

Part 1 — Monstrous Oath that St. Xavier Took

[Home](#) [English](#) [Downloads](#)

Seven of them first assembled in Paris



Chapel of St. Denis, Rue Yvonne le TAC, Paris

29 May 2009

“On August 15, 1534, Ignatius of Loyola (born Íñigo López de Loyola), a Spaniard of Basque origin, and six other students at the University of Paris (Francisco Xavier from Navarre, Alfonso Salmeron, Diego Laínez, and Nicolás Bobadilla from Spain, Peter Faber from Savoy in France, and Simão Rodrigues from Portugal) met in Montmartre outside Paris,

in the crypt of the Chapel of St Denis, Rue Yvonne le Tac.” <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jesuits> 2009-05-30

IST 00:30

Papal bull *Regimini militantis Ecclesiae* authorising creation of the Government of the Church Militant



Pope Paul III (1534–1549) and next to him is the Fresco (wall painting) of approving of bylaw of Society of Jesus depicting Ignatius of Loyola receiving Papal bull Regimini militantis Ecclesiae from Pope Paul III

“In 1537, they travelled to Italy to seek papal approval for their order. Pope Paul III gave them a commendation, and permitted them to be ordained priests.” <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jesuits>
2009-05-30 IST 0045

“They presented the project to the Pope. After months of dispute, a congregation of cardinals reported favourably upon the Constitution presented, and Paul III confirmed the order through the bull Regimini militantis ecclesiae (“To the **Government of the Church Militant**”), on September 27, 1540, but limited the number of its members to sixty. This is the founding document of the Jesuits as an official Catholic religious order”. “This limitation was **removed** through the bull Injunctum nobis (March 14, 1543). Ignatius was chosen as the first superior–general. He sent his companions as missionaries around Europe to create schools, colleges, and seminaries” Höpfl, Harro (2004). [Jesuit political thought: the Society of Jesus and the state, c. 1540-1630](#). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. p.426. ISBN 0521837790



Ignatius Loyola
(Spanish)

Principal Founder
Author of Jesuit Constitution
1st Superior General



St. Francis Xavier
(Spanish)

Co-founder of
Society of Jesus



Diego Laynez
(Spanish)

2nd Superior General
of Society of Jesus



Alfonso Salmeron
(Spanish)

One of first 7 Jesuits

Ignatius Loyola (Spanish) Principal Founder of Society of Jesus, 1st Superior General of Society of Jesus and next to him is Francis Xavier Co-founder of Society of Jesus also Spanish. Diego Laynez (Spanish) 2nd Superior General of Society of Jesus and next to him is Alfonso Salmeron (Spanish) One of the first seven Jesuits

“Ignatius wrote the Jesuit Constitutions, adopted in 1554, which created a tightly centralized organization and stressed absolute abnegation, and obedience to Pope and superiors (perinde ac cadaver, “[well–

disciplined] like a corps" as Ignatius put it)". http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jesuits#cite_ref-Hopfl_3-0

2009-05-30 IST 01:13

Abnegation has been described as: "1. to refuse or deny oneself (some rights, conveniences, etc.); reject; renounce; 2. to relinquish; give up". <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/abnegation?o=100074> 2009-06-06 IST 2215

Corps has been defined as "1 a: an organized subdivision of the military establishment <Marine Corps> <Signal Corps> b: a tactical unit usually consisting of two or more divisions and auxiliary arms and services; 2: a group of persons associated together or acting under common direction; especially: a body of persons having a common activity or occupation <the press corps>". <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/corps> 2009-06-06 IST 22:07

Seal of the Society of Jesus



What you see here is the Seal¹ of the 'Society of Jesus'. Those who are familiar with the process of designing a Seal for an organization would know that great care is exercised in creating a symbol that should carry very special

meaning for all those who will get to associate closely with the organization in times to come. This becomes especially important for an organization that will find its presence all over the world, in significant places in each society, with a mission to accomplish something very–very significant. With this background in mind you need to look at this seal carefully. What does it remind you of – at very first sight – the hot Sun – the brilliant, the powerful, the burning Sun? What about Sun rays – specially those spike like – what do they tell you – why aren't they like normally depicted sun rays? And those crooked ones – placed in between those spiked ones – like when hair stands – out of fear or some unusual sensation. And the Cross and its design – the vertical bar with a pointed bottom – piercing like a sword through the capital 'H' of Heart. And just below that, those three typical 'nails' – what are they doing? We will get the feel as we have a look at Oath taking ceremony of Jesuits later in this work.

Jesuit Extreme Oath of Induction as recorded in the Journals of the 62nd Congress 3rd Session of the US Congressional Record

14 April 2009


Oxford Dictionary defines Jesuit as a member of Society of Jesus. [Oxford Dictionary ISBN 019-565432-3 \[2001\]](#) p.981

Professor Arthur Noble tells us that text of the Jesuit Extreme Oath of Induction as recorded in the Journals of the 62nd Congress 3rd Session of the United States Congressional Record (House Calendar # 397 Report # 1523 of February 15, 1913 on page # 3215 to 3216) from which it was subsequently torn out.

Prof. Noble also tells us that The Oath is also quoted by Charles Didier in his book Subterranean Rome (New York, 1843), translated from the French original.

Prof. Noble further tells us that Dr Alberto Rivera, who escaped from the Jesuit Order in 1967, confirms that the induction ceremony and the text of the Jesuit Oath which he took were identical to what we have cited below.

Before publishing the Jesuit Oath in 1st edition of this book, I had personally verified it on 2 Mar 2005, and reproduced it from <http://www.ianpaisley.org/article.asp?ArtKey=jesuit>. Now that I am working on the 2nd edition of this book I retrieved it once again from Dr. Ian Paisley's website on 14 Apr 2009, and placed it on my Blog <http://maanojrakhit.spaces.live.com/blog/> so that I too have a record.



Dr Ian Paisley



European Institute of Protestant Studies

Address: 356–376, Ravenhill Road, BELFAST, BT6 8GL, Northern Ireland, UK

In this edition I am reproducing the whole of it which also includes steps involved in the ritual from start to its conclusion so that readers can have wholesome view of the initiation process into the higher order of Jesuits. Reproduced text has been presented in italics/underlined of which some words have been highlighted/underlined to draw reader's attention..

Before proceeding further let us analyse a few facts. 1843 publication Subterranean Rome reproduced the oath. 1913 US Congressional Records documented the Oath. 1967 Dr Alberto Rivera confirmed he had taken the same oath. Why do I draw your attention towards these dates? Look at 1967 – it tells us that we are not talking about some old history of bygone days. Many of us were alive at that time. All I am driving at is it is a contemporary subject, not something belonging to a distant past. Something that is contemporary affects our lives; something that comes from distant past may or may not.

Americans know what US Congress is but many of my countrymen may not know. For, we too have a Congress but it is very different from US Congress. In our country it is a dynastic political party which is today governed by a foreign national. Why it happens is another story and we wouldn't want to deviate that far from the basic issue. In USA, Congress does not refer to some political party. For simplicity sake we can compare it with our Raajya Sabha and Lok Sabha. For precision sake we may want to look at the description provided in Wikipedia **The United States Congress** is the bicameral legislature of the federal government of the United States of America, consisting of two houses, the Senate and the House of Representatives. Both senators and representatives are chosen through direct election source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/US_Congress 15 Apr 2009 IST 04:02. We can also look at descriptions available on different pages of <http://www.house.gov> of United States House of Representatives.

"The Congressional Record is the official record of the proceedings and debates of the U.S. Congress and The official records of House and Senate actions are kept in their respective journals, but a fuller record of proceedings is kept in the **Congressional Record**".

I have given all these clarifications only to help you appreciate the 'weight' such documentations carry. All that I am

trying to convey to you is that we are not talking about some fictional material. These are serious facts which affect humanity.

“When a Jesuit of the minor rank is to be elevated to command, he is conducted into the Chapel of the Convent of the Order, where there are only three others present, the principal or Superior standing in front of the altar. On either side stands a monk, one of whom holds a banner of yellow and white, which are the Papal colours, and the other a black banner with a dagger and red cross above a skull and crossbones, with the word INRI, and below them the words IUSTUM NECAR REGES IMPIUS. The meaning of which is: It is just to exterminate or annihilate impious or heretical Kings, Governments, or Rulers.”

“When a Jesuit of the minor rank is to be elevated to command, he is conducted into the **Chapel of the Convent** of the Order, where there are only three others present, the principal or Superior standing in front of the altar. On either side stands a monk, one of whom holds a banner of yellow and white, which are the Papal colours, and the other a black banner with a dagger and red cross above a **skull and crossbones**, with the word **INRI**, and below them the words **IUSTUM NECAR REGES IMPIUS**. The meaning of which is: **It is just to exterminate or annihilate impious or heretical Kings, Governments, or Rulers.**”

Let us stop here for a moment and ponder all over again about a couple of things that we have otherwise been familiar with. We have seen in many English movies the Cross and the word INRI inscribed on it. When we walk past some of the road side Christian crosses in Mumbai we may have noticed the word INRI inscribed on them. Of course, there are churches, graveyards, and many other places we may have noticed a Cross and the word I.N.R.I.

inscribed on it. We may or may not have wondered what it means. Now we know what it means. Take notice of the words exterminate or annihilate **which mean** kill, eliminate, massacre, destroy, murder, eradicate, wipe out, obliterate, extinguish. Would you think this is an expression of love? Would you think this is in the spirit of service to humanity? Would you think, this advocates peace?

Now let us brood over another aspect of it. Why is this message of extermination or annihilation is placed with Cross? Is it to remind every Christian the **aim of their life** every time they come to the Christ or his symbol Cross? And, why this repetitive reminder of extermination or annihilation is with reference to impious or heretical Kings, Governments, or Rulers? Is it because the kings, the governments, the rulers enjoy the power to decide which religion should be given prominence and which religions should be suppressed or let destroyed? Why go far, simply look around, and you will have ample evidence all around you. Take the recent case – past five years rule by UPA Government (2004–09). During this period many things happened – the case of Kanchi ShankarAachaarya, Saadvi Pragya Singh, Colonel Purohit, slain Swami Lakshmanaand Saraswati – list can be endless. This is what makes governments, rulers important for INRI motto. Once the government is in your control you can deal with the masses very easily because the power rests with the rulers. And that is where Vatican focuses on.

7 May 2009

With reference to these kings, governments, rulers, let us now look at the significance of words impious or heretical. Synonyms of impious are sinful, irreverent, wicked, bad, and immoral. Now focus on irreverent which means disrespectful. One who is disrespectful towards Church is naturally sinful, wicked, bad, and immoral so far the Church is concerned because, it is the Church which derives the power from Christian Gods only begotten Son, Jesus the Christ, to define what is pious, what is moral. Thus, any king, government, ruler who is disrespectful

towards the Church must be killed, destroyed, eliminated from the face of this earth.

Heretic is

“a professed believer who maintains religious opinions contrary to those accepted by his or her church or rejects doctrines prescribed by that church”. It comes back to the same thing: a king, government, ruler who does not agree to what the Church says, its existence must be obliterated from the face of the earth.

And once that is done, the dissenting king, government, ruler is eliminated and a meek ruler is established on the throne, the rest of the people will not dare raise a voice against the Church.

The Jesuit Oath

“I _____, now in the presence of **Almighty God**, the blessed **Virgin Mary**, the blessed St John the Baptist, the Holy Apostles, St Peter and St Paul, and all the saints, sacred host of Heaven, and to you, my Ghostly Father, the superior general of the **Society of Jesus**, founded by St Ignatius Loyola, in the pontification of Paul the Third, and **continued to the present**, do by the womb of the Virgin, the matrix of God, and the rod of **Jesus Christ**, declare and swear that His Holiness, the **Pope**, is Christ’s Vice-Regent and is the true and only head of the Catholic or Universal Church throughout the earth; and that by the virtue of the keys of binding and loosing given to His Holiness by my Saviour, **Jesus Christ**, he hath power to depose heretical Kings, Princes, States, Commonwealths, and Governments, and they may be safely destroyed. Therefore to the utmost of my power I will defend this doctrine and His Holiness’s right and custom against all usurpers of the heretical or Protestant authority whatever, especially the

Lutheran Church of Germany, Holland, Denmark, Sweden and Norway, and the now pretended authority and Churches of England and Scotland, and the branches of same now established in Ireland and on the continent of America and elsewhere and all adherents in regard that they may be usurped and heretical, opposing the sacred Mother Church of Rome. I do now denounce and disown any allegiance as due to any heretical king, prince or State, named Protestant or Liberal, or obedience to any of their laws, magistrates or officers. I do further declare the doctrine of the Churches of England and Scotland of the Calvinists, Huguenots, and others of the name of Protestants or Masons to be damnable, and they themselves to be damned who will not forsake the same. I do further declare that I will help, assist, and advise all or any of His Holiness's agents, in any place where I should be, in Switzerland, Germany, Holland, Ireland or America, or in any other kingdom or territory I shall come to, and do my utmost to extirpate the heretical Protestant or Masonic doctrines and to destroy all their pretended powers, legal or otherwise. I do further promise and declare that, notwithstanding, I am dispensed with to assume any religion heretical for the propagation of the Mother Church's interest; to **keep secret and private** all her agents' counsels from time to time, as they entrust me, and **not to divulge**, directly or indirectly, by word, writing or circumstances whatever; **but to execute** all that should be proposed, given in charge, or discovered unto me by you, my Ghostly Father, or any of this sacred order. I do further promise and declare that I will have **no opinion or will of my own** or any mental reservation whatever, even as a corpse or cadaver (perinde ac cadaver), but will **unhesitatingly obey each and every command** that I may receive from my superiors in the **militia of the Pope and of Jesus Christ**. That I will go to any part of the world whithersoever I may be sent, to the frozen regions north, **jungles of INDIA**, to the centres of civilization of Europe, or to the wild haunts of the barbarous savages of America **without murmuring** or repining,

and **will be submissive** in all things, whatsoever is communicated to me. I do further promise and declare that I will, when opportunity presents, make and **wage relentless war, secretly and openly,** against all heretics, Protestants and Masons, as I am directed to do, to extirpate them **from the face of the whole earth;** and that **I WILL SPARE NEITHER age, sex nor condition, and that will hang, burn, waste, boil, flay, strangle, and bury alive these infamous heretics; rip up the stomachs and wombs of their women, and crush their infants' heads against the walls** in order to annihilate their execrable race. That when the same cannot be done openly I will **secretly use** the poisonous cup, the strangulation cord, the steel of the poniard, or the leaden bullet, regardless of the honour, rank, dignity or authority of the persons, whatever may be their condition in life, either public or private, as I at any time may be directed so to do by any agents of the **Pope** or Superior of the Brotherhood of the Holy Father of the Society of Jesus. In confirmation of which I hereby dedicate my life, soul, and all corporal powers, and with the dagger which I now receive I will subscribe my name written in my blood in testimony thereof; and **should I prove false, or weaken in my determination,** may my brethren and fellow soldiers of the militia of the Pope **cut off my hands and feet and my throat from ear to ear, my belly be opened and sulphur burned therein** with all the punishment that can be inflicted upon me on earth, and **my soul shall be tortured by demons in eternal hell forever.** That I will in voting always vote for a Knight of Columbus in preference to a Protestant, especially a Mason, and that I will leave my party so to do; that if two Catholics are on the ticket I will satisfy myself which is the better supporter of Mother Church and vote accordingly. That I will not deal with or employ a Protestant if in my power to deal with or employ a Catholic. That I will place Catholic girls in Protestant families that a weekly report may be made of the

inner movements of the heretics. That I will provide myself with arms and ammunition that I may be in readiness when the word is passed, or I am commanded to defend the Church either as an individual or with the militia of the Pope. All of which I, _____, do swear by the blessed **Trinity** and blessed sacrament which I am now to receive to perform and on part to keep this my oath. In testimony hereof, I take this most holy and blessed sacrament of the Eucharist and witness the same further with my name written with the point of this dagger dipped in my own blood and seal in the face of this holy sacrament.”

Oath taking ceremony

Now, let us proceed with rest of the Oath taking ceremony for Jesuits, that is, the members of Society of Jesus, among whom one of the better known names is St. Francis Xavier, in whose memory we have hundreds of educational institutions floating all around us like St. Xavier’s College, St. Xavier’s High School, etc. So let us become better acquainted with the character of that Saint of Christianity who has so far been the inspiration for millions of Hindu students who received education at such schools and colleges.

“Upon the floor is a red cross at which the postulant or candidate kneels. The Superior hands him a small black crucifix, which he takes in his left hand and presses to his heart, and the Superior at the same time presents to him a dagger, which he grasps by the blade and holds the point against his heart, the Superior still holding it by the hilt, and thus addresses the postulant:”

Postulant means

“(1) a candidate, esp. for admission into a religious order (2) a person who asks or applies for something”.

Superior (Ecclesiastical) refers to

“the head of a monastery, convent, or the like”.

Ecclesiastical relates to

“of or pertaining to the church or the clergy; churchly; clerical; not secular”.

“The Superior speaks:

My son, heretofore you have been taught to act the dissembler: among Roman Catholics to be a Roman Catholic and to be a spy even among your own brethren; to believe no man, to trust no man. Among the Reformers, to be a Reformer; among the Huguenots, to be a Huguenot; among the Calvinists, to be a Calvinist; among other Protestants, generally to be a Protestant; and obtaining their confidence, to seek even to preach from their pulpits, and to denounce with all the vehemence in your nature our Holy Religion and the Pope; and even to descend so low as to become a Jew among Jews, that you might be enabled to gather together all information for the benefit of your Order as a faithful soldier of the Pope”.

8 May 2009

Let us look at these two passages carefully. While I am not expanding on “dagger, which he grasps by the blade and holds the point against his heart, the Superior still holding it by the hilt” as its meaning in literal sense is quite

obvious, yet I would want to draw your attention towards the impact it might create on the mind of the Jesuit on whom the oath is being administered.

Now, what further he is being told? That you will be a spy among your own people – quite interesting – take a note of this. Actually it reminds me of a statement by Arthur Frederick Ide:

“One primary reason Rome turned against the Christians was that Christians were violently intolerant. Christians would not accept altars to gods other than their own even though the Romans offered an altar to the Christian god. Christians spat upon those who would not convert. They hid documents. They alienated families. They prayed for the end of the empire and the enthronement of their god as the new king. These were actions, which were socially disconcerting, disrupting, and dangerous”. (for details refer to [Christianity in a different Light: Face behind the Mask](#))

8 May 2009

So, what we see here is that not today but from the days of Romans, Christians had such nasty habits. They alienated families. Alienate means “arouse hostility or indifference in where there had formerly been love, affection, or friendliness”. It is not only Christian missionaries but the Christians, by and large, had this trait built-in their character. It happened not only in Rome but also in Persia about which you have already read in my earlier book *Christianity in a different Light: Face behind the Mask*. It happened not only in Rome and Persia but also practically in all countries of Europe when, at one point of time or the other, these followers of Jesus were thrown out of the country, and you have read it all in my previous works. But then, with time, they gained power by adopting ways and means that fell beyond the accepted norms of humanity. And, with power, they were able to turn humans into

new definitions of humanity that they devised.

Now this deadly streak of “alienating families” can be seen very well among present day Hindus but why? It is because now we Hindus have lived in close contact with Christianity for many generations, and by association, we too have acquired those nasty characteristics, albeit to a relatively limited extent, as is reflected in our attitude towards in-laws and old members in the family.

After the son gets married, you begin to notice a gradual change in his attitude towards his parents, and this may be more pronounced when the girl is convent educated. However, it’s all so very subtle that we do not notice the connection very clearly.

Christian English education has trained us to compartmentalize things, whereby we put ‘seemingly different’ issues into different compartments, and evaluate them in an isolated manner; as a result we fail to see the bigger picture, as to how ‘seemingly different’ components are actually interconnected by an invisible thread.

A direct fall out of this trait is that we Hindus tend to think that Jesus was Godly person, Christianity is humanitarian religion, Christians are nice decent people, Christian Fathers (in schools) are ethically sound God fearing people who are loaded with love for their pupil, it’s only a small category of Christian missionaries who may be the true culprit; and, it’s unfair to place the messiah, Christianity, Christians and missionaries all in one basket.

If I tell you all, ok, pick up a standard edition of Holy Bible; check for yourself what Christian God has told them, you won’t even bother to take the trouble. If I were to say, check in Holy Bible as to what Jesus Christ taught his own people, and then look through documented history of Christianity if those teachings reflected well, by and large, through real life conducts of Christians, or not; again our people who have been thoroughly brainwashed through

past many generations, wouldn't want to do that even for their own benefit.

Yes, Jesus taught, and three Gospels of Holy Bible offer the documentation thereof, that Jesus did speak with absolute clarity, and in simple language, that members of the family must get alienated. You can go through my fourth book *Christianity in a different Light: Face behind the Mask* and you will get all evidence with complete reference so you may verify them yourself if you care. But for the sake of your own children do not live with any illusion which you, and your guides love to do. Fooling others is bad but fooling yourself can be dangerous. Such act will not harm others; it will harm you and your progeny.

You have to bear in mind that deceptiveness is one of the core characteristics of Christianity. And what you see, read and hear is primarily designed to deceive you. This happens to be part of their basic training, so much so, that it has become part of their whole existence, and they fulfil this duty with ease, and without any disturbance in their conscience. In fact, their conscience in itself has been altered to an extraordinary degree over the generations.

Pay attention to these words: you have been taught to act the dissembler. What does that mean? **Dissemble** means

“(used with object) to give a false or misleading appearance to; conceal the truth or real nature of or (used without object) to conceal one's true motives, thoughts, etc., by some pretence; speak or act hypocritically”.

Giving false or misleading appearance, conceal the truth or real nature, conceal true motives, thoughts by some pretence or act – all this has become their second nature – these traits have gone into their blood. Beware that you will essentially acquire those characteristics very quickly by marriage which will result in inter-mixing of blood-lines.

By association too, you will acquire those traits, though relatively gradually, over the years, or through generations.

We Hindus have been the victim of a typical superstition. Superstition has been defined as “any blindly accepted belief or notion”. This particular superstition that I am talking about relates to blind faith in modern science. That faith being, if anything that modern science does not put its stamp on, cannot be correct. For example, if modern science does not tell us that such intermixing of blood–lines can have such detrimental effect, we simply wouldn’t want to believe it. We wouldn’t even bother to consider what if modern science has not thoroughly investigated into this matter, and as of today, modern science is simply ignorant of the consequences of such indiscriminate inter–mixing of blood–lines. We blindly accept: if modern science has not proved that it is detrimental then it is not detrimental. And, if I were to say that this blind faith also amounts to superstition, you wouldn’t want to agree. For, you believe that a blind faith in modern science cannot be termed as a superstition. As if superstition is anything that modern science does not approve ‘today’. Mark the word ‘today’ because if modern science tells you ‘tomorrow’ that, yes, it is detrimental, you will readily believe it then. In other words, what modern science does not know ‘today’ cannot be true, and therefore, believing modern science blindly is not superstition.

In Hindu society, marriage within same ‘Gotr’ गोत्र is prohibited. ‘Gotr’ identifies blood–line. Christians and Muslims are terribly backward in terms of scientific knowledge. They do not know the Gotr concept nor do they want to learn about it from the knowledge base of the Hindu seers. So, they have found it easy to turn Christianity driven education system against Hindu knowledge base branding them as whole lot of superstition.

In a situation like this how do you determine, if at all a few of you possess the true ability to be objective, as to which is right. Yes, I repeat, true ability to be objective because most of you live under illusion that you are objective not even being aware how very subjective your lame thought process is that was built on the hollow

foundation of Christian world's knowledge base which is still crawling and hasn't even learned to walk on its own legs. You may merrily term me as arrogant but some day when the sense descends on you, you'll find that I am not arrogant but blatantly truthful. Anyhow, that is not the issue here so let us go back to the main question as to how you determine whether such indiscreet inter-mixing of blood-lines can be detrimental to humanity.

So, go back into history and arrive at your conclusion applying deductive logic. Past and present of these societies is before you. Look at them critically. Look at the Hindu society as documented by numerous visitors from various countries over a span of two millenniums. You will find them in my earlier books. Don't go by what they taught you at school because they deliberately withheld documented evidence from you, as it would have gone against the stand they had been taking all along. Look at the character and consistency over this vast period. Next study critically Christian and Muslim history and their present and the kind of inhumane characters they have produced all along the history. Again, I need to caution you that the history you had been taught through your textbooks was highly manipulated through past two centuries, including those textbooks which came into existence after 1947. So, you will need to put up plenty of hard work to investigate into true monstrous history of Christianity and Islam. If you can't do that then study the evidence I have presented in my books duly supported by references that you can check.

Anyhow let us look at present day scenario. With so much indiscreet intermixing of blood-lines today what we find is whole lot of psychic new generations coming into existence with all kinds of unnatural behaviour patterns. For instance, look at the extensive range of homosexuality among present generations. Christian world is very much advance in these things and we are quickly falling in line with them. They have been much ahead in indiscreet intermixing of bloodlines because they never understood these scientific concepts. Living here you do not come to

know what kind of abnormal psychic personalities keep surfacing in news only too often and all around. I can fill—in pages here with illustrations but that is not the objective. I leave these details for others to work out and catalogue them. My objective is to point towards more fundamental issues that plague humanity today, particularly those which remain hidden from common view because, being so happens to be in the interest of powerful many.

Returning to the Jesuit Oath Ceremony and Christianity's deceptive character let me ask you not to look at others as you would want others to look at you, though it may sound very nice to do so. Hindu character is fundamentally not designed to be deceptive. You fail to realize that the deceptive tendencies that you notice among today's Hindus are cultivated traits over six generations. Your proximity to Christianity has made you much like them. This is the reason that elders of earlier generations kept cautioning Hindus to live at an arm's length from the 'Yavans'. But with your induction into their education system, which was specifically designed to corrupt you, and draw you away from your Hindu character, has paid rich dividends for them, and you have paid its price all along. And yet you have failed to realize how you have been manipulated over the generations.

9 May 2009

Now, let us focus on

“Among the Reformers, to be a Reformer; among the Huguenots, to be a Huguenot; among the Calvinists, to be a Calvinist; among other Protestants, generally to be a Protestant; and obtaining their confidence, to seek even to preach from their pulpits, and to denounce with all the vehemence in your nature our Holy Religion and the Pope”.

This technique is called infiltration. Infiltration has been defined as

“a method of attack in which small bodies of soldiers or individual soldiers penetrate the enemy’s line at weak or unguarded points in order to assemble behind the enemy position and attack it from the rear, harass enemy rear–area installations, etc.”

As it should be obvious to you by now that Jesuits are trained to perceive all those who haven’t adopted their Faith as enemy which needs to be eliminated.

So, a Jesuit is to assume the mask of a reformer among the reformers and gain their confidence. In the process he is even allowed to denounce the Pope only to gain their confidence and look like one among them. To what end all this drama? To eventually destroy the reformists.

The same approach he is required to adopt while dealing with Huguenots, with Calvinists, with Protestants.

A Huguenot is

“a member of the Reformed or Calvinistic communion of France in the 16th and 17th centuries; a French Protestant”.

Calvinism refers to

“the doctrines and teachings of John Calvin or his followers, emphasizing predestination, the sovereignty of God, the supreme authority of the Scriptures, and the irresistibility of grace”.

A Protestant means

“(a) any Western Christian who is not an adherent of a Catholic, Anglican, or Eastern Church, (b) an adherent of any of those Christian bodies that separated from the Church of Rome during the Reformation, or of any group descended from them, (c) (originally) any of the German princes who protested against the decision of the Diet of Speyer in 1529, which had denounced the Reformation”.

This fact is corroborated by several instances that have been reported in my prior work *Christianity in a different Light – Face behind the Mask* describing how Christian missionaries have put on a mask by superficially adopting our culture only to gain entry amongst us and then working their way into faith system of gullible Hindus gradually turning them into Christian faith and eventually making them work against and for destruction of their original faith Hinduism.

Their strategy is well demonstrated by the following declaration by Archbishop Arulappa who spelt it out during a debate with David Frawley (Vamadeva/Vaman Shastry) in 1990s “If you go to India, take Indian culture”^[2]. On the surface it might appear as if he is advocating that they should become one of us. Reading between the lines he means that they need to put on mask to look like one among us, only to work their way through our people and on their way turning our people into their faith.

Many among us are so novice that they do not see anything wrong in their converting our people into their faith. What they fail to see, however, is the end towards which it is leading our society and culture. And to comprehend that you need to first read through and assimilate what has been explained in my earlier work which offers an in-depth study and analysis into their way of thinking.

Finally, we come to last part of the above paragraph which we had been discussing so far “and even to descend so low as to become a Jew among Jews, that you might be enabled to gather together all information for the benefit of your Order as a faithful soldier of the Pope”.

Mark the words “even to descend as low as a Jew”. It speaks volumes about the immense hatred the Church possesses against the Jews. Muslims also hate Jews equally. And all three – Jews, Christians and Muslims consider themselves as the descendants of same Abraham! I have discussed in–depth the reason behind such hatred for each other in my earlier work “*Judaism Christianity Islam Secularism Hinduism*”.

And what is the objective behind all this camouflage – putting on a mask and attempt to look one amongst them? It has been described in their own words “*so that you might be enabled to gather together all information for the benefit of your Order as a faithful soldier of the Pope*”. It is to gather all information which can be fruitfully deployed against them towards the end–objective of killing, destroying, eliminating them.

What’s the moral of the story? Never trust them. They are simply not worthy of your trust. And if you are still not convinced, go back to my earlier work *Christianity in a different Light Vol. 1* and see the historical evidence of how they have betrayed the Hindus after coming to India as refugees and after living here among us for full one thousand years and that too on our charity. A snake cannot harm you as much as they can.

Now let us proceed with the next portion of the Oath taking ceremony:

“You have been taught to plant insidiously the seeds of jealousy and hatred between communities, provinces, states that were at peace, and to incite them to deeds of blood, involving them in war with each other, and to create revolutions and civil wars in countries that were independent and prosperous,

cultivating the arts and the sciences and enjoying the blessings of peace; to take sides with the combatants and to act secretly with your brother Jesuit, who might be engaged on the other side, but openly opposed to that with which you might be connected, only that the Church might be the gainer in the end, in the conditions fixed in the treaties for peace and that the end justifies the means. You have been taught your duty as a spy, to gather all statistics, facts and information in your power from every source; to ingratiate yourself into the confidence of the family circle of Protestants and heretics of every class and character, as well as that of the merchant, the banker, the lawyer, among the schools and universities, in parliaments and legislatures, and the judiciaries and councils of state, and to be all things to all men, for the Pope's sake, whose servants we are unto death. You have received all your instructions heretofore as a novice, a neophyte, and have served as co-adjurer, confessor and priest, but you have not yet been invested with all that is necessary to command in the Army of Loyola in the service of the Pope. You must serve the proper time as the instrument and executioner as directed by your superiors; for none can command here who has not consecrated his labours with the blood of the heretic; for "without the shedding of blood no man can be saved". Therefore, to fit yourself for your work and make your own salvation sure, you will, in addition to your former oath of obedience to your order and allegiance to the Pope, repeat after me:"

12 May 2009

Let us look at this passage carefully

"You have been taught to plant insidiously the seeds of jealousy and hatred between communities,

provinces, states that were at peace, and to incite them to deeds of blood, involving them in war with each other, and to create revolutions and civil wars in countries that were independent and prosperous, cultivating the arts and the sciences and enjoying the blessings of peace”.

It refers to those “countries that were independent and prosperous, cultivating the arts and the sciences and enjoying the blessings of peace”. What does it tell the person on whom the oath is being administered? That: You have been taught.

You have been taught to do what? Well, “Taught **to plant** insidiously the **seeds of jealousy and hatred** between communities, provinces, states that were at peace”.

What does insidious mean?

“(1) intended to entrap or beguile (2) stealthily treacherous or deceitful: an insidious enemy (3) operating or proceeding in an inconspicuous or seemingly harmless way but actually with grave effect”. Those of you who have read my first work on Christianity (Vol. 1 of 2004), which many readers considered as a path breaking piece of creation, would recollect how very befitting these admissions are. At that time I hadn’t even known about the existence of this Jesuit Oath but I did see through their character without such deadly evidence. As I write these lines, it only confirms my belief that Christianity is a monstrous religion, and in my earlier works when I termed it as a Carnivorous religion (**in initial editions of Judaism Christianity Islam Secularism Hinduism**), I would now want to believe that I wasn’t incorrect.

So we see that The Superior tells the postulant or Candidate that you have been trained to sow the seeds of

jealousy and hatred in the community but in a manner that people do not get a clue to your true intentions. Those who have read the first edition of this would recollect that I had contributed one full chapter describing Christianity as a 'Maayaavi' religion where Maayaavi character refer to one which is "looks not what it is, and looks what it is not". For this reason have always cautioned you in my works not to take Christianity for what you see, hear, read. Christianity is more dangerous than Islam. For, the threat of Islam is visible whereas the threat from Christianity is not. This stand I have taken ever since I wrote on Christianity, and even today I maintain the same. Those who tell you the opposite are fooling you disregard howsoever respectable or knowledgeable they may look like. Those who are trying to keep you in dark, beware of them. They are not to be trusted.

Let's look at the next part of the passage quoted above

"You have been taught...to **incite them** to deeds of blood, involving them **in war with each other**, and to **create revolutions** and **civil wars**".

Incite means

"to stir, encourage, or urge on; stimulate or prompt to action".

Thus, The Superior tells the postulant or candidate that part of your training was to encourage people to "deeds of blood" in other words, to do such acts that encourage them to kill others; to create wars between nations; to create revolution within a country so that people try to overthrow their government by violence; to create civil war within a country, that is "to make a war between political factions or regions within the same country".

Do you think that these strange things that are mentioned above do not truly affect the thoughts and conducts of an

average Christian? If so, please think again. Let me clarify.



USA has 84% Christian population. "IN GOD WE TRUST" printed on US Dollar refers to 'Christian God'. Christian America has been actively engaged in creating war between two neighbouring countries everywhere in the world. USA had been supplying armaments to one of the neighbours instigating it to wage war on the other. Then it would stage a drama of peace negotiation between the two. Then again, it would give hefty loans to buy armaments and continue the process of war. CIA would actively work to create upheavals within a country to help throw the government so that a puppet government can be planted there. I have dealt with these matters in considerable depth under section: Christianity spreads its wings in book *Christianity in a different Light – Face behind the Mask* and you are welcome to study that (it is available for free download from my website or for free reading at Google Books). Remember that the policies of Christian America are built by Christian men and women of America, and it is said that the people of America are well informed, and therefore, it cannot be claimed that they have no role whatsoever in endorsing whatever had been going on in the past for over half a century, at least.

13 May 2009

Now, let us move to the next passage:

"You have been **taught**...to take sides with the combatants and to **act secretly** with your brother Jesuit, who might be engaged on the other side, but openly opposed to that with which you might be connected,

only that the Church might be the gainer in the end, in the conditions fixed in the treaties for peace and that the end justifies the means".

Interesting, isn't it? The Superior describes to the candidate a situation where two parties are in open combat, and you are on one side, and one of your brothers Jesuit is on the other side. In a situation like this where there is a conflict of interest, the two of you should secretly work together, in a manner that ultimate beneficiary is the Church, not those two warring parties. In other words, they should think that you are working in their interest, while in reality; you are working in Church's interest.

If you are taking side of party A and your brother Jesuit is taking the side of party B, and two of you continue instigating the two parties to fight to finish, remember you are doing it in the interest of the Church, not in their interest, though it may appear that you are representing them to protect their interest.

I hope reader understands that here "brother" Jesuit does not refer to blood-brother; it refers to two members of Society of Jesus. Now, these two brother Jesuits are trained to act secretly against those very people whom they may seem to be representing.

And, mind you, my dear reader, the Church hasn't invented it. Church is training its operators to fulfil the wishes of their Messiah Jesus the Christ whose teachings were recorded by two of his chief disciples St. Matthew and St. Thomas in the Gospels documented by them. And you may have already witnessed that documentation if you have been through *Christianity in a different Light – Face behind the Mask*.

The point I am trying to make is that it all begins from the top – from the Messiah to the so-called Saints of Christianity – then to the supreme administrator of Christianity and so-called supreme spiritual leader of Christianity

Pope though he may have no true contact with anything close to spirituality – and from there to rank and file of Christianity – percolating down to the average Christian.

But then, an average Christian must not know all this, or else he would be thoroughly disillusioned and lose faith in Christianity itself. So, he has to be kept in dark until, of course, he rises to a certain level in the hierarchy and demonstrates the capability to digest well all that is nasty, and become part of all this filth, and show the guts to carry it on – the Secret Sayings of Jesus the Christ.

Therefore, to keep him in dark it becomes necessary to weave a spider-web around beyond which his vision does not penetrate. And the Church has found the potion that works – love and peace and service to humanity gimmick – huge amounts of money is spent every year to keep this image intact.

Thus, face-lifting is an on-going process for the Church and marketing the brand 'Jesus' remains to be essential not only for survival but also for expansion of the empire that the Church has so carefully built over the centuries.

Yes, I speak of 'empire' not only because Vatican enjoys even today the sovereign status that no other religious establishment does but also because Christianity's history amply demonstrates that. And if you do not know enough about it, well then, there is Christianity in a different Light – Face behind the Mask wherein I have dealt with it in-depth.

However, as you know nothing is permanent on this earth. So is the case with Christianity as well. This billion of years old earth – which has housed the human species for millions of years which the 'modern' science too acknowledges based on recent archaeological findings that I have covered in my other works – has seen the existence of this religion of Jesus only for a tiny period of two thousand years – and in its infancy it has begun to

show numerous holes everywhere – for instance, the very birthplace of Christianity (*Italia*) has rejected it as revealed by the numbers (less than 3 percent church-goers) taken quite some time ago. From time to time you keep reading news regarding churches on sale which has become a world-wide phenomenon by now.

So anything that is monstrous, carnivorous that tends to swallow its peer religions, and humanity of course, has to see a decline which is quite natural. But then, those with such character cannot simply bear the thought of losing out. And therefore, they must invade other territories.

Therefore, the supreme administrator of Christianity, the Pope, did make a proclamation in somewhat these words 'we conquered Europe during the first millennium, Africa and America during the second millennium, and now we must conquer Asia in the third millennium'.

Well, while it may sound neat to hope so, it's not that easy when your foundation is hollow. So, in order to fulfil your ignoble dream you need to adopt any means, period. Whether such means are fair or foul does not remain to be your concern.

So, what do they do? First is deception which costs nothing. Christian missionaries have begun to put on saffron attire, begun to speak in terms of Ved' (वेद not वेदा in Sanskrit unless you are bent upon distorting your own heritage language) and Om, etc. They have started naming their establishments with Hindu sounding titles, and so on. All this deception is to convey to simpleton Hindus that we are one among you. And this is to continue only until they get a foothold in the local community. Part of this deception is also to show the (cooked up) similarity between Vedic teachings and Bibles teachings. The next step is to tell them that these teachings were adopted by Vedic people from Bible itself. Bible and Jesus being at the origin of this universe, from whom manifested Brahma, Vishnu,

and Mahesh Finally when the brainwashing process is thorough, arrives the time to advise them to discard everything that was Hindu including desecration of Hindu temples, idols of Hindu deities, etc. Christianization process reaches the milestone once the devout Hindu, now the converted Christian, adapts to beef eating.

But then all the deception cannot come by easy if the true character of Jesus's teachings as documented in so-called 'Holy' Bible were known to the gullible Hindus prior to their conversion into Christianity. To prevent that from happening, even accidentally, a mist is created all over – 'Avataar of Love' image of Jesus is splashed all over – thoroughly mystified the common man is at his wits end – he knows not what is truth – he takes for granted all that as true which is repeated over and again through the good offices of prostitute-like media which is ever ready to sell itself to the highest bidder. The common man believes in Jesus's 'Avataar of Love' image and adores it.

If you wish to have a peek into a few real-life instances then you may want to refer to *Christianity in a different Light – Face behind the Mask*. Inputs from readers are also welcome with regard to similar instances witnessed by them. Such instances will be added to future editions and readers are requested to inform while reporting such instances whether their identity should be revealed in the book.

14 May 2009

Returning to the base question – what do they do if inexpensive deception does not yield desired results? Well, then they go one step further – outright fraud. For instance, the case^[3] of village Kovilancheri in Kaanchipuram district of Tamilnadu, where the church illegally occupied the temple land, and used it as an inducement to lure the poor to embrace Christianity by offering that land for 'enjoyment'!

What if that too does not work? Then they use ill-gotten money to buy Christians. Ill-gotten – for instance, money earned from drug trafficking^[3]. Buying Christians – yes, by offering money for conversion into Christianity – and, then this technique has been adopted by our Christian educated politicians for buying votes during election process. And what about those politicians who haven't had the benefit of Christian education – well, the concept of 'distant learning' has been enough for them – a process whereby one doesn't need to join Christian school but can learn enough by observing from 'distance' about the ways and means adopted by his Christian educated peers in the political arena. For instance, Christian educated Nehrus and Gandhis showed them the way, and what those down the ladder needed to do was simply to learn by distant observation. This is how it assumes the multiplier effect and grows phenomenally.

There are many such techniques these crooks adopt for manipulating the simpleton and poor people and I touch upon them from time to time in my different works. You must understand that none of my works can be looked at in isolation to each other. They all are interconnected and interdependent on each other, much the same way this Creation (the Universe) and its components are. And that vision comes only through becoming 'One' with the Creator of this Universe by going into another dimension and then returning to this dimension at His Will.

Now let us change the topic and proceed with the next part of the above quoted text where the Superior speaks to the Candidate before administering the oath to him; and of course, you will come to see the actual text of the prescribed oath that the candidate would be required to take thereafter:

"You have been **taught** your duty as a **spy**, to gather all statistics, facts and information in your power from **every source**; to ingratiate yourself into the confidence of the family circle of Protestants and

heretics of every class and character, as well as that of the merchant, the banker, the lawyer, among the schools and universities, in parliaments and legislatures, and the judiciaries and councils of state, and to be all things to all men, for the Pope's sake, whose servants we are unto death".

This preamble to the oath is very important; for, it puts things in perspective. We see that each Jesuit is trained to be spy, and in this context do not forget that your much adored Jesuit saint of Christianity Francis Xavier was a spy of the Pope until death. And, what such a spy is supposed to do? He is supposed to gather all statistics, facts and information in his power from every source; and, to what end? Well, to serve the Pope, to benefit the Church and its ulterior motives. As you will proceed with this oath you will come to realize that those motives were not ulterior alone but monstrous as well. And why do I use such an adjective? For, you will soon witness what is the difference between a human and a monster. And that will be revealed not only in words but also in their real-life conducts.

Some readers may want to close this book at this stage thinking that I am over exaggerating. Well, i wouldn't want to say anything in my defence; for, having faith in this writer's works or not having it is reader's own prerogative. Neither i should feel disheartened by reader's rejection nor should i feel elated by his appreciation.

Anyway, let's proceed with what the Superior tells the candidate:

"You have been **taught...to ingratiate yourself into the confidence of the family circle** of Protestants and **heretics of every class and character**, as well as that of the **merchant**, the **banker**, the **lawyer**, among the **schools** and **universities**, in **parliaments** and **legislatures**, and the **judiciaries** and **councils of state**, and **to be all things to all men**, for the **Pope's sake**, whose **servants** we are **unto death**".

Ingratiate means

“To bring (oneself, for example) into the favour or good graces of another, especially by deliberate effort”.

The Superior reminds the candidate that he has been trained to work out his way into the family of heretics and gain their confidence.

Heretic means

“A person who holds controversial opinions, especially one who publicly dissents from the officially accepted dogma of the Roman Catholic Church. Simply^[4] speaking it would mean religious outcast, misbeliever.”

Thus, a Jesuit is taught how to infiltrate into misbeliever’s family circle and gain each ones confidence. Practically no avenue of human living has been left out – they have been trained to establish themselves among merchants, bankers, lawyers, schools and universities, parliaments and legislatures, judiciaries and councils of state, and so on. They have been trained to gain confidence of all men in all fields of human activity in a manner that people come to depend on them and confide in them. Thus, they have been trained to acquire the position of trust among people in every field of human activity. But then, they are reminded to remember that they are to use that position of trust not for those who place their trust on them, but for the Pope whose servant they are to remain until their death!

Therefore, it is not for me to say but for you to decide in your interest whether they are worthy of your trust or not.

Now let’s move to the next part of the instructions by the Superior:

“You have received all your instructions heretofore as a novice, a neophyte, and have served as co–adjurer, confessor and priest, but you have not yet been invested with all that is necessary to command in the Army of Loyola in the service of the Pope”.

Novice means

“a person who has been received into a religious order or congregation for a period of probation before taking vows” where Congregation means “a: an assembly of persons met for worship and religious instruction b: a religious community: as (1): an organized body of believers in a particular locality (2): a Roman Catholic religious institute with only simple vows (3): a group of monasteries forming an independent subdivision of an order”.

Neophyte means

“A newly ordained priest in Roman Catholic Church”.

Co–adjurer means

“The coadjutor (literally, “co–assister” in Latin) is a bishop himself and is given authority even beyond that ordinarily given to the vicar–general (although a coadjutor is also appointed a vicar–general), making him co–ruler of the diocese in all but ceremonial precedence. In modern times, the coadjutor automatically succeeds the current bishop of a diocese upon the latter’s retirement, removal or death”.

Confessor means

“A priest who hears the confessions of others and is authorized to grant them absolution” where absolution means “a remission of sin or of the punishment for sin, made by a priest in the sacrament of penance on the ground of authority received from Christ”.

Command in an army means “to have authority over and responsibility for; be in charge of”.

Loyola refers to

“Saint Ignatius of (Iñigo López de Loyola), 1491–1556, Spanish soldier and ecclesiastic: founder of the Society of Jesus”.

I hope these meanings of Christian terminology help you in understanding the text of Jesuit oath and its preamble.

“You must serve the proper time as the instrument and **executioner** as directed by your superiors; for none can command here who has not consecrated his labours with the **blood of the heretic**; for “without the **shedding of blood** no man can be saved”.

Therefore, to fit yourself for your work and make your own salvation sure, you will, in addition to your former oath of obedience to your order and allegiance to the Pope, repeat after me:”

Executioner means

“one who executes; especially: one who puts to death”.

Consecrate means

“to induct (a person) into a permanent office with a religious rite; especially: to ordain to the office of bishop”.

Salvation means

“deliverance from the power and effects of sin and liberation from ignorance or illusion”.

The Superior tells the Postulant (Candidate for Oath) that you should put non-believers to death as and when directed by your superior because no one can be in command here who hasn't been inducted into a permanent office with the blood of the non-believer, as per ceremonial practices of the church. That no man can be saved without shedding blood and therefore, you must prepare yourself for your duty and repeat hereafter me for the sake of your own salvation.

Text of the Oath

“I _____, now in the presence of Almighty God, the blessed Virgin Mary, the blessed St. John the Baptist, the Holy Apostles, St. Peter and St. Paul, and all the saints, sacred host of Heaven, and to you, my Ghostly Father, the superior general of the Society of Jesus, founded by St. Ignatius Loyola, in the pontification of Paul the Third, and continued to the present, do by the womb of the Virgin, the matrix of God, and the rod of Jesus Christ, declare and swear that His Holiness, **the Pope**, is Christ's Vice-Regent and is the true and only head of the Catholic or Universal Church throughout the earth; and that by the virtue of the keys of binding and loosing given to His Holiness by my Saviour, **Jesus Christ**, he hath

power to depose heretical Kings, Princes, States, Commonwealths, and Governments, and they may be safely destroyed. Therefore to the utmost of my power I will defend this doctrine and His Holiness's right and custom against all usurpers of the heretical or Protestant authority whatever, especially the Lutheran Church of Germany, Holland, Denmark, Sweden and Norway, and the now pretended authority and Churches of England and Scotland, and the branches of same now established in Ireland and on the continent of America and elsewhere and all adherents in regard that they may be usurped and heretical, opposing the sacred Mother Church of Rome".

15 May 2009

Virgin Mary refers to

"the mother of Jesus".

St. John the Baptist refers to

"Saint fl. first century B.C., Jewish prophet who in the New Testament baptized and prepared the way for Jesus" where Baptize means "to immerse in water or sprinkle or pour water on in the Christian rite of baptism: They baptized the new baby to cleanse spiritually; initiate or dedicate by purifying to give a name to at baptism; christen".

St. Peter refers to

"Saint Peter (Greek Πέτρος, Rock) (c.1–64 AD) was one of the Twelve Apostles, chosen by Jesus as one of

his first disciples. His life is prominently featured in the New Testament Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles. Peter was a Galilean fisherman assigned a leadership role by Jesus. He was with Jesus during events witnessed by only a few apostles, such as the Transfiguration” where Transfiguration refers to “(initial capital letter) the supernatural and glorified change in the appearance of Jesus on the mountain. Matt.17:1–9”. Some of you may wonder how St. Peter could have lived circa 1–64 A.D if A.D. stands for ‘After Death of Christ’.

Therefore, it may not be out of place to offer the following explanation:

“Usage note: Because anno Domini means in the year of the Lord, its abbreviation a.d. was originally placed before rather than after a date: The Roman conquest of Britain began in a.d. 43 (or began a.d. 43). In edited writing, it is still usually placed before the date. But, by analogy with the position of b.c. before Christ, which always appears after a date (Caesar was assassinated in 44 b.c.); a.d. is also frequently found after the date in all types of writing, including historical works: The Roman emperor Claudius I lived from 10 b.c. to 54 a.d. Despite its literal meaning, a.d. is also used to designate centuries, being placed after the specified century: the second century a.d. A.D. refers to in the year of the Lord; since Christ was born Origin: < L annō Domini”.

St. Paul refers to

“Saint, died a.d. c67, a missionary and apostle to the gentiles: author of several of the Epistles. Compare Saul (def. 2)” where Saul refers to “Also called Saul of Tarsus the original name of the apostle Paul. Acts 9:1–30; 22:3”.

Lutheran Church relates to

“Lutheranism is a major branch of Western Christianity that identifies with the teachings of the sixteenth-century German reformer Martin Luther. Luther’s efforts to reform the theology and practice of the Roman Catholic Church launched the Protestant Reformation and, though it was not his original intention, left Western Christianity divided”.

Church of England has been described in Encyclopaedia Britannica as

“English National church that traces its history back to the arrival of Christianity in Britain during the 2nd century; it has been the original church of the Anglican Communion since the 16th-century Protestant Reformation. As the successor of the Anglo-Saxon and medieval English church, it has valued and preserved much of the traditional framework of medieval Roman Catholicism in church government, liturgy, and customs, while it also has usually held the fundamentals of Reformation faith”.

Here you may want to take note of

“I...declare and swear that His Holiness, the **Pope**, is Christ’s Vice-Regent and is the true and only head of the Catholic or Universal Church throughout the earth; and that by the virtue of the keys of binding and loosing given to His Holiness by my Saviour, **Jesus Christ**, he hath power to **depose** heretical Kings, Princes, States, Commonwealths, and Governments, and they may be safely **destroyed**”.

Depose means

“to remove from a throne or other high position”. This part of the oath is about Popes power (as derived from Jesus Christ) to remove/dethrone/topple non-believer Kings/ Princes/ States/ Commonwealths/Governments and to destroy them. Now this concept of ‘destroying’ all those who do not agree is the gift of supposedly ‘Avataar of Love’ Jesus the Christ to ‘modern’ humanity and you have read enough about “Eternal Damnation” in *Christianity in a different Light – Face behind the Mask*.

You may also want to take note of

“against all usurpers of the heretical or Protestant authority whatever, especially the Lutheran Church of Germany, Holland, Denmark, Sweden and Norway, and the now pretended authority and Churches of England and Scotland, and the branches of same now established in Ireland and on the continent of America and elsewhere and all adherents in regard that they may be usurped and heretical, opposing the sacred Mother Church of Rome”.

There are some learned people among us who tirelessly keep pointing at differences between Shaivaaites and Vaishnavaaites (worshippers of Shiv and Vishnu) but while doing so, they avoid mentioning differences between Catholicism, Protestantism, Lutheranism, and many such. They also coolly forget that Catholics/Protestants/etc did not hesitate slitting each other’s throat, which Shaivaaites/Vaishnavaaites did not do (except, of course, in fictions created by later day crafty historians/educators/media persons/etc).

Have you ever wondered why do they do so? They do so because they are learned parrots. Let me clarify. They are products of Christian missionary education system and its other offshoot education systems. They failed to

understand that their institutional 'Fathers' had a motive behind turning them into 'educated' degree-holder parrots. These institutional 'Fathers' were looking for 'trained' parrots who would faithfully repeat whatever they had been taught. Part of their training comprised of learning Hindu history especially crafted by those crafty *institutional* 'Fathers'. Why did they do so? They needed to harvest healthy crops (loaded with degrees from universities established by them). They wanted these crops to later yield seeds of good quality (loaded with many more degrees). They wanted to put in place an operational system which would possess an in-built multiplier mechanism. In their scheme of things they wanted it to be self-motivating, self-generating, self-multiplying, mechanically producing so-called intellectuals, educators, writers, media persons, legislators, jurists, preachers, who would be inspired by the thought that they are doing a service to Hinduism as 'self-made' reformers. Some of them are so very motivated that they have come to live under illusion that they are power steering Hindu Renaissance! Immature as they are, they fail to reckon with the underlying fact that their understanding of fundamentals and their thought process had been thoroughly manipulated – they became pawns on the chess board that had been laid for them way back in 1835. And the best part is that many among these 'parrots' do not hesitate blaming Macaulayite education system for many of our ills. These 'learned' fools fail to understand the irony of the situation that they themselves are in.

Anyhow let's proceed with the remaining part of the oath –

"I do now denounce and disown any allegiance as due to any heretical king, prince or State, named Protestant or Liberal, or obedience to any of their laws, magistrates or officers. I do further declare the doctrine of the Churches of England and Scotland of the Calvinists, Huguenots, and others of the name of Protestants or Masons to be damnable, and they themselves to be damned who will not forsake the same.

I do further declare that I will help, assist, and advise all or any of His Holiness's agents, in any place where I should be, in Switzerland, Germany, Holland, Ireland or America, or in any other kingdom or territory I shall come to, and do my utmost to extirpate the heretical Protestant or Masonic doctrines and to destroy all their pretended powers, legal or otherwise".

Calvinists, Huguenots, Protestants, have been explained before. Extirpate means

"to destroy completely: wipe out; to pull up by the root; to cut out by surgery".

Jesuit, taking the oath, shows his readiness to go to any country as he may be directed, and to do everything in his power to completely destroy/wipe out the non-believers in that country.

"I do further promise and declare that, notwithstanding, I am dispensed with to assume any religion heretical for the propagation of the Mother Church's interest; to keep secret and private all her agents' counsels from time to time, as they entrust me, and not to divulge, directly or indirectly, by word, writing or circumstances whatever; but to execute all that should be proposed, given in charge, or discovered unto me by you, my Ghostly Father, or any of this sacred order".

Thus, the Jesuit takes Oath to **Total Secrecy**.

[1] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Society_of_Jesus 2009-05-29 IST 20:10

[2] David Frawley ISBN 81-85990-60-3 [2000]

[3] Christianity in a different Light – Face behind the Mask ISBN 9788189990084

[4] http://www.visualthesaurus.com/landing/?ad=tdc.small&word=heretic&utm_source=tdc&utm_medium=small&utm_campaign=VT

Keywords - Ignatius of Loyola, Francisco Xavier, Alfonso Salmeron, Diego Laínez, Government of the Church Militant, Pope Paul III, Jesuit Constitutions, Abnegation, Corps, Society of Jesus, Jesuit, Jesuit Extreme Oath of Induction, US Congressional Records, INRI, Heretic, Postulant, Superior (Ecclesiastical), Ecclesiastical, soldier of the Pope, Gotr', गोत्र, Huguenot, Calvinism, Protestant, insidious, Maayaavi, Incite, Avataar of Love, Ingratiate, Novice, Neophyte, Co-adjurer, Confessor, Loyola, Consecrate, Salvation, Virgin Mary, St. John the Baptist, St. Peter, A.D., B.C., St. Paul, Lutheran Church, Church of England, Depose, Extirpate

कायेन वाचा मनसेन्द्रिऐवा बुध्यात्मना वा प्रकृते स्वभावात् । करोमि यद् यद् सकलं परस्मै नारायणायेति समर्पयामि ॥

Kaayen' Vaacha Mansendriyaiva Budhyaatmana va Prakrite Svabhaavaat' ; Karomi Yad Yad Sakalm Parasmai Naaraayanaayeti Samarpayaami !