

कायेन वाचा मनसेन्द्रियैर्वा, बुध्यात्मना वा प्रकृतेः स्वभावात्।
करोमि यद्यद् सकलं परस्मै, नारायणायेति समर्पयामि।।

series: religion and human conduct

These documented
results of 4-Varn वर्ण
system can make you
proud of your Hindu
heritage

first published in August 2004 as part of *Gita Today*
current edition July 2005

Rs 5

Postage will be extra as books will be sent by VPP and you can pay to the Postman. This 56-page *subsidized* 1st edition is not a commercial publication. Those readers who find this work in service to the *Hindu society* they may wish to promote it further and reach it to other like minded people. They can charge more than Rs 5 to recover their costs.

Facts do not change, interpretations do

Maanoj Rakhit

auditing & reinterpreting religion & history

Often people advocate that we must crush Aasuric tendencies within our inner self. These people often forget to tell us that we must crush the external Aasuric forces that keep surrounding us. Result is, those people who are already with more of Dhaarmic propensities within them, they work towards destroying the less of Adhaarmic propensities within them. On the other hand, those with more of Adhaarmic propensities within them, they do not listen to this advice, and work towards destroying the less of Dhaarmic propensities within them. Thus, people with more of Dhaarmic propensities within them tend to become all the more Dhaarmic. And, people with more of Adhaarmic propensities within them tend to become more Adhaarmic. In the end, Adharm grows so much that it overpowers Dharm. So we see the folly of such teaching. No doubt that Dhaarmic people must increase their Dhaarmic tendencies, and destroy the Adhaarmic tendencies 'within' them. But it is no less important that they destroy the external Adhaarmic Aasuric forces surrounding them. Actually, it is more important because when this is ignored and our focus is inwardly, the external Adhaarmic forces grow so much that they charge the whole environment. And no one; repeat no one, can fully remain unaffected by the environment that is charged with Adhaarmic propensities, if they are part of that environment. This is where the environment takes precedence over inner self. First, the environment must be cleaned, and then the focus should be turned inward. But most often those who preach often preach the opposite. Thus, they help grow the good within few, which helps not the humanity on the whole. These few, who grow inwardly, tend to become indifferent towards the external environment. As the number of such people increases, the human race gets polarized. Many with Adhaarmic propensities stay together on one side, while many others with Dhaarmic propensities turn indifferent; thus, those with Adhaarmic tendencies forge ahead unabated. For a Student and for a Householder the first responsibility is towards the Hindu society of which he or she is a part of, and therefore, his or her resources should first be employed towards cleaning the external environment surrounding them. Once that is ensured only then the focus should be inwards and self-centered for uplifting the Self or self realization whatever name you may want to assign such activity. For Sannyasis self realization is the goal. But in a time like ours when Sanaatan Dharm itself is in danger, their first duty is towards the society which looks after their upkeep. Think of it this way: if this society does not remain intact and well guided by Sanaatan Dharm, who will then take care of their upkeep. If they themselves have to take care of their food, cloth, shelter will they be able to devote themselves fully towards self realization?

Contents

The infamous Caste system 10

Hard Facts of undeniable authenticity 12

Those face saving approaches by Christian educated Hindu apologists who try to explain away what they remotely understand 26

Shoodr and Untouchable were NOT same 31

Genetics & Environment theory do not explain it fully 35

Importance of pedigree 42

Hindu FamilyDharm 46

Marriages under Hindu Joint Family system vs. modern marriages 49

Notes that apply to the entire work

What is in a मंत्र Mantr (Mantra)? Why is it that it must be repeated again and again, over and again? Like ॐ Om your new Mantr ought to be भारतवर्ष BhaaratVarsh. Every time you think of your nation you must think of it as BhaaratVarsh ~ it must become your second nature. If you write it as India, if you call it as India, if you see it in print again and again written as India you cannot truly perceive it as BhaaratVarsh. In quoted text, throughout this work, term India and Indian have been substituted by term BhaaratVarsh and Bhaartiya respectively. Exceptions are titles of the books and names of the organizations, only to avoid any confusion with regard to their identity. Quoted texts, throughout this work, will have some words highlighted with bold or underlined to hold readers' attention to those words. Quoted texts, throughout this work, will have some insertions like [*text matter] with a view to help readers with greater clarity. My use of term his would generally mean his/her unless the context demands otherwise.

Notes on transliteration: My English spellings of Sanskrit terms are different from those commonly seen in print. These are my reasons: We have a Sanskrit phonetic tradition according to which ending vowels are pronounced. We also have an English phonetic tradition according to which 'a' at the end of any word is generally pronounced as long-a आ. In an attempt to keep up with the Sanskrit phonetic tradition we tend to use ending 'a' quite often totally ignoring the English phonetic tradition. When a reader pronounces the Sanskrit term written in English alphabet, he naturally uses the English phonetic tradition simply because he is reading it in English. This practice of indiscriminate use of tailing-a in the end of practically every Sanskrit term written in English has defeated the very purpose of its implementation. We see today en-mass distortion in Sanskrit pronunciations. Therefore, do not expect me to use the tailing-a, because it does more harm than any good. योग has become योगा ~ कर्म has become कर्मा ~ धर्म has become धर्मा ~ वेद has become वेदा ~ male कृष्ण has become female कृष्णा (Draupadi) ~ राम has become a woman of low origin रामा. In Mahabharata 'a' appears in five places. Reader has no visual clue as to whether all represent short-a, or all represent long-a, or some represent short and some long-a. Reader should not be expected to go hunting for an expert. Reader should have the necessary visual clue built-in the spelling itself. In such situations, I prefer to take a different approach. I write MahaaBhaarat. You might ask why I write my name as Maanoj मानोज whereas Sanskrit term is Manoj मनोज. It is because I have chosen my name to be मानोज not मनोज knowingly that it is not same as the Sanskrit term. Let us use the same analogy. Shri Krishn कृष्ण did not chose his name as Krishna कृष्णा or Shri Raam राम did not chose his name as Rama रामा. The principle is quite simple: *write as you want it pronounced.*

Quoted References *Holy Bible, Pocket-Size Edition, King James Version, Pilot Books, Athens, Georgia, Broadman & Holman Publishers, Belgium, 1996 *Ishwar Sharan, *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva temple*, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1995 *Max Muller, *INDIA what can it teach us?* Penguin Books India, New Delhi 2000 *Michel Danino/Sujata Nahar, *The Invasion That Never Was*, The Mother's Institute of Research, Delhi and Mira Aditi, Mysore *N S Rajaram, *A Hindu View of the World-Essays in the intellectual Kshatriya Tradition*, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1998 *The New Oxford Dictionary of English, Indian edition, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2001

The infamous Caste system

Every time the word Caste System is uttered it puts Hindus on the defensive

Centuries long Christian missionary propaganda followed by CommunistMarxist intermittent offensive has made the word Caste a dreaded one for the Hindus. Therefore, anti-Hindu and self-professed Hindu reformers do not miss an opportunity to drop this dreaded word Caste whenever they want Hindus to take a retreat. Bhaaratiya Christianized media makes it a point to constantly keep this word Caste into focus every now and then as if Hinduism and Caste system were synonymous. Given this scenario, I urge you to think about it differently.

The very thought of Caste system triggers an image in your mind that feels like an epitome of Social Injustice

If Hindu society practiced social injustice at such massive scale as it is made out to be then all those foreign visitors must have noticed it and mentioned it. But what did they do? Each of them invariably praised Hindu Justice.

Now, it is a matter of plain common sense that the following two contradictions cannot simply exist together. One that Hindu society practiced gross social injustice. Other that Hindu justice and love for truth were unmatched by any civilization of which any such documentation is available.

Naturally, one of them is the liar but which one? Either all those foreign visitors from 4th century BC onwards joined together from their graves to conspire and praise Hindu justice and its love for truth. Or all those Christian missionary educators conspired to systematically tarnish the image of Hinduism. And all those Christian educators harvested new crops of educators, generation after generation, which continued to carry out the legacy of those liars and conspirers.

Now this happens to be only one aspect of the massive conspiracy and social fraud committed by them. As you will proceed through this work and other works of mine you will see on how many fronts they have worked to destroy a civilization that was superior to their own. As they could not raise themselves to the level of this great civilization, they chose to pull it down below the level of their own. They worked at it systematically and consistently spreading their wings in every possible direction to consign this great civilization to the oblivion. And, as you will read their own admissions, the most amazing thing that you will learn is that it was not their nationality which drove them to do so but their religious faith lead them to destroy this civilization. If you truly believe that Caste system is the biggest Curse on Hinduism and that it destroyed

Hinduism then I invite you to use your head that the Creator of this Universe has place on your shoulder and think about what I have to say.

What does the very thought of Caste system represent? Does it not speak of Gross Social Injustice towards a very large section of Humanity?

If yes, then let that be the premise on which you hold your believe system and let me challenge that very premise of yours. You have been told by your educators how bad Caste system is. You keep on reading and hearing different kinds of news items presented by the media on a regular basis that keep cementing your thought process that Caste system, indeed, is the nuisance.

So let us examine your belief system that the Caste system is an evil so far a social structure is concerned

And before you proceed you must remember that this particular thought process has been inducted into Hindu psyche only 170 years ago when the rural based ancient Hindu education system was eliminated from roots and replaced by urban based Christian Missionary education system in 1835 which had a specific mission, and that was to convert English educated Hindus into non-idolater so that the first brick can be laid firmly towards building a ChristianizedHindu society.

That was what Macaulay, again, summarized best when he proudly wrote his father in 1836: Macaulay: Our English schools are flourishing wonderfully ... It is my belief that if our plans of education are followed up, there will not be a single idolater among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence. [Michel Danino, *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought* quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003]

Now I invite you to take a special note of the fact that all the testimonies that I am going to present hereafter are

(a) not by people who had a specific mission (b) not by people who subscribed to a single ideology (c) not by people who were part of a single theology (d) not by people who were in any way connected with each other by an invisible thread (e) not by people who had any reason to carry any special leaning in favor of the Hindus (f) not by people who could be accused by any stretch of imagination that they were working together towards building a specific image of the Hindus.

On the other hand, as you will proceed through this work you will come to notice very clearly that the opposite of this can be attributed to those people who have been consistently working at tarnishing the image of the Hindus. I would not expect you to be impartial because your minds have been preprogrammed by your educators and media exposures. Yet if you have some ability left with you to think on your own instead of

being guided by interested parties then you will be able to see some light. But if you cannot I would not blame you any further. I would simply let it go realizing that you have been brainwashed beyond repair.

Hindu society's foundation was based on 4-Varn System: Braahman, Kshatriya, Vaishya, and Shoodr Varn. 16th century Christian missionaries coined a new term for this: Caste system. Let us see why they did it

Caste is not a Bhaaratiya word but a derogatory epithet introduced by Portuguese (*Christian) Missionaries in the 16th century to describe the Hindu society. [N S Rajaram, p 161]

Christian missionaries soon found out that Hindus would not trust them as they trusted Braahmans. They also realized that they could not even dream to bring themselves up to the level of Braahmans. They could think of only one way that happened to have become their second nature since the very inception of Christianity. That is Intellectual, Historical and Social Frauds [see *Christianity in a different Light Volume II*]. So they decided to tarnish the image of Braahmans.

Xavier wrote in another letter to the Society of Jesus: If it were not for the Braahmans, we should have all the heathens embracing our faith. [Sita Ram Goel, *St Francis Xavier, The Man and His Mission*, quoted by Ishwar Sharan, p 80]

19th century Macaulay introduced Christian Missionary English education system in BhaaratVarsh. Christian educators slowly poisoned the minds of unsuspecting Hindu children against Braahmans [see *Do your history books tell you these facts*].

20th century Nehru dynasty pampered MarxistFakeSecularist educators who continued the process with greater enthusiasm. Education system, news media, magazines, cinema, and every other possible medium of communication to people were used. With continued repetition every one came to believe that Hindu society practiced gross social injustice in the name of Caste system.

Hard Facts of undeniable authenticity

Now look at these documented testimonies that give you a totally opposite picture. These testimonies were carefully kept out of your view for long, though they existed all along! You must read them and ask yourself a question: Can the foundation of Hindu society be based on gross social injustice when "justice and truthfulness" has been the lifeblood of the Hindu Society through the ages?

Now, it is quite true that during the two thousand years which precede the time of Mahmoud of Ghazni, BhaaratVarsh has had but (*not) few foreign visitors, and few foreign critics; still it is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek, or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first. [Max Muller, p 50]

Just find me any account of love for truthfulness and justice in the entire history of Christian societies. The condition is that you have to find it not from what they write about themselves but what others have written about them; the same way as I am presenting you the evidence not from what Hindus have written about themselves but what others have written about them.

The term 'Bhaaratiya' refers to Hindus in all these statements and should not be confused unduly. Even Max Muller has titled the whole chapter as *Truthful Character of the Hindus*.

Friedrich Max Muller fills 37 pages with examples of Hindu Justice and Hindu love for Truth and he says that he can go on quoting many-many more. To top it he has titled the chapter as *Truthful Character of the Hindus* in his book *INDIA what can it teach us?*

Do you think a society could have all along practiced gross social injustice towards its own people, a society whose "whole literature from one end to the other" is pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth?

I have left to the last of the witness who might otherwise have been suspected – I mean the Hindus themselves. The whole of their literature from one end to the other is pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth. [Max Muller, p 59]

Look at the literature of the Christian societies and see how well the character of that society is reflected through their literature. If there has been any kind of gross social injustice towards humanity you do not have to look any where else, you will find it right there in the history of Christian societies only if you have studied them sufficiently well. However, if you base your notions on what they show you then I am sorry to say, you have to learn lot more of their deceptive character and deceitful nature.

Hindu Justice could not have been "exemplary" to the Greeks of 5th century BC if Hindu social structure was truly based on a system of gross social injustice towards the vast majority of its own people. This is nothing but plain logic

Ktesias, the famous Greek physician of Artaxerxes Mnemon (present at the battle of Cunaxa, **404 BC**), the first Greek writer who tells us anything about the character of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), such as

he heard it described at the Persian court, has a special chapter "On the justice of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus)". [*Ktesiae Fragmenta* (ed. Didot), p 81 quoted by Max Muller, p 51 p 230]

Now tell me, how is it that Megasthenes documented in 4th century BC that "no Hindu was a slave" in a society that practiced gross social injustice in form of infamous Caste system?

Megasthenes, the ambassador of Selucus Nicator at the court of Sandrocottus in Palibothra (PaataliPutr, the modern Patna), states that thefts were extremely rare, and they honored truth as virtue. [*Indian Antiquary*, 1876, p 333 *Megasthenis Fragmenta* (ed. Didot) in *Fragm. Histor. Graec.* Vol. ii. p 426 quoted by Max Muller, p 51 p 231]

Megasthenes, for example, who visited the Maurya court at PaataliPutr in the **4th century BC**, noted: All Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus) are free, and none of them is a slave... Further, they respect alike virtue and truth... [Michel Danino, p 23 referring to Megasthenes, quoted by Greek historians Arrian and strabo, in R C Majumdar, *The Classical Accounts of India* (Calcutta: Firma KLM, 1981), pp 224, 218, 270]

Slavery and gross social injustice in one form or the other has been rampant in Christian societies, and those very people have been pointing finger at our Hindu society.

The "Hindu self-governance" that Arrian spoke of in the 2nd century happened to be a superior form of peoples' democracy as compared to the present day manipulative democracy. And when he spoke of "Hindus never lie" you need to understand first what kind of character is needed for that. If you are capable of visualizing the quality of that character then you will have no trace of doubt left that collectively such people could NOT have practiced for millenniums the so-called gravest social injustice on the face of this earth

Arrian (in the **2nd century**, the pupil of Epictetus), when speaking of the public overseers or superintendents in (*Hindu) BhaaratVarsh, says: They oversee what goes on in the country or towns, and report everything to the king, where the people have a king, and to the magistrates, where the people are self-governed, and it is against use and wont for these to give in a false report; but indeed no Bhaaratiya (*Hindu) is accused of lying. [*Indica*, cap. xii. 6. *McCrinkle in Indian Antiquary*, 1876, p 92 quoted by Max Muller, p 51 p 231]

How is it that a society, accused of practicing gross social injustice towards its own people, has been praised by Hiouen-thsang in the 7th century putting it on record "with regard to justice, Hindus make even excessive concessions"? Think for a moment about the people who "never grabbed anything unjustly" from other peoples' wealth, would they have practiced gross social injustice

towards the same very people? And again, think, the people who made "excessive concessions with regard to justice" would they have practiced gross social injustice towards own people?

"The Chinese, who come next in order of time, bear the same, believe, unanimous testimony in favor of the honesty and veracity (*truthfulness) of the Hindus. Let me quote Hiouen-thsang, the most famous of the Chinese Buddhist pilgrims, who visited India in **7th century**. "Though the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus)," he writes, "are of a light temperament, they are distinguished by the straightforwardness and honesty of their character. With regard to riches, they never take anything unjustly; with regard to justice, they make even excessive concessions ... Straightforwardness is the distinguishing feature of their administration". [Max Muller, p 51]

You must realize one thing clearly: if you mix light and darkness together they can never coexist. The light will dispel darkness or the darkness will engulf the light. They can coexist only in small measures together. For instance, throughout darkness there can be one lamp giving little bit of light. Or, in an array of light there may a small corner where darkness prevails because light does not penetrate there. But it is not possible that abundance of light and immense magnitude of darkness can stay together at one place. It is simply not possible. Much the same such abundance of love for truth and justice and such immense magnitude of social injustice as depicted through Caste system could not have stayed together. **Only one of them could be true not both simultaneously.**

Once again, it has been said by Idrisi in the 11th century that "Hindus are naturally inclined to justice and never depart from it in their actions". How could they have allowed social injustice of the kind that they were accused of by Christian educators and Communist educators?

If we turn to the accounts given by the Mohammedan conquerors of BhaaratVarsh, we find Idrisi, in his Geography (written in the **11th century**), summing up their opinion of the Bhaaratiyas in the following words: "The Bhaaratiyas are naturally inclined to justice, and never depart from it in their actions. Their good faith, honesty, and fidelity to their engagements are well known, and they are so famous for these qualities that people flock to their country from every side". [Elliot, *History of India*, vol. i. p 88 quoted by Max Muller, pp 51-52, p 231]

Were they educators of lies? What was their profession? Was it to fill their students' heads with lies? And why did they hide all such information that could have told the Hindus that they were all born liars?

Here you see Marco Polo in the 13th century describing "Braahmans as the most truthful for they would not tell a lie for anything on earth". And, those very same Braahmans have been

accused of all kinds of social jugglery and were termed as most wicked among the Hindus

In the **13th century** we have the testimony of Marco Polo, who thus speaks of Abraiaman, a name by which he seems to mean the **Braahmans** who, though not traders by profession, might have been employed for great commercial transactions by the king. This was particularly the case during times which the Braahmans would call times of distress, when many things were allowed which at other times were forbidden by the laws. "You must know," Marco Polo, says, "that these Abraiaman are the best merchants in the world, and the most truthful, for they would not tell a lie for anything on earth". [*Marco Polo*, ed. H. Yule, vol. ii. p 350 quoted by Max Muller, p 52 p 231]

Now ask yourself, who have been lying all along? These people from different origins, from different centuries, who came and visited the Hindus and came to invariably the same conclusion? Or, Christian missionaries who had the hidden agenda to convert Hindus into Christianity by hook or crook? Or, CommunistMarxist historians of AMU and JNU who had a hidden agenda to spread their wings so they could keep their illegitimately acquired hold over Hindu society? All fraudsters have a brotherhood kind of feeling and they support each other. This is what these Christians and Communists had been doing but simpleton Hindus did not see through their foul play. Wake up my Hindu nation and try to understand their game plan

On the other hand, only three centuries later, the Saint of Christianity name Francis Xavier of 16th century (we will soon see what other people of 16th century have to say) calls Hindu Braahmans as perverse, wicked and crafty men and terms all Hindus as unholy race. Such happens to be the character of a Saint of Christianity. So, you can well imagine what would be the character of the lesser ones than a Saint.

Xavier wrote in another letter to the Society of Jesus, "There are in these parts among the pagans a class of men called Braahmans. They are as perverse and wicked a set as can anywhere be found, and to whom applies the Psalm, which says: 'From an unholy race, and wicked and crafty men, deliver me, Lord.'" Xavier wrote in another letter to the Society of Jesus: If it were not for the Braahmans, we should have all the heathens embracing our faith. [*Sita Ram Goel, St Francis Xavier, The Man and His Mission*, quoted by Ishwar Sharan, p 80]

When their ulterior motives are not fulfilled they tarnish the image of others and in doing so they reveal their own 'wicked and crafty nature'. The same applies to the ChristianEnglish educated ChristianizedHindus and CommunistMarxist thinkers who adopted such questionable methods to raise their own social status by fraudulently reducing others.

Bedi Ezz Zenan in the 13th century spoke of "Hindus Free of all

deceit and violence". But Hindu Braahmans have been accused by Christians and Communists for deceit and violence in form of gross social injustice!

Again in the **13th century**, Shems-ed din Abu Abdallah quotes the following judgment of Bedi Ezr Zenan: "The Bhaaratiyas are innumerable, like grains of sand, free from all deceit and violence. They fear neither death nor life." [Mehren, *Manuel de la Cosmographie du moyen age, traduction de Shems-ed-din Abou Abdallah de Damas*, Paris, Leroux, 1874, p 391 quoted by Max Muller, p 257]

Friar Jordanus in the 14th century speaks of "Hindus True in Speech and Eminent in Justice". But same Hindus are accused of gross social injustice by our Christian educators and Marxist intellectuals.

In the **14th century** we have Friar Jordanus, who goes out of his way to tell us that the people of Lesser BhaaratVarsh (South and Western) are true in speech and eminent in justice." [*Marco Polo*, ed. H. Yule, vol. ii. p 350 quoted by Max Muller, p 52 p 231]

Must you continue to respect these liars who committed social fraud on you? Ask Hindus, ask yourself. Don't you owe at least, that much to yourself? How long do you want to live under the spell of these crooks that taught you nothing but lie and called themselves as educators and intellectuals?

Akbar's Muslim Minister Abul Fazl in the 16th century spoke of "Hindu Justice, Truthfulness, and never fly from the battlefield type of character". And, what these Christian educators have taught you all along, that, Hindus were so much divided all the time that they could not face the Muslims. Besides, lowering your self-esteem what else have they given you? Have they given you the knowledge that has benefited you, or have they cheated on you while maintaining a poker face all the while?

In the **16th century**, Abul Fazl, the minister of the Emperor Akbar, says in his Aayine Akbari: "The **Hindus** are religious, affable, cheerful, lovers of justice, given to retirement, able in business, admirers of truth, grateful and of unbounded fidelity; and their soldiers know not what it is to fly from the field of battle." [Samuel Johnson, *India*, p. 294 quoted by Max Muller, p 52 p 231]

Max Muller compares "legendary Hindu honesty with English and French, and finds it superior to the Europeans". He also mentioned that he can go on quoting book after book and you will find that "no one ever accused Hindus of falsehood". Think, my dear fellows, think, can the Truthfulness of this magnitude survive in a society allegedly ridden with social injustice? Or, the allegation itself has been fraudulent, and a fabrication with ulterior motive?

So I could go on quoting from book after book, and again and again we should see how it was the love of truth that struck all the people who came in contact with BhaaratVarsh, as the prominent feature in the national character of its inhabitants. No one ever accused them of falsehood. There must surely be some ground for this, for it is not a remark that is frequently made by travelers in foreign countries, even in our time, that their inhabitants invariably speak the truth. Read the accounts of English travelers in France, and you will find very little said about French honesty and veracity, while French accounts of England are seldom without a fling at Perfidious Albion! Max Muller, p 53

We will deal with those motives, in detail, in our other books. You will see from historical evidence that historical frauds have been so common with Christianity from its very inception that it has become the second nature of Christianity as a religion and those people who practice it.

Warren Hastings [First Governor General of ChristianBritish BhaaratVarsh 1774-1784] spoke of the "Hindus as less prompted to Vengeance for Wrong Inflicted than any people on the face of the earth". This is probably the reason that Hindus continue to tolerate these fraudsters, who have perpetrated social fraud of such magnitude that they have destroyed a great civilization that lasted thousands of years until these crooks arrived on the scene. Expect me not to use better adjectives for them. They should be identified as they have been and as they are.

Warren Hastings thus spoke of **Hindus** in general: "They are gentle and benevolent, more susceptible of gratitude for kindness shown to them, and less prompted to vengeance for wrongs inflicted than any people on the face of the earth; faithful, affectionate, submissive to legal authority." Max Muller, p 56

Bishop Heber spoke of "Hindus as more easily affected by kindness and attention to their wants and feelings than any people he ever met with". This is probably the reason that Hindus respected Christianity looking at handful of honest Christians like these counted few

"The **Hindus** are brave, courteous, intelligent, most eager for knowledge and improvement; sober, industrious, dutiful to parents, affectionate to their children, uniformly gentle and patient, and more easily affected by kindness and attention to their wants and feelings than any people I ever met with". Max Muller, p 56, endnote 38 referring to Samuel Johnson, l. c. p 293

Elphinstone, the Governor of the Bombay Presidency, spoke of "Hindus as more merciful to prisoners than any other Asiatic and their freedom from gross debauchery". People who are merciful and who live a life of purity, they do not indulge into the kind of gross social injustice they have been accused of, by Christian educators and CommunistMarxist educators

The **Hindus** are mild and gentle people, more merciful to prisoners than any other Asiatics. Their freedom from gross debauchery is the point in which they appear to most advantage; and their superiority in purity of manners is not flattering to our self-esteem. [*Elphinstone's History of India*, ed. Cowell, p. 213 quoted by Max Muller, p 56 p 231]

Sir Thomas Munro, the eminent Governor of Madras Presidency, spoke that "Hindus were not inferior to the nations of Europe and he was convinced that England had more to gain if Civilization became an article for trade between BhaaratVarsh and England". Do you think Sir Thomas Munro would have said something like this if our Hindu society was ridden with social injustice of the kind these Christian and Marxist educators have told us, and told the world?

Sir Thomas Munro bears even **stronger testimony**. He writes: "If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and BhaaratVarsh, I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo." [Sir Thomas Munro, Mill's History, vol. i. p. 371 quoted Max Muller, p 57 p 231]

Prof Wilson spoke of "Hindu Honesty at Calcutta Mint superior to mints in other countries". Do you think that a society, which cannot have any social justice within its own social framework, can be made of people, commonly so very honest, as indicated here?

Max Muller continued: I knew the late Professor Wilson, our Boden Professor of Sanskrit at Oxford, for many years, and often listened with deep interest to his reminiscences. Let me read you what he, Professor Wilson, says of his native friends, associates, and servants: 'I lived, both from necessity and choice, very much amongst the Hindus, and had opportunities of becoming acquainted with them in a greater variety of situations than those in which they usually come under the observation of Europeans. In the Calcutta mint, for instance, I was in daily personal communication with a numerous body of artificers, mechanics, and laborers, and always found amongst them cheerful and unwearied industry, good-humored compliance with the will of their superiors, and a readiness to make whatever exertions were demanded from them: there was among them no drunkenness, no disorderly conduct, no insubordination. It would not be true to say that there was no dishonesty, but it was comparatively rare, invariably petty, and much less formidable than, I believe, it is necessary to guard against in other mints in other countries. There was considerable skill and ready docility. So far from there being any servility, there was extreme frankness, and I should say that where there is confidence without fear, frankness is

one of the most universal features in the Indian character. Let the people feel sure of the temper and goodwill of their superiors, and there is an end of reserve and timidity, without the slightest departure from respect...' Max Muller, pp 37-38.

Prof Wilson spoke of Braahman Simplicity truly Childish. Do you think that these Braahmans could have been credited with such childish simplicity if they happened to be such dirty people, who were alleged to have created this treacherous Caste system for their own benefit? Prof Wilson clarifies further that where that simplicity truly childish was lost it was on account of long association with the Europeans. So you see what a bad company can do to anyone!

Then, speaking of much-abused Bhaaratiya Pundits, he says: 'The studies which engaged my leisure brought me into connection with the men of learning, and in them I found the similar merits of industry, intelligence, cheerfulness, frankness, with others peculiar to their avocation. A very common characteristic of these men and of the Hindus especially, was simplicity truly childish, and a total unacquaintance with the business and manners of life. Where that feature was lost, it was chiefly by those who had been long familiar with Europeans. Amongst the Pundits, or the learned Hindus, there prevailed great ignorance and great dread of the European character. There is indeed, very little intercourse between any class of Europeans and Hindu scholars, and it is not wonderful, therefore, that mutual misapprehension should prevail.' Max Muller, pp 38-39

The products of such bad company were Pundit Jawaharlal Nehru, Pundit Sunder Lal, M N Roy, and many such celebrities. How they worked towards destroying Hindu heritage is something you need to read in my other works.

In the 13th century Italian Marco Polo had given testimony that Hindu Braahmans were most truthful and they would not tell a lie for anything on this earth. Three centuries later in the 16th century Spanish Christian Saint calls the Braahmans as perverse, wicked and crafty. Three centuries further down the line in the 19th century British Prof Wilson testifies the childlike simplicity of Hindu Braahmans.

Xavier could not convert all Hindus into Christianity. His ulterior motive was hurt. So, he painted Braahmans in such bad light. His successors did the same thing.

Xavier wrote in another letter to the Society of Jesus: If it were not for the Braahmans, we should have all the heathens embracing our faith. [Sita Ram Goel, *St Francis Xavier: The Man and His Mission* quoted by Ishwar Sharan, p 80]

Later day missionary educated Hindus parroted what their teachers had taught them. Realize that you have learned the

falsehood from your Christian educators and you have spread that falsehood further through television serials, movies, newspapers, etc. Now you must stop and reverse that process, for which you too are responsible to a good extent but only as the pawns in the hands of those master conspirators, who fooled you and cheated you all along. If any one is to be despised, it is not Braahmans but these cheats called Christian educators and CommunistMarxist educators

Colonel Sleeman has put on records that he had before him hundreds of cases, in which a man's property, liberty, and life depended upon his telling a lie, and he refused to tell it. Max Muller then asks: Can many an English judge say the same? Could our so-called wretched Caste system and a socially unjust society have produced such truthful Hindus incomparable elsewhere?

Colonel Sleeman saw BhaaratVarsh, where alone the true BhaaratVarsh can be seen, namely, in the village-communities... In their PanchAayats [village self-governance], Sleeman tells us, men adhere habitually and religiously to the truth, and 'I have had before me hundreds of cases,' he says, 'in which a man's property, liberty, and life depended upon his telling a lie, and he has refused to tell it.' Could many an English judge say the same? [*Colonel Sleeman's Rambles and Recollections of an Indian Official*, published in 1844 but written originally in **1835-36** quoted by Max Muller, pp 46-47]

Do you realize the significance of this sentence: Can many an English judge say the same? By deductive logic, if the outcome was so great, then the foundation also had to be equally great. And, that foundation was the 4-Varn System. People with vested interest speak against it. People of ignorance keep parroting the same. Today, if we do not see any trace of such legendary truthfulness among the Hindus, it is because these Hindus have become ChristianizedHindus, under the very bad influence of six generations of ChristianEnglish Education System, a topic that I have dealt with in great detail in my other works

Max Muller says that for past two thousand years Greeks, Chinese, Persian, Arabs, all described the "most distinguishing feature of Hindu society as its regard for truth and justice", but for two hundred years ChristianEnglish education system taught Hindus that the most distinguishing feature of Hindu society was its social injustice in form of 4-Varn System, which they renamed as caste system. Were these Christian educationists lying all along? Was their lie part of a much bigger conspiracy against Hindu society? Was it to bleed Hindu society to death?

Max Muller continues: Now, it is quite true that during the two thousand years which precede the time of Mahmoud of Ghazni, BhaaratVarsh has had but (*not) few foreign visitors, and few foreign critics; still it is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek,

or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first. [Max Muller, p 50]

Again please notice what he says “we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Hindus, regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first”. Those who accuse Hindu society of gross social injustice in the name of Caste system, let them explain how all these people could have witnessed such high regard for Justice?

Let me add that I have been repeatedly told by English merchants that commercial honor stands higher in BhaaratVarsh than in any other country, and that a dishonored bill is hardly known there. Max Muller, p 58

English Merchants spoke that “commercial Honor stood higher in BhaaratVarsh than in any other country”. Why we do not see any evidence of it today? Is it because Christian educators have completely ruined the sense of ethics amongst Hindus? Educators, who themselves posses no ethics, what else can they pass on to their pupil? If they themselves were not truthful, and their sole purpose behind replacing ancient Hindu education system was to break the backbone of Hindu society, then how could you expect to learn anything about justice from them?

You must understand this basic fact: if the foundation is based on fraud, its outcome will also be fraud. In this context, ChristianEnglish education system’s foundation was based on fraud against Hindu society. Their purpose was ignoble. Their intentions were conspiratorial. There was simply no regard for truth and justice in their belief system.

Therefore, they produced crops like themselves. Students of their education system, through next six generations, gradually continued to become fraudulent like their teachers. They lost regard for truth and justice that happened to be cherished Ethos of Hindu society until two centuries ago!

If you wish to know how Christianity manages that wonderful task, you need to learn more about Christianity and its hidden character, and for that you have to refer to my work *Christianity in a different Light* and subsequent works.

I have left to the last of the witness who might otherwise have been suspected – I mean the **Hindus** themselves. The whole of their literature from one end to the other is pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth [Max Muller, p 59]. I doubt whether in any other of the ancient literatures of the world you will find traces of that extreme sensitiveness of conscience which despairs of our

ever speaking the truth, and which declares silence as gold, and speech silver, though in a much higher sense than our proverb. Max Muller, p 67

Max Muller wrote that the whole of Hindu literature from one end to the other is pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth. He expressed doubt whether in any other of the ancient literatures of the world you will find traces of that extreme sensitiveness of conscience which despairs of our ever speaking the truth.

Now, ask yourself a question. Here stood Hindu society which practiced truth and justice by thought, speech and action for thousands of years, and with consistency. Could there have existed, unnoticed by all concerned, the gross social injustice of such magnitude in form of the infamous Caste system? Was it the brainchild of those conspirators, who converted into reality of today, something that was nonexistent in the days of which they spoke of?

If you approach the **Hindus** with such feelings, you will teach them neither rectitude, nor science, nor literature. Nay, they might appeal to their own literature, even to their law-books, to teach us at least one lesson of truthfulness, truthfulness to ourselves, or, in other words, - humility. Max Muller, pp 67-68

Max Muller writes, "Hindus may teach us at least one lesson of truthfulness, that is, truthfulness to ourselves". What did Christian educators do? Instead of learning that truthfulness from Hindus, they destroyed the truthfulness of the Hindus. This is what you acquire from an Aasuric culture like Christianity. They have destroyed your sense of ethics, justice, truthfulness all that you ever valued. If it was all so good for 24 centuries continuously, as documented in the testimonies by non-Hindu visitors to this Hindu land, then what went wrong in less than two centuries? The answer is simple. Keeping all factors constant, the only variable on the scene is the presence of Aasuric influence of Christianity, which has eclipsed the Hindu society

It generally takes longer to build than dismantle! Not for centuries, but for millenniums, Braahmans played the exemplary role. They themselves lived as the poorest [*] of the lot but raised rich morals among the rest in the society. And, ample evidence of such rich morals, as documented by many-many foreign visitors to this Hindu land over more than two millenniums, you have already seen.

[*] Do you remember the legendry poor DronAachaarya who could not buy milk for his only child Ashwatthaama? Do you remember poor Sudaama who could only carry few grams when he went to meet his childhood friend Shri Krishn? Do you remember the stories that you read during your childhood? Whenever the central figure of the story would be a Braahman, did they all not start as "once upon a time there was a poor Braahman". Can you remember any story "Once

upon a time there was a rich Braahman"? Well, I would not be surprised if you manage to find me one of them created during past fifty odd years by one of those MarxistCommunist intellectual professors of JNU who have mastered the technique of fabricating history in connivance with their bedfellow AMU professors. You will find enough evidence of such historical fabrications in *Ayodhya Suffocated Voice of Truth*.

However, this all gradually changed after introduction of ChristianEnglish Education System in 1835 which not only replaced but also systematically eliminated the ancient Hindu education system from this soil. [Details in my other works.] You must understand one basic thing that it is education that shapes our understanding of this world and the happenings here; it is the education that shapes our thoughts and attitudes; it is the education that forms our value system.

Braahmans were chosen by the ChristianBritish for their induction into Christianized Anglicized culture. As Braahmans were most literate and capable of adopting any new form of education with ease, they were made the first guinea pigs of new education system. The graduates were given plush jobs in ChristianBritish administration which dramatically raised their fortunes as these Braahmans used to be poorest of all 4 Varn(s). With this change in their life style and living comforts their whole attitude towards life and the society changed. With new Christianized education from childhood the newer generations of Braahman born were made to learn new philosophy and new attitudes towards life and its goal. Now they became no less expansive in their life style and attitudes and the inherent contracting tendencies were soon replaced by Christianized expansive tendencies, the ills of all that we see today.

How these Braahmans were manipulated and then gradually victimized is a tale of conspiracy against humanity that I have touched upon in varying degree in two of my earlier works '*Do your history books tell you these facts*' and '*Christianity in a different Light Volume II*'. In this context, it must be understood that none of my works are stand alone and do not tell the whole story at one place. There is a continuity and connectivity among all

Macaulay: We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Bhaaratiya in blood and color, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals and in intellect. [N S Rajaram, p 181]

These Braahmans and Kshatriyas having been groomed up under ChristianizedEnglish education they learned to admire their ChristianBritish masters and adopted all their ills fully. They saw their ChristianBritish master acting as the oppressors of worst kind and they too gradually became much like them. They saw their ChristianBritish masters treating the poor section of people

as dirt not as human beings and they also adopted those evils from their masters whom they admired. Then having corrupted these two classes very substantially, those very ChristianBritish masters and their ChristianBritish missionary stooges turned the poorer class against the affluent class of Christianized Braahman and Kshatriya born

This is technique of creating division and putting one against the other, those ChristianBritish had learned from their messiah Jesus Christ very well whose statements you can find documented in any Christian Bible.

Oxford Dictionary p 1249, p 792, p 1143 New Testament is the second part of the Christian Bible; Gospel is the record of Christ's life and teachings in the first four books of the New Testament; St Matthew was an Apostle, and the author of the first Gospel; p 1099 St Luke was an evangelist, and the author of the third Gospel; p 1928, p 77 St Thomas was an Apostle; Each of the twelve chief disciples of Jesus Christ is an Apostle

Christian Bible New Testament Matthew 10:34 Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword. 10:35 For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against the mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. 10:36 And a man's foe shall be they of his own household. 10:37 He that loveth father or mother more than me is not worthy of me. 12: 30 He that is not with me is against me

Christian Bible New Testament Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but rather division: 12:52 For from henceforth there shall be five in one house divided, three against two, and two against three. 12:53 The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law against her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. 14:26 If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple. [Nay a negative answer *Oxford Dictionary* p 1237]

Gospel of Thomas 16 *Jesus said:* Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war. For five will be in a house; there will be three against two and two against three, the father against the son and the son against the father. And they will stand because they are single ones. 56 *Jesus said:* He who will not hate his father and his mother cannot be my disciple. And he who will not hate his brothers and sisters, and carry his cross as I have, will not become worthy of me. [Gospel of Thomas as quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n]

Though it may all sound quite far fetched a hypothesis without any base and any supporting evidence to you here but if the common sense prevails on you and so does the curiosity and desire to explore I would implore

you to read my other works one by one and you will find all evidence there that you need. But do not expect everything jam packed in one little book of this kind.

Unless you are able to set aside your perceptions with regard to Caste system and VarnAashram Dharm, there is no point your proceeding with my work. If you intend to keep harping on what you have come to believe over the years then please do not proceed. You will simply be wasting your time. You will learn nothing.

Those face saving approaches by Christian educated Hindu apologists who try to explain away what they remotely understand

चातुर्वर्ण्यं मया सृष्टं गुणकर्मविभागशः ।

According to the division of Gun (trait) and Karm (deed), "I have evolved" the system of 4-Varn. [Gun: 'u' is pronounced as in 'Put'] BhagavadGita, Adhyaay 4 Shlok 13

It has become fashionable to explain that Bhagavaan Shri Krishn referred to traits and deeds of present birth, which would mean, expressed differently, occupation of the present birth. This explanation is inspired by two things. One: influence of Christian education which does not accept prior births. Two: vested interests of those who offer such explanation. As for the rest of the folks, they only copy what appeals to them.

When people find it inconvenient to question the statement of Bhagawaan Shri Krishn, they prefer to explain it away in a different manner. They present an explanation: *He did not mean it by birth, but He meant it by occupation.* Such explanation can be cited with an example:

Mahaatma Jyotirao Phule had correctly dismissed the birth-based caste system. He asked if a couple had three sons who became saadhu, leader and businessman then what will be their Jaati. They would be Braahman, Kshatriya and Vaishya according to their occupation irrespective of the Jaati of their birth.

This explanation has gained considerable ground over the period, and many keep parroting it, like it was done in the above case, in an article published on the editorial page of The Free Press Journal on 6 March 2004. This approach is hypocritical, because here you tend to explain it away in a more socially acceptable way, looking at the direction wind blows today. This is how you fool yourself and rest of the world.

Jyotirao Phule argued so because he had a vested interest. He himself was born a Shoodr. He needed to uplift his social status. He needed to uplift his own social status because ChristianBritish had destroyed the base structure of Hindu society and created extraordinary disparities. Those disparities were a reflection of the disparity the ChristianBritish themselves maintained between the whites and the browns ~ the Christians and the Heathen Hindus.

Child that arrives on earth

Bhagavaan Shri Krishn spoke of birth-based classification depending on division of Gun (traits) and Karm (deeds). I do not wish to explain away His statement. Instead, I wish to explain His statement.

The child that arrives on this earth cannot choose its mother and father. It cannot choose surroundings at the time of birth. It cannot choose environment that it would live-in during its early childhood. Depending on its 'traits and deeds' in its prior incarnations, it takes birth through Braahman parents or Kshatriya parents or Vaishya parents or Shoodr parents. This does not happen by accident or by coincidence, as the present day rationalists would want us to believe. There is a meticulous system in place that monitors the whole process.

Soul emerges from the Supreme Soul. At its origin, it has no character of its own. It descends on this earth encased in a body. Its ego gives it a distinct identity. Its thoughts and actions (deeds) are guided by this ego. It acquires various traits, in varying degree, in course of its long journey of numerous and successive births. It builds a reservoir of its Gun (traits) and Karm (deeds) through that process. Soul sheds its body at the time of departure from this earth. It does not take with it in physical form the traits it acquired and deeds it performed, during its stay on the earth. In its successive birth, it collects back those traits from the environment of this earth. During the process of birth and growing up, it regains those traits from its parents and environment. Some traits it acquires back during the process of its birth. That include the genes it acquires from its earthly parents. Other traits it acquires back in the process of its growing up. That include the environment it receives in form of friends, teachers, surroundings, and etc.

Each new birth gives the new born a place in the society. With that it gets a preset environment to grow up. This place and environment is the result of its deeds through prior incarnations. These are not by its choice. It cannot choose its parents. It cannot choose its time of birth. It cannot choose its surroundings at the time of birth. It cannot choose its environment during its early childhood. Process of birth itself takes care of positioning the soul in a certain situation (a) situation comprising of its genetic combination and childhood surroundings (b) situation depending on its acquired traits and accumulated deeds until its prior incarnation.

Purpose of Varn Vyavastha

Braahman, Kshatriya, Vaishya and Shoodr are 4-Varn that Bhagawaan Shri Krishn spoke about. There was a definitive purpose behind 4-Varn System in Hindu society. Necessary occupational training would come from the family itself, and each ancient Hindu village would be self-dependant. There would be NO need for migration, like today; self-sufficiency and self-dependence would be the motto of Hindu 4-Varn System.

Braahman parents would provide the child with an environment to grow up as teachers to the society. Kshatriya parents would provide the child with an environment to grow up as protectors of life and land of the society. Vaishya parents would provide the child with an environment to grow up as suppliers of necessities of life to the society. Shoodr parents would provide the child with an environment to grow up as provider of all services to the society.

The necessary training that each would receive would come from the family itself. For instance, son would learn necessary skills from his father. The system would operate in a cyclic pattern from one generation to other. The necessary training would be passed on from one generation to another, by father to the son, and thus ensure continuity of self-sufficiency and self-dependence of each village unit.

The Hindu society would live in small units called villages, and each village would be self-sufficient in respect of its needs with regard to its education, administration, supplies and services. Towns would be few, and the number of those living in towns would be minuscule in comparison to the whole nation.

To ensure that, each village administration would be self-sufficient and self-dependant. It would have Braahman priests and teachers, Kshatriya administrators and defenders, Vaishya producers and agriculturists, and Shoodr service providers with all types of artisans. Here is the documented evidence.

The village communities are composed of those who cultivate the land, the established village-servants, priest, blacksmith, carpenter, accountant, washer-man (whose wife is ex officio midwife of the little village community), potter, watchman, barber, shoemaker, etc. Max Muller, p 255 referring to old Hindu system

There would be no need for migration of people from one village to another, except by marriages. Thus, women would come from nearby villages, while sons would remain on the soil. For instance, in ancient Hindu society, a child of a barber would learn necessary skills from his father watching his father do the job when the child comes up a certain stage.

Compare this with American/European Christian societies

American/European Christian societies do not believe in this system. As

a result, if you want to become a barber in Canada, you have to go to a school and pay fees (year 1996) CA\$ 6,000 (Rs 1,80,000) to become a barber! Thus, Hair cutting schools would have made their share of money, and their business would flourish. Having paid such a hefty fees to qualify as a barber (you cannot become a barber otherwise), you will squeeze your customers accordingly, charge CA\$ 10-15 (Rs 300-450) for a haircut. Thus, you make the living of the other person so very costly, and call it high standard of living!

In North America today, it is so very difficult to find Braahman equivalents (teachers) and Shoodr equivalents (other service providers) in sufficient numbers. So, they have to ask people from other countries to come in and do those jobs. The economies of these countries would flop without these immigrants. For instance, Canada's Ontario province [state capital Toronto] had been in deep red for long. They came out of it only in year 2000 when they had first time a surplus budget after long. This has been possible only after massive induction of foreign migrants in human form and their lifesaving hard cash, which came with them while migrating.

Such is the dependence on others on account of a faulty social system of which they are quite proud. Besides, there is no true respect for teachers as compared to ancient Hindu Varn system, and that happens to be another aspect of this ill-conceived Christian social system, which makes teachers not worthy of that kind of respect.

Rigorous life cycle of a Braahman born

Braahman born would be required to undertake a disciplined life style. First 25 years of Braahman's life would be spent in total celibacy (Brahm'Charya). He would be engaging himself, during this period of his life, in pursuit of knowledge. He would later be required to fulfill the role of the teacher and a guide to the society. Next 25 years of Braahman's life would be spent in family life (Grihasth). His being in the family life would have a very definitive purpose in social context. He would be meeting his responsibility towards the society in giving it, its next generation. Children of next generation would be carrying on the tradition after he is gone. Following 25 years he would spend in the woods (Vaan'Prasth) living close to the Mother Nature. The solitude this would provide, would not allow him day-to-day contact with children and household affairs. During this period, he would be gradually learning to detach him self from worldly affairs. With that, he would also be getting a taste of the world away from this world. Remaining part of his life would be spent in total abandonment of worldly ties, Sannyas. His sole occupation would be to focus his mind only on to the God. His preparation for the journey towards God would begin in full faith and consistency. This would allow a Braahman born to graduate towards the higher ends of earthly life through the course of numerous births, if he is able to maintain the sanctity of Braahman birth through his thoughts and actions.

If a Braahman born is not able to maintain the sanctity of Braahman

birth through his thoughts and actions throughout his life time then he goes back the ladder as many steps as his thoughts and actions have earned him. This is where the concept of accumulated deeds comes into play. The deeds can take him back many steps even down to the Shoodr stage depending on the nature of his deeds.

The Varn of the Family of your subsequent births will depend on your acquired traits and accumulated deeds of earlier births. ChristianEnglish education system has taught we Hindus for past six generations that there is no rebirth. The man is born only once and after that he goes either to heaven or to Hell and lives there forever till eternity. He goes to heaven if was born a Christian or if he has adopted Christianity during his life time because Jesus is the Sole redeemer of the humanity. If the person was born not as a Christian and not adopted Christianity during his life time then he goes to Hell and lives there till eternity. Every Christian born or Christian convert is duty bound to convert all heathens into Christianity out of their sheer love for humanity as they cannot bear the thought of so many heathens burning in Hell Fire till eternity!

Heathens, according to dictionary definition, are those who are not Christian, Jew, or Muslim. They are follower of a polytheistic religion. For instance, the Hindus. They are the unenlightened people regarded as lacking culture or moral principles. This dictionary definition should tell you how you are placed in comparison to Christians, Jews, and Muslims. If you have any misgivings about your standing in their eyes, at least, do not keep fooling yourself that all are equal.

No such rigor for Kshatriya, Vaishya, Shoodr born

Those born in other three Varn(s) would not be required to undergo the rigors that were expected of the Braahman Varn. They could live their whole life within the social framework and continue to enjoy the family life until death, unless an individual decided otherwise for himself.

Kshatriya born would be required to undergo training of a warrior and would be expected to participate in protection of the nation, human lives and wealth, social justice and administration. Their natural inclination would be towards organizing, managing, maintaining, politics, and rulership.

Vaishya born would be required to undergo training in agriculture, manufacture and trade. They would engage themselves in cultivation of agriculture and manufacture of other produces that would be necessary for sustaining life on the earth. They would have natural aptitude for trade and commerce.

Shoodr born would be required to undergo training in meeting all other needs for the society. They would naturally gravitate towards service and labor. They would provide other services needed by Braahman, Kshatriya, Vaishya Varn, as well as Shoodr Varn.

Shoodr and Untouchable were NOT same

Sanjay is one of the central figures in BhagavadGita. His name appears in BhagavadGita a number of times. He is the person who narrates to blind Dhrit'Raasht as to what had been happening at KuruKshetr. By profession he was charioteer. He drove the chariot of Dhrit'Raasht. He served Kshatriya Dhrit'Raasht and was born in a Shoodr family. If he was untouchable how Dhrit'Raasht allowed him to touch his chariot or sit next to him inside the palace when the war was in progress?

ChristianWorld loves to repeat again and again, over and over, the term Untouchable with reference to Hindu society. Three years ago I was shown a book that was taught in Italian schools. It was a thin book. It covered Hinduism on one page. The page was dominated by a picture and on the side there was some text in Italian language which I could not read. But the picture spoke volumes about Hinduism. Italian children from their childhood learned what Hinduism was all about from that page on Hinduism. Other pages were filled with such other primitive civilizations of the world. The picture comprised of two persons. One was frail bodied Gandhi in his few inches-wide wrapping around his waistline. The other was a man looking in his eighties. His body frail, starving, stomach almost touching the back, so thin was the body. This was the portrayal of an Untouchable. The message was complete. The picture showed the pathetic condition of an untouchable in Hinduism with their messiah Gandhi who represented BhaaratVarsh.

Why Dome would be untouchable?

Dome ('o' as in *pope* not as in *done*) is untouchable. He burned dead bodies. He lived in Shmashaan (crematorium) that are not like Westernized electric crematoriums with nice building and neat environment. The place would be such where there would be skulls around in open, bones, jackals and all such things. Place would not be used for human habitation.

What would be his living habits?

Dome would spend most of his time there. As a result his living habits would be quite unhygienic. His family would not be living far because a Dome may be needed any time, day or night; to burn dead bodies for people do not plan their death during working hours of Monday to Friday.

What would be the living habits of his family members?

His family lived with him and their living habits would not be any different from the dome who would be the head of the family. So the entire family would have similar unhygienic living conditions by normal standards. Children of the family would live in the same environment; grow up playing in the crematorium environment, as their father would keep himself

available to receive dead bodies any time during the day and night, weekday or weekend. His and families living depended on dead people as that was his profession.

Why children would acquire father's occupation?

People die in villages too for they cannot plan their death by going to cities. Therefore, Dome family would live in a village; children would grow up in village crematorium environment, which would be an open space; they would die in the same place. Their lives would revolve around that place. Thus, generation after generation they would be living at the same place. Children would acquire father's occupation and the life would go on.

Why they would not feel anything wrong with their living habits?

From their birth till death they would live in the same environment and they would feel quite at home about it. They would not see anything wrong with their living habits because it would feel natural to them.

But other people, who would have different occupation, would not feel at home about their way of living. It would not be natural to them because they would be raised in different environment primarily governed by each family's own occupation. Why other people would not be comfortable in associating with them? If not for anything else, purely for hygienic reasons other people would not be comfortable associating with dome families. Touching is the first point of contact in any lesson of hygiene.

Let us also test the European magnanimity

When I was in Europe and we went to a departmental store, before picking up fruits and vegetables my host put on thin plastic hand gloves and requested me to do so. The explanation was that we could contaminate the uncut fruits and uncut raw vegetables with the germs that we carried on our hands from outside. Well, our hands are generally clean, there is no dirt or dust or any such thing. We do not even burn dead bodies. Even with that kind of life style we could have passed on germs from our hands to raw vegetables and fruits by contact of touch! The same European host felt so very appalled by the very thought of human untouchability. I wished my host was called upon to embrace a dome in his living quarters with his original living habits and then I would have wanted to see the European magnanimity of thought and action.

People who clean open drainage systems in cities

There are people who clean the drainage system. These are open drains with human and animal filth and excrements and all other kind of dirty things in it. These are not the drainage systems of Western countries. People who do the job have to go inside the drain also, at times, when needed. Otherwise also they would use their scanty tools to clean those

drainage systems. If it is their occupation day in day out then they could not feel dirty about the drains. It is part of their daily lives.

Wife and children also work with those drains

Generally husband and wife both would be working at it. Grown up children too would join them. It runs in the family for this is their livelihood and no one looks at their bread with dirty looks. When we talk of dignity of labor we seem to ignore that these people who do their jobs on drainages do not think otherwise. They may not talk these high-funda words for impressing others and impressing themselves but they understand and practice in their own life the dictum of dignity of labor. They do not feel bad about what they do, for it earns them their daily bread. It is the ChristianEnglish educated people, who love to indulge in intellectual luxury, seem to be more perturbed about it than those who live with it.

They do it 7 days a week 365 days a year

Now these people who earn their bread from cleaning drainage systems, or for that matter cleaning the excrements of other people where there is no direct drainage system connecting each house to municipal sewerage, are in it day in day out, all seven days a week for people do not plan to excrete only during weekdays.

Why they do not feel the necessity to have a different kind of living habit while they are not at work

Naturally these people need to be on job all 365 days a year like it happens in many other occupations too, particularly the high flying modern executive who works not only all seven days but also night hours. So when they work all 365 days a year they do not see any reason to have a different kind of living environment and living habits than what they do most of their time. As a result their living habits are not so clean by normal standards and if not for anything else, at least for hygienic reasons people engaged in other occupation want to maintain a distance from them.

Let these champions of humanity be a bit more honest in their compassion as expressed through their conduct than their empty talks in finding fault with Hinduism. Again, I would love to see one of those champions of human causes who talk vehemently against untouchability to embrace some of these men, not for applause that would naturally come to them when they do so and their photographs will be splashed all over media, but with total honesty in their heart and love for them; a kind of love that must be proved by actions other than this. Let them show their generosity and commitment by embracing the untouchables when these people are at work cleaning drainage or excreta and let them do it not in presence of those who would readily clap and do it every day for a year.

Who would be a Shoodr?

A Shoodr would be the one who was born in a family that offered services to Braahman, Kshatriya, and Vaishya families. If such a person were to be untouchable then how could he or she get inside the house of a Braahman, Kshatriya, or Vaishya family, and do household chores? Christian missionaries and educators have preferred to deliberately create an image that all Shoodrs were untouchables for it served a very significant ulterior motive of theirs. They also raised crops of missionary educated Hindus in vast numbers, generation after generation, with the same belief system firmly implanted in their minds.

Why Untouchable is such a sensitive word?

This term untouchable is significant in the context that the kind of sentiment it generates among today's so-called educated mass is something like a crime against humanity. The creation of generalized impression as if all Shoodrs were untouchables and its indiscriminate repetition in itself is a fraud against humanity.

The issue of Shadow of an untouchable

Remember the European departmental store which provided free hand gloves to be put on otherwise clean hands before picking up green vegetables and fruits from the shelves so that germs resident on clean hands do not affect the rest of the stock? Just visualize what such people would have done if they were to come close to a dome or to a drain cleaner. Do you think they would have embraced them? Common sense should tell you NO! They would have maintained a safe distance from such people.

Hindus did the same; they maintained a safe distance. Now how do you define the safe distance? You may say 1.5 meter or you may say 5 feet. Well, do you expect people to take a measuring tape and see how much distance they are maintaining? Obviously not! There has to be a practical way of determining a safe distance. It should be conveyed in simplest possible manner that even a child should understand and remember. And, that was the length of the shadow. The person whose occupation is such that it makes him or her unhygienic to come in close contact with, maintain a safe distance from that person, a distance that you can visually determine without any other aid.

Now, if your ChristianBritish educators taught you that it was a grave injustice towards humanity then please ask those champions of human rights a few simple questions. Do you remember the legendary Veer Savarkar who went to England to study Law during his youth? Why was he and other Bhaaratiya students, who went to England for obtaining higher education, and had neat habits, and also wore clean clothes, and were attired in suit and boot like any other ChristianBritish gentleman ~ why such students were asked to sit far away from other suited-booted ChristianBritish people inside a restaurant? Why were they asked to sit in a place which was many times more than the length of a shadow of a

person? Where did they mortgage their sense of justice at that time? And why had it been a routine affair not a stray case? If the Christians could insist on hygienic people to stay far away from themselves why Hindus cannot keep themselves away from unhygienic people only by 5 feet? There Christians did not allow hygienic Hindus to sit close to them; here Hindus moved away from unhygienic people.

How long would you want to stay a mental slave?

O my Hindu fellow beings! How long do you want to remain a mental slave of those who taught you nothing but to look down upon yourself. When will you acquire courage enough to ask those hypocrites the questions that they will not be able to answer? When would you stop carrying the guilt that they have systematically cultivated in your psyche and begin to take pride in your own heritage disregard the good and bad it has or had? When will you gather enough will power to throw away the glasses that they have put on your eyes and when would you start looking at things with an independent mind? When will you start loathing those who have brought great harm to you systematically cultivating a guilt conscience within you that keeps you continually loathing your own heritage? Those who show you your bad show them their bad and they have plenty more than you. That is the only language they are capable of understanding.

Genetics & Environment theory do not explain it fully

Genetics and the Environment theory do not tell us the whole story, as some questions remain unanswered. Why is it that the person was born only of those parents who gave him/her those genes? Why was he/she not born of another set of parents who could have given him/her totally different hereditary factors? Why was he/she born in that environment, which helped shape him/her in a particular character? Why was he/she not born in another set of environment that could have shaped him/her entirely into another kind of character?

“Who decides” these settings, as to “which parents” through whom the person should be born, or into “which environment” the person should be born, or “when” the person should be born? “Who regulates” these things, by making them happen, the way they do happen? Do not believe those fools who say it all happens by accident, or by coincidence! They only spread their ignorance among you. For them, anything that they do not know of, or do not understand, or cannot explain, is a coincidence.

The Journey of a Soul

Let us go back to where we started initially and recapitulate the Journey

of a soul. Soul emerges from Supreme Soul. At its origin, it has no character of its own. It descends on this earth encased in a body. Its ego gives it a distinct identity. Its thoughts and actions (deeds) are guided by this ego. It acquires various traits, in varying degree, in course of its long journey of numerous and successive births. It builds a reservoir of its Gun (traits) and Karm (deeds) through that process. Soul sheds its body at the time of departure from this earth. It does not take with it in physical form the traits it acquired and deeds it performed, during its stay on the earth. In its successive birth, it collects back those traits from the environment of this earth. During the process of birth and growing up, it regains those traits from its parents and environment. Some traits it acquires back during the process of its birth. That include the genes it acquires from its earthly parents. Other traits it acquires back in the process of its growing up. That include the environment it receives in form of friends, teachers, surroundings, and etc. Each new birth gives it (soul) a place in the society. With that it gets a preset environment to grow up. This place and environment is the result of its deeds through prior incarnations. These are not by its choice. It cannot choose its parents. It cannot choose its time of birth. It cannot choose its surroundings at the time of birth. It cannot choose its environment during its early childhood. Depending on its 'traits and deeds' in its prior incarnations, it takes birth through Braahman parents or Kshatriya parents or Vaishya parents or Shoodr parents.

The journey of a soul is a very long process. It passes through innumerable births until it qualifies itself for submerging into the Supreme soul. Through this long and arduous journey the soul's ego acquires 'many a Gun (traits)'. [Think of it like character building through environmental influences.] During each of these births Satv'Gun, RajoGun and TamoGun arising from the Prakriti induces the man/woman to do many a Karm (deeds). [see * below] Those Karm (deeds) then make him/her the bearer of the results of such Karm. In this manner, takes shape the soul's Ego.

However Satv'Gun/RajoGun/TamoGun can manifest themselves only in the environment of this world through a body. When the time is ripe, the soul is then directed to such parents who, through their genes, and through the environment in which they live, would be able to give that body its required character. For parents to have acquired appropriate genes and environment, it would be necessary that their parents too had appropriate genes and environment. The same would apply to their parents, and then their parents, backward ... So, there has to be systematic process through which genes are passed on from one generation to another. And, for that one needs a comprehensive system in place: a regulated environment, and methodology functioning with high degree of precision.

[*] During each of these births Satv'Gun, RajoGun and TamoGun arising from the Prakriti induces the man/woman to do many a Karm (deeds) ~ we will discuss this matter at length in another work; there are issues relating to Free Will and several complexities ~ this is not the right place. Prakriti has been described as the material cause of the universe.

Do not undermine the Capability of the Creator of this Universe

Would you doubt that the Creator of this Universe lacks the ability to design and monitor such a profound system? Think of Him when He created each human being with a distinct fingerprint. Think of Him when He created each human being with a distinct pupil in the eye. We do not even know how many more such distinctive characters He has built in the human system.

Would you ever doubt that such Creator cannot possibly monitor [see ** below] the channeling of birth for each and every human being, that is, which parents they should be born of, in which environment each individual must be born into, and what time and place each individual should take its first breath on this earth? Yes, He can monitor each and every such phenomenon howsoever complex it may sound.

It is He who has created this Universe, which has no known beginning and end to the mankind. Modern science does not even know enough of our own Solar system, leave aside how many more Solar systems and how many more Galaxies exist in this whole Universe. We should not doubt the ability of the Creator of this Universe, just because our own human ability is so very limited. He does have the capability of monitoring an extraordinarily complex system of human birth, which is even beyond imagination of man and woman raised under modern education system with Christian roots.

[**] Would you ever doubt that such Creator cannot possibly monitor ~ here I am not getting into the issue of Nir'Gun character of Ishwar but I would like you to know that there is no inherent contradiction in the two aspects. I would want to elaborate on these things in another work ~ this is simply not the right place. I would want to add only this much here that monitoring does not entail that He must personally supervise each and every function though He is capable of doing that as well ~ it is sufficient for Him to establish a system which monitors the whole phenomenon howsoever complex it may be. In short, what you need to understand is that this Creation works like a clockwork and is governed by a highly sophisticated mathematical module that is even beyond human comprehension through study of books. Every aspect of this Creation is interrelated and interdependent connected through an invisible chain of mathematical modules.

Timing the event of birth

We have stated earlier that when the time is ripe, the soul is then directed to such parents who, through their genes, and through the environment in which they live, would be able to give that body its required character.

Now we will look at the issue of timing the event of birth. Here we need to go into a deep and complex mechanism that works like clockwork with a precision unknown to human mind. By Time here we refer to soul's breathing its first breath on this earth. That is, the moment of its first contact with the environment of this earth, out of the protection of the mother's womb.

With such extraordinary finesse and precision this is designed by the Creator that no human super-computer would come anywhere near, even at miles distance from it.

Before proceeding a few basics

Before proceeding we need to understand few other basics; then only the examples that are being presented hereafter, will make some sense. While describing we are not seeking precision of expression but we seek simplicity of expression so that complex phenomenon, if not understood, at least does not fail to create some intelligible impression.

We see the sky above us. We also see the stars and planets above us in the sky. We cannot recognize them but we have heard or read that astronomers can do so. If astronomers can do that then there is some scientific basis to it for, at least, astronomy is recognized as a science. So the first thing we take for granted that there is a definitive methodology in place to recognize various positions in the sky, which to us ordinary people could be baffling. So we first come to this acceptance that there are specific divisions of the sky that are astronomically identifiable by the position of Fixed Stars.

Understanding a “Star in the Sky”, as you would understand a “House in the City”

Now these are fixed stars, they are not mobile; they do not change their position in the sky as planets do. Therefore, a particular segment of the sky can be easily identified by the position of that particular star. That position, for simplicity sake, is like the position of our house, which does not move away from its original location when we return home at the end of the day; we find our house situated at the same place and our family inside it. As a house has a fixed address in the city, similarly a star has a fixed address in the sky. Therefore, we are not speaking of imaginary segments of the unlimited sky, but of clearly identifiable places in this limitless sky.

Nakshatr and Star are not the same

We will find reference to Nakshatr in what will follow hereafter, and therefore it is necessary to clarify that Nakshatr is not Sanskrit equivalent of star. A Nakshatr may comprise of more than one fixed star.

Understanding a “Raashi in the Sky” as you would understand a “Zone in the City”

As a city can be divided into several zones similarly the sky is also divided into several zones for identification purposes. The positions of these zones do not change. Let us call them Raashi instead of zones for our purposes.

Now it is necessary to understand here that within each zone there can

be many stars. In other words, within each Raashi there can be more than one Nakshatr. Think of it something like many houses inside a zone within a city.

In a city we have each zone with well-defined parameters; similarly, in the sky each Raashi has its well-defined ambit.

In the city each block comprising couple of buildings has a predefined area and that is available in the municipal records; similarly, in the sky each Nakshatr has predefined area and that is available in astronomical records. For purposes of identification, each Nakshatr has been given a name, as we have given names to fixed stars and planets. It is somewhat like giving a name to a person or a house.

The addresses of these Nakshatrs are mentioned in terms of degrees in the sky. We will be using Sanskrit names of Nakshatrs.

It is also important to note that all references to the time of birth are in relation to moon, NOT to sun. There will be references to moon's position in the sky and therefore, it may be relevant to recapitulate that moon is mobile, changes its position in the sky and therefore, at one day it may be seen in one Nakshatr and the next day it may have moved to the next Nakshatr.

Through many births a soul acquires a complex character of conflicting traits

Let us now look at the case of a Kshatriya. In its purest form, a Kshatriya may be Kshatriya by birth [born in Kshatriya family], Kshatriya by physical qualities, Kshatriya by mental makeup; thus Kshatriya in totality. But with many births, it does not retain this purity. In every birth, it absorbs different Gun गुण (traits) from the environment of this earth. These Gun(s) then reflect through its Karm कर्म (deeds). Note: In Gun, 'u' is pronounced as in 'Put'. Over many births, it builds up a reservoir of conflicting (good and bad) Gun and Karm. This makes its composite character a complex one. Thus, it does not remain in its purest form, as described above. This, entitles it for different levels of birth during different incarnations. For instance, if its (soul's) Karm had been more of Saatvic nature, then it would graduate towards an environment, which would ensure its further growth towards spiritual quests. In a situation like that, it may have many attributes of Kshatriya, but mental makeup of a Braahman. We know of king-rishis like Janak and VishwaaMitr. We will describe what Saatvic is in a subsequent work. Similarly, it may have many attributes of Kshatriya, but the mental makeup of a Vaishya, or a Shoodr, or even a butcher.

Mechanism that would govern the Timing of Birth for each Soul

Now we discuss, in a very broad manner, the mechanism that governs

timing of birth, for such souls. In these discussions, we are not seeking precision, instead we are attempting at providing an indication, so that readers have a rough idea.

In all following examples here the timing of birth essentially refers to the moment when a soul breathes its first breath on this earth encased in a human body. And when we talk about Raashi or Nakshatr and degrees we are essentially referring to moon's position (not sun) in that address of the sky at the time of birth.

Broad indications of Timing of Birth of a Kshatriya born with Conflicting Traits

A Kshatriya with Braahman mental makeup would be born under PoorvPhaalguni Nakshatr between 13° 21' and 26° 40' in Sinh Raashi or PoorvAashaarh Nakshatr between 13° 21' and 26° 40' in Dhanu Raashi. A Kshatriya with Braahman mental makeup will tend to function better in providing the nation a just and fair administration with a thrust towards education and moral values, with support towards religion, and with prudent strategic planning.

A Kshatriya with Kshatriya mental makeup would be born under UttarPhaalguni Nakshatr between 26° 41' and 30° in Sinh Raashi or UttarAashaarh Nakshatr between 26° 41' and 30° in Dhanu Raashi. A Kshatriya with Kshatriya mental makeup will tend to function better in providing the nation a safe environment for its subjects, who may live in a secured state, and a powerful state towards which enemies may not make advances.

A Kshatriya with Shoodr mental makeup would be born under Magha Nakshatr between 0° and 13° 20' in Sinh Raashi. A Kshatriya with Shoodr mental makeup will tend to function better in the army as a soldier, serving well, fighting for the nation, giving his life when necessary.

A Kshatriya with Servant mental makeup would be born under Chitra Nakshatr between 0° and 6° 40' in Tula Raashi. A Kshatriya with Servant mental makeup will tend to function better in the army cooking food for the soldiers, doing their laundry, etc.

A Kshatriya with Butcher mental makeup would be born under Swaati Nakshatr between 6° 41' and 20° in Tula Raashi or under Mool Nakshatr between 0° and 13° 20' in Dhanu Raashi. A Kshatriya with Butcher mental makeup will tend to function better in the army that would tend to destroy other civilizations, their culture, their universities, etc.

A Kshatriya with Mlechchh mental makeup would be born under Vishaakha Nakshatr between 20° 1' and 30° in Tula Raashi. Mlechchh refers to a misfit amongst defined categories.

These are some broad examples of conflicting traits that such a Kshatriya

born would display in its earthly character.

Such mixed Varn souls would require parents of mixed Varn to give them appropriate genes

A soul passing through the passage of innumerable births, having acquired complex character of its own, would naturally require parents of mixed Varn, who would be able to give appropriate genes to the body of such child. So, all this is interrelated, inter-dependant, one influencing the other, and part of a complex cyclic process that can be given full effect to, through the course of numerous births. These are by no means exhaustive but only illustrative of a highly complex phenomenon of unimaginable magnitude that works with such a fine mathematical precision that could be beyond human comprehension. The Creator who can create innumerable life forms, and within that while looking at just one life form, which is of humans, we find each product (each human), as unique in itself.

The Free Will was NOT given to us for meddling with the System of Creation

It is we humans that meddle with the system of creation. The Creator has given us free will so that we can navigate ourselves through the course of our life towards our final destination - Journey to the Supreme Soul. But we choose to use it to interfere with the fundamental structure and thereby, bid good-bye to the base simplicity in the system, and let it complicate itself by mathematical principle of permutations and combinations. Complexities thus grow to enormous levels, and in the process we destroy the system through ages that follow; and as with time every thing must decay, so does the base structure.

Nevertheless the System takes into account all your meddling and deals with them effectively

All your meddling get captured and recorded into the database of which no human created comparison is possible. And all those variations are given due effect to when the system designs a by-product. Think of the Nature's process or Ishwar's Creation phenomenon as the system. Think of the new born character as the by-product. Think of all the meddling as Karm of prior births. How the timing and place of birth determination gives all these variables their due effect is something that can be explained further by similar examples as given earlier by expanding that horizon. But then, it will go beyond the scope of this work and therefore, let us wait for another work which may attempt to provide you with some insight into the mechanism. However, I have nothing to tell them for whom this Creation process is a random affair.

Importance of pedigree

When rich people buy a pup they check the pedigree. When European dairy farms buy cows they check the pedigree. So, the record of ancestors, genealogy is important when it comes to buying an animal but it seems a matter of least interest when it comes to humans.

While Mating two animals the pedigree becomes relevant even today so that the next generation is equally good if not better. But it seems that when humans mate and produce children the next generation is not so important. Therefore, they do not care to look at the pedigree.

It all started in BhaaratVarsh with the spread of ChristianEnglish education system. Two thousand year old Christianity is so very ignorant of the laws of the Nature and like fools they always try to conquer the Nature. When they saw Hindus having a very sophisticated system of applied mathematics to human lives they simply could not make head or tail out of it. [In any case, ChristianWorld has been awfully poor in mathematics. Few exceptions are like Sir Isaac Newton whose personal library was known for its large collection of books relating to astrology.]

Pampering their immensely inflated ego they thought that they were a superior race, and like all fools do they too simply condemned Hindu system as nothing but superstition. And with that they passed on their own ignorance and lack of understanding to Hindu children whom they taught for six generations after systematically eliminating ancient Hindu education system.

Importance of marriages in the same Varn वर्ण

Hindu social structure placed high degree of importance to marriages of likes to strengthen offspring of like qualities. For instance, a Kshatriya would be married to a Kshatriya so that the children born are with the qualities of Kshatriya and each new generation of newborns is geared to fulfill its social obligations well when they grow up, as expected of a Kshatriya. Thus, Braahman, Kshatriya, Vaishya and Shoodr all have their respective responsibilities towards the society's needs and its equilibrium, and thus, self-reliance is maintained within the society by adequate supply and training of necessary skills.

The society lived in small units of villages and each village would be self-sufficient to its needs without requiring migration from one to the other. It also maintained the safety and ethical norms within the commune. Each village would thus be self-governed as had been witnessed by Colonel Sleeman as late as in 19th century.

In that social structure of yesteryears we did not have (a) hypocrisy as rampant as is today in modern Christianized urban society, (b) lack of

security needing excessive and unduly expensive security measures as it is today [see *Christianity in a different Light*], and (c) total lack of moral values as compared to the Hindu society of olden days.

In today's Christianized societies, there is no doubt lot of talk about morality, but little in evidence in reality. Probably, so much talk about morality is necessitated by the stark absence of it in conducts of the people.

Today we look down upon the olden day social structure, and gloat upon the beauties of present day social structure; but if only we had learned to be honest to ourselves, we would realize how low we have drooped down the stream. Unfortunately, our ego is so blinding that we fail to see the truth in Max Muller's words when he says:

If you approach the Hindus with such feelings, you will teach them neither rectitude, nor science, nor literature. Nay, they might appeal to their own literature, even to their law-books, to teach us at least one lesson of truthfulness, truthfulness to ourselves, or, in other words, - humility. Max Muller, pp 67-68

With mixed-Varn children we have new generations of undefined social characteristics and social responsibilities. Kshatriya-Shoodr, Vaishya-Kshatriya, Shoodr-Braahman children would not know which direction of social training and responsibility they need to take up. As a result, today Christian countries (like USA, Canada) face acute shortage of people willing to take up lower-end jobs, and these societies have been forced to depend on immigrants, and that in turn, has raised issues relating to racial discrimination and deep discontentment among new immigrants until they somehow settle down. Racial discrimination nevertheless remains alive deep into the system and only victims know it too well though not acknowledged by these Christian societies for they are trained to maintain a positive face before others. And these are the people who talk of social discrimination in olden day society forgetting that those societies were essentially village-based societies with less than thousand people in a habitat and migration was not called for.

Following was the situation only 123 years ago [1882] and that too after the ChristianBritish had substantially messed up our social structure. Try to visualize what would have been the situation before ChristianBritish messing up the structure.

I see from Dr Hunter's latest statistical tables that the whole number of towns and villages in British BhaaratVarsh amounts to 493,498. Out of this number 448,320 have less than 1000 inhabitants, and may be called villages. In Bengal, where the growth of towns has been most encouraged through Government establishments, the total number of homesteads is 117,042 and more than half of these contain less than 200 inhabitants. In the Northwestern Provinces the last census gives us 105,124 villages, against 297 towns. See *Times* 14 August 1882. [Max Muller, p 230, n 14]

It was not social discrimination but social structure for a balanced society

It was not social discrimination but social structure for a balanced society. However, these modern educated with an education system, which essentially arose from a Christian base, fail to see this part of the reality because their eyes are blinded and their minds are shut by an education system, which did not care for truth but for propagating a mission, beneath which lay the hidden motive of expansion of Christian imperialism.

These Christian societies and our ChristianizedHindu society, which now dutifully apes its Christian counterpart, is rife with social insecurity issues of the magnitude that were unknown in those days of Hindu society. And yet, it is ChristianizedHindus who are pretty much vocal about this alleged discrimination in the Hindu society in the name of Varn system, probably because their only wish to be born with white skin has not been fulfilled.

Islam could not destroy Hinduism in thousand-year but Christianity has done it, in large measure, within 170 years! This is the greatest contribution (or, Curse?) of ChristianEnglish education system in BhaaratVarsh.

Plenty of strength in social structure of yesteryears

Consider the success of Hindu 4-Varn System with a village based economy and social structure, not necessitating migration on account of job related needs. As against this, look at the dependence of Christianized urbanized societies on migration of labor, and the ills thereof on issues related to morality.

Consider the extraordinary sense of truth and justice in Hindu village communes, even as late as in the 19th century [see Colonel Sleeman's account], and compare its striking absence in today's litigation-prone Christianized urbanized societies. Consider the 4-Varn based Hindu society's extraordinary love for truth and justice as against Christian society's latent racial discrimination and rich-poor class system with striking absence of true humanitarian feelings, where we do not recognize and care for the next-door neighbor, and ever ready to get into litigation on any instance of so-called infringement of privacy issues and personal rights.

On the question of litigations relating to infringement of privacy issues and personal rights, I cannot resist but to tell you of an interesting episode. It was July of 2002 in Venezia in Europe, lunch time. While at lunch, I was watching the Italian television after watching Pope John Paul II's drooping head on the screen for a while, a thing that they ritually do every day lunch time. A real-life courtroom proceeding was being live telecast. They do this also regularly, everyday around that time, and we get to watch in full view of camera the entire proceedings from beginning till end. My host explained to me the subject matter of the judicial

proceedings. Here was the man and the woman, both neighbors. Subject of litigation was man's pet dog. This dog was very affectionate to the woman. This affection developed in the days when the man and the woman were in intimate terms and used to frequent each other's house regularly. So did the dog, he too visited the woman's apartment regularly. Later the man and the woman broke up. As they broke up they would not visit each other any more. But the dog could not follow the same routine. He would still visit woman's house regularly. The man had no objection but the woman had. She asked the man to stop his dog from visiting her place. The man tried his best but could not stop the dog from doing so. Then, the woman sued the man in the court for letting his dog infringe her privacy. The man presented in the court his argument that he attempted his every bit but the dog wouldn't listen. The woman argued before the court that the dog belonged to the man and therefore, legally he was obliged to ensure that the dog did not infringe her privacy by visiting her apartment, and thus violating her personal right not wanting the dog to come to her. You can imagine the judge's predicament in this case as far as delivering a just judgment was concerned. I leave to your imagination what the judge might have done eventually!

I see plenty of strength in the social structure of yesteryears when I read the testimonies of those days' independent observers of our society, and with dismay I see these ChristianizedHindus turning a blind-eye towards those; such a disaster had been the Macaulayite ChristianEnglish education system designed to produce "Bhaaratiyas in blood and color, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals and in intellect" as said by Macaulay in his own words.

I see NO reason to be defensive

On a worldwide scale, if we look at the social structures evolved by Christian societies, Marxist societies and so-called Secular societies, we see them disintegrating already. The base unit family is fast eroding the social fabric of modern society and we can look at the number of years they have withstood the test of time.

The Test of Time is the best test, it tells us what works in practice. It has worked for Hindu society; it has not worked for Christian societies, Marxist societies, and Secular societies long enough to stand the test of time.

And therefore, I see no reason to be defensive about Hindu system of social structure; those who speak ill of it looking at today's situation, deliberately shut their eyes towards the fact that this very deterioration is the effect of its long association with Islamic, Christian, Marxist and Secular societies. Instead of looking at the cause and effect relationship, Hindu hater BhaaratiyaEnglishmen, ChristianizedHindus and FakeSecularists point fingers at the ancient system, which saved Hindu society from being obliterated by Islamic and Christian imperialism.

Hindu FamilyDharm

Hindu value system placed very high degree of importance to morality where a woman does not accept the seed from anyone other than her husband. We are talking of normal circumstances of life. We speak of rules not exceptions!

Family structure and allocation of powers and responsibilities

Each family would have a head known as Karta whose decision would be final in case of family disputes and disagreements. This authority would be vested in the Karta with the responsibility to be just and fair to all in the family, and not to base crucial decisions on personal preferences. In all his visible judgments and decisions, he would be expected to demonstrate justice and fairness.

Children of the family would grow up 'learning to value' these qualities of justice and fairness. This process of living through just and fair dealings, would inculcate those qualities in them, through the course of their growing up process.

This was a living reality of Hindu social life

This was a living reality of Hindu social life or else, different visitors from different nations over different centuries would not have mentioned so consistently of this quality among Hindus.

Now, it is quite true that during the two thousand years which precede the time of Mahmood of Ghazni, BhaaratVarsh has had but few foreign visitors, and few foreign critics; still it is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek, or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first. Max Muller, p 50

Hindu Joint Family structure of earlier times and its Strengths

Returning to the Hindu family structure of earlier days, Karta would normally be the able-bodied able-minded eldest male member of the family. Position of authority and responsibility would be distributed in a hierarchical manner in the sense that elder the member greater the authority coupled with greater responsibility. Younger members would be groomed on the same pattern to learn to assume the authority as well as discharge corresponding responsibility, as they would grow up in the hierarchy.

The respect for the elders would be an unwritten law, and it would be expected of all to observe it without any reservation. With that elders

would have the equal amount of responsibility to stay worthy of such respect by their thoughts and actions. This would be the balancing factor for maintaining necessary equilibrium in the family.

Adult male members of the family would have the responsibility of earning for the family to meet its needs, and to provide shelter and protection to the female members and children of the family. Female members would have the responsibility of taking care of the in-house needs of male members of family, and raising the kids in line with the culture and traditions of the family. Elder female members of the family would have the responsibility of grooming up the younger female members of the family in the desired direction.

Each new generation would learn the family values from their mothers and grandmothers, and in this manner the female members of the family would play the crucial role through the formative years of growing children. Spirituality would be an essential part of the family values, and women folk would be the custodian and deliverer of these values to each next generation through their growing up process.

Single spouse system and fidelity would be the norm. Exceptions would be found in the context of political marriages where a king would offer his daughter to another king and thus, the two ruling families would unite and not be threat to each other. Such marriages would primarily be conducted for maintaining power-balance and political equilibrium. These would be exceptions not rule, and we have references to many kings having only one wife.

How Hindu family structure changed so drastically that now we hardly see any evidence of our earlier system

The whole system, however, changed after brutal onslaught of Islam and its direct interference in Hindu way of family life through forced conversions and forced marriages of Hindu girls and Hindu women into Muslim powerful families. This is when family values started deteriorating substantially though it did preserve a lot of it, as we can see from the testimonies of Sir Thomas Munro as presented below, even after thousand years of inhumane oppression that Max Muller called an inferno and wondered "how any nation could have survived such an inferno without being turned into devils themselves."

If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and BhaaratVarsh, I am convinced that England

division upon earth, fire, sword, war. For five will be in a house; there will be three against two and two against three, the father against the son and the son against the father. And they will stand because they are single ones. 56 Jesus said: He who will not hate his father and his mother cannot be my disciple. And he who will not hate his brothers and sisters, and carry his cross as I have, will not become worthy of me. [Gospel of Thomas as quoted in The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 76 n]

To understand Jesus's agenda, as documented in the pages of Christian Bible, you may want to study *Christianity in a different Light*. Christian missionary educators taught the Hindus for past six generations and media experts created the image in the minds of the Hindus that ancient Hindu Joint Family structure was essentially an evil social structure. This paved the way for promoting Split Family structure which has now been refined to such levels that gradually Single Parent system is becoming the norm in the ChristianWorld that we mistakenly identify as Western world, and aping them faithfully we too are rapidly following their footsteps.

Marriages under Hindu Joint Family system vs. modern marriages

Marriage was one of the most significant aspects of FamilyDharm. Marriage was not considered simply as union of two bodies. Marriage was a significant social event. It was union of two families and family traditions.

Marriages were decided with great care. Several aspects were considered. Not only boy and girl were important, but their parents were also important; so were family lineage, parental characters, parental nature, their values, their traditions, their health, their history and so many things.

Today boy and girl argue, why we need to look at parents, and what character and values they represent; we do not have to marry parents, we have to marry each other. Fine as it sounds, and nice as it feels, we tend to forget 'science' that we are so proud of, which has started understanding a little bit of the relevance of genes in human behavioral pattern. The hereditary attributes play their role in the long run and we find these lovebirds start splitting after a while. Few years ago, I looked at divorce rates in North America exceeding 50%, while these statistics did not tell us the full story, for they covered only those who were legally married, and such couples often find separation and divorce process pretty demanding, considering future of children involved and therefore, not all broken marriages result in legal divorce.

A very large segment of married couple in North America (I speak of Canada, and assume it would be same in USA) are those called common-

law where the boy and girl live together like husband and wife, have children, file tax returns as common-law spouses, for most purposes they are like married couple except they are not legally married. Most of them are youngsters who have not yet planned children, and these marriages break fairly easy as compared to legal marriages, for all they need is to split, and start living separate. These are high ratio cases. Thus, if we were to take these into account, then total divorces (where divorce would mean essentially all failed marriages), would probably exceed 75%.

What a great system it is that does not stand the test of time, that does not stand the test of success in the desired venture that fails and fails, and finally gets reduced to multiple experiments with life! This, we call modern social structure, and we gloat at its supposedly advanced nature.

There is lot to learn from the systems of olden days that we look down upon thinking we have progressed! Have we? Except that we satisfy our ego by consoling ourselves with such inflated self-estimates!

The Secret of Stable Hindu marriages of yesteryears ~ a meticulous system of mathematics applied to human lives

We may have occasionally heard about extraordinary accomplishments of Hindu mathematics. The ChristianWorld, however, prefers to attribute them to the Greeks and (pre-Islamic) Arabs who basically imported the knowledge from the Hindus [see What they do not teach you at school] and then popularized with the people of Europe.

The foundation of FamilyDharm was on the premises of the institution of marriage. Arranged marriages demonstrated great stability and reflected high success rate.

This was ensured by a *meticulous system of mathematics applied to human lives*. Based on time and place of birth of a human being, it could calculate with fair amount of accuracy the life span of the individual. This helped match-making in a manner that one of the spouses does not have to live very long without the other.

The system could calculate the ego development of the marrying partners. This helped match-making with due caution to conflicting egos between the boy and the girl in consideration.

The system could calculate with fair amount of accuracy the degree of magnetic control or amenability either spouse will have on the other. This helped match-making with a view to harmony between the two.

The system could calculate with considerable accuracy the sexual compatibility between the boy and girl in question. This helped match-making with regard to this very essential factor in a satisfying marriage.

The system considered sexual compatibility in physical as well as emotional context. It may be difficult for modern people to visualize that a mathematical system could be capable of ascertaining such details without reference to medical and psychological systems.

Well, that difficulty in perception is natural because modern education system has not tried to evolve mathematical modules applied to human lives. It has not tried so because the modern Christian education system is based on an inflated ego that it has nothing to learn from ancient Hindu systems. More significantly, the modern education system is based on knowledge base of the ChristianWorld, which would not want to entertain the thought that Hindu world could have had better developed modules for social, economical, judicial and other processes.

Returning to the mathematical system we have been referring to, it had developed the capability of determining the psychological dispositions of the intended couple. This helped in (a) ascertaining mental qualities and (b) estimating likely affection for each other, this being very significant element in match-making.

Then the system calculated the temperament and character of the couple concerned where compatibility of temperament was looked at for a satisfactory marriage union.

Finally, system looked at nervous energy indicating the physiological and to certain extent hereditary factors. This helped match-making with a view to the children that would be borne of such couple, for a marriage was not meant only for the present generation but also for the future generation of the society to come.

All these factors could be translated into mathematical module because the Creation and maintenance of this Universe itself works on a mathematical module of high precision. The method was widely followed and its results have shown over thousands of years of its application.

In modern times its use has dwindled, for an image has been successfully created that whatever modern Christian education system does not teach us is essentially superstitious. The result of our modernity has already started showing on our present day family structure.

The technique was not an end in itself

Here, you need to understand another fundamental relating to this Creation. That is: nothing is stand alone in isolation to the exclusion of everything else. Do not think that any one aspect of esoteric knowledge would suffice for you to understand the entire gamut of complexities involved in the process of Creation.

Simply put you need to understand that the module I spoke of ~ applied mathematics to human lives ~ is not stand alone. Its well understood

application does ensure substantially a stable married life but in itself, it is not the only means to that end.

Election of the right partner at the initial stage by application of that mathematical module can ensure a stable beginning and a stable journey but then that needs to be complemented with some other equally significant modules relevant to human lives. You cannot ignore other modules and expect the entire fruit by sticking to only one. There are several aspects of esoteric knowledge that have not only remained limited within the boundaries of Science but graduated beyond to the State of Art where they have become relevant to human lives in manners that you may not begin to think because your ChristianEnglish educators have systematically buried them over the past two centuries. I am not going to discuss them here but I will return with them someday.

In today's circumstances this clarification is all the more necessary because it is the age of quick fix where every one tends to look for an allopathic medicine for every headache ~ a pill that would instantly relieve you of the headache not bothering to fix the root problem. Besides, the close association with and total dependence on ChristianEnglish education system for six generations has made us not only forget those essentials but also treat them as meaningless superstitions because an ignorant education system can give you no better knowledge ~ a culture that cares not to understand Mother Nature but only attempts to conquer it like all arrogant fools do can give you no better understanding of matters that truly affect your lives. All they do is whole lot of trial and errors and give them impressive names like psychiatry and various derivatives of those kinds which are nothing but a huge money making racket in the name of scientific education.

How we lost all that

ChristianBritish systematically destroyed ancient Hindu education system [see *Do your history books tell you these facts*]. They withdrew all governmental support to any form of education that remotely related to Hindu system. Through ChristianEnglish education system they filled the minds of Hindu children that all of Hindu system of knowledge was nothing but superstition. Through six generations of ChristianEnglish education you have learned to think of them as superstition. *Superstition is something that you believe in blindly*. Aren't you doing the same thing? Didn't you believe blindly what those ignorant ChristianBritish educators told you. Neither did they examine the validity of Hindu branches of knowledge, nor did you examine them. And, you blindly believed them all to be superstition. This belief of yours ~ isn't it a superstition by itself? They killed our knowledge base, they let it get lost. If few kept it alive through generations *without adequate support system and if they lost most of it*, you call them quacks. *Who is responsible for the degeneration of Hindu knowledge base? Are these whom you call quacks? Or, are those who methodically wiped it out over the period of time?*

If you are convinced that there is a need to protect Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism then I would request you to do the following: Gather like minded people and form action groups. Divide spheres of activity among yourselves. Keep yourself focused. Expand your group, begin with local, and take it to regional. Aashrams and temples are spiritual centers of Sanaatan Dharm. Those can serve well as focal points, the nucleus for all activity. You can organize Sat'Sang at temples and Aashrams for awakening *nationalism* within participants and for discussing the urgent need for Hindu unity with the sole objective of self-preservation. Do not get involved in endless arguments among yourselves as to what is good and what is bad in Hinduism. Such debates are good for inactive people who want to get a deceptive feel of being active. Do not get involved with discussion groups on internet whose sole objective is to vent out accumulated frustration within them in absence of any constructive work towards a solution, howsoever remote that solution may seem initially. Do not waste your energies in discussions and debates at any platform other than those specifically organized to respond to anti-Hindu forces attempting to destroy Hinduism. Keep track of all anti-Hindu propaganda. Respond to them forcefully at all forums. Use every form of available media to make your voice heard. Let mischief makers know that you are firm, you are united, and you are willing to stand like a wall in front of those who make any attempt to denigrate Hinduism. Let your goal be simple: *Aim for Protection of Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism*. Let your moral strength be visible to all. Organize peaceful demonstrations in small and large groups at strategic places like prominent media centers, political hubs, anti-Hindu organizations. Remember that students' collective strength can be awesome. It can leave its impressions not only on current events but also can imprint inerasable marks in the minds of the participants which may guide their future plans and later day actions. Single-handed I myself do the following activities on my own without any help: research, conceptualizing, writing, typing, editing; DTP and preparation of dummies for printing; making printing arrangements, financing the production, accounting; promoting, selling, packing, taking to the post office for mailing; designing, developing, and maintaining the web site. With many hands you all can join together do the following: reach these works to many more; make lesser known facts better known; turn such knowledge into real Strength of the Hindus; make available free access to withheld information; remove the Curse emanating from ignorance of such information. Reaching you ammunition in form of organized information is my duty; using them is your duty. Finally, remember that *Knowledge is your Strength, Ignorance Curse!*

Shrimad BhagavadGita

Adhyaay 2 Shlok 3

O Pritha's son, Arjun! You do not have to be a coward as this does not speak well of you. O Paramtap! Give up this contemptible infirmity of your heart and mind and get up to fight the battle for protection of Dharm धर्म!

Adhyaay 2 Shlok 38

Pleasure and pain, loss and gain, victory and defeat ~ treat them all as same and get ready for battle. By doing so you won't commit a sin.