition after all. He had to break the tradition. And writer-director-producer-trio considered it their duty to bring about similar awareness among Hindu masses of today and the Hindu youth in particular. For, they read one obscure instance of some 80+ year old woman bent upon committing Sati on her husband's death. English media news papers were agog with this news item because they are paid by those who control their funding to blow up such odd instances beyond proportion. While there was plenty of talk about a woman committing Sati but little talk about it being a solely voluntary act with no force exerted on her. So, our trio could not resist the opportunity to plug-in Sati issue in their story as well.

*Our writer-director-producer-trio had not thought it necessary to do some historical research on the issue<sup>162</sup>. When did Sati Pratha start in India? Some fools think that it began with DakshYagya, self-immolation by Sati and following establishment of Shakti Peeths all over India. Thus, they take it for granted that this system prevailed since time immemorial and take liberty to plug it in just anywhere through the Hindu history as they feel like. They do not realize what harm they are inflicting by spreading their ignorance using a powerful tool like media as their vehicle.*


### *Murdering History in historical episode*

Sati Pratha began after Jauhar by Padmini. Allauddin Khilji wanted Padmini. He tried in vain to defeat Rana of Chittor. So, he extended a hand of friendship. Hindus traditionally valued word of mouth and never cheated on it (evidences after evidences documented by foreign visitors to this Hindu land can be found in my other work *Seed-2*). Expecting the same Rana accepted it. And then, Allauddin Khilji cheated<sup>163</sup> Rana. Padmini chose to commit self-immolation because she did not want to fall in hands of Allauddin Khilji.

As time passed by, Hindu women had realized that if they wanted to save their chastity, self-immolation was the solution for them. For, Allauddin Khilji was not an exception; practically every Muslim man was same. Hindu women considered one-husband relationship during one life time as absolutely essential. The concept of chastity was a self-imposed one, not imposed

externally. This is something that Christians would never understand. And Christian Missionaries would never allow an average Christian to understand that. Christian history is witness that Christian Missionaries themselves practiced en-mass adultery<sup>164</sup>. Christian women wouldn't even understand this. For, in today's liberated environment for them, they do not mind sleeping with plenty of men while unmarried, and many of them don't even mind doing so while they are married. With advent of globalization it is not only national economies that are affected but also cultural values are also under unprecedented attack. Hindu women are rapidly becoming like their Christian counterparts in these matters. Ground has been well prepared with Christian Missionary education of Hindu women over past six generation. But in earlier days Hindu women were not made that way. They preferred to give up their body rather than being with men other than their husband. And, in vast majority of cases it used to be only voluntary, no force used. But then Christian Missionaries with the help of the ChristianEnglish Education System and Media (newsprint) taught Hindus the opposite: that Sati Pratha was an ancient Hindu tradition and was representative of inhuman oppression by men over women. And they also propagated it throughout the world with intent to malign the face of Hinduism. For, they had found themselves incapable of eliminating Hinduism from the face of this earth, which they had done to all other religions<sup>165</sup> all over the world.

Present day ChristianizedHindus have learned from their masters not to apply their own head but to keep parroting whatever they have learned from those manipulators. They take quite a pride in carrying on the job entrusted to them by their masters. In this manner they spread their ignorance far and wide using the power of media and all other tolls available at their disposal.



*Figure 12-PrithviRaaj Chauhaan on Star Plus*

Now let us look at the dates from history and analyse them in perspective:

- PrithviRaaj Chauhan was born<sup>166</sup> about 1168
- VijayPaal, father of JaiChand, died shortly thereafter.
- VijayPaal's wife RoopSundari, mother of JaiChand, wanted to commit Sati at this point of time as shown in television serial *Dharti ka Veer Yoddha Prithviraj Chauhan*.
- Prithvi Raaj died<sup>167</sup> many years later, in 1192
- Rani Padmini was not born yet.
- Sati Pratha had not begun yet when VijayPaal died.


- And yet, Ramanand Sagar's children would want us to believe that JaiChand took the reformist attitude against that inhuman ancient Hindu system called SatiPratha!
- Allauddin Khilji ruled between 1296 and 1316.
- Rani Padmini committed Jauhar in 1303.

*This was the origin of Sati Pratha in Hindu India which Hindu ladies found as only solution in the wake of barbarian Muslims all around. And those Christian Missionaries (fraudster in my view) whose sole objective was to convert Hindus (wretched people in their view) into Christianity by hook or crook – they shoved down the throat of Hindu children all this garbage, and by repetition over six generation using textbooks and media of every kind – they made Hindu children digest it and assimilate it into their whole system over the period so that they keep parroting it well. This is why; ‘counter repetition’ is only solution to erase these fraudulent concepts from Hindu psyche. But a few handfuls ‘I already know all’ variety guys find themselves opposed to ‘counter repetition’ though they hardly understand its significance.*

### ***Shukria...Shukria...PrithviRaaj***

To add a feather in their cap these characters (the writer-director-producer gang) have invented yet another novelty. Throughout his adolescence<sup>168</sup> PrithviRaaj has said "innumerable times" "Shukria". He was not aware of the word 'Dhanyavaad'. Though Muslims were not in power yet and had rather scanty presence in Raajputana, in the understanding of this trio (writer-director-producer) PrithviRaaj had already adopted the Muslim culture so well that only Shukria poured out of his system whenever he wanted to say 'Thank you' to someone. Then there would be people plotting, lying, looting, and doing so many things that are expertly woven, and moulded, around Muslim and Christian ways that were yet to come about. Then there are other things like young (yet minor) PrithviRaaj on television screen would always prefer to slit throat the Muslim way.

### ***Sai Baba***



*Figure 13-Sai Baba on Star Plus*

As for television serial *Sai Baba* you will find villains will be Hindu Braahman Priests and Vaidas. Why Christian Missionaries were always against Braahmans that I have discussed in detail at different places in different ways. Why Vaidas were an eye sore too in the eyes of the Christians you may want to know. Vaidas too lived a very simple life like Braahmans. They gave more to the society and took less. While Aayur'Ved had attained the level of Art migrating from the level of Science<sup>169</sup> and Vaidas were able to check NaaRi<sup>170</sup> (pulse) and tell you the precise ailment, even to the extent that if you had broken ribs they could mention how many and exactly where only by checking your NaaRi<sup>171</sup>. To many of you it may sound impossible because your modern science is yet very young and inexperienced. Well, that

statement of mine may hurt some of your inflated egos but I care less for I don't have to necessarily sell you my work. But the ChristianBritish had something to sell and that was their medical sciences which were yet at its formative ages. They needed to loot us in two ways. One by selling English medicines at ten times price. Two by squeezing us in the name of tuition fee for medical colleges which would feed their doctors and educators. So, they essentially needed to destroy the Aayur'Vedic infrastructure in our country. And, for that they used many-pronged attack. One they withdrew all funding to Aayur'Vedic institutions of learning so they could be allowed to die their natural death, and they diverted many times more funding to their '*yet experimenting with human life*' variety of medical colleges. Two: they tried every means of propaganda using textbooks and media of every kind at their disposal to discredit Vaidis so that people gradually turn away from them.

### *Vaidis*

While Vaidis followed one basic principle: that people should be kept healthy with minimal intervention of medication of any kind and if it at all becomes necessary to administer medication on a patient it must be natural (as close to nature) and as inexpensive as possible. In no way the profession of Vaidis must become money spinning venture was the unwritten Law and it was practiced that way. But then ChristianWorld specialized in snatching away the food from others mouth<sup>172</sup> and so they did with Vaidis too – whatever little they earned to feed their family was robbed from them – earlier patients would voluntarily contribute whatever they could because Vaidis wouldn't charge fee for their services by tradition – now those very patients going away left the Vaidis high and dry.

### *Allopathy*

The plunderers (gentleman's term for looter), the ChristianBritish, believed in one principle: to become a parasite on the society in which they come to live by. They turned each one of their vocation into a money spinning venture. They kept medication as 'less natural' as possible so that one chemical administered on the patient today should give him superficially quick relief and simultaneously pave way for the 'need' to administer yet another chemical on the same patient in time to come. Thus, the meter keeps running and doctor keeps earning, so does manufacturer of the medicine. No one loses except the end-user the patient. You have come to understand this phenomenon 'superficially' and people have given it a 'respectable sounding' name "side effect of allopathic medicines". In the whole process, you carefully avoid saying, or don't even realize that, this so-called allopathic medical system is the gift of ChristianWorld which has always been greedy of money and power, as you will see in forthcoming chapters of this book. And this very basic character is reflected in everything of Allopathy, that is, its education, its application, its marketing, its administration, its suffocating grip over Health industry, its diehard effort to eliminate every other system in a very systematic manner that the victims never know and so on

## *Aayur'Ved* आयुर्वेद

Returning to Aayur'Vedic Institutions of Learning, all funding were withdrawn and they were allowed to die their natural death. Vaidis were cornered in every possible way creating space for ChristianWorld's medical system which was yet in its own learning stage experimenting with human life. Vaidis had only one way left for keeping their knowledge base and Aayur'Vedic system alive – that is to keep it within the family – Christian-Robbers had no access there – they robbed every other avenue but couldn't readily penetrate through the family infrastructure. But then how much resource a family can generate? Vaidis' families were dependant on patients' voluntary offers without making any demands. With Aayur'Vedic Institutions of higher learning dying under suffocating grip of the ChristianBritish, the knowledge base within Vaidis small families began to dry. Taking advantage of this situation Christian Missionary educators taught their Hindu students that Vaidis were quacks as they had no university degree and formal training from any institution of higher learning. Thus, the children who grew up with such brainwashed condition always preferred to keep Vaidis at miles distance. This effectively robbed Vaid families their last meal. But then the hunger of the plunderers was insatiable – they couldn't allow it at that. They needed to finish Vaidis<sup>173</sup> totally. And total annihilation of Aayur'Vedic system was possible by plugging each and every hole, leaving absolutely no scope of doubt in Hindu minds. So, they were at work again. Now they taught Hindu children that Vaidis did not maintain any documentation – they kept the entire knowledge of their medical system within the family – they did not want others to learn what they knew – and that is how they disappeared, and with them disappeared their knowledge. In comparison, English system was not family oriented but people oriented – we wanted the knowledge to spread, everyone to benefit from it, so we have opened it up to the masses. How very generous! ChristianEnglish educated young minds accepted that garbage and dutifully passed it on to their next generation. This process continues till today.

## *The Hybrids – Varn'Sankars* वर्णसंकर

Yes, the ChristianEnglish machinery totally destroyed that inexpensive and very effective medical system practiced by the Vaidis. Now, do not confuse it with current Aayur'Vedic system which is certainly not that effective nor that inexpensive. It is not that effective because it flouts many fundamental norms of traditional Aayur'Vedic system, so much so that even MDs from today's Aayur'Vedic discipline are not aware of some of them, nor they are taught those. The reason is very simple. We have brought back the body of Aayur'Ved but lost its Soul somewhere behind! This is because those who are learning Aayur'Ved today and those who are teaching Aayur'Ved today, are all products of the same ChristianizedEnglish education system. Thus, all they have done so far is married two incompatible systems whose basic philosophy is totally opposed to each other. Today's Aayur'Vedic system is a hotchpotch of the two. Secondly, it is not at all inexpensive today because it is being practiced by, and produced by, those who are essentially the product of ChristianEnglish education system. Those who argue that we should adopt and blend the good things from both systems are fools. They do not realize that oil and water do not mix nor do they blend.

They want to change the Laws of Nature not knowing that it is only foolish to attempt at it. You do not mess up with someone immensely powerful than you. And, Nature is incomparably powerful than entire human race and its collective science and technology. Tsunami and Hurricane Katrina were only a ‘tiny’ expression of that power.

### ***B. R. Chopra’s children also have joined the race***

2006-July-27 *Many of us have heard that T B Macaulay imposed on us Christian missionary English education system six generations ago. Generation after generation we Hindus were systematically removed from our roots, trained to look down upon whatever was ours. We Hindus were made to judge ourselves, putting on dark glasses on our eyes that they provided us. Muslims, in general, refused to get educated by the Christian missionaries, and thus, they managed to remain in touch with their roots.*

*Today television has wide reach among the masses. It is capable of reshaping the thought process of the viewers. It can drastically change the value system of a society, even of a nation. Script writers of popular TV serials can spread their ignorance to the masses very effectively. Here is a simple example (if you look for them there will be hundreds and hundreds).*



*Figure 14-Viraasat on Star Plus*

Tuesday 25 July 2006 Star Plus channel 9-9:30 pm serial Viraasat विरासत – a powerful drama, well written, acted and directed. Recently started, not yet diluted, still holding good impact on audience. Raahul, the good guy, the hero, tells Rrishabh, the bad guy, the villain.

Raahul tells Rrishabh: “*I and Priyanka had love marriage so it is based on trust*” (so much so for over-glorification of love marriage, majority of which do not stand the test of time, and soon land in plenty of troubles leading to separations and divorces) “*whereas, Raam Chandr had Swayamavar स्वयंवर*” (where the bride selects the groom, out of many aspiring to marry her) “*so Sita had to go for Agni-Pareeksha अग्निपरीक्षा*” (so much to show Hindu system in bad light just by hearing the tail-end of the story).

Producer, unfortunately a celebrity BR Chopra, who did not apply his mind, or maybe he simply handed over the reins to Rajiv (Chopra) and the script writer, both being products of

such education system, which ensures that Hindus are kept at a great distance from understanding the finer details of the issues involved and the logic that support them.

Speaking of that education, very aptly stated by a so-called 'Father' of a Christianized school "may be that we won't be able to convert your children to Christianity but by the time we are through with them, they won't remain Hindu either".

*So, are these products of an alien culture inherently hostile to ours, always seeking to destroy our culture but their friendly mask never lets Hindus see it.*

*Anyhow, neither the writer nor the producer (or, director in this case) thought it necessary to get himself better educated on the topic. The least they could have done was to view Late Shri Ramananda Sagar's immortal creation Raamaayan (beware of the later day creations by his Christianized\_Hindu children, to name a few, Sai Baba, PrithviRaaj Chauhan, where they give ample testimony of their own ignorance of our history, culture and value system, though they have many good points as well, which makes the script a hotchpotch, which sells the wrong packaged well with the right).*

*So, when these so-called artists work on the psyche of the masses through their creations they pass on their own massive ignorance to the audience, and thus keeping adding substantially to the already-enough corruption in understanding of our culture and value-system.*

*A society must be careful not to allow people with superficial understanding of deeper issues to sit on judgement, based on their borrowed knowledge from those, whose basic objective (carefully hidden) is to destroy our culture and, for that, the simple rule they follow is to keep us separated (from our very childhood under the pretext of educating us) from understanding whatever is ours.*

### ***And, why should print media stay behind – Rape inside a mosque by a Muslim and Desecration of MaSaheb Minatai's Statue at Shivaji Park Mumbai***

2006-July-11 Read, for example, Hindustan Times, Mumbai edition of 11 July 2006 and 10 July 2006 for comparison in **the way they present news material to manipulate public impressions**; and, as the time goes I shall demonstrate by different examples that this is not a one-time event, but **it happens to be a consistent practice with self-proclaimed Secularist media.**

On page 7 (11 July) at the bottom of the page, there is news item. The header read as "Teacher convicted for student's rape". Many readers do not bother to read the details. They form an impression in their mind by looking at the header and proceed with other items. The reason: time is a scarce commodity for people in rat race of metros like Mumbai.

#### **However, if you happen to read the details, you learn the following:**

The rapist used to teach the girl at the premises of a **Mosque** at Dharavi

His name was Zulfikar Shaikh (age 25) (he was a **Muslim**)

The girl was a minor (studying in the **third standard**)



### Points to note:

*The news item header **did not draw the attention of the readers** that the rapist was a Muslim and that the rapist was a teacher in a Mosque (nor there any photo with the news item showing a Muslim or a Mosque)*

### In comparison to that:

The same newspaper HT, the earlier day 10-7-2006 on page 8, carried an item with more space, the header of which read as "*Nayak to Khalnaayak: Heroes all the way*"

*The item was supported with a photo by some "Durga (a respected Hindu name)"*

Photo showed a suited-booted well-trimmed guy with a rifle in his hand

*Most conspicuous was his head with a big vertical red "Tilak" on his forehead*

The details covered several names like Shah Rukh, Saif, Aamir, Sanjay Dutt, DJ Aqueel and Gautam Singhania

*All these names except one appear to be Muslim names, but the photo which draws instant attention is with conspicuous Hindu "Tilak" on forehead, whereas to look for the names you have to search from the small prints*

### Think about it:

*What kind of image the English media is trying to project?*

*What kind of images it is trying to build?*

*What kind of impressions it is trying to leave in readers minds?*

### Now look at the same newspaper HT of the same day 11-7-2006:

Minatai's Statue at Shivaji Park was desecrated. Mud has been put on her face. Minatai was like mother to all Shiv Sainiks. They went on rampage, got the shutters down for shops, crowds gathered, noise and bit of confusion. Some odd places they put torch to empty buses, not many. TV channels called it shameful. Hindustan Times was very vocal.

Front page has header in bold "Proof may embarrass Sena, says Deputy CM"

Followed-up by full page coverage on page 5

With large photographs

With carefully crafted highlights

Creating an impression in the readers' minds that it was Shiv Sena itself which arranged to put mud on Minatai's statue

And what was the line of reasoning based on which such impression was built:

Was there any definitive proof?

No, not yet

All that seemed to be available were few assumptions

That: why Shiv Sena failed to protect the statue it built from being desecrated?

That: Sena is yet trying to prove that it has some strength left

That: Sena is trying to establish that Rane and Raj's exit hasn't made Sena helpless

That: they probably did it to get political mileage

### **So, what is their modus operandi?**

Self-proclaimed Secular newspapers have a well defined strategy

When it comes to Muslims, play it down carefully

When it comes to Hindus, blow it up out of proportion

Doesn't matter if you must fuel an unproven hypothesis to attain your goals

Who cares what comes as the final proof so long they are able to build an anti-Hindu sentiment by wide publicity of various assumptions?

### **Initial impressions will live long with them**

By the time proof comes, it will be in some obscure corner of the newspaper, if it is found to favour Sena

But if it turns out to be against Sena then it will be blown up again to cement the images in public mind

### ***Bhiwandi Police firing issue***

2006-July-11 Ref: Hindustan Times, Mumbai, 7 Jul 2006, front page, top

The **entire breadth** (from left to right) of the **newspaper front page top** covered one **photograph** - burqua clad Muslim women looking at the bodies of two Muslim men who died in police firing. On HT Metro page (page 3) at the top there is an **8"x5" photograph** covered thousands of Muslim men surrounding the **coffins of 2 Muslim men** who died in police firing. Two-third of the whole page was covered with the story.

Somewhere in small print I had read that **two policemen** were killed by the Muslim mob and **their bodies were mutilated**. However, slain police men's photographs **did not find place** in the newspaper. Perhaps the newspaper space was too valuable to be used for policemen slain by Muslims. More significantly, the **wives and children** of those slain policemen also **did not find any place** in the Hindustan (or, Muslimstan) Times.

### **Few questions came to my mind:**

There was ample place for so many burqua-clad Muslim women some of whom **may not have been related** to the two Muslim men killed in police firing but being Muslim they found place at the top front page

There was no space whatsoever for those for the **wife and children** of two slain policemen whose **bodies were also mutilated**

So, in the eyes of self-proclaimed secular journalists, there was **no value for the lives** of policemen **and the grief** of their family members

This happens to be **the character** of our English media newspapers

### *Supreme Court judges also join the bandwagon*

2009-July-22 Here we have today's front page news: SC's lesson: Teach in English – The court rejected the argument of senior counsel appearing for the state who claimed mother-tongue was essential to be imparted at an impressionable age for overall intellectual and cultural development of the child – Free Press Journal 2009-07-22.

Well, these judges are probably not aware that many successful nations of recent times like Japan, China, Italy, Russia, Germany, etc. did not care for English, and yet they were no less successful than British and Americans.


“Parents are ready to pay Rs 50,000 for getting their children admitted in English medium schools. They do not want to send them to schools of their mother tongue. It should be left to the parents,” the bench observed. The bench told the government for its decision to impose Kannada as a compulsory medium of instruction for Class I to IV– FPJ 2009-07-22.

What's wrong if first four years of education is imparted in mother-tongue? How many parents can afford to pay Rs 50,000? These handful elites want to reserve everything for themselves. Unless these selfish parasites are removed from the positions of controlling the nation, we will continue to be mental slaves like they are. These elites are the first enemy of the nation. Who is stopping children from learning English after first four years of education? But then these elite want the common man to be deprived of all say into the nation-building process. They want the commoners to first become mental slaves like themselves and fall in line with them so that all of them together can continue to undermine the cultural integrity of this nation. They simply don't want it to become 'truly' independent again. A day will come when these parasites will have to be eliminated if we ever want to make our nation the BhaaratVarsh it was because these elite will continue to keep it 'chained' it as India of past two centuries.

### *The Joke they made of MahaaBhaarat*

11 June 2008. Have you seen B R Chopra's memorable creation MahaaBhaarat? If you did you couldn't have forgotten it. Now here is something very interesting—have a look at the following letter—it should be worth your time.

I received it today from a person whose credentials I cannot doubt. Disclosing his identity is not important. What is important is that I trust this to be a genuine copy of the original letter. If anyone is in doubt, I would suggest that he can go to the concerned Government department and obtain a copy of the letter, or approach B R Chopra himself and obtain a validation. If the findings are otherwise let me know with evidence. Until then I would want to treat this as a genuine copy of the original letter.



*Figure 15-B R Chopra's MahaaBhaarat (1990) <sup>174</sup>*

I scanned the letter size document using a scanner that could accommodate A4 size paper and then reduced it to fit into the standard page size of this book. The image has been reproduced hereafter exactly as it was received without any alteration except the necessary reduction. Then I scanned the whole document once again in Rich Text Format and reproduced it after the image so that you can comfortably read complete text of the letter.

Please do not think that the letter has been picked out of some comic book though it could have been a good contestant for respectable place in a comic book. It seems BR Chopra submitted the proposal to make a film few months after he concluded his telecast of MahaaBhaarat serial on DoorDarshan. Those who examined the proposal did a thorough job at it. No wonder they took seventeen years (1990-2007) to put all their observations in a tidy manner. I have no doubt left that our nation is in safe hands! Those who govern us seem to be the handpicked ones—the real talented ones!

*An Interesting letter*

*For Shri Maanoj Rakhit*

From : The Under Secretary  
Government of India  
Ministry of Human Resources Development  
Department of Culture, Films Division, New Delhi.  
No. B1452/234/2003 Dt. 23.6.07

To:  
Shri. B. R. Chopra,  
Film Director,  
Mumbai

Ref: Film story submitted by you, regarding financing of films by Government of India, Your letter dt. 2.12.00

The undersigned is directed to refer the above letter and state that the Government has examined your proposal for financing a film called "Mahabharat". The Very High Level Committee constituted for this purpose has been in consultation with the Human Rights Commission, National Commission for Women and Labour Commission, in addition to various Ministries and State Governments and have formed definitive opinions about the script. Their observations are as below:

1. In the script submitted by you it is shown that there were two sets of cousins, namely, the Kauravas, numbering one hundred, and the Pandavas, numbering five. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare has pointed out that these numbers are high, well above the norm prescribed for families by them. It is brought to your kind attention that when the Government is spending huge amounts for promoting family planning, this will send wrong signals to the public. Therefore, it is recommended that there may be only three Kauravas and one Pandava.
2. The Ministry of Parliamentary Affairs has raised an issue whether it is suitable to depict kings and emperors in this democratic age. Therefore, it is suggested that the Kauravas may be depicted as Honourable Members of Parliament (Lok Sabha) and the Pandava may be depicted as Honourable Member of Parliament (Rajya Sabha). The ending of the film shows the victory of the said Pandavas over the said Kauravas. The ending may be suitably modified so that neither of the Honourable Members of Parliament are shown as being inferior to the other.
3. The Ministry of Science and Technology has observed that the manner of birth of Kauravas is suggestive of human cloning, a technology banned in India. This may be changed to normal birth.
4. The National Commission for Women has objected that the father of Pandavas, one Sri Pandu, is depicted as bigamous, and also there is only one wife for the Pandavas in common. Therefore suitable changes may be made in the said script so that the said Sri Pandu is not depicted as bigamous. However, with the reduction in number of Pandavas as suggested above, the issue of polyandry can be addressed without further trouble.
5. The Commission for the Physically Challenged has observed that the portrayal of the visually impaired character 'Dhritbhanstra' is derogatory. Therefore the said character may not be shown as visually impaired.

6. The Department of Women and Child Development have highlighted that the public disrobing of one female character called 'Draupadi' is objectionable and derogatory to women in general. Further the Home Ministry anticipates that depiction of such scenes may create law and order problem and at the same time invite strong protests from the different women forums. Such scenes may also invite penal action under SITA (Suppression of Immoral Traffic Act), therefore they may be avoided and deleted from the film.

7. It is felt that showing the Pandava and the Kauravas as gamblers will be anti-social and counter productive as it might encourage gambling. Therefore, the said Pandavas and Kauravas may be shown to have engaged in horse racing. (Hon. Supreme Court has held horse racing not to be gambling)

8. The Pandavas are shown as working in the King Virat's employment without receiving any salary. According to the Human Rights Commission, this amounts to bonded labour and may attract provisions of The Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976. This may be corrected at once.

9. In the ensuing war, one character by name Sri Abhimanyu has been shown as fighting. The National Labour Commission has observed that, war being a hazardous industry, and the said character being 16 years old, this depiction will be construed as a case of child labour. Also there is no record of his being paid any compensation. This may also be deemed to be violatory of the provisions of The Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 and Minimum Wages Act, 1948. Such references in the film may be removed.

10. The character 'Sri Krishna' has been depicted as wearing a peacock feather. The peacock is our National Bird and wearing dresses made from peacock feather is an offence under the Wild Life Protection Act, 1972. This may not be depicted

11. Smt Maneka Gandhi has raised very serious objection for using any elephants or horses in war scenes, since there is every scope for mistreatment and injury to the said animals. The provisions of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1890 and Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Amendment) Act, 1960 would be applicable in the instant case. Suitable changes may be made in the script to address the objections raised.

12. In pursuance of the Memorandum of Ministry of Finance regarding austerity measures, it is informed that in the battle field sequences, only ten soldiers may be allowed for each side. Also, all the characters may be shown to have obtained a valid licence under the Arms Act, 1959 as well as the Indian Arms Act, 1878. You are therefore requested to modify the script along the lines indicated above and resubmit it to the undersigned at the earliest.

Sd/- Under Secretary

*Figure 16-Letter from Under Secretary Govt of India to B R Chopra regarding financing film MahaaBhaarat*

“From: The Under Secretary  
Government of India  
Ministry of Human Resources Development  
Department of Culture, Films Division, New Delhi.  
No. B1452/234/2003 Dt. 23.6.07

To:  
Shri. B. R. Chopra,  
Film Director,  
Mumbai

Ref: Film story submitted by you, regarding financing of films by Government of India, Your letter dt. 2.12.90

The undersigned is directed to refer the above letter and state that the Government has examined your proposal for financing a film called “Mahabharat”. The Very High Level Committee constituted for this purpose has been in consultation with the Human Rights Commission, National Commission for Women and Labour Commission, in addition to various Ministries and State Governments and have formed definitive opinions about the script. Their observations are as below:

1. In the script submitted by you it is shown that there were two sets of cousins, namely, the Kauravas, numbering one hundred, and the Pandavas, numbering five. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare has pointed out that these numbers are high, well above the norm prescribed for families by them. It is brought to your kind attention that when the Government is spending huge amounts for promoting family planning, this will send wrong signals to the public. Therefore, it is recommended that there may be only three Kauravas and one Pandava.
2. The Ministry of Parliamentary Affairs has raised an issue whether it is suitable to depict kings and emperors in this democratic age. Therefore, it is suggested that the Kauravas may be depicted as Honourable Members of Parliament (Lok Sabha) and the Pandava may be depicted as Honourable Member of Parliament (Rajya Sabha). The ending of the film shows the victory of the said Pandavas over the said Kauravas. The ending may be suitably modified so that neither of the Honourable Members of Parliament are shown as being inferior to the other.
3. The Ministry of Science and Technology has observed that the manner of birth of Kauravas is suggestive of human cloning, a technology banned in India. This may be changed to normal birth.
4. The National Commission for Women has objected that the father of Pandavas, one Sri Pandu, is depicted as bigamous, and also there is only one wife for the Pandavas in common. Therefore suitable changes may be made in the said script so that the said Sri Pandu is not

depicted as bigamous. However, with the reduction in number of Pandavas as suggested above, the issue of polyandry can be addressed without further trouble.

5. The Commission for the Physically Challenged has observed that the portrayal of the visually impaired character 'Dhritharastra' is derogatory. Therefore the said character may not be shown as visually impaired.

6. The Department of Women and Child Development have highlighted that the public disrobing of one female character called 'Draupadi' is objectionable and derogatory to women in general. Further the Home Ministry anticipates that depiction of such scenes may create law and order problem and at the same time invite strong protests from the different women forums. Such scenes may also invite penal action under SITA (Suppression of Immoral Traffic Act) therefore they may be avoided and deleted from the film.

7. It is felt that showing the Pandava and the Kauravas as gamblers will be anti-social and counterproductive as it might encourage gambling. Therefore, the said Pandavas and Kauravas may be shown to have engaged in horse racing. (Hon. Supreme Court has held horse racing not to be gambling)

8. The Pandavas are shown as working in the King Virat's employment without receiving any salary. According to the Human Rights Commission, this amounts to bonded labour and may attract provisions of The Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976. This may be corrected at once.

9. In the ensuing war, one character by name Sri Abhimanyu has been shown as fighting. The National Labour Commission has observed that, war being a hazardous industry, and the said character being 16 years old, this depiction will be construed as a case of child labour. Also there is no record of his being paid any compensation. This may also be deemed to be violatory of the provisions of The Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 and Minimum Wages Act, 1948. Such references in the film may be removed.

10. The character 'Sri Krishna' has been depicted as wearing a peacock feather. The peacock is our National Bird and wearing dresses made from peacock feather is an offence under the Wild Life Protection Act, 1972. This may not be depicted

11. Smt Maneka Gandhi has raised very serious objection for using any elephants or horses in war scenes, since there is every scope for mistreatment and injury to the said animals. The provisions of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1890 and Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Amendment) Act, 1960 would be applicable in the instant case. Suitable changes may be made in the script to address the objections raised.

12. In pursuance of the Memorandum of Ministry of Finance regarding austerity measures, it is informed that in the battle field sequences, only ten soldiers may be allowed for each side. Also, all the characters may be shown to have obtained a valid license under the Arms Act, 1959 as well as the Indian Arms Act, 1878. You are therefore requested to modify the script along the lines indicated above and resubmit it to the undersigned at the earliest.

Sd/- Under Secretary”



*If you are familiar with the way Marxists think you might smell presence of some input from them in drafting of this letter. If you wish to know how those widely acclaimed award-winning (government financed) films are made here are plenty of clues sitting for you.*

*Another term that may strike you as quite appropriate for those who taxed their little brains so hard is “bankruptcy”. I am sure you understand that I am not speaking of financial bankruptcy!*

### ***What will happen to the Nation when ‘this’ is the character of so-called Four Estates of Democracy***

Many believe that ‘modern democracy’ as **developed by** the Christian World stands on the premise of four estates; in other words, the structure rests **safely** on four **strong** pillars and they are

- Legislature (those having the power to make or change laws)
- Executive (those having the power to put important laws and decisions into effect)
- Judiciary (those having the power to deliver justice to people)
- Media (those having the power to build public opinion)

*The question arises if this happens to be the character of four estates that you saw what would be the condition of the nation?*

# Bibliography

International Standard Book Number is a unique number. No two countries, no two publishers, no two authors, no two books can have the same number. For this reason I have extensively used ISBN in my references so that you can easily identify the book and verify, if you wish to, any supporting material that I may have reproduced here.

*Order • ISBN, referred Edition, Author, Title*

- ISBN 0-14-024051-9 [1994] Taslima Nasrin, *Lajja*
- ISBN 0-14-100437-1 [2000] F Max Muller, *INDIA what can it teach us?*
- ISBN 0-8400-3625-4 [Pilot Books 1996] *Holy Bible* [King James Version]
- ISBN 019-565432-3 [2001] *The New Oxford Dictionary of English*
- ISBN 81-208-0045-1 [2000] Vaman Shivram Apte, *The Student's Sanskrit English Dictionary*
- ISBN 81-208-0065-6 [2002] M Monier-Williams, *A Sanskrit English Dictionary*
- ISBN 81-7476-316-3 [2001] Niranjana Babu, *Vastu Relevance to Modern Times*
- ISBN 81-7505-140-X [1999] Swami Vivekananda, *In defence of Hinduism*
- ISBN 81-85137-59-5 [2001] Michel Danino/Sujata Nahar, *The Invasion That Never Was*
- ISBN 81-85990-03-4 [2000] Sita Ram Goel, *Hindu Temples: What happened to them Vol. II The Islamic Evidence*
- ISBN 81-85990-21-2 [1995] Ishwar Sharan, *The Myth of Saint Thomas and the Mylapore Shiva Temple*
- ISBN 81-85990-52-2 [1998] N S Rajaram, *A Hindu View of the World - Essays in the intellectual Kshatriya Tradition*
- ISBN 81-85990-54-9 [1998] Sita Ram Goel, *Pseudo-Secularism, Christian Missions and Hindu Resistance*
- ISBN 81-85990-56-5 [1991] A K Priolkar, *The Goa Inquisition*
- ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] edited by Sita Ram Goel, *The Calcutta Qur'an Petition*
- ISBN 81-85990-75-1 [2002] Koenraad Elst, *Ayodhya: The Case against the Temple*
- ISBN 81-86632-00-X [2003] Muhammad Farookh Khan and M M Pkthal, *Qur'an Majeed, Arabic-English-Hindi*
- ISBN 81-900199-8-8 [1998] Arun Shourie, *Eminent Historians: Their Technology, Their Line, Their Fraud*

## Others

- *Arise Again, O India!*, Francois Gautier, Har-Anand Publications Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi 2001
- *Collins English Gem Dictionary*, London and Glasgow, Britain, 1969
- *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought*, Michel Danino [this paper was presented at a seminar on *Decolonization and its Cultural Problems* organized by N V Krishna Warrior Smaraka Trust at Tripunithura, Kerala, on 9-10 October 1999 quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003]
- *Hindu Voice*, RNI No. MAHENG/2002/6954, Periodical, Mumbai
- *Science and Technology in Ancient India*, Vijnan Bharati, Mumbai, Rev Reprint Aug 2002
- *Understanding Islam through Hadis: Religious Faith or Fanaticism*, Ram Swarup, Exposition Press, Smithtown, New York, web version, [www.bharatvani.org](http://www.bharatvani.org)
- *Journal of European Economic History*
- *International industrialization levels from 1750 to 1980* by Paul Bairoch
- *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of the World Order*, Samuel P Huntington, 1997

# Index

---

## 1

17 August 1823 · 58, 60, 61, 63, 64  
1750 · 59  
1757 · 59  
**1835** · 57  
1835-1947 · 57  
1913 · 59

---

## A

A D Campbell · 58, 60, 61, 63, 64  
Aastha channel · 60  
Aasuric character · 64  
AayurVed · 76, 78  
AayurVedic Institutions of Learning · 77, 78  
AayurVedic system, present-day · 78  
ability to destroy civilizations · 65  
Acid content of Colas · 60  
Allauddin Khilji · 74, 76  
Allopathy · 77  
Aman Varma · 67  
America · 60  
Americas · 61  
Anquetil-Duperron · 58, 61  
Anti-Hindu · 67  
Arun Govil · 71  
Aryan · 73  
Australia · 60

---

## B

Battle of Plassey · 59  
Benchmark human rights · 61  
Bengal · 57, 60  
Bhaabhi · 68  
BhaaratVarsh · 57  
BhagavadGita · 69  
Blood sucker · 60  
Bloodsucking · 59  
Britain · 60  
British · 65  
British character · 64  
British conduct · 64  
British nationality · 64  
British violence · 64  
Brown Christianized Hindus · 62

Brown Sahibs · 62

---

## C

Canada · 60  
Carnivorous Christianity · 64  
Carnivorous plant · 59  
Carnivorous religion · 64  
Child labor · 61  
Child labor problem · 61  
Chittor · 74  
Christian by education · 64  
Christian history · 75  
[Christian missionaries](#) · 61  
Christian Missionaries · 75, 76  
Christian schools · 62  
Christian violence · 64  
ChristianBritain · 64  
ChristianBritish · 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 77, 78  
ChristianBritish (morally) corrupt masters · 63  
ChristianBritish conduct · 64  
ChristianBritish government · 60, 63  
ChristianBritish greed · 63  
ChristianEnglish · 78  
ChristianEnglish education · 64  
Christianity · 59, 62, 64, 65  
Christianized their souls · 64  
ChristianizedHindu · 67, 71, 75  
ChristianizedHindus · 62  
ChristianRobbers · 78  
ChristianWorld · 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 71  
Civilization article of trade · 57  
civilization was to become an article for trade · 65  
Coca Cola · 60  
Cola · 60, 61  
Cola companies · 61  
Conspiracy · 58  
Conspiracy against the nation · 58  
Conspiracy against the society as a whole · 58  
Convert Hindus into Christians · 60  
Corruption · 61, 62  
Corruption on massive scale · 63  
Counter repetition · 76  
Crown of Britain · 60

---

## D

DakshYagya · 74  
Dara Singh · 71  
Deepika · 71  
Drug companies · 61

---

## E

East India Company · 60, 63  
England · 57, 65  
Entertainment industry · 68  
Europe · 57  
European continentality · 64  
Extent of loot · 60

---

## F

Famine · 61

---

## G

Genes · 64  
Gift of Christianity · 64  
Globalization · 75

---

## H

Hanumaan · 71  
Hindu education system · 57, 63, 64  
Hindu genes · 58  
Hindu nation · 59, 64  
Hindu people · 62  
Hindu rulers · 63, 68  
Hindu schooling system · 63  
Hindu schools · 62  
Hindu society · 61  
Hindu Swastik · 71  
Hinduism · 64, 73  
Hindus · 65  
HinduWorld · 59  
Hitler · 73  
Hollywood movies · 71, 73  
Hurricane Katrina · 79  
Hybrids · 78

---

## J

Jagjit Uppal · 69  
JaiChand · 73, 74, 76

Jauhar · 74  
Jawaharlal Nehru · 64  
Jew · 59  
Jewish model · 59  
Judaism · 59

---

## K

Krishn · 69  
Kumkum · 70  
Kyonki Saas bhi Kabhi Bahu thi · 69

---

## L

Last drop of blood · 60, 63  
Laws of Nature · 79  
Lost heritage · 58  
Ludlow · 57  
Ludlum · 71

---

## M

Macaulay · 64  
Make-believe stories · 68  
Max Muller · 61  
Mexico · 64  
Missionary Intelligencer · 57  
Money rules the ChristianWorld · 63  
Moral corruption the ChristianBritish way) · 62  
Mother tongue · 67  
MotherNature · 61  
Motilal Nehru · 64  
**Multinational companies** · 60  
Muslim actors · 68

---

## N

NaaRi (pulse) · 76  
Nautiaal · 68  
Nazis · 73  
New Zealand · 60  
Non-ChristianizedHindu · 68

---

## O

Organized violence · 64

---

**P**

Padmini · 74, 75, 76  
Pakistan · 67  
Pakistan Government · 68  
Pakistani Muslim · 68  
Parasite · 63  
Parasites · 59, 60  
Parroting · 75, 76  
Pedigree · 59  
Pepsi · 60  
Petty corruption · 63  
Pichhor · 67  
Plassey · 59  
Pound of flesh · 59  
PrithviRaaj Chauhaan · 72, 74, 75

---

**R**

Raam · 70  
Raamaayan · 70, 71  
Raam-Sita personified · 71  
Ramanand Sagar · 71  
Repetition · 69  
Rich and famous · 63  
RoopSundari · 74, 75

---

**S**

Saara Aakaash · 67  
Sai Baba · 76  
Samuel P Huntington · 64  
Sati Pratha · 74, 75, 76  
Sati Pratha, origin of · 76  
Sea pirate · 60  
Self-appointed judges · 70  
Self-immolation · 74  
Service to the humanity · 61

Shakespeare · 59  
Shakti Peeths · 74  
Shukria · 76  
Sir Thomas Munro · 57, 58, 65  
Sita · 70  
Situation prior to destructions · 57  
Sreekantan Nair · 70  
Star Plus · 67, 68, 69, 70  
Strangulated · 63  
Sukhoi fighter planes · 67  
Swami RaamDeo · 60  
Swastik · 71, 73

---

**T**

Terrorists · 68  
Test of Time · 70  
Tilak · 69, 73  
Tilakdhaaris · 73  
Tsunami · 79

---

**U**

Upbringing as the Christians · 64

---

**V**

Vaids · 76, 77, 78  
Values or religion · 64  
Varn'Sankars · 78  
Villain · 67, 68

---

**W**

WesternWorld · 59  
Within the framework of law · 63

# References and Notes

---

<sup>1</sup> Source: Oxford Dictionary, p 1107

<sup>2</sup> Source: *Hist. of India*, Elphinstone, I. 62, quoted in *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 231, n 44

<sup>3</sup> Source: *Missionary Intelligencer*, IX. 183-193, quoted in *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 231, n 44

<sup>4</sup> Source: *British India*, I. 62, Ludlow, quoted in *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 231, n 44

<sup>5</sup> Source: *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought*, Michel Danino, quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003

<sup>6</sup> Details can be found elsewhere in my works

<sup>7</sup> Source: *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought*, Michel Danino, quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003

<sup>8</sup> *Generation* the average period, generally considered to be about thirty years, in which children grow up, become adults, and have children of their own [Oxford Dictionary, p 764]

<sup>9</sup> *Khomeini* Ruhollah (1900-89), Iranian Shi'ite Muslim leader; known as Ayatollah Khomeini. He returned from exile in 1979 to lead an Islamic revolution which overthrew the Shah. He established Iran as a fundamentalist *Islamic republic* and relentlessly pursued the Iran-Iraq War 1980-8 [p 1006]. *Shi'ite* an adherent of the Shia branch of Islam [p 1715].

<sup>10</sup> *Taliban* a fundamentalist Muslim movement whose militia took control of much of Afghanistan from early 1995 and in 1996 took Kabul and set up an *Islamic state* [p 1891]. Source: *The New Oxford Dictionary of English*

<sup>11</sup> Source: *A Hindu view of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 38

<sup>12</sup> Source: *St Francis Xavier The Man and His Mission*, Sita Ram Goel, quoted in *The Myth of St Thomas*, Ishwar Sharan, p 80

<sup>13</sup> Source: *Ayodhya*, Koenraad Elst, p 36, p 38

<sup>14</sup> Source: *A Hindu view of the world*, N S Rajaram, p 163

<sup>15</sup> Source: *Art of Indian Asia*, Heinrich Zimmer, Princeton, Paperback edition, 1983, Vol. I, p 201

<sup>16</sup> Source: *A Hindu View of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 181

<sup>17</sup> Source: *Pseudo-Secularism*, Sita Ram Goel, c 4

<sup>18</sup> Source: *Hindu Voice*, March 2005 Hindi edition, cover 3

<sup>19</sup> Source: *Pseudo-Secularism*, Sita Ram Goel, p 7

<sup>20</sup> Source: *The Pioneer*, OP-ED, 3 June 2003

<sup>21</sup> Source: *A Hindu view of the world*, N S Rajaram, p 187

<sup>22</sup> Source: *Hindu Voice*, Nov 2002, p 40

<sup>23</sup> Source: *Hindu Temples what happened to them*, Sita Ram Goel, p 407

- 
- <sup>24</sup> Source: Hindu Temples what happened to them, Sita Ram Goel, p 407
- <sup>25</sup> *INDIA what can it teach us?* F Max Muller, ISBN 0-14-100437-1 [2000]
- <sup>26</sup> Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 50
- <sup>27</sup> *Refute* repel, reject, disprove Collins English Dictionary p 432
- <sup>28</sup> Source: *Eminent Orientalists*, Madras, p 72 quoted in Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt (downloaded 16 Nov 2004) (c) 2001 - 2003 VEDA - Bhaktivedanta Book Trust, authors and Jan Mares
- <sup>29</sup> Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol I, Ch IX, p 171 quoted in Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt (downloaded 16 Nov 2004)
- <sup>30</sup> Source: History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, 1860, p 32 quoted in Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>31</sup> Source: *Chips from a German Workshop*, second edition, 1866, p 27 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>32</sup> Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol I, Ch XV, p 346 quoted in Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>33</sup> Source: *Life and Letters*, F Max Muller, Vol. I (London: Longmans, 1902), p 328 quoted in Shriram Sathe, *Aryans: Who Were They? p 20* quoted in *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 24
- <sup>34</sup> Re: *funding by East India company*, refer to F Max Muller, *My Autobiography*, (New York: 1901; reprint New Delhi: Asian Educational Services, 1993), pp 13, 201-202, 216 quoted in *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 24
- <sup>35</sup> Source: Life and Letters of Max Muller, Vol II, Ch XXXII, p 339 quoted in Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>36</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* New Testament
- <sup>37</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 1249, p 792, p 1143
- <sup>38</sup> Nay a negative answer Oxford Dictionary p 1237
- <sup>39</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* New Testament
- <sup>40</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 1099
- <sup>41</sup> Source: *Gospel of Thomas* as quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n
- <sup>42</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 1928, p 77
- <sup>43</sup> Source: *Myth of St Thomas & Mylapore Shiva Temple*, Ishwar Sharan, p 76 n 36
- <sup>44</sup> Source: Hindu Voice, Mumbai, Aug 2004, p 25
- <sup>45</sup> *Thomas Jefferson* (1743-1826) 3rd President of the US 1801-9; he played key role in the American leadership during the War of Independence and was the principal drafter of the Declaration of Independence (1776) [p 979] *damnation* condemnation to eternal punishment in *hell* [p 463] *eternal* lasting or existing forever; without end or beginning [p 631] *capricious* given to sudden and unaccountable changes of mood or behaviour [p 271] *vindictive* having or showing a strong or unreasoning desire for *revenge* [p 2062] [Oxford Dictionary]
- <sup>46</sup> Source: *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva temple*, p 120
- <sup>47</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora<sup>47</sup> 2, Aayat 193, al-Bakra ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah
- <sup>48</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 2, Aayat 216, al-Bakra ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah
- <sup>49</sup> Source: Qur'an Majeed, p 231, Soora 4 an-Nisa, Aayat 56, revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah



---

<sup>50</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 256 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 12 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah [*Maim* wound or injure (someone) so that part of the body is permanently damaged] [*Limb* arm or leg of a person or four-legged animal, or a bird's wing]

<sup>51</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 15-18 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah [*Slay* kill (a person or animal) in a violent way. *Smite* strike with a firm blow]

<sup>52</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 8, Aayat 39, al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>53</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 259 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 67 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>54</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 259, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 2-3, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah [*Woeful* characterized by, expressive of, or causing sorrow or *misery*]

<sup>55</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 262, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 7, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>56</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 39, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>57</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 41, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>58</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255 Qur'an Soora 9 Aayat 73 at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah [*Hapless* (especially of a person) unfortunate]

<sup>59</sup> Source: Qur'an Majeed, p 388, Soora 9 At-Tauba, Aayat 111, revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah [*Torah* (in Judaism) the law of God as revealed to Moses and recorded in the first five books of the Hebrew scriptures (the Pentateuch) Oxford Dictionary p 1955

*Gospel* 1) the teaching or revelation of Christ 2) the record of Christ's life and teachings in the first four books of the New Testament [the four Gospels ascribed to St Matthew, St Mark, St Luke, and St John] Oxford Dictionary p 792

*Koran* [Quran, *Qur'an*] the Islamic sacred book, believed to be the *word of God* as directed to Muhammad by the archangel *Gabriel* and written down in Arabic. The Qur'an consists of 114 units of varying lengths, known as Suras; the first sura is said as part of the ritual prayer. These *touch upon all aspects of human existence*, including matters of doctrine, social organization, and legislation Oxford Dictionary p 1019

*Gabriel* (In the Bible) the archangel who foretold the birth of Jesus to Virgin Mary (Luke 1:26-38), and who also appeared to Zacharias, father of John the Baptist, and to Daniel; (in *Islam*) the archangel who revealed the Qur'an to the Prophet Muhammad Oxford Dictionary p 749]

<sup>60</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 123, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>61</sup> Source: *A Hindu View of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 44

<sup>62</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 266, Qur'an Soora 22, Aayat 19-21, al-Hazz ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>63</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 256 Qur'an Soora 47, Aayat 4-15, Muhammad ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah [*Perdition* (in Christian theology) a state of eternal punishment and damnation into which a sinful and unrepentant person passes after death; *penitent* feeling or showing sorrow and regret for having done wrong; repentant

<sup>64</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, preface p xv

<sup>65</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 258 Qur'an Soora 48 Aayat 29 al-Fath ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

<sup>66</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 262, Qur'an Soora 60, Aayat 4, Al'mumtahana ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

- 
- <sup>67</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 66 Aayat 9 At'tahreem ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah
- <sup>68</sup> Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257, Qur'an Soora 69, Aayat 30-33, al-Hakka ~ Allah to Muhammad at Mecca
- <sup>69</sup> Source: Qur'an 4.91 as quoted in Eminent Historians... p 93 [Note: This verse is in relation to those persons who, having accepted Islam went back to their traditional observances.
- <sup>70</sup> Source: HadIs Saahih Bukhaari 84.57 quoted in *Eminent Historians... pp 93-94 [Hadith [HadIs] a collection of traditions containing sayings of the prophet Muhammad which, with accounts of his daily practice (the Sunna), constitute the major source of guidance for Muslims apart from the Qur'an Oxford Dictionary p 824*  
*Sunna* the traditional portion of the *Muslim law based on Muhammad's words and acts*, accepted (together with the *Qur'an*) as *authoritative* by Muslims Oxford Dictionary p 1861
- <sup>71</sup> Source: HadIs Saahih Bukhaari 82.794-7 and Saahih Muslim 4130-7 as quoted in Eminent Historians - Their Technology, Their Line, Their Fraud, p 94 [Note: Those were the commands of Prophet Muhammad in respect of the members of the tribe Ukl, some of whom embraced Islam and then left Islam.
- <sup>72</sup> Source: Hindu Voice, August 2004, p 25
- <sup>73</sup> *Hew* chop, cut with axe [Collins English Gem Dictionary p 246]
- <sup>74</sup> *Graven image* a carved idol or representation of a god used as an object of worship [Oxford Dictionary p 801]
- <sup>75</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>76</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 1291 p 504
- <sup>77</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>78</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>79</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>80</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>81</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>82</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>83</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 645
- <sup>84</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>85</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 1272
- <sup>86</sup> *Dash* strike or fling something somewhere with great force, especially so as to have a destructive effect [Oxford Dictionary p 468]
- <sup>87</sup> *Ravish* force a woman to have sexual intercourse against her will [Oxford Dictionary p 1541]
- <sup>88</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>89</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 966
- <sup>90</sup> Source: *Christian Bible* Old Testament
- <sup>91</sup> Source: *Oxford Dictionary* p 1228
- <sup>92</sup> Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol I, Ch XVI, p 378 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>93</sup> Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol II, Ch XXXIV, pp 415-6 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>94</sup> Location: Cambridge University, England, Lecture 1 Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 6

- 
- <sup>95</sup> Source: *Modern India and the Indians*, M Williams, 3rd edition 1879, p 261 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>96</sup> Source: *Modern India and the Indians*, M Williams, third edition 1879, p 262 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>97</sup> Note added to the 4th edition: If you want to know Jesus Christ better please refer to Book-10 *That Unknown Face of Christianity*
- <sup>98</sup> Source: *The Christian Intelligence*, Calcutta, March 1870, p 79 and A F R H quoted in *The Arya Samaj* by Lajpat Rai, 1932, p 42 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>99</sup> Source: *Some Problems of Indian Literature*, Calcutta 1925, p 61 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>100</sup> Source: *History of Indian Literature*, 1927, p 79 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>101</sup> Source: Ripley's '*Believe it or Not*', Part I, 26th edition, Pocketbooks Inc., New York, p 14 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>102</sup> Source: vide '*Ethics of India*', E W Hopkins, New Haven, 1924, Preface, pp x-xi quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>103</sup> Source: *A History of Science*, Cambridge University Press, 1948, 4th edition, p 8 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>104</sup> *Rhinoplasty* plastic surgery performed on the nose [Oxford Dictionary, p 1592]
- <sup>105</sup> Source: *History of Sanskrit Literature*, Prof MacDonnell, quote in *Vastu Relevance to Modern Times*, Niranjan Babu, p 148
- <sup>106</sup> Source: *Stephen Knapp, The Secret Teachings of the Vedas*, 1986, p 26 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>107</sup> Source: *Stephen Knapp, The Secret Teachings of the Vedas*, 1986, p 26 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>108</sup> Source: *Stephen Knapp, The Secret Teachings of the Vedas*, 1986, p 26 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt
- <sup>109</sup> Source: *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought* by Michel Danino, this paper was presented at a seminar on *Decolonization and its Cultural Problems* organized by N V Krishna Warrior Smaraka Trust at Tripunithura, Kerala, on 9-10 October 1999 quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003
- <sup>110</sup> Source: Michel Danino, Email 23 January 2005
- <sup>111</sup> Source: *The Times of India*, Mumbai, 20 November 2004, editorial
- <sup>112</sup> Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 quoting from *fragments historiques sur l'Inde*, Voltaire, *Euvres Completes*, Hachette, Paris, 1893, vol. 29, p 386
- <sup>113</sup> Scriptures the sacred writings of Christianity contained in the Bible Oxford Dictionary p 1672
- <sup>114</sup> Source: *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Monier-Williams, Preface, p IX
- <sup>115</sup> Source: *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Monier-Williams, Preface, p IX-X
- <sup>116</sup> Source: *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Monier-Williams, Preface, p IX-X
- <sup>117</sup> *Copious* abundant in supply or quantity Oxford Dictionary p 405
- <sup>118</sup> Source: Michel Danino, *Arsha Vidya Newsletter*, July 2004, pp 24-5
- <sup>119</sup> *The Student's Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Vaman Shivram Apte, p 468
- <sup>120</sup> *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 57, read with endnotes 43, 44 seemingly referring to Mill's *History*, vol. i. p 371

---

<sup>121</sup> Source: INDIA what can it teach us? Max Muller, p 231, n 44

<sup>122</sup> Source: INDIA what can it teach us? Max Muller, p 231, n 44

<sup>123</sup> Source: INDIA what can it teach us? Max Muller, p 231, n 44

<sup>124</sup> Source: INDIA what can it teach us? Max Muller, p 231, n 44

<sup>125</sup> INDIA what can it teach us? Max Muller, p 57

<sup>126</sup> Cloning the Village Republic, G P Srinivasan, pp 3-4

<sup>127</sup> Refer Seed 2

<sup>128</sup> Refer to Seed 4 for clarification

<sup>129</sup> Cloning the Village Republic, G P Srinivasan, pp 3-4

<sup>130</sup> Clive, Robert ~ He was implicated in the East India Company's *corruption scandals* and committed suicide. Refer: Oxford Dictionary, ISBN 019-565432-3 [2001] p 344

<sup>131</sup> While listening to him I wondered why no one had raised alarm in Canada where I lived for a few years. But that doubt was soon answered as Sw. RaamDeo mentioned pH level of Cola marketed in India as compared to Cola marketed in Western countries. That explained how they were systematically killing our people. Next time I visited my grocer suddenly my eyes fell on a Coca Cola bottle. At the spur of a moment I decided to buy it. Coming home I poured it into the toilet bowl, left it for twenty minutes and then cleaned the surface with a toilet brush. It was the same procedure which I ritually followed with Harpic earlier. I noticed that my experiment had not gone waste. The toilet bowl was as clean and white as Harpic would do it for me. I compared the quantity I used for Coca Cola with the quantity of Harpic I would normally use. Then I converted those quantities into Rupee value. I found Coca Cola gave better value for money than Harpic did. In other words, it would be cheaper to use Coca Cola than Harpic for regular toilet cleaning. So, I took a conscious decision to switch to Coca Cola for toilet cleaning as I saw no point spending more on Harpic for the toilet job. I bought the largest size bottle and kept it in my toilet and began using it. But soon I faced with a problem. Guests using my toilet and noticing a big bottle of genuine Coca Cola was a bit embarrassing. So, after sometime I realized that the benefit derived from cost saving was relatively marginal if compared to the nonmonetary embarrassment factor. Those made me stop using Coca Cola switching back to Harpic. I never tried Pepsi and I think Sw. RaamDeo mentioned of Coca Cola that evening. 20 Jan 2008.

<sup>132</sup> Many-many months later, I noticed that "now" Coca Cola advertisement campaign claims that Coca Cola "is" same all over the world. They did not put up such claim in those days when Sw. RaamDeo consistently declared in public that acid contents in Coca Cola were dangerously high for human consumption. They did not put up such claim despite the fact that their sales had touched rock bottom with eroding public confidence. They must have been guilty of a social crime against our people.

Initially they tried to resolve the issue in different way. Suddenly a Communist woman named Brinda Karat surfaced and became a hot news item overnight. Communists are always on the Sale and so are media. Funds flowed with front men masking the true source and media was agog with the stories to discredit Sw RaamDeo. But they did not realize the fighter within him. He soon challenged them publicly and appeared in a television debate that was telecast nationwide. While the conspirators had hoped that he would be finished, Sw RaamDeo proved to be rather hard nut to crack. Instead, he cracked the opponents' organized attack. He singly took them all head-on and their doctored conspiracies fell through. There is a lesson that Hindus should take from this. Fight back. These rogues are not worthy of your compassion. If you do not defeat their fabrications they will destroy you. No more time to remain on the defensive. If you stay defensive you will be cornered to the wall. If you bow down, they will put their foot on your head and bury you through the ground. The Kshatriya spirit in you has been lost, you got to revive that.

---

Now days Coca Cola has launched another face-lifting campaign. When the music fell into my ears it sounded Raam and was very appealing, riding on the waves of Hindu classical music. This made me curious to watch through the whole sponsored ad. It seems, now they want to make Hindus feel that they are so much part of them, they are not really “phoren”. But then I must caution you that what they show is not what they are. They can never be your own and so long you remain unaware they will coolly cut your stomach if not your throat. 20 Jan 2008.

<sup>133</sup> Refer to Seed 5 refer to *Christianity in a different Light - Face behind the Mask*

<sup>134</sup> Some kid came from Canada with some high profile government delegation and expressed his concern about the child labour problem in India ~ soon the “chamchaas” Christianized Media of India was pouring praise on the young lad ~ some idiots made public announcements that legislation should deal with child labour problem ~ hoardings started appearing ~ but these cartoons did not think of giving them the bread that they truly needed ~ in fact these advocates of child labour issues would be the one who would be filling their stomach at the cost of those kids who are labouring. 20 January 2008.

<sup>135</sup> Cloning the Village Republic, G P Srinivasan, pp 3-4

<sup>136</sup> Refer Seed 2

<sup>137</sup> INDIA what can it teach us? Max Muller, p 50

<sup>138</sup> INDIA what can it teach us? Max Muller, p 50

<sup>139</sup> Cloning the Village Republic, G P Srinivasan, pp 3-4

<sup>140</sup> Cloning the Village Republic, G P Srinivasan, pp 3-4

<sup>141</sup> Cloning the Village Republic, G P Srinivasan, pp 3-4

<sup>142</sup> Cloning the Village Republic, G P Srinivasan, pp 3-4

<sup>143</sup> quoted by G P Srinivasan in *Cloning the Village Republic* p 6

<sup>144</sup> Refer Seed 5

<sup>145</sup> Refer Seed 1

<sup>146</sup> Refer Seed 2

<sup>147</sup> It is a Hindu way of seeking advice from / blessings of elders.

<sup>148</sup> In Canada, they call it first language, not mother tongue; mother does not occupy significant place in their overall value system that they could ascribe their first language to the mother

<sup>149</sup> Telecast sometime during first half of year 2004.

<sup>150</sup> Can you imagine a “kingly state” in today’s background with Sukhoi flying every day in that TV serial! They fake without shame

<sup>151</sup> Kingly characters in the days of Sukhoi fighter planes—how imaginative for writer, director, producer trio!

<sup>152</sup> "IN the first such “candid admission” by any Pakistani head of state, President Asif Ali Zardari has said that militants and extremists were “deliberately created and nurtured” in the country as a policy to achieve “some short-term tactical objectives”. But these militants and extremists began to “haunt” the country in the post-9/11 era, Zardari said during an interactive meeting with former senior civil servants at the presidency on Tuesday night. Militants and extremists emerged on the national scene and challenged the state not because the civil bureaucracy was weakened and demoralised, but because they “were deliberately created and nurtured as a policy to achieve some short-term tactical objectives,” he said. “Let us be truthful to ourselves and make a candid admission of the realities,” Zardari said. “The terrorists of today were the heroes of yesteryears until 9/11

---

occurred and they began to haunt us as well," he added. His admission came days after he said in an interview that the Pakistan Army would even target militants it had backed in the past for use as a proxy force against India." The Free Press Journal, Mumbai, 9 July 2009, front page

<sup>153</sup> Telecast sometime during Mar/Apr 2005 (This serial was running in 2004 and its popularity is indicated by the fact that it is running till now in 2008 and continues to influence the thought process of the audience comprising mostly of Hindu viewers).

<sup>154</sup> This serial was running, I think, in 2000 and its immense popularity is indicated by the fact that it is running till now in 2008 and continues to influence the thought process of the audience which comprises vast number of Hindu viewers.

<sup>155</sup> if at all I am mistaken about the name then it would be the contemporary serial the #2 *Ghar-Ghar ki Kahaani* (I am sure it could be no other)

<sup>156</sup> Pathos is the noun for the adjective pathetic

<sup>157</sup> I have no better adjective for them considering their lowly level of their evolution and understanding

<sup>158</sup> I have no better adjective for them considering their lowly level of their evolution and understanding

<sup>159</sup> Refer to Volume II: That Unknown Face of Christianity (2005)

<sup>160</sup> I am not referring to self-proclaimed secularists of our country (they wouldn't be part of "all eyes" for they would be struggling with their hangover from Saturday night's drink and sex session)

<sup>161</sup> This particular para was added later 12-1-2008 (also Refer *Judaism Christianity Islam Secularism Hinduism (2004)*)

<sup>162</sup> Probably because they were primarily aiming at a *HatimTai* *हातिम ताई* type fiction which they had recently telecast with some success! That is probably why you would find plenty of tales in their Prithvi Raaj as were in HatimTai. It perhaps did not matter to them that while HatimTai may have been an imaginative character, Prithvi Raaj has been a living legend. So, they made Prithvi Raaj (while a minor) do plenty of such heroic deeds as HatimTai. They would claim that they have taken *PrithviRaaj Raaso* of *Chand Bardaai* as the base. However, they refrain to clarify how much has been modified or added by scriptwriter on their own to make it appealing or whatever. When there are number of versions of *PrithviRaaj Raaso* by *Chand Bardaai* floating around, which one have they consulted is also becomes a question <sup>6-8-2008</sup>

<sup>163</sup> Treachery/cheating came naturally to Muslims. It began with founder of Islam, who not only practiced it, but also documented clear instructions to effect in Qur'an calling it Allah's word. If you seek quotes from Qur'an in evidence, you are welcome to read my other works.

<sup>164</sup> Documented evidence can be found later in this book itself

<sup>165</sup> Except Islam, and therefore, both had been on loggerheads all the while, thirsty for each other's blood

<sup>166</sup> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prithviraj\\_Chauhan](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prithviraj_Chauhan) <sup>6-1-2008</sup>

<sup>167</sup> By treachery of yet another Muslim named Muhammad Gori

<sup>168</sup> which has recently ended on television screen and now he looks grown-up, and likely to continue scriptwriter given habit of Shukria

<sup>169</sup> When any aspect of modern technology attains any significant height they call it *State of Art Technology* thereby admitting superiority of Art over Science but many of you who have blind faith in modern science fail to understand this <sup>5-8-2008</sup>

---

<sup>170</sup> Capital R in NaaRi indicated that R needs to be pronounced as *नाड़ी* with a hard tone, not as *नारी* with a soft tone

<sup>171</sup> Pronounced *नाड़ी* but then some people would want to write it as Nadi which would lead to pronunciation *नदी*  
– hope you see the obvious difference between *नाड़ी* and *नदी*

<sup>172</sup> you don't believe it ~ well, read *Seed-2* ~ you'll find there documented evidence from foreigners (because your own people you do not want to believe in) <sup>6-8-2008</sup>

<sup>173</sup> Vaidis and their inexpensive and yet very effective system

<sup>174</sup> Thanks to <http://www.intelindia.com/videostore/images/mahabharatbox.jpg> 2009-08-16 03:33 Yahoo Search