

Contents

Blowing the Bugle	3
Notes that apply to the entire work	4
Quotes from Christian Bible and from the History of Christianity	5
Quoted References	6
Transliteration	7
Part - 1	8
Foundation of Christianity	8
Teachings of the Only Son of God Jesus Christ as documented in the Christian Bible.....	9
Teachings of the Christian God as documented in the Christian Bible	16
How those teachings shaped the conducts of the Christians?	27
Part - 2	29
Foundation of Hinduism	29
Now, have a taste of Hindu teachings for a change	30
And, how those teachings shaped the conducts of the Hindus	31
Many Gods vs. One God	34
Paramahansa Yogananda	37
Part - 3	41
Christianity's masked Crusade against Hinduism	41
Christians could not tolerate the existence of a superior civilization on the face of this Earth and they had to conspire to wipe it out totally 42	
Curtain Raiser	46

Part - 4	58
Christianity spreads its Wings	58
Seed of Christianity	59
Seed of Hinduism	66
Know Jesus better	73
The budding Plant of Christianity	81
Christianity as a Grown-up Tree	151
Garden that beckons ~ the ChristianAmerica ~ the land of plenty	186
Teachings of Jesus now shape every sphere of American life and activity	194
USA and Secularism?	209
Positive thinking or Hypocrisy?	212
Money talks, Morality is for Show	216
Not White but Christian	219
Christianity knows nothing of God	225
Christianity Strangles all other religions	228
 Part - 5	 232
Epilogue	232
Why seek shelter under the slogan 'Hinduism is not a religion but a way of life'?	233
Self-defeating emotions of we Hindus	238
Aasuric religions	242
What kind of Advancement are we looking for?	248
Letters from the Readers	254
 The Last Word	 259
 Other Works	 260
 Copyright, Price & Contact	 261

Blowing the Bugle

As I see it Hindu has forgotten his **KshaatrDharm**. Kshatriya is a warrior. **Intellectual Kshatriya** is a warrior by application of his intellect. His arms and ammunitions are his thoughts, which would raise, in time, the warriors for the field.

It will be the **battle of mind**, because it is the **Hindu mind** that has been enslaved by a systematic process of conspiracy against the Hindu society, over the generations.

I would not be here defending Hinduism. I would rather be challenging those, **intellectually of course**, who have offended Hinduism for so long!

My effort is guided by the following statement:

Ishwar Sharan: As long as Christianity wages an **ideological war** on Hinduism, its curious theories and unique claims must be **thoroughly investigated**, and **vigorously replied to**, by informed Hindus of integrity and conviction.

I am simply bringing out the facts that many people in BhaaratVarsh are ignorant till today. Much the same as I had been for all my life until recently though I am supposed to be well educated and well traveled, a quality that **did not** enlighten me any better on the subject on hand.

This work is essentially in **service to Hindu society**, which has been kept in dark for long and that too deliberately on purpose.

Notes that apply to the entire work

What is in a मंत्र Mantr (Mantra)? Why is it that it must be repeated again and again, over and over again? Like ॐ **Om** your new Mantr ought to be भारतवर्ष BhaaratVarsh.

When you identify your country as **India**, you relate yourself to a history of **few hundred** years. When you identify your nation as **BhaaratVarsh**, you relate yourself to a **heritage** that goes back to **time immemorial**.

Every time you think of your nation you must think of it as BhaaratVarsh ~ it must become your **second nature**.

If you write it as India, if you call it as India, if you see it in print again and again written as India you **cannot truly perceive** it as BhaaratVarsh. You must understand **what is in a name** and the **significance of its repetition**.

In quoted text, throughout this work, term **India** and **Indian** have been substituted by term **BhaaratVarsh** and **Bhaartiya** respectively. **Exceptions** are titles of the books and names of the organizations, only to avoid any confusion with regard to their identity.

Quoted texts, throughout this work, will have some words **highlighted with bold** or underlined to hold readers' attention to those words.

Quoted texts, throughout this work, will have some **insertions** like [*text matter] with a view to help readers with greater clarity.

My use of term **his** would generally mean **his/her** unless the context demands otherwise.

Quotes from Christian Bible and from the History of Christianity

In this work I shall be quoting extensively from Christian Bible and history of Christianity. I shall be quoting real-life conducts of many Popes, Cardinal, Archbishops, and Bishops as well as well respected Saints of Christianity.

I shall try to demonstrate a close link between the **teachings of Christian Bible** and **shaping of characters of Christian** Popes, Cardinal, Archbishops, Bishops and Saints of Christianity. I shall also try to demonstrate how those teachings of Christian Bible have **percolated down to the level of Christian** men, women and children. For this I shall be exposing many real-life incidences from the ChristianWorld. I shall also try to demonstrate **what kind of influence it has had on Hindu** men, women and children. This influence is a matter of major concern to me. Or else, I would not have bothered to peep into the forbidden territory of ChristianWorld.

I shall be reproducing the teachings of Christian Bible as it is. However, I shall put certain words in **bold** or italics or with underline and that will be done with the sole objective of **drawing your attention** towards the significance of those words.

For this purpose I shall be using the **Holy Bible**, pocket size edition, King James Version, **Pilot books**, Athens, Georgia, **Broadman & Holman Publishers**, Belgium, 1996. I believe that any standard version of Bible will have the **same contents**.

Quoted References

I have **quoted from** the following works of various authors, which are listed here in alphabetical order:

**A Hindu View of the World-Essays in the intellectual Kshatriya Tradition*, N S Rajaram, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1998 **A Sanskrit English Dictionary*, M Monier-Williams, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 2002 **Autobiography of a Yogi*, Paramahansa Yogananda, Yogoda Satsanga Society of India, Kolkata, 1998 **Chants of India*, Pundit Ravi Shankar, Angel Records, 2002 **Dr Dean Ornish's Program for Reversing Heart Disease*, Ballantine Books, USA, 1996 **Hindu Temples: What happened to them Vol. II The Islamic Evidence*, Sita Ram Goel, Voice of India, New Delhi, 2000 **Hindu Voice*, a monthly magazine espousing cause of Hinduism, Mumbai **How I became a Hindu-my Discovery of the Vedic Dharma*, David Frawley (Vamadeva Shastri), Voice of India, New Delhi, 2000 **In defence of Hinduism*, Swami Vivekananda, Advaita Ashrama, Calcutta, June 1999 **INDIA what can it teach us?* F Max Muller, Longmans, Green and Co, London, 1883, Penguin Books India, New Delhi 2000 **Jesus Christ-An Artifice for Aggression*, Sita Ram Goel, Voice of India, New Delhi, 2001 **Pseudo-Secularism, Christian Missions and Hindu Resistance*, Sita Ram Goel, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1998 **Selections from Hindu Scriptures*, Series No 1, *Manu Smriti*, Prof G C Asnani, Pune, 2000 **The Legend of Baba Amte*, Veena Adige, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Mumbai, book Review by M V Kamath in The Free Press Journal, Mumbai edition, 9 Nov 2003, Spectrum p 6 **The Final Verdict*, Aroup Chatterjee, www.meteorbooks.com **The Goa Inquisition*, AK Priolkar, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1991 **The Missionary Position-Mother Teresa in Theory and Practice*, Christopher Hitchens, Verso, London, New York, editorial review at www.amazon.com **The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, Ishwar Sharan, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1995 **The New Oxford Dictionary of English*, Indian edition, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2001 [2152-page edition] **The Student's Sanskrit English Dictionary*, Vaman Shivram Apte, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 2000

Transliteration

My English spellings of Sanskrit terms are different from those commonly seen in print. **These are my reasons:** We have a **Sanskrit phonetic tradition:** *ending vowels are pronounced.* We also have an **English phonetic tradition:** *ending 'a' is pronounced as long-a आ.*

In an attempt to keep up with the Sanskrit phonetic tradition we tend to use ending 'a' quite often totally ignoring the English phonetic tradition. When a reader pronounces the Sanskrit term written in English alphabet, he naturally uses the English phonetic tradition simply because he is reading it in English.

This practice of indiscriminate use of tailing-a in the end of practically every Sanskrit term written in English has defeated the very purpose of its implementation. We see today en-mass distortion in Sanskrit pronunciations. Therefore, I do not use the tailing-a, because it does more harm than any good.

योग has become योगा ~ कर्म has become कर्मा ~ धर्म has become धर्मा ~ वेद has become वेदा ~ **male** कृष्ण has become **female** कृष्णा (Draupadi) ~ राम has become a **woman** of low origin रामा.

In Mahabharata 'a' appears in **five** places. **Reader has no visual clue as to whether all represent short-a, or all represent long-a, or some represent short and some long-a.** Reader should not be expected to go hunting for an expert. **Reader should have the necessary visual clue built-in the spelling itself. In such situations, I prefer to take a different approach.** I write MahaaaBhaaarat.

You might ask why I write my name as Maanoj मानोज whereas Sanskrit term is Manoj मनोज. It is because I have chosen my name to be मानोज not मनोज knowingly that it is not same as the Sanskrit term. Let us use the same analogy. Shri Krishn कृष्ण did not chose his name as Krishna कृष्णा or Shri Raam राम did not chose his name as Rama रामा.

The principle is quite simple: **write as you want it pronounced.**

Part - 1

Foundation of Christianity

Teachings of the Only Son of God Jesus Christ as **documented** in the Christian Bible

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1249, p 792

New Testament is the second part of the
Christian Bible

Gospel is the record of **Jesus Christ's** life
and **teachings** in the first four books of
the **New Testament**

*Jesus Christ's statements as
documented by his chief disciple
Saint Matthew in the 1st Gospel of
New Testament in the Christian Bible*

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1143, p 77

St Matthew was an Apostle, and the author of the **first
Gospel**

Each of the twelve **chief disciples** of Jesus Christ is an **Apostle**

Source: **Christian Bible** New Testament

Matthew 10:34 Think **not** that I am come to send **peace** on earth: I came **not** to send **peace, but a sword.** 10:35 For I am come to set a man at variance **against** his father, and the daughter **against** the mother, and the daughter in law **against** her mother in law. 10:36 And a **man's foe** shall be they of **his own household.** 10:37 He that loveth father or

mother more than me is
not worthy of me. 12: 30
He that is **not** with me
is **against** me

***Validated** by Saint Luke in the 3rd
Gospel of the New Testament in the
Christian Bible*

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1099

St Luke was an evangelist, and the author of the **third Gospel**

Source: **Christian Bible** New Testament

Luke 12:51 Suppose ye
that I am come to give
peace on earth? I tell
you, **Nay**; but rather
division: 12:52 For
from **henceforth** there
shall be five in **one**
house divided, three

against two, and two
against three. 12:53
The father shall be
divided against the son,
and the son **against** the
father; the mother
against the daughter,
and the daughter
against the mother; the
mother in law **against**
her daughter in law, and
the daughter in law
against her mother in
law. 14:26 If any man
come to me, and **hate**
not his **father**, and
mother, and **wife**, and
children, and brethren,

and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he **cannot be** my disciple.

Nay a negative answer Oxford Dictionary p 1237

***Revalidated** by chief disciple Saint Thomas in the Gospel of Thomas*

Source: *The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n 36

Ishwar Sharan: **Gospel of Thomas**: the second century Coptic text of this Gnostic gospel, probably written in Syria, was discovered in Egypt in 1946. It contains the **secret sayings of Jesus** as recorded by **St Thomas**.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1928 and p 77

St Thomas was an **Apostle** ~ **Each** of the twelve **chief disciples** of Jesus Christ is an **Apostle**

Source: **Gospel of Thomas** as quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n

Gospel of Thomas 16
Jesus said: **Perhaps men think** that I came to cast **peace** on the world; and **they do not know** that I came to

cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war.

For five will be in a house; there will be three **against** two and two **against** three, the father **against** the son and the son **against** the father. And they will stand because they are **single** ones. 56 **Jesus** said: He who will **not hate** his father and his mother **cannot be my** disciple. And he who will **not hate** his brothers and sisters, and carry his

cross as I have, will **not**
become worthy of me.

Teachings of the Christian God as **documented** in the Christian Bible

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1291, p 504, p 1818

Old Testament is the first part of the
Christian Bible

Statute (in **biblical** use) a **law** or decree
made by a sovereign, or by **God**

Deuteronomy is the fifth book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Deuteronomy 12:1
These *are* the **statutes**
and judgments, which
ye shall observe to do
in the land, which the
LORD God of thy

fathers *giveth thee to possess it, **all the days ye live upon the earth.***

12:2 Ye shall **utterly destroy** all the places, wherein the nations **which ye shall possess served their Gods**, upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and every green tree: 12:3 And ye shall overthrow their altars, and break their pillars, and burn their groves with fire; and ye shall **hew down the graven images of**

**their gods, and
destroy the names of
them out of that
place.**

Hew chop, cut with axe [Collins English Gem Dictionary p 246] **Graven image** a carved idol or representation of a god used as an object of worship [Oxford Dictionary p 801]

The Message to the Christians

Follow these laws as long as you live on this earth, never ever forget them, and religiously put them in action.

Utterly destroy those nations, people of which worship other gods ~ when you capture them!

Destroy idols of their gods, and obliterate the names of their gods from that place!

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

*Deuteronomy 13 **Idolaters** to be put to **death***

Source: Oxford Dictionary p 909

idolater a person who worships idol or idols

Hindus worship idols and they are ***idolaters***

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

13:6 If thy **brother**, the son of thy mother, or thy **son**, or thy **daughter**, or the **wife** of thy bosom, or thy **friend**, which *is* as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and serve **other Gods**, which thou hast not known, nor thy fathers; 13:7 *Namely*, of **the gods of the people which are round about you**, nigh unto thee, or far off from thee, **from the one end**

of the earth even unto the *other* end of the earth; 13:8 Thou shalt not consent unto him, nor hearken unto him; **neither** shall thine eye pity him, **neither** shalt thou spare, **neither** shalt thou conceal him: 13:9 But thou shalt **surely kill** him; **thine hand shalt be first upon him to put him to death,** and afterwards the hands of all people. 13:10 **And thou shalt stone him with stones, that he**

die; because he hath sought to thrust thee away from the LORD thy God

Message If your loved ones ~ your son, daughter, wife, brother, friend suggests you to accept other gods ~ for example those who are all around you, near or far, from one end of the earth to another ~ you will neither agree to him/her, nor listen to him/her, nor pity him/her, nor leave him/her, nor hide him/her ~ you must kill him/her ~ your hand should be the first one to put him/her to death ~ let other people beat his/her dead body after you ~ you will stone him/her with stones till he/she dies because he/she has tried to move you away from your God of Holy Bible.

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Deuteronomy 20:16 But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an **inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: 20:17 But**

thou shalt utterly destroy them

Message Cities that you get from your God in inheritance you must not leave there anything alive that breaths, you must utterly destroy them

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Deuteronomy 32:24
*They shall be burnt with
hunger, and **devoured
with burning heat,**
and with bitter
destruction: **I will also
send** the teeth of beasts
upon them, with the
poison of serpents of the
dust. 32:25 The sword
without, and terror
within, shall **destroy**
both the young man and
the virgin, the **suckling***

***also* with the man of gray hairs.**

Message Make them starve so that the fire of hunger consumes them. Throw them in fire so that the burning fire can consume them alive. Spare not the babies sucking mother's milk and old men of gray hair with their one foot in the grave - kill them all.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1272

Numbers is the fourth book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Numbers 31:17 Now therefore **kill every male among little ones**, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him.
31:18 But all the **women children**, that have not known a man by lying with him, **keep alive for yourselves.**

Message Kill their every little male child, kill their women, but keep their virgins alive for yourself.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 966

Isaiah was a major Hebrew **prophet**

Isaiah is a book of **Bible** containing his prophecies

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Isaiah 13:16 Their **children** also shall be **dashed to pieces before their eyes**; their houses shall be spoiled, and **their wives** ravished (***raped**).

Ravish force a woman to have sexual intercourse against her will [Oxford Dictionary p 1541] **Dash** strike or fling something somewhere with great force, especially so as to have a destructive effect [Oxford Dictionary p 468]

Message Violently kill their children in front of their eyes, destroy their houses, and rape their wives!

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1228

Nahum a book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Nahum 1:2 God *is*

jealous, and the LORD **revengeth**; the LORD revengeth, and *is* furious; the LORD will take **vengeance** on his adversaries, and he reserveth *wrath* for his **enemies**.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 645

Exodus is the second book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Exodus 23:24 Thou shalt **not bow down to their gods**, nor serve them, nor do after their works: but thou shalt **utterly overthrow them**, and quite **break down their images**.

Message Utterly overthrow their gods, and break the idols of their gods! Never bow down to their gods.

Exodus 34:13 But ye shall **destroy their altars, break their images**, and cut down their groves. 34:14 For thou shalt worship **no other god**: for the LORD, whose name *is Jealous*, *is* a **jealous God**:

Message Destroy everything that relates to their way of worshipping their gods!

How those teachings shaped the conducts of the Christians?

Source: *The Goa Inquisition*, AK Priolkar, cover 3

The Goa Inquisition was established in 1560 as recommended earlier by **Saint Francis Xavier**, and finally abolished in 1812. Although its headquarters were at Goa, its jurisdiction extended to entire Portuguese possessions to the East of the Cape of Good Hope, and it had its Commissaries in other major centers.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 2135 p 981

Francis Xavier 1506-52 Spanish **Catholic** missionary; known as the Apostle of the Indies. One of the **original** seven **Jesuits**, from **1540** he travelled to Southern India, Sri Lanka, Malacca, the Moluccas, and Japan, making **thousands of converts**.

Jesuit a member of the **Society of Jesus**

Goa (1560-1812)

Source: *The Empire of the Soul*, Paul Williams Roberts, Harper Collins, 1999
quoted in *The Saint Business*, Rajeev Srinivasan, *Hindu Voice*, Nov 2003, pp 4-5

Children were flogged and **slowly dismembered** (*tear or

cut limb from limb) **in front of their parents**, whose (*parents') **eyelids** had been sliced off (*so they couldn't close their eyes) to make sure they missed nothing. Extremities (*the hands and feet) were amputated carefully, so that a person could remain conscious even when all that remained was a torso (*the trunk of the human body) and head. **Male genitals** were **removed** and **burned in front of wives**, **breasts hacked off** and **vaginas penetrated by swords** while **husbands were forced to watch**. ...

And it **went on for two hundred years**.

Part - 2

Foundation of Hinduism

Now, have a taste of Hindu teachings for a change

Cambridge University, 1882

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 59, p 67 respectively

Max Muller: I have left to the last of the witness who might otherwise have been suspected – I mean the **Hindus** themselves. The **whole** of their **literature** from one end to the other is **pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth.**

I doubt whether in **any other** of the ancient literatures of the **world** you will find traces of that extreme sensitiveness of conscience which despairs of our **ever speaking the truth.**

And, how those **teachings shaped** the **conducts** of the Hindus

Cambridge University, 1882

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 50

Max Muller: It is **extremely strange** that whenever, either in **Greek**, or in **Chinese**, or in **Persian**, or in **Arab** writings, we meet **any attempts** at describing the **distinguishing features** in the **national character** of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), **regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first.**

Cambridge University, 1882

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 53

Max Muller: So I could go on **quoting from book after book**, and **again and again** we should see how it was the **love of truth** that struck all the people who came in contact with BhaaratVarsh, as the **prominent feature** in the **national character** of its inhabitants. **No one ever accused them of falsehood.**

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, Penguin, p 57, read with endnotes 43, 44 seemingly referring to Mill's History, vol. i. p 371

(Sir Thomas Munro was the eminent Governor of Madras Presidency in the **Southern** BhaaratVarsh)

Sir Thomas Munro: If a good system of agriculture, **unrivalled manufacturing skill**, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, **schools established in every village** for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the **general practice of**

hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a **treatment of the female sex** full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and **if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and BhaaratVarsh, I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo.**

A great civilization indeed!

Hindu teachings and ancient Hindu Education system manned by Hindu Braahmans made this a reality, which lasted for 5,000 years after the battle of MahaaBhaarat following which Dharm was reestablished!

Many Gods vs. One God

Many gods or many manifestations of one god?

Hindus believe in many gods. Some would say not many gods but many manifestations of one god. I leave it you the way you like to say it. I bring out this clarification because one gentleman had objected to my using "Hindus believe in many gods".

I shall use "Hindus believe in many gods" because not only world perceives that way but also most common Hindus perceive that way. World perception is reflected through the following **Oxford Dictionary** description:

polytheism the belief in or worship of **more than one** god [p 1439]

monotheism the doctrine or belief that there is **only one** God [p 1196]

Today the world is divided into two distinct factions. Monotheists and polytheists. There are atheists but in small numbers in relative terms. Monotheist religions like Judaism, Christianity and Islam had been bent upon obliterating all polytheist religions from the face of the earth ever since these monotheist religions came into existence. Before that the world was "pagan" as they call it.

Pagan a person holding religious beliefs **other than** those of the **main world religions** [p 1332]

Today the world is dominated by monotheist religions and therefore they take the liberty to describe themselves as main world religions.

Why Hindus believe in many gods?

I would want to describe it in very simple terms:

God, who could create such an extraordinarily diverse

universe, can easily assume any form He wants at His will.

He is under no compulsion to have or not to have a form.

Besides, He can present Himself in as many forms as He may want.

Why Hindus believe in God with form?

God has himself created humans, animals, birds, fishes, trees, and what not, just look around and you will see them. Then ask yourself a simple question: One who could create so many forms; can He not take that form for Himself?

Can He not present Himself in one of those forms if His devotee wants to see Him in that particular form, and if He is pleased with His devotee, and if He wishes to grant him the vision to see Him in the form of his choice?

What is the result of such belief?

As Hindu believes in many gods, he does not fight on Hindu god, Muslim god, and Christian god. For him, there are hundreds of gods, one more or one less does not make a difference to him.

That is why, when the first batch of refugee Syrian Christians arrived at Cranganore, Malabaar in BhaaratVarsh in the year 345 (running from the religious persecution of Persian King Shaapur II who considered them a state liability) Hindu king not only gave them land to live but also to build church so that they could freely practice their own religion. Similarly in Gujaraat, king SiddhRaja JaiSimh and his successors continued to provide Muslims trade settlers the opportunity to build mosques and freely practice their own religion, and as the inscriptions are witness, these things happened much before Gujaraat passed under Muslim rule after invasions of Ulugh Khan in 1299.

Christians do not believe in many gods; what is the result of such belief?

As Christians believe in one God, and that is only Christian God, they eliminated all civilizations that believed in many gods. They did not eliminate Islam because it believed in one God, like them, but they had been continually at war with Islam because they believe in another God. Even today what is happening between

Osama Bin Laden and President Bush is nothing but same Crusade and Jihad, though Christians do not say it because they have learned with time to hide their true motives. They could not eliminate Hindu civilization, but they substantially corrupted it through imposed Christian education for past six generations. They have effectively destroyed the fabric of Hindu society.

When I started looking at Christian Bible, I learned it pronounces death for idolaters, like Hindus. That is why, these refugee Syrian Christians waited for thousand years, lived on Hindu hospitality and finally, when Vasco da Gama arrived in BhaaratVarsh with his ship and cannons, they approached him and pleaded him to wage war against Hindu king.

Persian King Shaapur II considered them a state liability and he was right. Hindu kings treated them as state guests and the guests betrayed their hosts even after thousand years' hospitality. Christian history is witness what Christianity has made of Christians.

Saint Francis Xavier took a large grant from Hindu king of Quilon to build churches, and then he converted entire villages into Christianity in one stroke, and then he got Hindu temples pulled down and Hindu god idols destroyed, and he wrote to the Society of Jesus that he could not describe in words the joy he felt watching this happen.

In Christianity such people are respected as saints. In Hinduism such people are not respected because they possess inhumane qualities. The two religions are opposite in their values.

This is where the whole game begins:

Monotheism's avowed determination effort to obliterate Polytheism from the face of the Earth

Islam's Zihaad against Hinduism

Christianity's (masked) Crusade against Hinduism

Paramahansa Yogananda

*Knowingly/unknowingly majority
Hindu preachers have built castles
with the sand, taking due advantage
of the current age of deception.*

*They have been leading their Hindu
followers into a make-believe world,
keeping them insulated from the
crude reality!*

Paramahansa Yogananda's Autobiography of a Yogi, which received worldwide acclaim

I have a significant message for you at the end of this episode. After about 25 years break I took to spiritual readings again sometimes around Christmas of 1998.

The first book on my hand was that of Paramahansa Yogananda's *Autobiography of a Yogi* borrowed from Unionville Public Library in Markham, Canada. The book had received worldwide acclaim. Paramahansa Yogananda had been fairly successful in USA and gathered a fairly large following of *white* men and women.

His book had a very significant impact on me. I bought several

copies and gave as gift to my colleagues. I recommended it to many more. This book speaks of Jesus Christ very highly on numerous occasions, and draws a parallel between Christianity and Hinduism. It cemented my earlier impression that Christianity happened to be a great humanitarian religion.

Today as I added a note about Trinity and Tridev, I thought it necessary to check if my 5 year-old-memory weren't failing me. In the process, look, at what I unexpectedly stumbled on!

Paramahansa Yogananda equated Hindu teachings with teachings of Jesus

Source: An Autobiography of a Yogi, Paramahansa Yogananda, p 85 fn

Paramahansa Yogananda: Hindu scriptures teach that family attachment is delusive if it prevents the devotee from seeking the Giver of all boons, including one of loving relatives, not to mention life itself. Jesus similarly taught: "He that loveth father or mother more than me is not worthy of me."
- Matthew 10:37 (Bible).

Thus, declaring that Jesus similarly taught, Yogananda draws a parallel between Hinduism and Christianity. A reader takes his word for granted, because Paramahansa Yogananda sounds very sincere throughout this book and he creates an impression that he knows Christianity and Hinduism like the back of his hand. So, trusting him and at the same time unsure of the truth in his statement, I decided to check the Bible and, lo, what I find!

Did Paramahansa Yogananda tell the whole truth to his readers and followers?

Bible, Matthew 10:34 "Think not I am come to send peace on earth: I come not to send peace, but a sword." 10:35 "For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against the mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in

law. 10:36 And a man's foe shall be they of his own household." 10:37 "He that loveth father or mother more than me is not worthy of me; and he that loveth son or daughter more than me is not worthy of me."

Now think for a moment. Are the teachings of Hinduism and Jesus truly 'similar' as Paramahansa Yogananda made us to believe?

Let us expand on what Hinduism teaches us

Let me put it in my words. Hinduism teaches that any attachment whatsoever of any kind, be it towards parents, wife, children, relatives, friends, money, power, position, fame, or anything for that matter, which we come across in our day to day life in this mortal world, can effectively come in the way of attaining soul's final dissolution into the Supreme Soul, which frees the soul from the cycle of birth and death.

The logic is simple, as long as we remain attached to these worldly things, we would naturally want to remain wrapped up in their thoughts, and we wouldn't try adequately enough to advance towards the process of dissolution into the Supreme Soul.

Let us now expand on what Jesus of Bible taught

But Jesus says in no uncertain terms that he has not come to earth to bring peace, instead he has come to bring sword (war) and he has come to put son against father, daughter against mother, daughter-in-law against mother-in-law, and turn the family of a man as his foe (enemy); and in that context, one who loves his parents more than Jesus, in other words, does not turn against his parents, is not worthy of Jesus.

Why did Paramahansa Yogananda deliberately suppress major part of the statement made by Jesus as documented in Bible?

On what basis Paramahansa Yogananda draws a parallel between Hinduism and Christianity in this particular context, and how does he use Mathew 10:37 by suppressing 10:34, 10:35, 10:36? Does Paramahansa Yogananda bring his readers and followers closer to the Truth or does he take them farther from the Truth? Why is

it that he deliberately omitted stating 10:34, 10:35, 10:36 that indicate the context in which 10:37 was said?

Don't think it was an unintended mistake; Paramahansa Yogananda knew Bible rather too well to make such a clever mistake

Please do not pamper yourself with the thought that it was a simple mistake on his part. You would be fooling yourself if you do believe that. Paramahansa Yogananda knew Bible too well and gives the impression of having understood Jesus so well that he could not have simply made a mistake. You got to read the whole of *Autobiography of a Yogi* sincerely to know that it is simply not possible that it could have been an unintended mistake. This is one of the people whom I respected dearly, only to be disillusioned later!

Spiritual gurus promote their own-brand-religion

At his Self-Realization Fellowship Sunday gatherings at Toronto, I saw Shri Krishn and Jesus placed side by side at the altar. In today's world these things create pretty good impression. Today, we live in a world of 'Brand' images. This is how different spiritual gurus promote their own-brand-religion! Today even religion is for marketing. As you will proceed through this work, you will see that this comes from the influence of Christianity. You tend to become the kind of company you keep, and the kind of influence you allow on yourself.

Therefore, you must be mentally prepared to look at things afresh disregarding your preconceived notions

When you read or listen to such celebrities, your impressions are formed based on what they convey to you. It is important that you train your mind to disregard those preconceived notions if you wish to derive any benefit from my work.

You must not trust, nor distrust whatever you have learned so far. You must be mentally prepared to absorb unexpected shocks, and also prepared to look at things afresh, if you wish to proceed with my work; otherwise, it is a wasted effort, please do not spend your time!

Part - 3

Christianity's masked Crusade against Hinduism

Christians could not
tolerate the
existence of a
superior civilization
on the face of this
Earth and they had
to **conspire** to
wipe it out totally

Source: The Awakening Ray, Vol. 4 No. 5, The Gnostic Centre] [Reproduced in Niti issue of April 2002 at p 10 a periodic publication of Bharat Vikas Parishad, Delhi] [see <http://www.veda.harekrsna.cz/encyclopedia/indology.htm#11>] [quoted in IndiaCause newsletter 17 August 2003]

T B Macaulay: I propose that we replace her old and ancient education system, her culture, for if the Bhaaratiyas think that all that is foreign and English is good and greater than their own,

they will **lose their self-esteem**,
their **native self-culture** and
they will become what we want
them, a **truly dominated
nation**.

Source: Oxford Dictionary, p 1107

Macaulay Thomas Babington, *1st Baron* (1800-59), English historian, essayist, and philanthropist. He was a civil servant in BhaaratVarsh, **where he established a system of education, a new criminal code**, before returning to Britain and devoting himself to literature and politics.

T B Macaulay was successful in his designs. He destroyed the ancient Hindu Education system which produced extraordinary results in form of truthful character of the Hindus as a nation. He replaced it with far inferior Christian missionary education system which produced morally depraved nation of people in a matter of one hundred and twelve years (1835-1947).

He also destroyed the extraordinary Hindu Judiciary system. Many foreign visitors throughout past two thousand years (4th century onwards) had documented their observations expressing extraordinary character of Hindu Justice. But **T B** Macaulay had to replace it with far inferior ChristianBritish system of justice which revolved around money making for all those who were part of that system while delivering little justice to the common people. That degenerate system of judiciary prevails even today as the legacy of the ChristianBritish and Macaulay's children merrily carry it around with renewed enthusiasm.

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 181

T B Macaulay: We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Bhaaratiyas in

blood and color, but **English in taste, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.**

People refer to him as Macaulay or Lord Macaulay. His full name was Thomas Babington Macaulay. I prefer to refer to him as T B Macaulay. The initials T B have a special significance. It helps us keep reminded of his true contribution towards BhaaratVarsh which was akin to the disease of T B (Tuberculosis).

*And, this is how Hindus were turned substantially anti-Hindu by implementing **T B** Macaulay's **Christian Missionary Education system***

Source: *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought*, Michel Danino, quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003

T B Macaulay to his father in 1836: Our (*Christian missionary) English schools are flourishing wonderfully.... It is my belief that if our plans of education are followed up, **there will not be a single idolater** among the respectable classes in **Bengal** thirty years hence.

Idolater who worships an idol or idols [Oxford Dictionary p 909]

Dr Ananda Coomaraswamy: A single generation of (*Christian

missionary) English education suffices to break the threads of tradition and create a **nondescript** and **superficial** being **deprived of all roots** – a sort of **intellectual pariah** who does not belong to the East or the West, the past or the future. Of all Bhaaratiya problems the educational is the most difficult and most tragic.

T B Macaulay himself was fiercely Christian and he could not tolerate the survival of a Hindu nation with Hindu civilization on the face of this earth. It was his masked Crusade against Hinduism.

He had to complete the task that Muslims could not complete through Zihaad against Hinduism.

Curtain Raiser

There was a Great Civilization though, today, it may sound like a fairy tale because Christian missionary education system prevalent in our country did not tell us about this

It is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek, or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first. Max Muller, Cambridge University, 1882

So I could go on quoting from book after book, and again and again we should see how it was the love of truth that struck all the people who came in contact with BhaaratVarsh, as the prominent feature in the national character of its inhabitants. No one ever accused them of falsehood. Max Muller, Cambridge University, 1882

If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and India (BhaaratVarsh), I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo. Sir Thomas Munro

Hindus generally think that today's state of affairs had been around for a fairly long time, and nothing much can be done about it. If only they all knew, it was not that long ago, they would want to reverse it. But then, who will tell them? Those who have means to do so won't do that because it would clash with their vested interests. Their vested interests are now same as that of the ChristianBritish. They are products of that education system which ChristianBritish implemented 170 years ago. After ChristianBritish left BhaaratVarsh, they left their legacy back in form of these people.

Vast majority of Hindus do not know till date that their ancient Hindu Education System was superior to Christian Missionary Education System, and their Hindu Culture was superior to Christian Culture, as was unambiguously admitted by few

distinguished Christian British people. If only our people knew the truth, they would want to reinstate it. But then, Hindus, for past six generations, have been fraudulently taught through Christian Missionary Education System that they had a rotten civilization, one ridden with meaningless rituals and superstitious traditions, and nothing worth being proud of. Products of that education system merrily keep parroting the same thing all over through mass media exposure and every other way.

A Civilization that had lasted 5,000 years, was destroyed within 112 years (1835-1947)

Dharm was reestablished after the battle of MahaaBhaarat. Even after 5,000 years, we could see its reflection in Hindu society. This, in itself, is the testimony that MahaaBhaarat was a necessity of the time.

This fact has been ignored generally, and rather too often references are made to MahaaBhaarat as if it were nothing but bloodshed. By doing so, we fail to appreciate its significance not only in national context but also in social context. It is important that now we relearn to look at things in perspective, and learn to understand the value of what should be valued or else we fail to derive its benefit.

The prime lesson that MahaaBhaarat teaches us is that we must not let Adharm grow beyond limit, or else we pay the price for it! It also teaches that Adharm must be resisted, fought, and eliminated.

This is where we were, only 170 years ago, not so long ago

If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and BhaaratVarsh, I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo. Sir Thomas Munro

I propose that we replace her old and ancient education system, her culture, for if the Bhaaratiyas think that all that is foreign and English is good and greater than their own, they will lose their self-esteem, their native self-culture and they will become what we want them, a truly dominated nation. T B Macaulay

This is where we have reached

No sense of national pride (slowly returning but a long way to go). Always looking up to Christianized West.

This is what happened in-between

Macaulay's English Christian Missionary Education System was imposed upon us. Ancient Hindu education system was wiped out systematically (a) by withdrawing all governmental support and by officially de-recognizing indigenous educational qualifications (ex: AyurVidya), (b) by inducing distrust towards indigenous knowledge base, in minds of children, using Christian missionary education system (ex: JyotirVidya).

Nation's wealth was siphoned out systematically and fraudulently. Indigenous industries were methodically destroyed (ex: by cutting thumbs of weavers who made Dhaka Malmal, 40 yard sari could pass through one ring). Hindu technology was systematically eliminated and Hindu children were taught that no such technology ever existed. Hindu children were taught to look down upon and distrust their heritage. Hindu children never realized the systematic conspiracy they were subjected to.

Was Britain essentially Christian Britain?

Yes, we have dealt with this matter in detail later in this work.

Was Macaulay's Education System essentially a Christian Education System?

Yes, it was established and run by Christian missionaries. Macaulay himself had an undeniably Christian psyche.

What was the prime cause of our extraordinary downfall?

Our prolonged association with Christian missionary education system has been the prime cause of extraordinary downfall of Hindu society. When I speak of downfall, I refer to 'Where we were' and 'Where we have reached' as described before.

What is the solution, if there is any?

Eliminate the root cause. Discard the Christian missionary education system. Reestablish Hindu ancient education system, which had allowed Hindu society to maintain extraordinarily high

moral values, of which each and every visitor to BhaaratVarsh spoke of! Want more details? There is plenty in my other works.

Understand the true motive behind Macaulay's Education System

Macaulay proudly wrote his father in 1836: Our English schools are flourishing wonderfully.... It is my belief that if our plans of education are followed up, there will not be a single idolater among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence.

Hindus are idolaters, who worship idols, images of gods. Christian Bible Deuteronomy 13 header is titled as idolaters to be put to death. Bible pronounces death for Hindu idolaters; Macaulay pronounces elimination of idolaters in a relatively more acceptable manner in today's supposedly civilized world.

We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Bhaaratiyas in blood and color, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals and in intellect. T B Macaulay

Hindu society has remained Hindu for name sake but a very large section thereof has been Christianized, not by religious conversion but by psyche conversion. Their thought process has been Christianized. Thus, body remains Hindu but character becomes Christianized. The beauty is that many of them still think that they have Hindu thought process. This is because they have lost the ability to distinguish between what is Hindu thought process and what is Christian thought process. Just because they have a leaning towards Hinduism they tend to think that they have Hindu thought process.

Understand the effect of English language education on Hindu psyche

This is what happens when we live with it for one generation.

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 188

Dr Ananda Coomaraswamy: A single generation of (*Christian) English education suffices to break the threads of tradition and create a nondescript and superficial being deprived of all roots – a sort of intellectual

pariah who does not belong to the East or the West, the past or the future. Of all Bhaaratiya problems the educational is the most difficult and most tragic.

A healthy tree derives its life source from its roots. When the roots are rotten, the tree is no-more healthy. This is the state of Hindu society today. Christian missionary education system has worked like pests and made the foundation hollow.

Look at statistics denoting the vast coverage of Christian Missionary Education in BhaaratVarsh

This is what we have lived with, for six generations, assuming that a new generation is ready in 30 years.

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 123 fn

It is estimated that 60 percent of all students in BhaaratVarsh attend Roman Catholic schools and colleges. [Ref: Malachi Martin, The Jesuits].

The real figure is much higher as this book was published over 15 years ago in 1987. They do not include non-Catholic educational institutions, which have proliferated in recent years with rapid spread of evangelical Protestant churches in BhaaratVarsh.

More significantly, 90 percent of those who formulate administer and monitor national policies and practices are the ones who graduate from Christian educational institutions and FakeSecularist infected universities.

You must not refer to these three in isolation; referring to them in isolation dilutes your focus on the root cause

- Macaulay's education system

- English language education
- Christian missionary education

They are all connected by an invisible thread. Understanding that interrelationship is key to the issue.

Introduce yourself to the children of Macaulay who come in different varieties

I am a Hindu by accident used to say Jawaharlal Nehru, the first Prime Minister of independent BhaaratVarsh from 1947 till his death, the founder of the Nehru dynasty from the clutches of which, we are still unable to come out!

I am born a Hindu as a result of my sins during earlier births, wrote Motilal Nehru, the father of Jawaharlal Nehru, who was a renowned barrister of his time and known to be a very wealthy person.

But all children of Macaulay need not be of that category. Two days ago (April 2004), a 76 years old gentleman from Mumbai called me and claimed that he was educated in Gujaraati medium school, hence he was not a product of Macaulayite education system. Simultaneously, he defended Macaulay action fully. And he did so after having read the 1st edition of this book. This is another type of Macaulay's children. These are in vast majority today. They will not want to do anything about reversing that action. Thus, the situation will remain as it is today.

While this gentleman claims himself not to be a Macaulay product, he forgets that his present mind-set is not the result of his schooling alone. He did his college, university and IAS through Macaulay's Christian Missionary education system. He lived and grew up in an environment that made him very much of a Macaulay product. And yet he happens to retain his Hindu leanings because of his strong knowledge of Sanskrit, Hindi, Gujaraati, Tamil and probably few other Bhaaratiya languages. Despite all this independence of thought that he claims he defends Macaulay's action, which led to substantial down gradation of this 5,000 year old civilization. This attitude of defending a wrong action will never let him get out of that mental slavery of which he is a prisoner.

Now, this must be understood clearly that I am not pointing at

him as an individual. When I present you his example, I am speaking of most of you people who may be reading this book some day or other.

I am trying to make you aware of the fact that your thought process and your value system happens to be the product of the total environment that you are part of. I am using this term 'environment' in a fairly wider sense. The movies that you watch, the television serials that you enjoy, the books that you read, the newspaper and magazines you subscribe to, the friends and colleagues with whom you interact, your family with whom you live, everything is part of that environment.

The movie maker has something to say to you, that is his own thought process or belief system. He does not say to you this directly. He uses different casts in his story and he makes them deliver their dialogues. In those statements and emotions are hidden his own thought process and belief system. They influence you indirectly, often without even your knowing you.

I am doing the same thing here but with a basic difference in approach. I am being direct and thereby giving you an opportunity to either accept or discard it. But they, be they movie makers, be they television serial script writers or directors, be they news paper journalists or editors, or whatever, are not always being so direct.

Many a times they appear to be telling you only a story through their movie and consciously you think of it as a story only, but a lot of it leaves an impression on your mind, of which you are not consciously aware, and with time different belief system get cemented on your psyche.

Let me give you an example, though there could be plenty. These days (during first half of year 2004) Star Plus television channel telecasts every Thursday a serial *Sara Aakaash*. It is about an air force base equipped with latest fighter planes Sukhoi and their pilots. Then they come to a kingly state situated at the border of BhaaratVarsh and Pakistan. The Minister adds 'Satya ki Vijay ho (let the truth prevail)' with every sentence he speaks. That establishes him as a Hindu in viewers mind. He is the villain, a traitor to the nation, and an oppressor of poor villagers. He is also a plotter, manipulator. Then you have the Hindu prince as arrogant, and cruel. The one who stands against them and defeats them is a girl with Christian name Monica, though she comes

from a Hindu Singh family. This Christianized Hindu is the patriot and a savior of the poor villagers. Those non-Christianized Hindu kingly characters are the oppressors, traitor, and archaic Hindu-ritual bound people. [Surprisingly, kingly in today's background with Sukhoi flying every day in that TV serial!] Then, the heroine goes to Pakistan. All Muslims show deep love for her. Even a Muslim priest is benevolent to her. Pakistan Government and Bhaaratiya Government are gaga in love with each other. Both jointly fighting the enemy terrorists. No mention, however, that these terrorists are created by the same Pakistan Government and funded and assisted by them all the while. This is how the public is fooled with make-believe stories. The message is loud and clear to the viewers. Hindu rulers (mind you, in today's environment of year 2004) with their archaic thoughts, as traitors and oppressors of Hindu villagers. The messiah of simpleton villagers as the Christian educated Christian named heroine, who encourages villagers to revolt against the oppressor ruling class. Muslims so very loving towards Hindus. Pakistan Government such a friend of Bhaarat Varsh. The two governments fighting the common enemy, terrorist. This is how the images are created through use of media. For that, all you need is money, motive and those who can give it a shape.

Another Star Plus TV episode Bhaabhi [March - April 2005] we have a court room scene. Nautiaal is the name of the lawyer who represents the villain. This lawyer has a huge Tilak on his forehead, a very conspicuous one identify him as a staunch Hindu who would go to the court to plead his case with a prominent Tilak on his forehead. And, he is not an old guy who does it by traditional habit that may be difficult to die. He is a very young man, a product of Christianized education but it was felt necessary that he must be portrayed as a staunch Hindu on the screen for he was defending the villain and indirectly happened to be the one who represented the evil side of the story. None other than him on the screen had any one who would strongly suggest that he or she was a Hindu. Not even the victim Manju from her dress or even appearance would indicate being a Hindu. Saroj who represented the victim as her pleader did not also have any positive indication on her appearance or dress that would strongly suggest that she was a Hindu to a casual onlooker. Thus the victim's side was fully secular and the villain's side was staunch Hindu. So much so that the villain's name was Jagdish Uppal which spoken by Saroj and Manju always in such a manner that it was not easy to distinguish it from Jagjit Uppal who happens to be a renowned Hindu astrologer and long time Taj Hotel's in-

house astrologer in Mumbai. Makers of the TV serial will say this all was by mere coincidence. Then Nautiaal makes derogatory remarks on Saroj who was not a qualified lawyer but was given an opportunity by the judge to plead for the victim. Those strong derogatory remarks against women in general and Saroj in particular had to come from the staunch Hindu man Nautiaal to be promptly flayed by the extraordinary presence of mind of secular looking Saroj. Anyhow the point I make here is these all innocent coincidences repeated over and again through many episodes with a variety of backgrounds do leave an ineradicable mark on the psyche of the viewers, and particularly the youth and the adolescent in their formative stages in life. Repetition is what cements impressions with time. Those impressions guide their thought process and build their attitudes. Those attitudes reflect in their future actions. It is a long drawn clever game plan that is not easily noticed by all with regard to its harmful and lasting impact.

Yesterday night (3 May 2004) a college day friend of mine called after 30 years. He got my number from a common friend. It was good to hear him. He told me that he had settled at a place called Pichhor in Madhya Pradesh. You may not have even heard the name of that place. I mention this, to make you realize that it is not a place big enough to be known by many, and certainly you cannot expect it to be a Westernized place. During the conversation he told me that he would now give the phone to his son and I could possibly give him some direction for life (it is a Hindu way of seeking advice from or blessings of elders). I spoke to his son in Hindi, which happened to be his mother tongue but not my mother tongue (In Canada, they call it your first language, not mother tongue; mother does not occupy such a significant place in their overall life system that they could ascribe their first language to their mother). Anyhow, returning to the base issue. Hindi wasn't my mother tongue but knowing it was his, I spoke to him all the while in Hindi. What struck after I kept down the phone is that whatever little he spoke to me or responded to me was wholly in English! So, in a small place like Pichhor, the influence of English is no less than one's mother tongue. I have noticed it rather too often that in most television talks the first time comers tend to respond in English to every question in Hindi thrown at them by the anchor Aman Varma. I thought initially that they all tried to impress that they knew English but gradually I tend to identify that English has spread its wings far and wide. Thus, plants have been separated from their roots!

You may find a particular kind of repetition in my works

You may find me expressing the core message in different ways, with different set of examples, in different scenarios, in different words, in different places.

Some of you may think that we are intelligent enough, why not say it once and we understand. Granted that you are capable of understanding the message through a single expression. You may even not need to read my work, as you may be aware of the message already. But then, has it percolated down to your thoughts so deep that it already finds its expression in all your actions? If you were to ask this question to yourself, you may often find a big NO for the answer! Why?

This is how our minds are preprogrammed on a regular basis through exposure to mass media and prevailing education system

Most of our actions are guided not by 'what should be done' but by 'what we are used to doing or seeing as done by others so often'. What we are used to doing often depends on 'what we are used to thinking'. What we are used to thinking often depends on 'what we have been taught all along' or 'what we get to see or hear so often'. What we get to see or hear or read so often depends generally on 'what receives regular media exposure'.

What receives regular media exposure mostly depends on 'what they want to show you or let you know'. Who are they? They could be those who control the media or they could be those who are associated with media in one way or the other.

Similarly, 'what you have been taught all along' depends on 'who designed your school text books and with what underlying motive'. 'What their underlying motives are' depends on 'who they are' and 'what their ideology is'. If they are from CommunistMarxist block they will design text books in one manner. If they are Christian missionaries they will design them in another manner. They all have their vested interest and hidden agenda. They won't give you truth.

This is why we need the repetition of alternate thought process to counteract the age-long repetition caused by education system and mass media

The point is our actions are often guided by our preconceived notions or preprogramming of our minds, which is attained through the education system of which we are part of, and through repeated media exposure. This is where we need the repetition of alternate thought process to counteract the repetition caused by education system and mass media.

You need to also appreciate that the reading habits of people are not same

There is yet another reason. I do not expect all my readers to read all my books, and also read them sequentially. These books are all interconnected and revolve around one basic theme, that is, the human life and its various aspects.

Nor do I expect all my readers even to read one of my single books in continuity from start to end. Different people have different kind of reading habits. Some just open a book casually and start reading one section that may attract their attention at that given point of time. Therefore, I need to repeat things over without which that section of that book may not be complete.

Yet, my primary reason remains to be the one I have explained in earlier paragraphs.

Understand that Macaulay is no more your problem; your current problem is his legacy, the Christian Missionary Education System that envelopes you from all directions, and being missionary, it has a mission; that is to Christianize your psyche

Many of you are aware of Macaulay and the harm he did. Many of you even write in the magazines, newspaper articles about ill-effects of it. But always I see you all speaking of Macaulay, as if Macaulay was the real culprit. So, you have chosen your enemy and hitting at it. Your readers know that this ghostly character Macaulay, of whom he is hearing for the first time, or may be he has been hearing of him rather too often, in either case this guy is dead and gone. So why waste tears, forget him and go your way, continue with what you are doing. The problem is that you have made Macaulay as the hero or the villain or whatever.

In the process you are loosing sight of the fact that Macaulay by himself, or his so-called education system, or English education system, or whatever, all these identities are meaningless, because

behind all these masks remain one and only one true identity and that is the Christian Missionary Education System. Now, this being a missionary system, it has a mission. What is that mission? That is what you need to be fully aware of. That is to Christianize your psyche.

Why it is today so very necessary to understand the true face of Christianity, which happens to be very-very different from the masked one that you get to see generally

This Christian Missionary Education System springs from the very base of Christianity. It imbibes all qualities of Christian Faith, and it grows with Christian Traditions. Therefore, it becomes necessary that you understand Christianity's inborn character better than you do now.

You aren't able to see its true character because enormous amounts of money are spent to keep a lid on it. To counteract any possible unwanted exposure, service-to-humanity-brand-religion-image is projected consistently.

Money and media power hide the Truth, our minds are preprogrammed through a methodical exposure to a make-believe world. You need to penetrate that mechanism and look beyond. Remember that the Truth often lies behind the Smoke Screen.

Let us familiarize ourselves with the Seed, the spread of Roots, the budding Plant, the grown-up Tree, and the attractive Garden of Christianity in its various phases of growth

Today we do not critically look at the Seed, nor we examine the Roots that remain under the Soil. Today we only look at the strong, powerful Tree that has spread its branches all over our existence, and effectively clouded our ability to look beyond.

The Seed is the Holy Bible. The Roots are the teachings of the Bible. The budding Plant is the effect of Teachings of the Bible on the 'Past' during formative years of Christianity, which are now historical facts. The Grown-up Tree is the effect of Teachings of the Bible on the 'Present day' world, and similarly the effect of Teachings of the Bible on the 'modern USA'. And, much more in next two volumes that will follow.

Part - 4

Christianity
spreads its
Wings

Seed of Christianity

Why is it so important to understand Bible? Why other sources are not dependable?

Christian Bible or the Holy Bible, as it is titled in print, comprises of the Old Testament and the New Testament. To understand Christianity, one must understand the so-called Holy Bible. Present day Christianity's very foundation is based on the teachings in the Bible. Therefore, understanding the character of these teachings is a prerequisite for understanding Christianity.

It is no use attempting to understand Christianity based on television talks, church sermons, leaflets and propaganda materials. It is essentially a self-deceptive exercise, in vain.

Enormous amounts of money are spent every year for cosmetic purposes, the Vatican being the mammoth multinational organization having no parallel. We will later provide you with statistical data from dependable Christian sources to demonstrate the enormity of such funding, which is used for face lifting on a regular pattern.

Any attempt to understand Christianity without understanding its Creed (as documented through the Holy Bible) would be a futile exercise. This is the reason that we chose to present the very first lesson of this book from the so-called Holy Bible itself.

Laws of Christian Bible that directly affect all other religions on this earth. These Laws are given to Christians by Christian God. Kill those who serve any god other than Christian God. Destroy the images of their gods. Obliterate names of their gods from that place. Continue to follow these laws as long as you live on this earth. Here are the quotes from Christian Bible

Christian Bible Old Testament Deuteronomy 12:1 *These are the statutes and judgments, which ye shall observe to do in the land, which the LORD God of thy fathers giveth thee to possess it, all the days ye live upon the earth.* 12:2 *Ye shall utterly destroy all the places, wherein the nations which ye shall possess served their Gods,*

upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and every green tree: 12:3 And ye shall overthrow their altars, and break their pillars, and burn their groves with fire; and ye shall hew down the graven images of their gods, and destroy the names of them out of that place.

Hew chop, cut with axe [Collins English Gem Dictionary p 246]
Graven image a carved idol or representation of a god used as an object of worship [Oxford Dictionary p 801]

Christian Bible Old Testament Exodus 23:24 Thou shalt not bow down to their gods, nor serve them, nor do after their works: but thou shalt utterly overthrow them, and quite break down their images. Exodus 34:13 But ye shall destroy their altars, break their images, and cut down their groves. 34:14 For thou shalt worship no other god: for the LORD, whose name is Jealous, is a jealous God:

Christian Bible Old Testament Deuteronomy 7:5 But thus shall ye deal with them; ye shall destroy their altars, and break down their images, and cut down their groves, and burn their graven images with fire. 7:6 For thou *art* an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that *are* upon the face of the earth.

There are plenty of such things. You will find them scattered all over if you have the appetite to keep looking. As for me it is disgusting and I do not want to keep filling pages with these.

Christian Bible tells Christians as to how they are required to treat those men, women and children who follow other religions (a) they should kill children in front of their parents (b) they should rape their wives (c) they should kill every male child (d) they should kill every woman who has experienced sex with a man (e) save every virgin for themselves (probably to produce more monsters like themselves). Do you find all these unbelievable? Check the Holy Bible for yourself, here are the references and quotes with dictionary meanings

Christian Bible Old Testament Isaiah 13:16 Their children also shall be dashed to pieces before their eyes; their houses shall be spoiled, and their wives ravished (*raped).

Ravish force a woman to have sexual intercourse against her will [Oxford Dictionary p 1541] **Dash** strike or fling something somewhere with great force, especially so as to have a destructive effect [Oxford Dictionary p 468]

Christian Bible Old Testament Numbers 31:17 Now therefore kill every male among little ones, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him. 31:18 But all the women children, that have not known a man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves.

Every male among little ones [baby boys, male children]. Every woman that hath known man by lying with him [married women, or women who have had slept with men]. Women children that have not known a man by lying with him [those who have not slept with men, basically the virgins who have had no sex with men].

Following those instructions from the Christian God, this is Christians actually treated those men, women and children who followed Hindu religion. Paul William Robert tells us that children were slowly cut into pieces in front of their parents. Eyelids of parents were sliced off so that they could not close their eyes and were forced to watch their children being cut into pieces. Parents' hands and feet were cut off so carefully that they do not faint away. Male genitals were removed and burnt in front of their wives. Wives breasts were cut off and vaginas were penetrated with sword while husbands were forced to watch. This went on in Goa and surrounding territories for two hundred years. It was not an odd incidence, which happened just once. But Christian missionary education system in BhaaratVarsh told our children that Christianity has been a religion of peace, love and service to humanity. Have you met bigger liars in your life? You have heard of Raakshas in Hindu stories; now do you understand who they were? Can you have better example than Christians? But, of course, Christian edited Oxford Dictionary defines Christians as decent, kind and fair. Do you find any such qualities in Christian teachings or Christian acts? Aren't they pretty good at turning the truth as untruth, and untruth as truth?

We Hindus, however, do not know that Christians did not forget these teachings and they practiced these teachings religiously, many-many centuries later in BhaaratVarsh, and that too continuously for 200 years.

This has been carefully kept out of Bhaaratiya history textbooks, and the opposite of it has been taught to us in school through Macaulayite English Christian Missionary Education system prevailing in BhaaratVarsh for past 170 years.

Let us look at few glimpses now. Remember, these are glimpses only, they are not complete account of all that happened, because the purpose of this work is to introduce you to the deliberately hidden facts; then it would be your responsibility to search and find more.

Various titles that I shall present to you, will only focus at familiarizing you with various aspects of what deludes you; then it would be for you to decide what you need to do about it.

Children were flogged and slowly dismembered (*tear or cut limb from limb) in front of their parents, whose (*parents') eyelids had been sliced off (*so they couldn't close their eyes) to make sure they missed nothing. Extremities (*the hands and feet) were amputated carefully, so that a person could remain conscious even when all that remained was a torso (*the trunk of the human body) and head. Male genitals were removed and burned in front of wives, breasts hacked off and vaginas penetrated by swords while husbands were forced to watch. ... So notorious was the Inquisition in Portuguese (*Christian!) BhaaratVarsh that word of its horrors even reached home. The Archbishop of Evora, in Portugal, eventually wrote "If everywhere Inquisition was an infamous court, the infamy, however base, however vile, however corrupt and determined by worldly interests, it was never more so than in Goa." ... And it went on for two hundred years. Paul William Roberts.

O my Bhaartiya converted Christian friends! Do you realize that those who suffered these inhumane acts, they were your Hindu ancestors? Are you proud of your Christian heritage that is noting but the product of pain, agony, torture, insult, humiliation of your forefathers?

Do you realize that your mother-ancestors were subjected to such inhumane barbaric acts as penetrating their vaginas by swords and their breasts hacked off by Christian zealots? Do you realize that your father-ancestors were subjected to such inhumane barbaric acts as their genitals removed and burnt by champions of Christianity? Why don't you, for a moment visualize, placing yourself in their shoes and have your vaginas penetrated by the swords of Christianity, or have your genitals removed and burnt in front of your eyes? That will be a lovely experience, don't you think so?

Do you recognize those scoundrels who made your ancestors suffer so badly? They called themselves Christians. And, you call yourself Christian! Aren't you ashamed of calling yourself a Christian? Those are the scoundrels whom you worship now! You call them Saints and what not. Do you see the irony? Such people are called Raakshas in Hinduism.

Paul William Robert says "So notorious was the Inquisition in Portuguese India". In the context of Inquisition, their Portuguese nationality did not drive them to do these inhumane acts.

Their Christian faith, teachings of their holy Bible, and Christian missionary zeal, inspired them to do these things! The concept of Inquisition was invented by the Pope, in Italy, not by the Portuguese in Portugal. The Inquisition was established in BhaaratVarsh at the recommendation of the Spanish Christian Jesuit Saint named Francis Xavier. Therefore, the responsibility lies with the Christianity, not with the Portuguese. Portuguese nationals may have been instrumental but Christianity was essentially the driving force behind them.

Isn't it that based on the same logic, we speak not of German horrors but of Nazi horrors? Why the ChristianWest speaks of Nazi horrors but not of German horrors? Were they Nazis, not Germans? They were Germans, but their nationality, as Germans did not make them do those acts of horrors. It was the commitment to Nazi ideology that made them commit those horrible acts against humanity. This is why we talk of Nazi atrocities, not of German atrocities. Why then should we speak of Portuguese atrocities, why not Christian atrocities, when it was the Christian ideology, the teachings of the Bible, which drove them to do those acts of horrible crime against humanity? In fact, Nazi atrocities were nothing in comparison to Christian atrocities, if we were to compare their acts.

Who were Nazis? Were they not Christians? They were more committed Christians than other Christians of their time. They all paid Church Tax. Nazi contribution to Vatican was over \$100 million, worth nearly a billion and half in today's values and Vatican also share Nazi gold loot. [details later]

Their Nazi ideology was an extension of Christian ideology. It had all the ingredients. It was Nazi imperialism, which evolved from Christian imperialism [details later]. It was Nazi atrocity, a relatively small portion of overall Christian atrocities. You will more of such Christian atrocities through these pages.

Why Hollywood movies speak of Nazi horrors, but not of Christian horrors? Is it because most of those film makers are Christians themselves? Why most media men and women do the same? Is it for the same reason?

Why did they highlight slanting Swastik so much? So that it creates an impression Nazism had some relationship with Hinduism? No one ever cared to clarify that Hinduism has straight Swastik and a slanting Swastik is considered bad in Hinduism!

Bible tells very clearly that Christian God is a Jealous God; can someone so jealous tolerate any other god? Christian God is revengeful, and he has his enemies!

Christian Bible Exodus 20:3 Thou shalt have no other Gods before me. 20:5 Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I the LORD thy God am a jealous God

Christian Bible Exodus 34:14 For thou shalt worship no other god: for the LORD, whose name is Jealous, is a jealous God:

Christian Bible Old Testament Nahum 1:2 God is jealous, and the LORD revengeth; the LORD revengeth, and is furious; the LORD will take vengeance on his adversaries, and he reserveth wrath for his enemies.

Is this description of the God or that of Satan?

Bible instructs Christians that those who try to convert you into another religion, kill them with your own hands, be they your own brother, your son, your daughter, your loving wife, or your friend

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 13:6 If thy brother, the son of thy mother, or thy son, or thy daughter, or the wife of thy bosom, or thy friend, which is as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and serve other Gods, which thou hast not known, nor thy fathers; 13:7 Namely, of the gods of the people which are round about you, nigh unto thee, or far off from thee, from the one end of the earth even unto the other end of the earth; 13:8 Thou shalt not consent unto him, nor hearken unto him; neither shall thine eye pity him, neither shalt thou spare, neither shalt thou conceal him: 13:9 But thou shalt surely kill him; thine hand shalt be first upon him to put him to death, and afterwards the hands of all people. 13:10 And thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die; because he hath sought to thrust thee away from the LORD thy God

So, a Christian must stone to death someone who tries to convert a Christian into any other religion. How about applying the same law in reverse order to Christians? If a Christian wants to convert a person (who belongs to another religion, say, Hinduism) into Christianity then that Christian must be stoned to death. If this law were to be applied equitably (fairly and impartially), the first person to be stoned to death would be the Pope himself because he advocates all the time in favor of converting Hindus into Christianity. Then all Christian missionaries would be stoned to death because they are all the while engaged in converting Hindus in covert manner. These champions of Christianity must have a dose of their own medicine, shouldn't they?

Bible instructs Christians that those who worship idols should be put to death

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 13 titled Idolaters to be put to death.

This indicates all that has been said under section "13" apply to idolaters. In Christianity, a Hindu is an idolater because he or she worships idols.

Bible instructs Christians to Kill every one, leave no one breathing; starve, burn, destroy, kill young men, virgins, babies sucking their mothers' milk, and old men nearing their death; if you don't believe me, please feel free to check Holy Bible for yourself, I am giving you here the references and the quotes from the Bible

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 20:16 But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: 20:17 But thou shalt utterly destroy them.

Are we speaking of a butcher God?

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 32:24 *They shall be burnt with hunger, and devoured with burning heat, and with bitter destruction: I will also send the teeth of beasts upon them, with the poison of serpents of the dust. 32:25 The sword without, and terror within, shall destroy both the young man and the virgin, the suckling also with the man of gray hairs.*

How beautiful are these teachings, lovely aren't they; so very compassionate! You are sure to attain God by following these teachings; won't you? Have no doubts, ever! Would you call these exceptions? Don't you see a systematic pattern all throughout? Do you want to see lot more of how these teachings have been implemented throughout the course of history with considerable zeal and dedication towards them? Have you started getting a feel of what an Aasuric culture means? By the way, does Hinduism teach you any thing like that?

Seed of Hinduism

Now, compare these teachings of Christian Bible with comparable teachings of Hindu ManuSmriti, and experience the difference for yourself. ManuSmriti instructs the king not to use concealed weapons, barbed weapons, poisoned weapons, or weapons with blazing fire. It has to be man to man fight, battle of bravery and strength. And history is witness that this is what Hindus followed. I am not talking about fake history that was rewritten by JNU (Jawaharlal Nehru University) and AMU (Aligarh Muslim University) CommunistMarxist historians and introduced in schools of BhaaratVarsh during Nehru dynasty

Manu: The archetypal first man of Hindu mythology, survivor of the great flood and father of the human race. He is also the legendary author of one of the most famous codes of the Hindu law, the *ManuSmriti* [**Laws of Manu**], composed in Sanskrit [Oxford Dictionary, p 1127] **Archetypal** very typical of a certain kind of a person or thing [Oxford Dictionary, p 86]

Source: *Selections from Hindu Scriptures, Manu Smriti*, Prof G C Asnani, p 25

Hindu ManuSmriti 7:90 When a King fights with his foe in battle, he should **not** strike with weapons which are concealed, barbed, poisoned, or the points of which are blazing with fire

For Hindus, technology was not meant for mass killing, and that is why, they did not develop gunpowder. A race that could develop the technology for creating an alloy, which could withstand tyranny of weather for many-many centuries without a trace of rusting, do you think that race could not have developed gunpowder and guns-cannons, the weapons of mass destruction using blazing fire? I am referring to the alloy used in that huge metallic tower (iron pillar) *Garur Dhvaj*, which stands close to *Kutub Minaar* of Delhi. On this tower, we find even today, Sanskrit inscription in Braahmi script.

Hindu ManuSmriti also instructs a king not to strike an enemy who is running away for life, one whom fear has engulfed, one who seeks pardon, one who surrenders, an eunuch, one who is in sleep, one who has lost his armor, one who is naked, one who is disarmed, one who is not taking part in the fight, one who is fighting with another person, one whose weapons are broken, one who is in sorrow, one who is very badly wounded, one who is terrified. Manu Smriti instructs Hindu king to fight the battle of an honorable warrior, not of a savage one. For Hindus, each day's battle ended with sunset, and the next day's battle began with the sunrise. Muslims and Christians did not fight a battle of honorable warrior.

Source: *Selections from Hindu Scriptures, Manu Smriti*, Prof G C Asnani, p 25

Hindu ManuSmriti 7:91 Let the King **not** strike one who in flight and fear has climbed around, **not** a eunuch, **nor** one who joins the palms of his hands in supplication, **nor** one who flees with flying hair, **nor** one who sits down, **nor** one who says, "I am thine."
7:92 **Nor** one who sleeps, **nor** one who has lost his coat of mail (*armor), **nor** one who is naked, **nor** one who is disarmed, **nor** one who looks on without taking part in the fight, **nor** one who is fighting with another foe;
7:93 **Nor** one whose weapons are broken, who is afflicted with sorrow, who has been grievously wounded, who is terrified, **nor** one who is running away; he should remember the rule of conduct of an **honorable** warrior

Coat of mail a jacket covered with or composed of metal rings or plates, serving as armor [Oxford Dictionary, p 351]

Following this, Prithvi Raaj Chauhaan allowed another life to fleeing Mohammed Ghori. That Ghori, ungrateful, following barbaric

Islamic laws returned, bribed JaiChand, and captured Prithvi Raaj Chauhaan and shoved fire rods into his eyes.

However, our *ChristianizedHindus* (in other words, *Hindus raised by Christian education*) fail to understand this, because what has clouded their mind and thought process is the Christian motto 'Survival of the cunning'. Our ChristianizedHindus have come to accept that concept as more important in life than being humans. ManuSmriti instructs you to behave like humans, not barbarians.

Present day Hindus raised by Christianized education system are thoroughly ignorant of nobler Hindu thought process, and they argue in favor of Christian ways. They need to ask themselves an honest question: had this world been a better place to live if Muslims and Christians fought by the code of conduct as instituted by Manu?

Is it not quite obvious that while ManuSmriti is civilized, compassionate and humane, the Bible is barbaric and Aasuric?

Do yourself a favor and ask yourself a question, why did Christian missionaries malign Hindu Manu Smriti so much? Was it out of a deep-rooted sense of insecurity that, if the two were to be compared on same footing, the Christian Bible would look like a creation of savage people of stone age, who never graduated from their animal instincts?

Aren't animals far better? Animals certainly don't rape women who worship other gods, but Bible teaches Christians to do that! Animals do not kill infants unless they are very hungry, and there are no grown ups left, but Bible teaches Christians to do that!

Great teachings, aren't these? Your children will derive great moral values from those teachings of Christianity, won't they? You should have as much Christian influence on your children as much as you can, shouldn't you?

Isn't it why you love to send your children to English-medium Christian schools, so that they can turnout to be good Hindu born-Christian influenced citizens of the future? Would you think that time hasn't yet come to review your preferences?

Now, let us see what Hindu thinkers said, as opposed to Christian Holy Bible

Why should I say, how great Hinduism is? Let someone else say it. Why should I defend my religion? Let a Christian defend it. Let us listen to a Christian say this:

I have left to the last of the witness who might otherwise have been suspected – I mean the Hindus themselves. The whole of their literature from one end to the other is pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth. Max Muller

I doubt whether in any other of the ancient literatures of the world you will find traces of that extreme sensitiveness of conscience which despairs of our ever speaking the truth. Max Muller

I need not defend Hinduism. Let Hinduism speak for itself! Come with me, and enjoy your journey...

Hindu BhagavadGita said, God is equal to all, He has no favorites

Source: A Hindu View of the World, N S Rajaram, p 27

BhagavadGita 4:11, 9:29 - Whoever, by whatsoever path approaches me, I accept him for his salvation. All creatures great and small – I am equal to all; **I hate none**, nor have I any favorites. Those who worship other Gods with devotion, worship me.

Hindu Veds said, all paths lead to same God; this teaches us to respect other peoples' gods

Source: A Hindu View of the World, N S Rajaram, p 22

Rig'Ved I.164.46 - Cosmic reality is one, but the wise perceive it in many ways: As Indr, Mitr, Varun, Agni, mighty Garutmat, Yam, and Matarisvan – the giver of breath.

Source: A Hindu View of the World, N S Rajaram, p 27

Shivmahimna Stotr 3 - As numberless rivers following different paths – straight or zigzag – merge in the same ocean, so too the aspirants of various tastes and capacities reach thee through effort.

Hindu Veds said, let us not close our minds, let knowledge come to us from every source and inspire our intellect

Source: A Hindu View of the World, N S Rajaram, p 26

Rig'Ved I.89.1 - Let felicitous thoughts come to us from every source.

Source: A Hindu View of the World, N S Rajaram, p 24

Rig'Ved III.62.10 Gaayatri Mantr - I pay homage to the supreme grandeur of the divine light, that it may inspire our intellect.

Felicitous (core sense) well chosen or suited to the circumstances; (sub sense) pleasing and fortunate [Oxford Dictionary, p 673]

Hindu Upanishads said, may God protect all, nourish all! May we be united and do well for humanity! May we never hate one another! May there be Peace Everywhere! May all be happy, healthy, no one suffer!

Source: Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar, CD

Taittiriya Upanishad, BrahmaValli & BhriguValli, Shaanti Mantr - May the Lord protect us together! May He nourish us together! May we work together uniting our strength for the good of humanity! May our learning be luminous and purposeful! May we never hate one another! May there be peace, peace, and perfect peace.

Source: Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar, CD

Taittiriya Aranyak, 4th Prashn, Pravargya Mantrs, 42nd Anuvaak - May there be peace on earth, peace in the ether, peace in the heaven, peace in all directions, peace in fire, peace in the air, peace in the sun, peace in the moon, peace in the constellations, peace in the waters, peace in the plants and herbs, peace in trees, peace towards cattle, peace towards goats, peace towards horses, peace towards mankind, peace in the absolute Brahm, peace in those who have attained Brahm, may there be peace, only peace. May that peace be in me, peace alone! Through that peace may I confirm peace in myself, and all bipeds, and quadrupeds! May there be peace in me, peace alone.

This is the central portion of the famous *Maha Shaanti Mantr*, the great prayer for peace. As well as the final Anuvaak of the *Pravargya Mantr-s*, it is also the *Shaanti mantr* of the 5th *Prashn* of *Taittiriya Aranyak*, which is the *Brahmana* for *Pravargya*.

Source: The Student's Sanskrit English Dictionary, V S Apte, p 23, p 368

Pravargya A ceremony preliminary to the Som sacrifice.

Source: A Sanskrit English Dictionary, M Monier-Williams, p 38, p 1015

Anuvaak A chapter of the Ved, a subdivision or section.

Brahmana Each of the four Ved has two distinct parts, *Mantr* and *Brahmana*; Mantr (words of prayer and adoration), Brahmana (directions for the details of the ceremonies at which the Mantr-s were to be used and explanations...).

Source: Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar, CD

Sarve Shaam (the traditional prayer) - May good befall all! May there be peace for all! May all be fit for perfection, and may all experience that which is auspicious. May all be happy! May all be healthy! May all experience what is good and let no one suffer.

Hindu Upanishads said, respect your mother, father, guru, and guest; follow only their faultless deeds, not their faulty deeds

Source: Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar, CD

Taittiriya Upanishad, ShikshaaValli, 10th Anuvaak - Do not neglect your duties to the gods and your ancestors. May your mother be like a god unto you! May your father be like a god unto you! May your Guru be like a god unto you! May your guest be like a god unto you! Wherever you have observed faultless deeds performed, you should follow those alone, and no others. When you have seen us, your teachers, perform good actions follow those alone.

Commonly known as *ShishyaAnushaasanam*, this section contains the final Mantr-s of instruction given by the guru to his students as they prepare to leave the Aashram and enter the life of a householder.

See the very difference between Hindu thought process, and Christian thought process. Which one would you want your future generations to follow?

Hindu Upanishads and Veds said: lead me from this transitory world to God; release me from the cycle of birth & death

Source: Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar, CD

BrihadAranyak Upanishad, 1st Adhyaay, 3rd Brahmana, 28th Mantr - O Lord! Please lead me from the unreal to the real. Lead me from darkness to light. Lead me from death to immortality. May there be peace, peace, and perfect peace!

What is Real and Unreal?

Let me explain, in the context of this Mantr 'unreal' would mean transitory and 'real' would mean permanent.

Let us understand it in this way: this world is transitory, whereas God is permanent. God existed before this world came into existence. God will exist after this world ceases to be in existence.

What is Immortality?

In the context of this Mantr 'Immortality' would mean freedom from the cycle of birth and death. Let me expand it further, if a person is not born, then s/he does not die. Our soul is not born again (encased in a body) after it dissolves in the Supreme Soul. That is what we call liberation or Moksh. Having attained Moksh, if we are not required to be born again, then we effectively become immortal. This is my simple understanding of the concept.

Source: Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar, CD

Rig'Ved, Taittiriya Upanishad, Rudr mantr, 1st Kanda Maha MrityunJay Mantr - We worship Lord Shiv the three-eyed one, the one who is the master of all senses and qualities, and the one who is the sustainer of all growth. May he release us from the bondage of death as a ripened cucumber is released from its stalk, and may he (not deprive us of immortality) grant us immortality

West has lot to learn from Hinduism but that can happen only when it is out of the suffocating grip of this Aasuric religion called Christianity!

I do not wish to define term Aasuric at this stage. It will come about, but in a later work. The idea is, to first present ample examples, so that readers themselves start getting a feel without my attempting to define it!

Know Jesus better

Now, let us come to the teachings of Jesus about which you could be carrying a totally different impression depending on what you may have read or what you may have been told, but believe me there is no better place to look for them than the Christian Bible itself, and then you should look for the reflection of those teachings in the real life conducts of Christian Popes, Saints, Cardinals, Archbishops, Priests

It would not be so easy for you to break through your preconceived notions about the *Jesus Christ* but we urge you to read what Jesus himself said, as documented by two of his chief disciples, and then think it over if you must carry on with your old impressions.

We will demonstrate the hidden truth in those statements by establishing that true Christians world over religiously followed those sayings of Jesus Christ.

Thus we would want to convey that there exists a definite correlation between the thought process of Jesus and his followers.

Apostle Each of the twelve chief disciples of Jesus Christ. The twelve apostles were Peter, Andrew, James, John, Phillip, Bartholomew, **Thomas, Matthew**, James (the Less), Judas (or Thaddaeus), Simon, and Judas Iscariot. After the suicide of Judas Iscariot his place was taken by Matthias [Oxford Dictionary, p 77]

They had themselves heard the Jesus, as the saying goes, coming from the horse's mouth, leaving no scope for doubt. They independently documented the sayings of Jesus, as they heard him say from time to time. Let us look at the documentation as to what Jesus taught or intended to teach the mankind.

St Luke An evangelist, closely associated with St Paul and traditionally the **author of the third Gospel** and the Acts of the Apostles [Oxford Dictionary, p 1099]

Jesus made it very clear that you are either his friend or his enemy, no in-between

Christian Bible Matthew 12:30 He that is not with me is against me

So, you can be a Christian or enemy of Christian. This is not an exaggeration. The conducts of earlier day Christian Popes, Saints and missionaries will confirm that [details later]. Even today it is no different. The conduct of American President Bush reflects that [details later]. And the conduct of Christians in BhaaratVarsh "today" also falls in the pattern [details later].

Jesus threatened of eternal damnation to all those who did not agree with him

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 120

Jesus was the first religious teacher in history to threaten those who did not agree with him with eternal damnation. This is the only original idea that he contributed to the world's vast body of religious thought, and in two millennia it has destroyed nations and whole civilizations and caused Thomas Jefferson to declare, "The Christian God is cruel, vindictive, capricious and unjust"

Damnation condemnation to eternal punishment in hell. **Eternal** lasting or existing forever; without end or beginning. **Capricious** given to sudden and unaccountable changes of mood or behavior. **Unjust** not based on or behaving according to what is normally right and fair. **Vindictive** having or showing a strong or unreasoning desire for revenge [Oxford Dictionary p 463, p 631, p 271, p 2024, p 2062]

Jesus wanted War NOT Peace, he said it without any ambiguity, but Christians don't tell you that, so check their Holy Bible, here is the reference and the quote

Christian Bible Matthew 10:34 Think not I am come to send peace on earth: I come not to send peace, but a sword.

Jesus wanted Division NOT Peace, he said so without any ambiguity, but Christians don't tell you that, so check their Holy Bible, here is the reference and the quote.

Also think, from where did Christians learn the Divide and Rule Policy!

Christian Bible Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but rather division:

Do Christian missionaries tell you what was the true agenda of Jesus? Do you realize how Christians learned the Divide and Rule policy? They learned it from their messiah.

Jesus wanted Fire, Sword, War NOT Peace, he wanted each house Divided, Father against Son and Son against Father; he said so without any ambiguity, here is the reference and the quote. Also think, why do we have now broken families every where around?

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 76 fn

Gospel of Thomas The second century Coptic text of this Gnostic gospel, probably written in Syria, was discovered in Egypt in 1946. It contains the secret sayings of Jesus as recorded by St Thomas.

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war. For five will be in a house, there will be three against two and two against three, the father against the son and the son against the father. And they will stand because they are single ones.

Jesus Christ did succeed in bringing upon earth fire and sword. Here is an example. The scene is *Christianized BhaaratVarsh*.

Source: The Free Press Journal, 1 April 2004, front page bottom

New Delhi: Guns, knives, and hockey sticks - the list of new additions to school bags is endless. If one were to go by the findings of a recent survey, which brings out the startling fact that more and more of school going children are carrying weapons with them, reports PTI. According to Dr Ravi Prakash Gupta, pediatrician and project coordinator, Sahyog, 61 out of 850 students surveyed admitted carrying weapons like gun, knife, stick or hockey stick to educational institutions and as many as 80 adolescents said they were threatened or injured by someone with a weapon in the past 12 months.

Do Christian missionaries tell you, when they want to convert you into Christianity that Jesus Christ himself said, without any ambiguity, that he came to this earth to create hatred amongst family members? Do you see now who brought in KaliYug ('a'

pronounced as in 'rural' not as in 'arm')? If you wish to avoid the evil, you must have the ability to identify it. Do you now realize why we have broken families all over?

Ask yourself, why do we search for Peace today so badly? Why, in earlier days, humanity did not crave for peace so much? What went wrong with the advent of Christianity and Islam?

Why people speak of peace so much today? Is it because peace is not there! Aasuric religions like Christianity and Islam have practiced so much of mass killing over past 2,000 years that people are today gasping for breath and starving for peace.

Why people did not speak of peace so much in earlier days? Was it because there was peace! So, there was no need for so much talk. Civilized religion like Hinduism had taught that unwarranted killings are no good.

Hinduism taught that you must defend yourself but must not kill for the sake of killing. But this Christianity, through its Christian education system taught Gandhi, Nehru and the like the opposite, who in turn misguided Hindus. Gandhi taught Hindus that they should give up their right to self-defense [details later]. Nehru taught Hindus that they were a worthless lot [details later]. Net result, Hindus went into Coma!

Jesus wanted Enmity amongst family members, he said so without any ambiguity; he wanted man's own family as his enemy, he wanted son against father, he wanted daughter against mother, he wanted daughter in law against mother in law. Do Christian missionaries tell you that? No, they don't. So check their Holy Bible, here is the reference and the quote.

Also, think why we have so many disturbances today within each family itself!

Christian Bible Matthew 10:35 "For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against the mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. 10:36 And a man's foe shall be they of his own household."

Jesus wanted the institution of family destroyed threadbare, he wanted every house divided, he wanted father against son, he wanted son against father, he wanted

mother against daughter, he wanted daughter against mother, he wanted mother in law against daughter in law, he wanted daughter in law against mother in law. He said so in simple language, so don't find excuses with interpretation! He said so without any ambiguity, so don't try to find another clever interpretation. He used simple English a child would understand, so don't play with words. It is crystal clear but Christians don't tell you that, so check their Holy Bible, here is the reference and the quote.

You must realize one fundamental truth: if you are going to respect evil, you will turn evil yourself in a matter of time. And that is precisely what has happened. Remember that evil gradually destroys good, if good does not try to protect itself

Christian Bible Luke 12:52 For from henceforth there shall be five in one house divided, three against two, and two against three. 12:53 The *father* shall be divided against the *son*, and the *son* against the *father*; the *mother* against the *daughter*, and the *daughter* against the *mother*; the *mother in law* against her *daughter in law*, and the *daughter in law* against her *mother in law*.

Why today there is so much discord in each family? This is because, you learn to live in accordance with the values you come to respect. You don't have to preach those values; your conducts themselves reflect the values you have come to adopt.

Jesus makes it pretty clear that you must learn to hate your father, mother, brothers, and sisters if you want to be his disciple. He does not mince words. He does not say things indirectly. He says it straight and simple

Gospel of Thomas 56 Jesus said: He who will not hate his *father* and his *mother* cannot be my disciple. And he who will not hate his *brothers* and *sisters*, and carry his cross as I have, will not become worthy of me.

Naturally, Christian children cannot be expected to do any better. By the time they grow up and become financially independent they have hardly any respect or compassion left for their parents. Children leave parents no sooner than they become sixteen and often care not, and parents are also becoming pretty much the same. No wonder Christian societies of the West witness disintegrating family system so quickly!

Now see the effect of teachings of Jesus on present day Hindu society

Hinduism taught and practiced the opposite for thousands of years. But now, with many generations of predominant Christian education system prevailing in BhaaratVarsh, our Hindu society has been showing the very similar destructive tendencies. Such is the effect of bad company for too long.

Our Hindu children are becoming 'Christianized' but we think they are becoming 'Westernized'. When we identify the cause with a wrong name we go looking for solution at wrong places!

We do not realize that Western is essentially Christian [details later]. And Christianity is based on hatred. But by false propaganda, they have made us believe that Christianity is based on peace, love and service to humanity [details later].

They have a reason to do so. They know it too well that if the true face of Christianity is in the out, people would simply kick it away. To keep the mass appeal they put a mask but they carry on with their real plans. It is you who is fooled.

Jesus said let rich and powerful become richer and more powerful; let poor and weak become poorer and weaker

Source: *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n

Gospel of Thomas 42 Jesus said: He who has (something) in his hand, to him it will be given; and he who has nothing, from him even the little he has will be taken away.

That is precisely what Christian nations had been doing all the while. How else today's predominantly Christian America maintains its economic supremacy? Naturally by selling arms and ammunitions to third-world countries, creating animosity amongst them through undercover operations, keep them fighting, and eyeing for Iran Iraq's oil-wealth.

Jesus wanted to turn a Woman into a man because only then she could go to heaven

Source: *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n

Gospel of Thomas 112 Simon Peter said to them: Let Mariham go away from us. For women are not worthy of life. Jesus said: Lo, I will draw her so that I will make her a man so that she too may

become a living spirit, which is like you men; for every woman who makes herself a man will enter into the kingdom of heaven.

Jesus says that he would turn a woman into a man so that she too can become a living spirit. In other words, a woman is not a living spirit - she is probably a ghost?

Who was Saint Thomas?

An Apostle; known as Doubting Thomas. He earned his nickname by saying that he would not believe that Christ had risen again until he had seen and touched his wounds (John 20:24-9) Oxford Dictionary, p 1928

Perhaps Thomas knew Jesus better or else, he would not have doubted Jesus' ability to rise from the grave. He must have known what Jesus really was, and what he was truly capable of. World today has been fooled, but Thomas was probably not.

Do you find it pretty difficult to believe all this stuff? I wouldn't have believed all this a year ago because then I hadn't checked the Bible myself. You can check Bible for yourself because I have given in this book all references with each quote from Jesus

It is very much possible that you may find hard to digest many of such evidences that I shall produce gradually, because your mind has been preprogrammed by massive propaganda that Christianity is a religion of peace and love, and service to the humanity.

This image building had been necessary to cover up the real face of their operations worldwide, particularly in third-world countries.

Enormous amounts of money have gone into that face-lifting operation and you will know of its magnitude from the statistics that we will reproduce from authentic *Christian sources*. Today, you do not have to purchase the mass media. All you have to do is to hire an advertising position, or rent a time slot in a television channel and broadcast all that you need. Media is in the business to sell. Christianity is in the business to buy, the way they buy new Christians from poor segments of the society. These segments have been made poor by Christianity's cunning maneuvers. You will learn about them through my works.

Demolishing your preconceived notions is the first necessity; only thereafter, your vision will penetrate through the smoke screen, and only then, you will be able to look beyond.

Whatever you have read so far, would you call them Divine teachings or, barbaric teachings? It is for you to decide!

Now you need to see how well those teachings have percolated down to the real-life conducts of Christian Popes, Christian Saints, Christian Cardinals, Christian Archbishops, and conducts of Christian Converts from Hinduism. Such is the powerful magic of Christian thought process!

All you need to do is to continue the journey with me through the pages of this work, and other works to follow soon, in print ... This 'one work' alone may be inadequate to tear off the mask!

The budding Plant of Christianity

Christianity began with the motive of Imperialism, much later it covered its face with the mask of service-to-humanity-brand religion

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, pp 121-122

Ishwar Sharan: Christianity, and especially Roman Christianity, has very little to do with religious faith. It is, and has always, been a system of imperialist politics and financial racketeering practiced under the guise of religion.

Find hard to believe? Naturally, I could not blame you. Our mind has been preprogrammed by false propaganda. Much the same way they turn people Communist, by filling their heads from the very childhood, calling untruth as truth. First let us establish that it is so, and then we will tell you, in one of our subsequent publications, how the whole process of camouflage began.

Let us see how this definition fits Christianity? For that, first we need to critically examine:

What is *imperialism*?

What is *politics*?

Imperialism A policy of extending a country's power and influence through colonization, use of military force, or other means. Oxford Dictionary, p 916

Let us see how Christianity established itself by use of Military Force. For that, let us look at the life and conduct of 1st Pope of Christianity, some 1,700 years ago.

Let us see how Christianity spread its wings through Colonization. For that, let us look at the life and conduct of Pope Alexander VI (1492-1503) of Christianity.

Let us see how Christianity continues to spread its wings by Other Means. For that, let us look at the Christianity's Postwar World Policy extracted from Christian sources [details later]

Colonize (of a country or its citizens) send a group of settlers to (a place) and establish political control over it. Come to settle among and establish political control over (the indigenous people of an area). Appropriate (a place or domain) for one's own use. Oxford Dictionary, p 361

Politics The activities associated with the governance of a country or area, especially the debate or conflict between individuals or parties having or hoping to *achieve power*. Activities within an organization which are aimed at improving someone's status or position and are typically considered to be *devious* or *divisive*. Oxford Dictionary, p 361

My different works will provide you with ample testimony of:

How Christianity *achieves power*

How *devious* are the ways of Christianity

How *divisive* are the acts of Christianity

But, it will be a very different perspective than that we are so much used to hearing and reading so often. In my own special way, I shall try to help you understand clearly the validity of the above definition given by Ishwar Sharan.

Breaking myths and destroying preconceived notions is my objective in writing these books. These notions are the result of a systematic preprogramming of Bhaaratiya psyche, which has been achieved through a tenacious effort over the past few centuries. As it happens with every Aquarian effort, the effect of these writings will not be felt soon. It will take many years before the truth in these statements will become fully visible to the masses.

Introduce yourself to the 1st Supreme Spiritual Leader of Christianity, 1st Pope Pontifex Maximus Constantine who killed his son, his wife, son of his sister, and a number of his friends; this was the quickest way for him to attain Christian God; incidentally, what would you expect his

followers to be like? Don't you expect them to follow his footsteps?

Let us look at how Christianity began; who the 1st Pope of Christianity was, and what his conducts were like; this should tell you lot more about the true character of this religion!

Joseph McCabe tells us the horrific story of how Christianity was imposed on the Empire; Emperor Constantine assumed the position of *Pontifex Maximus* - Christianity's 1st Pope.

Source: Joseph McCabe, The Testament of Christian Civilization, quoted in The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, Ishwar Sharan, p 45 notes

Joseph McCabe: Constantine, natural son of a rural tavern-girl ... and a Roman officer, waded through **rivers of blood** to the throne, and he was driven from Rome to Constantinople by the scorn of the Romans because he **put to death**, first his excellent ... **son**, and then the **son** of his sister, a boy of promising character, then his **wife** and a number of **friends**.' This summary statement of a terrible crime, which Eutropius makes ... is confirmed by St Jerome ... and now **not disputed**.

Emperor Constantine ... patronized the new cult for political reasons and became its savior when he called the Council of Nicca in 325 C. E., where Christianity was officially recognized in the Empire.

He retained the title and position of **Pontifex Maximus** during his **lifetime** and can be called Christianity's **first pope**, as the bishop of Rome, whom he elevated, would assume this office after him.

Pontifex Maximus (in the Roman Catholic Church) a title of the Pope Oxford Dictionary, p 1440

1st Pope killed his son!

1st Pope killed his wife!

1st Pope killed son of his sister!

1st Pope killed number of friends!

1st Pope waded through rivers of blood to the throne!

If this was the character of the founder of the religion what would you expect from the followers of that religion?

Learn for yourself those teachings of Jesus and Moses, which shaped the killer character of the 1st Pope, and you can verify them if you have a Holy Bible

Christian Bible Matthew 12: 30 He that is not with me is against me

Christian Bible Matthew 10:34 Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword.

Christian Bible Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but rather division:

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war. For five will be in a house; there will be three against two and two against three, the father against the son and the son against the father. And they will stand because they are single ones.

Christian Bible Matthew 10:35 For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against the mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. 10:36 And a man's foe shall be they of his own household.

Christian Bible Luke 12:52 For from henceforth there shall be five in one house divided, three against two, and two against three. 12:53 The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law against her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law.

Christian Bible 14:26 If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple.

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 13 Idolaters to be put to death 13:6 If thy brother, the son of thy mother, or thy son, or thy daughter, or the wife of thy bosom, or thy friend, which is as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and serve other Gods, which thou hast not known, nor thy fathers; 13:7 Namely, of the gods of the people which are round about you, nigh unto thee, or far off from thee, from the one end of the earth even unto the other end of the earth; 13:8 Thou shalt not consent unto him, nor hearken unto him; neither shall thine eye pity him, neither shalt thou spare, neither shalt thou conceal him: 13:9 But thou shalt surely kill him; thine hand shalt be first upon him to put him to death, and afterwards the hands of all people. 13:10 And thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die; because he hath sought to thrust thee away from the LORD thy God

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 20:16 But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: 20:17 But thou shalt utterly destroy them

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 32:24 They shall be burnt with hunger, and devoured with burning heat, and with bitter destruction: I will also send the teeth of beasts upon them, with the poison of serpents of the dust. 32:25 The sword without, and terror within, shall destroy both the young man and the virgin, the suckling also with the man of gray hairs.

If these were the teachings of the two Messiahs of that religion, which guided and shaped the killer character of the 1st Pope, what would you expect from that religion? Does this feel like a divine religion to you, or an Aasuric religion?

No doubt 1st Pope was the true follower of Christ and Moses. He was the worthy representative for establishing the political empire under the guise of religion.

Wouldn't you expect the exemplary character of the first supreme spiritual leader of Christianity would naturally percolate down to the followers of Christianity?

So we have seen who the first supreme religious leader of Christianity was. His character and conduct would naturally percolate down to his followers.

After all, who becomes a follower? One who admires the leader! This admiration inspires one to fall into the footsteps of the leader. Therefore, do not expect the innate character of the followers to be much different from that of the leaders and messiahs!

So it would now be clear to you that Christianity did not start as a spiritual religion but as a political movement, having later put on the mask of a religion to protect itself from rejection

It would be apparent that Christianity did not start as a spiritual religion. It started as a political movement given the shape of a religion.

This was the beginning and we will see how it continued. If this were to be the only instance in the history of Christianity, one could possibly argue that it was an exception. But if we find this happening rather too often then many questions will arise.

Question may also arise if this state of affairs continues till date. We will offer answer to that as well, if you continue your journey with me.

Well, here is yet another Supreme Spiritual Leader of Christianity, Pope Alexander VI, who had sex with his daughter, poisoned his cardinals to get their wealth, bought and sold church privileges, and organized in his palace, wild parties with excessive drinking and indiscriminate sexual activities; he was the great spiritual head of

Christianity who showed his followers the way to Christian God; have you thought, what would be his followers like? Won't they be like him?

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 79 fn

This Vicar of Christ was known as Alexander the Scabrous and ruled from 1492 to 1503. Joseph McCabe in *A Testament of Christian Civilization* writes, "He brought into Italy [from Spain] an unscrupulous brood of relatives, the Borgias, who spread graft and depravity on all sides and opened the vilest page in history of higher authorities of any known religion."

He played vicious power politics, practiced simony, held famous public orgies in the Apostolic Palace, committed **incest** with his **daughter**, went **whoring** with his **son**, **poisoned** his **cardinals** to get their wealth, and himself died of poisoning.

The legend on his triumphal arch read 'Chastity and Charity'.

Vicar of Christ a title of the Pope [p 2058] **Apostolic** of or relating to the Pope [p 78] **Cardinals** are nominated by the Pope, and from the Sacred College, which elects succeeding popes (now invariably from among their own member) [p 275] **Ecclesiastical** of or relating to the Christian Church or its clergy [p 585] **Incest** the crime of having sexual intercourse with a parent, child, sibling, or grandchild [p 922] **Orgies** a wild party, especially one involving excessive drinking and indiscriminate sexual activity [p 1308] **Simony** buying or selling of ecclesiastical privileges, for example pardons or benefices [p 1736] Oxford Dictionary

Pope had sex with his daughter

Pope poisoned his Cardinals to get their wealth

Pope bought and sold church privileges

Pope, in his palace, organized wild parties with excessive drinking and indiscriminate sexual activities

If the supreme spiritual leader is like this, what would you expect of his followers down the line? Do not get carried away by what they have to show, look at what they do. That would best explain them. What does these all tell us about Christian Popes? That Christian Popes did have great characters! And, they were not so much religious/spiritual heads as they were political heads. Let us see more of it.

Now you have the same great spiritual character, Christian Pope Alexander VI, who officially proclaimed dominion over the whole World by a papal edict of 1493, and Columbus, Vasco da Gama sailed in search of sea route to the land of extraordinary riches, BhaaratVarsh.

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, pp 79-80

C B Firth: In a famous bull of 1493 Pope Alexander VI, to settle rivalry between Spain and Portugal, the two colonial powers of those days, drew a line down the map of the Atlantic ocean south of the Azores Islands to form a boundary between their respective spheres of influence.

All lands **not already under Christian rule** 'discovered or yet to be discovered' to the west of the line, he assigned to Spain, those to the east, to Portugal.

Along with this fantastic enactment went a command to Spanish and Portuguese kings 'to send to the said lands and islands good men who fear God and are learned, skilled and expert, to instruct the inhabitants in the Catholic faith and good morals'. Moreover, other foreigners were forbidden to enter those lands without license from these kings. Whatever may be thought nowadays of such orders, the Spaniards and Portuguese were prepared to act on them; and not only in claiming and exercising, as far as they were able, rights of dominion and trade; they were seriously prepared to **propagate Christianity.**

Bull a papal edict [p 239] **Edict** an official order or proclamation issued by a person in authority [p 588] Oxford Dictionary

So what we see here is that the Christian Pope divided this world as if it were his property. He not only divided the known world but also that part of the world, which had not been discovered by his people yet. Columbus and Vasco da Gama set their sails in search of undiscovered lands of riches!

Now what would you call it: Spirituality or Imperialistic Politics? If it were only one instance you might want to argue that it was an exception. But no, it was not, as we will see that the same tendency of imperialism exists even till today. And it is not only tendency but it is now a very well defined policy. The story will unfold as we progress through this work.

This is how the rivalry began between Christians. "All lands not already under Christian rule 'discovered or yet to be discovered' to the west of the line, he assigned to Spain, those to the east, to Portugal."

With this famous bull of 1493 the quest for the richest land 'BhaaratVarsh' began. Columbus set his sails but as he was to sail west of the line, he landed in what we call Americas today. Vasco da Gama set his sails and as he was to explore the east of

the line, he landed in what we call India today. BhaaratVarsh was then called a 'golden bird' and Columbus and Vasco da Gama were both looking for the limitless riches of this country. Vasco was lucky. So Portuguese came, Dutch came, French came and came the British. When ChristianBritish left BhaaratVarsh, what legacy did they leave behind? They made the world's known richest nation amongst one of the poorest. That was their greatest contribution to the humanity.

Finally, it were the ChristianBritish who made their greatest contribution to the humanity by turning the known richest nation in the world into one of the poorest, in a matter of 190 years (1757-1947); here is an interesting symbolic analogy to the ways in which they operated

I had gone to bed early with a throbbing headache. For quite some time my face (that was the only portion of my body that lay uncovered) was being assaulted by mosquitoes. Finally, I got up and came out of the mosquito net, *which I had thought of as a safe shelter when I had gone inside it*, few hours ago.

I put on the light and went back inside to inspect. To my surprise there were some fifteen-twenty of them clinging to the interior walls of the net! Most of them seemed plump and flush with fresh blood, *which reminded me of my own blood that they sucked through the night while I slept*.

I decided to take a pick on them. I hit at one clamping my both palms. As my palms struck each other there was noise created by the strike. *I opened my palms to ensure that it wasn't an empty strike leading to a self-deceptive feel of the kill*. My palms did have bloodstain; fresh blood, now from the belly of the dead mosquito, *which happened to be my own blood that it had sucked a bit by bit through the course of the night*. I did not want bloodstain on my hand. I wiped them on the bed sheet and now the sheet, with the fresh bloodstain, bore the evidence of the killing I just made. Now my hands were clean though there was a trace of dried blood on them and the frail body of the dead mosquito was now lying somewhere on the sheet, which I had no interest in. So, I focused on the next bloodsucker and struck again. Same story this time. I went on taking a pick at the third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, and so on.

I wonder how so many of them had found entry into my safe

heavens of the mosquito net? But there was no denial that they were there, fooling my security system, and apparently they were there for quite some time, or else they would not be so flush with fresh blood of my body.

I also realized now that they would have injected into my body, me quite unknowingly about it, some kind of disease (be it dengue fever or malaria or anything) into my blood stream, which will probably reveal itself in course of time.

While writing these lines, suddenly I was struck with the similarity of Macaulay having injected the English Christian Education system, in a conspiratorial manner without the conscious knowledge of unsuspecting Hindu about its hidden motive, which has now assumed the proportion of a disease having no antidote available to Hindu!

Anyhow, let me go back to the story of my taking a pick at each one of them, one by one. Every time, I made a killing, I wiped my hands clean, so that by next killing I should know that I did make a fresh killing. I did not want to carry the dead bodies and bloodstain of some of them on my hands *only to be fooled with every new hit that I made a killing, whereas what lay on my hands was the dead body and blood stain of one of those whom I had killed earlier.* So by this method of wiping my hands clean after every new pick, *I was certain about which hits resulted into corrective action and which failed.*

I spoke of corrective action because elimination of these bloodsuckers was essentially a corrective measure that I ought to have taken much earlier. But, in my apathy I let them suck plenty of my blood through the course of the entire night. While I had a disturbed sleep all through night, it was my laziness that did not allow me to get up until now and get cracking, and that made me pay the price in terms of loosing my blood through the night, but more importantly having allowed them to have injected into my blood stream all those diseases all through the night.

Not that all of them were visibly flush with my blood in their belly, though most of them were, yet I finally took a pick on the slender ones too, because I realized that if I leave them in my company for longer and go back to sleep, they would turn plump with my fresh blood as I shall sleep again!

By the time I finished my task on hand, I was wide awake, and I

came out of the net to wash my hands. That is when I suddenly remembered the ChristianBritish! And that made me to start the computer and get playing on the keyboard, as I would lose these thoughts if I were to leave the job undone for another time. So this is it, the story of the early morning killings that I made, and as I see now it is 6:14 AM on the task bar, and the computer date shows Thursday 29 January 2004.

The old British lady in Toronto had told me that there was a time when 'Dogs and British were not allowed' in Canadian homes; I wish I had asked why they did so?

Speaking of the ChristianBritish, I remember of my first job in Canada. It was early part of 1996 [February to June]. I was working for a firm of Chartered Accountants. The reception was handled by an elderly lady in her late 50's. She had settled in Canada for quite some time but couldn't forget her earlier days. During these four-five months she must have narrated this story to me probably four-five times. She mentioned of her earlier days when she came from Britain and settled in Canada. She spoke of many Canadian homes with a plate outside, which read "British and Dogs are not allowed". While I felt surprise at it, I also felt a bit amused, because I remembered having read that ChristianBritish homes in UK used to have similar plates with only one difference. That is, they read "Dogs and Indians are not allowed". Beyond this, I never thought in those days while in Canada. But now I see another perspective in the whole story. It occurs to me that ChristianBritish treated Bhaaratiya Hindus as their slaves and they called us Coolie.

Coolie *offensive* a person from the Indian subcontinent; a person of Indian descent; *origin* probably associated with Urdu *Kuli* 'slave'. Oxford Dictionary, p 404

When Barrister Gandhi boarded in first class compartment with a valid ticket, he was physically thrown out with his baggage as a Coolie was not supposed to travel in a first class compartment. Being kind of slaves, we were not quite humans to the ChristianBritish as Jews were not to Romans. So, it is understandable that they put such boards outside their homes that 'Dogs and Indians are not allowed'.

However, I did not quite understand then as to what made Canadians (I wouldn't know now if they were British Canadians or native Canadians; I wish I had asked her) put such boards in front of their houses 'Dogs and British are not allowed'. But now

I think, I understand. The kind of parasite the ChristianBritish had been (having sucked lifeblood of various nations and that too by treachery and deception) it couldn't be much of a surprise that many earlier day Canadians preferred to restrict British entry inside their personal property.

I guess, Canadians suspected that they would never know when the British would be 'in' and the Canadian would be 'out' of the house! That is precisely what happened in BhaaratVarsh. Christians came with Bible in hand on our land. Now we have Bible in our hand, and they have our land! For your information, Church is the biggest single landowner in BhaaratVarsh today, and this information is from Christian sources.

So, beware of the Christians, and among Christians, the ChristianBritish in particular. British were more Christian than any other European nation of that time. We will explain that soon, as to why I say so, when we come to some more historical documentation afterwards in a different context.

Here comes the time for Christian American imperialism, now that the Christian British Sun has set, hopefully forever

After Christian British it is now the time of Christian American imperialism. It works in two ways, one that is happening now in Iraq, and the other that is happening in BhaaratVarsh. In Iraq it was use of force and in BhaaratVarsh it is use of money that 'buys' new Christians.

But there is a big difference. In Iraq it is US government that is after it for its oil wealth, in BhaaratVarsh it is US Christian empire that is after it for its human wealth.

There is another difference. What President Bush is doing will have a temporary effect and it is visible. What Christian empire is doing is long lasting and not easily visible. It is a dangerous game, not only limited to conversion. And I do not call it Christian empire for nothing: it can buy BhaaratVarsh, Pakistan and BanglaDesh put together. We will provide the statistics later.

But there is yet another aspect. What President Bush is doing is partly visible. His greed for Iraq's oil wealth is not a secret any more. But does he have a deeper motive? Do we know how much of US government funds that are directed in a covert manner towards conversion of Bhaaratiya Hindus in to Christianity? No,

we do not have the statistics. Charge it to Defense budget and no one will ever know, nor will anyone question!

It is very important that we Hindus know history of Christianity better. Then only we will be able to see things in perspective.

For too long we have respected evil because evil has been presented to us as noble! By respecting evil for too long we have ourselves turned evil by imbibing their hidden qualities. A bad company is essentially bad though howsoever attractive it may seem!

Let us return to Vasco da Gama, who came with blessings of Pope Alexander VI to instruct Hindus in the Catholic faith and to teach Hindus good morals; but Vasco da Gama's own conduct reflected total absence of any moral whatsoever; he was a worthy follower of the Pope to whom you have already been introduced

Christian Pope Alexander VI in his famous order of 1493 instructed Spanish and Portuguese kings 'to send to the said lands and islands good men who fear God and are learned, skilled and expert, to instruct the inhabitants in the Catholic faith and good morals'. So, we now have a supposedly good Christian man who feared God and came to teach Hindus about Catholic faith and good morals. His name happened to be Vasco da Gama. Pope wanted such good men to spread Christianity world over.

Was Pope speaking of 'good men' like himself? Obviously yes! You have read the exemplary character of the Christian Pope himself. Now visualize what havoc such 'good men' would have played when they landed in BhaaratVarsh. Let us start with the example of Vasco da Gama who discovered sea route to BhaaratVarsh through Arabian Sea.

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 64

Vasco da Gama arrived at Calicut in 1498 with the help of an Arab pilot. He was clever navigator and one of history's most brutal men.

I remember having read in school that Vasco da Gama discovered BhaaratVarsh after Columbus failed and that, both had sailed for untold riches that the then 'golden bird' BhaaratVarsh promised them. But I have no memory of having ever been told that Vasco

da Gama was a barbarian, worse than an animal, who had no ethics.

Source: Sita Ram Goel, *Papacy: Its Doctrine and History*, quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 64

Vasco da Gama had bombarded Calicut when the Zamorin ruler of that place refused to be dictated by him. He had plundered the ships bringing rice to the city and cut off the ears, noses and hands of the crews.

The Zamorin had sent to him a Brahmin envoy **after securing Portuguese safe-conduct**. Vasco da Gama had **cut off** the nose, ears and hands of the Braahman and strung them **around his neck** together with a palm-leaf on which a message was conveyed to the Bhaaratiya king that he could **cook and eat a curry made from his envoy's limbs**.

Now, compare this with Hindu traditions. When we read our *Raamaayan* or *MahaaBhaarat* or any other Hindu history we find so often that a *doot* [King's envoy] always enjoyed immunity and it need not be specifically asked for, it was taken for granted as a policy.

Now we see a case where Vasco da Gama explicitly agreed for safety of the envoy and did not keep his own word. Did this 'Christian God fearing good man' have any ethics? When leaders are like this what would you expect the followers to be like?

Centuries ago these characters came to teach morals to Hindus

and till today they teach those very morals to Hindus through their Christianized education system. We have not been able to throw out Christian education system as yet because the policy makers, administrators and monitors of our education system are the loyal products of that system itself. They not only protect that system but also encourage it as well, through all necessary financial support it needs, directly and indirectly.

Now let us meet a Saint of Christianity, the saintly Francis Xavier, who came to BhaaratVarsh, accepted from Hindu king of Quilon a large grant for building churches; then converted entire Hindu villages into Christianity in one stroke, and then got Hindu temples pulled down and Hindu idols broken, and to top it all, he wrote to the Society of Jesus that he could not describe in words what kind of joy he felt in watching the spectacle of pulling down Hindu temples and destroying Hindu idols; incidentally, what would you expect his followers to be like?

Another supposedly good Christian man who, also feared God and came to teach Hindus about Catholic faith and good morals. His name was Francis Xavier. Christians call him a Saint!

Saint (core sense) a person acknowledged as holy or virtuous and typically regarded as being in heaven after death Oxford Dictionary p 1637

So, what we understand from this Oxford Dictionary definition of a saint is that such a person is holy, presumably in thoughts and action, and also goes to heaven after death. And this tells us that, in Christianity, you go to heaven if you cheat the way St Xavier did, that is pulling down Hindu temples in return of an obligation towards the Hindu king from whom he received a large grant to build Christian church. So, you see that betrayal and disloyalty is in the blood of Christianity, and holy men of Christianity are shining examples of such betrayal. These holy men of Christianity show the way to the rest of the masses in ChristianWorld how to betray and deceive people of other faiths. These are the good morals of Christian saints, which all Christians are expected to follow. Therefore, please learn to beware of these holy men of Christianity in preservation of self interests because if you do not, they will stab you from your back, and you will never know what hit you. There is another thing that you need to learn from the above Oxford Dictionary definition. That is, if you are a Christian, and particularly a Christian Saint, you must destroy

other peoples' temples to find a place for yourself in the heaven, specially made for Christians.

When Christians call him a Saint, an average Christian will try to become like him, and even if they cannot become one like him, they will at least try to become a fraction of what he was like. Now, if all these millions of Christians in BhaaratVarsh become even a fraction of what their respected one Xavier was like, then all these Bhaaratiya Christians put together can become a colossal mass of another Saint like Francis Xavier. To understand that combined force of Bhaaratiya Christian strength, we have to first know the character of their respected one called a Saint by the name Francis Xavier.

Francis Xavier 1506-52 Spanish Catholic missionary; known as the Apostle of the Indies. One of the original seven Jesuits, from 1540 he travelled to Southern India, Shri Lanka, Malacca, the Moluccas, and Japan, making thousands of converts Oxford Dictionary p 2135

The name of St Xavier happens to be well respected in our country. Like many others I had believed he must be a saint as his name starts with that word, and there are so many educational institutions dedicated to his name all over the country where our Hindu children receive their education. We therefore need to know more about such a great person and his character a little better than we do today, for these schools never tell us the truth.

Source: Sita Ram Goel, *St Francis Xavier*, quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 80

Xavier wrote in a letter to the **Society of Jesus**, "Following the baptisms, the new Christians return to their homes and come back with their wives and families to be in their turn also prepared for baptism. After all have been baptized, I order that everywhere the temples of the false gods be pulled down and idols broken.

I know not how to describe in words **the joy I feel** before the

spectacle of pulling down and **destroying the idols** by the very people who formerly worshipped them.”

Xavier did this after the Hindu raja of Quilon had given him a large grant to build churches.

It would appear from their conducts that Christian Saints do not possess the quality of being faithful to those who have done them a favor! Hindu king gave him large grant to build churches, but he had Hindu temples pulled down, and he felt immense joy in doing that. During my childhood I had read a story. There was a lion who had a nail stuck in his foot and he was in pain but could do nothing about it. A passerby man took the nail out and relieved him from the pain. Later the king got the lion caught and the man for whatever reason, I do not remember. The lion was kept hungry for few days and then the man was sent to his cage. The hungry lion was expected to eat away the man alive, but he did nothing of this sort, for he remembered the small favor the man had once done to him. Saint of Christianity, name Francis Xavier, did not have similar high ethical standards as the beast of the jungle. Being a Saint of Christianity he was beyond all those petty things. He accepted liberal grant from Hindu king for building churches and then when he found himself powerful enough, he got Hindu temples pulled down by Hindu converts in to Christianity!

The word saint is attached great importance in Hinduism. Hindu saints have been spiritual leaders. Now we need to understand what they exactly mean by 'Saint' in Christianity. If this were to be the quality and character of the Saints in Christianity, then what would be the character of those who would follow such saints? Soon through the pages of this book, we will get to see the characters of present day Bhaaratiya Christians, as reflected through their conducts, in similar situations.

Hindu Raja of Quilon gave Xavier a large grant to build churches. After taking the grant, Xavier converted Hindus into Christianity. Then Xavier got Hindu temples pulled down by these very Christian

converts. Xavier wrote to Society of Jesus that he could not describe in words the joy he felt watching this! *Now, in memory of that St Xavier we have numerous education institutions in Mumbai and other places in BhaaratVarsh. He is the ideal for many Christians, who try to become like him, if the circumstances permit. Where Hindus are in majority, they do not show up their true color but in North East, where Hindus have been systematically turned into a minority, their true character is often revealed.* However, Christian educated media refrains from reporting these in Hindu dominated areas. As a result, Hindus in those places remain quite oblivious of the impending threat.

Now, let us come to understand why St Xavier wrote to the Society of Jesus about his immense hatred towards Hindu Braahmans, and let us also understand what gave rise to the historical conspiracy of launching massive anti-Braahman propaganda, of which full benefit was taken by the later day Christian Missionary schools all over BhaaratVarsh to inject venom against Hindu Braahmans into unsuspecting minds of Hindu children, an activity which was continued with whole hearted enthusiasm by Christian educated Hindus, and later day Communist Marxist thinkers who were products of Nehru dynasty

Source: Sita Ram Goel, *St Francis Xavier*, quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 80

Xavier wrote in another letter to the **Society of Jesus**, "There are in these parts among the pagans a class of men called Braahmans. They are as perverse and wicked a set as can anywhere be found, and to whom applies the Psalm, which says: 'From an unholy race, and wicked and crafty men, deliver me, Lord.'

If it were not for the Braahmans, we should have all the heathens embracing our faith."

Heathen chiefly derogatory a person who does not belong to a widely held religion (especially one who is not a Christian, Jew, or Muslim) as regarded by those who do. A follower of a polytheistic religion: a pagan. Heathen people collectively, especially in biblical use) those who did not worship the God of Israel. An unenlightened person; a person regarded as lacking culture or moral principles
Oxford Dictionary p 848

This is why Christian missionaries hated Hindu Braahmans so much. Hindus had faith in Braahmans and they would not want to get converted into Christianity so easily. This is why Christianity embarked upon a massive anti-Braahman propaganda, the historical facts whereof we will bring to you, through other works because this is not the right place to expose that conspiracy. Later day Communist intellectuals, who wanted control over Hindu minds, turned this Christian missionary initiated campaign into full-scale war on Braahmans. More than that, they blackened Hindu history of Braahmans on purpose, and that too by fabrication of history. I am aware that it will not be an easy task, demolishing the preprogrammed mind-set that has been developed over generations through misleading teaching and massive propaganda. But whatever worth it is, I have to give it a try for the sake of truth; Truth that has been bruised over and again through centuries. Their crime towards Hinduism is not at one front but on several fronts. They have made untruth look like truth and simultaneously they have made truth look like untruth. This is a crime towards history and humanity as well. Therefore, it must be exposed and we must see them in their true colors. *There is no reason for us to feel inferior, which we have been made to feel for centuries. They should feel inferior and guilty because it is them who have perpetrated crime against humanity.*

St Xavier wrote to the Society of Jesus that if it were not for the Braahmans, he would have converted all Hindus into Christianity. Hindus trusted Braahmans more than they trusted St Xavier. This was the beginning of massive propaganda against Braahmans. This is a long story and there will be another book on it to show you how history is fabricated and human emotions are manipulated through generations. Today's convent educated Bhaaratiya elite keep emulating Francis Xavier in their hatred towards Hindu Braahmans, which is often evident from their writings. *Worst of all, ChristianizedHindus, the products of Christian missionary education, who predominantly reign over the mass media, keep injecting the venom through their scripts in movies, television serials, etc. This is done in small doses with consistent repetition that it leaves definitive impressions on the*

minds of the audience, and the common people do not quite realize what is being done to their thought process, gradually and consistently. This technique of brainwashing is quite popular with Christians and Communists, and they resort to it in large scale to turn Hindus first into indifferent Hindus, then into ChristianizedHindus, and then into anti-Hindus. Finally, they hope to turn them Christian one day.

Now, familiarize yourself with different categories of Christian Swamis and learn about their Aasuric character, which should tell you what makes Christianity an Aasuric religion

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 65

They [Christian Portuguese] came with canon and a papal mandate to instruct the inhabitants of the land in the Catholic faith ... their arrival and that of the first Jesuit missionary Francis Xavier in 1542 turned Christianity in BhaaratVarsh into a **violent and destructive political force that continues to operate in the country till today.**

Jesuits a member of the Society of Jesus, a Roman Catholic order of priests founded by St Ignatius Loyola, St Francis Xavier, and others in 1534, to do missionary work. The order was zealous in opposing reformation. Despite periodic persecution it has retained an important influence in Catholic thought and education Oxford Dictionary p 981

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 80

K A Nilakanta Shastri: The Franciscan friars and Jesuits were busy demolishing temples and building churches in the coastal cities, and the Portuguese governor of Goa was reported to be organizing a plundering raid against the rich temples of Kaanchipuram.

Franciscan a friar, sister, or lay member of a Christian religious order founded in 1209 by St. Francis of Assisi or based on its rule, and noted for its preachers and missionaries [p 727] **Friar** a member

of any of certain religious orders of men, specially the four *mendicant* orders (Augustinians, Carmelites, Dominicans, and Franciscans) [p 733] **Mendicant** of or denoting one of religious orders who originally relied solely on alms [p 1156] **Dominican** a member of the Roman Catholic order of preaching friars founded by St. Dominic, or of a religious order for women founded on similar principles [p 547] Oxford Dictionary

So, now we understand who these Franciscan Friars, Jesuits were, who demolished Hindu temples. They were kind of Swamis we have in Hindu religion. This presents you with an opportunity to compare Hindu Swamis with Christian Swamis and learn about their true character. Those who told you that all religions are equal, did they lie to you, or were they speaking out of their ignorance?

Hindu preachers, who preach their millions of Hindu followers that all religions are equal, are inadvertently committing a crime towards the humanity by spreading untruth out of their ignorance. Before preaching they need to get themselves educated better about the truth. Passing on ignorance is like a blind man showing path to another blind man!

There are also a large number of Academics who teach their millions of students that all religions are equal. They are inadvertently or knowingly committing a crime towards their profession by teaching untruth out of their ignorance or hidden agenda. Before becoming teachers they need to get themselves taught better about the truth. Passing the untruth knowingly or unknowingly makes them misfit for their profession.

It is important that you understand clearly the hidden influence on Hindu psyche when you send your children to some of these schools

Xavier has been titled a Christian Saint, and thousands of schools have sprung all over BhaaratVarsh, in the name of this pseudo-saint. You have also learned that it was St Xavier on whose recommendation Christian Inquisition was instituted in BhaaratVarsh. Try to visualize what would possibly be the character of those who will try to be like him. And, who will try to be like him? Those, who will learn to respect him. Aren't some of them going to be your children? Hindu children? In fact, most of them will be Hindu children!

We Hindus send our children to these schools. It is a human tendency that whatever we associate ourselves with - we tend to

view that respectfully. In this case, we associate ourselves with the name St Xavier, as our children go to those schools. This very natural human tendency leads us to respect the person called St Xavier.

When we respect St Xavier, we naturally tend to respect anything that is associated with him. In this case, Christianity is associated with him. This is how we come to respect Christianity.

With that we come to respect the birthplace of Christianity, that is, the West. That in turn leads us to respect whatever is Western, and whatever is associated with the West.

End result of this becomes, finally, an indifference towards whatever is 'Hindu', and all that is Eastern or whatever is associated with the East.

What should we expect from our future generations if we cannot protect them from such unintended influence on their psyche?

Let us look at real-life incidences, as to how even today Christian schools methodically train your unsuspecting Hindu children into anti-Hindu sentiments, even without your knowing anything about it

Here is a simple example as to how they cement anti-Hindu feelings in minds of your children. *They set up questions in examinations that would force children to think in a certain direction, argue in favor of that through essays or debates.* For instance, students of standard XII at Father Agnel School, Mumbai were asked to write an essay on Hindutv philosophy and its disastrous effects on secular BhaaratVarsh in their English language paper.

This was accidentally reported in The Free Press Bombay Journal, April 5, 2003 page 9 center, column 6. Do you know how many more such cases are there? Who will report them to you? Do you think, your children will report it, when they grow up to be anti-Hindu in their thinking?

These schools would never ask your children to write essays on Christian philosophy and its inhumane abuse of Hindu BhaaratVarsh.

Let us return to other Supreme Spiritual leaders of Christianity, and their extraordinary spiritual overtures, one of them happened to be Pope Gregory IX, who established Inquisition; we will soon witness what his followers turned out to be like

Inquisition an ecclesiastical (church) tribunal established by Pope Gregory IX c.1232 for the suppression of heresy. It was active chiefly in northern Italy and southern France, becoming notorious for the use of torture. In 1542 the papal Inquisition was reinstated to combat Protestantism, eventually becoming an organ of papal government Oxford Dictionary p 943

Heresy belief or opinion contrary to orthodox religious (especially Christian) doctrine [p 858] **Papal** of or relating to a pope or to the papacy [p 1342] Oxford Dictionary

Inquisition, an extraordinary gift (or curse?) of Christianity to Humanity, and its Horrors, unparalleled in the history of mankind, the intensity of which can put Nazi atrocities to shame; let us have a few glimpses in the background of BhaaratVarsh, something we Hindus know nothing about because our history had been rewritten by Christians to make it look like a religion of service to humanity

Most Hindus are totally unaware of it because our school textbooks on history deliberately suppress it. This happens because those who write these history books have been raised, generations after generations, by these Christian educational institutions.

Source: Goa Inquisition, A K Priolkar, cover 3

The Goa Inquisition was established in 1560 as recommended earlier by St. Francis Xavier, and finally abolished in 1812. Although its headquarters were at Goa, its jurisdiction extended to entire Portuguese possessions to the East of the Cape of Good Hope, and it had its Commissaries in other major centers.

Source: *The Saint Business*, Rajeev Srinivasan, *Hindu Voice*, Nov 2003, p 4-5

Now, on to the good deeds of St Xavier. Here is an extract from *The Empire of the Soul* by Paul William Roberts (Harper Collins, 1999): "Xavier embodies and exemplifies the bewildering contradictions of [the Jesuits]. The Goans of the time saw the best side of Xavier in what little of him they saw. They knew nothing of the part he had played in Portugal's Inquisition, nor

did they know that he had pleaded with his monarch, Dom Joao, to 'order the establishment of the Inquisition in Goa.' Most of Xavier's mass conversions - during which he Christianized entire villages in a stroke - were performed in Kerala." "Set up as a kind of tribunal, the inquisition was headed by a judge dispatched from Portugal. The palaces in which these holy terrorists ensconced themselves were known locally as Vodlem Gor - the Big House. It became a symbol of fear."

"Children were flogged and slowly dismembered in front of their parents, whose (*parents') eyelids had been sliced off (*so they couldn't close their eyes) to make sure they missed nothing. Extremities (*the hands and feet) were amputated carefully, so that a person could remain conscious even when all that remained was a torso (*the trunk of the human body) and head. Male genitals were removed and burned in front of wives, breasts hacked off and vaginas penetrated by swords while husbands were forced to watch." "So notorious was the Inquisition in Portuguese (*Christian!) India (*BhaaratVarsh!) that word of its horrors even reached home. The Archbishop of Evora, in Portugal, eventually wrote, "If everywhere the Inquisition was an infamous court, the infamy, however base, however vile, however corrupt and determined by worldly interests, it was never more so than in Goa." And it went on for two hundred years."

Animals kill but when they are hungry. They eat the flesh and blood but not with this kind of savagery as depicted above.

These were the glimpses of acts carried out by Christians with the desire of Christian Popes. Wouldn't it be an insult to animal species if we were to place animals and Christian Popes and Christian Saints in one category?

These are the Christian Popes and Christian Saints who instituted and implemented Inquisition with the kind of barbarism and savagery, probably unparalleled in the history of mankind.

And these are the people who have been sitting on judgment, for past few centuries, on Hindu Value system!

With their massive network of educational institutions spread all

over BhaaratVarsh, they have been teaching Hindu children over many generations, how primitive Hinduism is, and how advanced Christianity is!

In BhaaratVarsh Christianity has been given a face-lift with considerable propaganda as a 'religion of peace'. Certain section of media that has major presence in the market place, laboriously works to promote this image. It so happens because these media men and women have been raised by these Christian educational institutions, generations after generations. And, the hidden side of this could be the enormous Christian missionary money that takes round in our country under the disguise of setting of educational institutions, health facilities, etc. A fraction of this huge kitty could be enough to buy these big name journalists, whose credentials rarely (but accidentally do) get exposed.

Nothing of this will change substantially because we, as humans, cannot fight against our preconceived notions that are built over the years, and particularly through our childhood and through our formative years of adolescence.

The only way to correct this situation would be to tell the truth to children from the childhood through their education system, so that they do not live rest of their lives under false notions. And that can happen only when we can find an alternative to Christian missionary education system that plagues the BhaaratVarsh psyche.

Personally, I knew nothing of inhumane tortures until I visited Italy last year and was shown the torture houses (huge palatial buildings with underground cellars), and then I located research work on Goa Inquisition after my return to BhaaratVarsh.

It's an interesting incidence that BhagavadGita took me to the birthplace of Inquisitions, a word that I hadn't read or even heard before; but with an overdose of scientific temper today, you would merrily brand it as a mere coincidence

Professor D Meo first contacted me. There was *no medium* (in form a human being) known to both of us, who could have introduced us, or referred one to the other. The inspiration that worked behind Prof Meo's desire to locate me (while we two lived thousands of miles apart from each other) is not identifiable in human terms. Slowly, we got acquainted and later, I exchanged my work on BhagavadGita, and that brought me an invitation to visit Italy.

Was it a mere coincidence that *something like BhagavadGita* (which is perceived by handful few knowledgeable Europeans as a Divine Poem and, which is known by we Hindus as predominantly a spiritual work), and a chance acquaintance based on this premise, was to bring me face to face with the hidden reality of an Aasuric religion like Christianity, which I had all along perceived as a religion of service to humanity?

Is it not something that we cannot explain logically and therefore, we would find it easier to brush it off as a coincidence? As if, every thing in this creation must stand to the benchmarking of limited human logic (of which we humans are so very proud of) in these modern times of modern science!

How can we humans (who think that we have advanced so very substantially over our predecessors) admit the limitations of human logical faculties? How can we admit that our logical faculties have developed so little that it can at best penetrate very short distances in this ever-expanding limitless creation by the Creator?

I would not want to throw up a debate at this point to be questioned by those champions of human logic that my chance visit to Italy was not a part of a divine game plan that can unfold itself only in course of time (which their limited human logic will not be able to define at this point of time). Remember these words whatever happens under the heavens has a purpose behind it, which may be invisible to the limited human perceptive abilities, at the point of time it does happen.

Logic is based on knowledge. We can apply our logic only to such matters that we have knowledge of. Admit it or not, it is a fact that we do not have adequate knowledge of this vast limitless creation, and its method of functioning.

Logic does not travel to those unknown lands, where our knowledge has not traversed yet!

Here is something that is often not noticed by most of us, that is, modern science is vested with an undeniably Christian psyche

The modern science was born in the West and those men of modern science were born as Christians, raised as Christians, their psyche was developed as that of Christians, through their

childhood, and through their formative years of adolescence, *only to be transformed into men of Christian convictions by the time they matured as adults*. However, one difference they had, and it was a thought process about the world around us (as explained in terms of Physics, etc.) that did not quite match with the Christianity's remarkably poor understanding of the world around. *But this difference was not sufficient to change their entire outlook*. They may have looked differently at Christian beliefs about matters of Physics, etc. but any knowledge of the Ancients that has not been originally part of the ChristianWorld was 'not' (and is 'not') knowledge to them. In many respects, modern science may know even less than the ancients of the East knew, but it does not want to learn from them *because such knowledge was not the treasure of ancients who had Christian roots*.

This is why the Western world, which is predominantly a ChristianWorld, refuses to examine the knowledge of the Ancients from the East, and refuses to put them to modern ways of scientific testing, before summarily branding them as 'unscientific'. Today's so-called scientists of the present day Eastern world are basically the product of the same Western education system, which had been imposed upon them many generations ago, and they too fall in the line with their Western counterparts, when it comes to the knowledge of the Ancients.

Who is a true scientist? Not necessarily one who holds University recognition as a scientist is truly a scientist, though today these are the people who are understood to be the scientists of the modern times. *A true scientist is one who has the scientific spirit, one who has a scientific bent of mind, one who does not dismiss as 'unscientific' something that he or she has not put to rigorous and consistent testing, patiently, after taking due pains to research, honestly, into all facets of available knowledge and evidence*. But today's most so-called scientists who carry the badge of a scientist do 'not' seem to reflect this quality and this tendency, in their conduct, when it comes to the knowledge of the Ancients.

Today billions and billions of dollars are spent over many-many projects world over in the name of scientific quest, mostly in nature of reinventing the wheel. But only if a fraction of those vast amounts of money were to be sincerely and honestly invested towards reexamination of the knowledge base of Ancients of the East, with due respect that is warranted towards them, our modern day scientists would have discovered a lot more about the functioning of this universe (and would have discovered much

quicker than they do nowadays by their trial and error methods). I have deviated a bit from the main theme, only to describe the *undesirable Christian bias on our modern day scientific thinking, and loss to humanity on account of that.*

For a change, why not think it over; if Popes and Saints of Christianity developed themselves into such horrible characters under the influence of those philosophies that Christianity preaches, what will happen to your children? Have you ever thought seriously, why Hindus have been moving so far away from Hindu values?

Would you want Hindu Children to grow up to become like the Popes and Saints of Christianity, whose characters you have witnessed so far, and also like those whose characters you are now going to learn about, hereafter? If yes, then you must keep them under the influence of Christian education system, of which you are quite proud of. Isn't it why you send your children to these Christian schools so often?

If popes and saints of Christianity could not escape cruel inhuman behavior and character development under the influence of such philosophies that Christianity preaches, do you think your children whom you send for education to such Christian missionary schools will be able to free themselves from developing such 'latent' character and thought process?

I speak of latent character because it will not be all that visible to you. Your affection towards your children and your high esteem of missionary education system will simply not let you see beyond what is apparent.

Some of you must argue. So you would come up with a smart argument: may be all these facts that you are presenting are true, but the Christian schools we send our children to, do not teach them to become like those people. Well, if you love to argue, why don't you become a bit smarter than that, and put up yet another argument: granted they don't teach all that stuff in those schools, how come that those who graduate to become the bigwigs in Christianity invariably turn out to be such scoundrels? Well, well, just don't get impatient, proceed through this whole book, and the next book, and the next book, and the next, and see for yourself how numerous these rogues are. Then console yourself saying, may be, our children will not be that talented;

they won't turn to be such big scoundrels, so we will settle for their becoming small rogues!

The present situation will not only stay but will compound further. Ninety percent of Hindu children will continue to be raised on Christian value based education system. During their formative years they would have moved far from Hindu value system, and that has been happening for past many generations. Please do not underestimate the significance of this.

It can have very far-reaching impact and do irreversible damage and in reality, it has already done that to a great extent. If we do not wake up to this impending threat, situation can go out of hand permanently.

How long do you want to remain oblivious of the camouflage around you? How long do you wish to keep refusing to look beyond what has been shown to you? Ask yourself, how long do you wish to continue to overlook something so significant that affects all your future generations? How long do you wish to remain occupied with your own needs ignoring needs of your descendants?

Let us try to understand why Jesus chose to promote the concept of God without Form in those days when rest of the humanity believed in God with Form

In Islam, Prophet Mohammed happens to be more important than Allah. In Christianity, we hear more of Jesus than that of God. These two men have assumed the position of God, keeping God in the backyard. Is this why they opposed the then prevailing (all over the world - now known as Pagan civilizations) concept of God with Form? If there would be a God with Form, naturally humans would give 'that god' more importance. Now that there is no God with Form in Christianity and Islam, followers attach more significance to Jesus and Mohammed.

Is it that these two men knew well about the human craving for associating itself with some Form, whatever it may be, because human himself (or herself) is with Form. When human is not Formless, how can such human fall in love with something that is Formless? Would you love your child if it were to be Formless? Why do you crave to have a photograph of your dead child? Is it that you need a 'Form' to relate with, and feel human?

Did Jesus and Mohammed know well that when they promote the

concept of Formless God, naturally by human instincts, followers would prefer Jesus and Mohammed with Form than the God without Form? Yet they needed the concept of God, because keeping him in the backyard they could enjoy the fruits of human following. They must be amused in their graves thinking that they fooled the world, and these human fools took the bait.

Christians treated Hindu Temples with violent disrespect and there are so many incidences but the history I was taught never told me so; why Christian schools taught us lies; is it what they are supposed to do? And, why did they treat Hindu temples with such distasteful disrespect that you will see here? Is it not because the Christian God instructed Christians to continue to do so until Christians live on this earth? Would you want to continue following such a god? Won't you be turning barbarians by following such a god?

Desecrate treat (a sacred place or thing) with violent disrespect; violate [p 499] **Iconoclast** a destroyer of images used in religious worship, in particular; in historical context a supporter of the 8th and the 9th century movement in the Byzantine Church, which sought to abolish the veneration of icons and other religious images – a puritan of the 16th or 17th century [p 906] Oxford Dictionary

Oxford Dictionary definition reflects that destroying god's idols of other religions was *not new* to Christianity. They practiced it *since 8th century*, and they continued it when they came to BhaaratVarsh, even until a few centuries ago.

By and large, Hindus are unaware that Christians too destroyed Hindu temples on a fairly large scale and they too [like Muslims] found pleasure in kicking the idols of Hindu gods. This is what their religion Christianity [and Islam] had taught them, supposedly two great [?] religions of the world with very large number of followers. We do not know of this, because their dirty history has been suppressed on purpose. On the top of it, an image has been built that Christianity had been a religion of peace, love and service to humanity.

Christian Bible Deuteronomy 12:1 These *are* the statutes and judgments, which ye shall observe to do in the land, which the **LORD God** of thy fathers **giveth thee** to possess it, **all the days ye live on the earth**. 12:2 **Ye shall utterly destroy** all the places wherein the nations, which ye possess served their Gods, upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and every green tree: And ye shall **overthrow their altars**, and break their pillars, and burn their

groves with fire; and ye shall hue down the graven **images of their gods, and destroy the names of them out of that place.**

J Frederick Price and K Rangaachaari translated the private diary of Aanand Ranga Pillai detailing how Vedpuri Ishwaran Temple at Pondicherry was treated with violent disrespect and demolished.

Source: J Frederick Price and K Rangaachaari translating *The Private Diary of Aanand Ranga Pillai*, reproduced by Sita Ram Goel, *History of Hindu-Christian Encounters*, quoted in *The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 81

The *Vedpuri Ishwaran Temple* was the principle place of worship for the Hindus of Pondicherry. The Jesuit missionaries built the Church of St Paul adjacent to it and obtained an order from the King of France that the Hindu temple should be destroyed...

March 17, 1746: On Wednesday night at 11 two unknown persons entered the Ishwaran Temple carrying in a vessel of **liquid filth**, which they **poured on the heads of the gods around the altar, and into the temple**, through the drain of the shrine of Ishwaran; and having broken the pot of dirt on the image of the god Nandi they went away through a part of the building which had been demolished ... As the report of this sacrilege spread, Hindu 'from the Braahman to the pariah' held a public meeting. The governor Dupliex, when he heard of it, sent his chief peon to disperse the meeting ... the people, however, defied the order and protested, 'you better kill us all'...

December 31, 1746: It was reported tonight at 7 that an earthen **jar filled with filth**

was thrown from within the grounds of the Church of St Paul into the temple of Vedpuri Ishwaran. It very nearly fell on the head of Shankar Aiyar, who was at the shrine of the God Pillaiyar on his way round the temple in the performance of his religious duties. When the jar struck the ground and broke to pieces the stench emitted was unbearable ... the temple was now doomed to destruction.

September 8: Yesterday 200 soldiers, 60 or 70 troopers and sepoy were stationed at St Paul's Church in view of the matter on hand. This morning, M Gerbault (the engineer), the priests with diggers, masons, coolies and other 200 in all, with spades, pickaxes and whatever needed to demolish walls, began to pull down the southern wall of the Vedpuri Ishwaran Temple and the outhouses. At once the temple manager, Braahmans and mendicants came and told me ... Just then ... news was brought that **Father Coeurdoux, the superior of St. Paul's Church had kicked the inner shrine with his foot** and had ordered the Coffrees to remove the doors and the Christians to break the Vaahanams ... [Pillai now went to Governor Dupliex in an attempt to save the temple as did the other caste leaders who sought to save the temple's movable articles but it was all to no avail]

Then **Father Coeurdoux** of Karikal came with a great hammer, **kicked the Lingam,**

broke it with his hammer, and ordered the Coffrees and the Europeans to break the images of Vishnu and other gods. Madame [Dupliex] went and told the priest that he might break the idols as he pleased. He answered that she had accomplished what had been impossible for fifty years, that she must be one of those Mahaatma [great soul] who established this [Christian] religion in old days and he would publish her fame through the world ... Then [the native convert] Varlam also kicked the great Lingam nine or ten times with his sandals in the presence of Madame and the priest and spat on it out of gladness and hoping that the priest and Madame will also regard him as Mahaatma. Then he followed Madame. I can neither write nor describe what abominations were done in the temple...

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 80

K A Nilakanta Shastri: [The Portuguese] acted throughout as if they had a divine right to the pillage, robbery, and massacre of the natives of BhaaratVarsh. Not to mince matters, their whole record is one of a series of atrocities.

Never call the culprit by wrong name. That is how you shift the focus. Once you identify the wrong enemy, you simply go on the wrong track. When you call them Portuguese, you tend to forget about their action because they are history to you as you see no more Portuguese around you. You don't realize that the threat looms large on your head till today because Portuguese were never the true culprit.

The culprit all along was Christianity. It is the teachings of Christianity that prompted them to do those acts in those days. As you will see in this book afterwards that the same Christianity is making our own people do similar acts even today but you don't come to know about them because Christianized English media avoids reporting them

Let us look at this example, as to how Hindu psyche shapes with Christian missionary education. K A Nilakanta Shastri (it sounds like a Hindu name) writes "[The Portuguese] acted throughout..." Why Portuguese? Why not Christian?

Did they act in their zeal as Portuguese nationals? Or, did they act in their zeal as Christian faithful? Were they driven by Portuguese ideology or were they driven by Christian ideology? If they did those acts, it was because Christianity had taught them to do so, and also inspired them to do so. Why then our historians, educated at Christian missionary schools, simply leave the identity of the true culprit, which happens to be the Christian upbringing and Christian ideology of those savage men? Their nationality was not the real culprit, yet our people fail to realize that, or do not want to realize that?

Have you ever wondered why Christians, after desecrating images of Hindu gods, buried them under Christian altars? Was it to get the feel of crushing Hinduism under their feet?

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 84

The Cathedral of Our Lady of the Immaculate Conception is built on or beside this temple site, and the local tradition is that the broken Lingam is hidden under an altar in the church. The Christian practice of covering a desecrated image or sacred stone with an altar is very old and churches in England, France, Italy and Spain that have been built on Pagan sites are found to contain these images and other relics.

We may want to ask ourselves a question. Why did they bury desecrated images under Christian altars? Was it to get the feel of victory of Christianity over pagan civilizations? Was it to get the feel of crushing existing religions under their feet? Was it their quest for supremacy of Christianity that they were celebrating?

Hinduism, on the other hand, provided shelter to refugee Christians who arrived on our land, running away from the persecution by Persian King Shaapur II [details later]. Hindus gave them not only shelter but also land and grants to build churches. Hinduism has been driven by the desire for quest of Truth, not supremacy of religion and therefore, they did the opposite of what these savage Christians did.

However, to put Hinduism at par with Christianity and Islam, later day CommunistMarxistHistorians who took over the control of central institutions like ICHR [Indian Council of Historical Research] and NCERT [National Council for Educational Research & Training] during Nehru dynasty, in particular Indira regime, fabricated history to show that Hindu Braahmans destroyed Buddhism in BhaaratVarsh, ignoring evidence [acknowledged by Buddhists] that Hindu Braahmans carried on the show after Buddhists fled the scene at the time of Muslim invasion, and Hindu Braahmans handed back the site to Buddhists later. We will get into those details in other publications about Islam. Islam's records are full of instances that it was Islam which destroyed Buddhism in BhaaratVarsh, and that had been the accepted version of history by all concerned, until these CommunistMarxistCheats [titled as professors of JNU and AMU] arrived on the scene to control all research and educational facilities of the nation.

What about our own archaeologists? Don't they have a duty towards the nation? Should they not try to unearth left over evidence? Granted that they are the product of Christian missionary education system but have they sold their souls? When German scholars can come to our land and probe into such matters, why can't our own people do it? You will be able to do it only when you come out of that Christianized mind set. When you learn to be proud of your Hindu heritage, then you will attempt to reinstate it

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 91

They did not leave much evidence behind in the churches they built on or near temple sites. But it is also true that Bhaaratiya archaeologists have not studied Christian churches as closely and in the same *probing manner* that they have studied mosques and other Muslim monuments. The exceptions are *German scholars* whose work on Bhaaratiya churches is yet to be translated and

published in English. They assert that most sixteenth and seventeenth century churches in BhaaratVarsh contain temple rubble and are built on temple sites.

In this context, earlier day Muslims had been more honest. They did not try to hide what they did. Instead they recorded every detail faithfully. They were actually *proud* of their actions because they had been following the examples set by their Prophet Mohammed. Christians were not that honest in this regard and church authorities often tried to hide the evidence of destroyed Hindu, Jain and Buddhist religious buildings. Hiding evidence and fabricating history has been their specialty all along, right from the beginning of Christianity. You will find plenty of evidence in my future publications.

But our own archaeologists have a duty towards the nation. If these invaders once abused our national heritage then our people must find it out now. They must look closely and discover the evidence, which would not have totally disappeared.

It may be true that most our people have missionary education, and from the very childhood they have been brainwashed that Christianity has been a great religion, and therefore, our people may not feel inspired enough to investigate into the acts of these rogues, who adopted cunning ways of doing what they did, and then concealed their actions and projected an image as if they were angels. Beware of such types more than barbarian Muslims who did not hide what they did. However, present day Muslim academics of BhaaratVarsh equipped with their Christian missionary education have also turned as cunning in hiding and whitewashing evidence as Christians [details later].

What Christians did to Hindus, I may be able to pardon that. They hid the truth from me, which also I may be able to pardon. But they made me believe all my life (until I myself probed into their history) that they were great people, kind, loving. At the same time they spread venom against Hinduism in every possible fraudulent manner, and this was the limit! I am outraged looking at the height of deceit. They used every possible means, not only education system but also the media in every form. I don't pardon cheats of this magnitude!

I had no inkling of all this because the history I had been taught in school told me nothing about it. Those who wrote the history

books, they hid the truth; in effect they lied to me. If they had told me the truth I would have treated it as a matter of past and resolved to prevent its repetition in future.

The truth of that past, which I would have otherwise ignored, because I had no control over it - 'that past' has now been brought into my present in form of a lie, a deceit, and that is why I am outraged. The lie must be exposed. The truth must prevail.

Here again, we see our yet another historian, identifying the true culprit with wrong name, and thereby diverting our attention to the wrong enemy

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 81

M Arunaachalam: Portuguese sacked the famous *Tiruchendur Murugan Temple* on the sea coast and threw the idol into the sea. Sometime later, in 1654, the chieftain Vadamalaiyappa Pillai of Tirunelveli salvaged the idol from the sea and installed it at the present Tiruchendur temple.

Here is yet another example of the influence of Christian missionary education on Hindu psyche. We saw earlier K A Nilakanta Shastri write about Portuguese, not about Christian. Now we see another historian with Hindu sounding name M Arunaachalam, who also says Portuguese, not Christian. *He too ignores the fact that it was not the Portuguese nationality but the Christian faith that inspired them to sack the famous Tiruchendur Murugan Temple.*

Here are so many examples of desecration of Hindu temples by Christians but we have been kept in dark all along. Should all our history books not be rewritten? Should our new generations not know the truth? Why must your descendants live in a make-believe world dominated by colossal mass of untruth and nothing but untruth?

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 81

M Arunaachalam: The *Tirumalai Nayak Mahal* [at Madurai] is another example. Jealous of its magnificence, the British began demolishing it, but public agitation checked it and what we have today is only a part of what was originally there.

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 82

British fired on the temples of Kalahasti in Andhra Pradesh to intimidate the local populace. Victoria Terminus in Bombay is built on the original site of city's famous Mumba Devi Temple. In Madras they obliterated the small Hindu shrines that once stood inside Fort St George.

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 82

Henry Love: *French used Hindu temples as barracks in their military operations against the British. Between 1672 and 1674 at Madras [now Chennai] they fortified the rebuild Kapaleeshwar Temple in Mylapore and ParthSaarathy Temple in Triplicane when Golconda and the Dutch besieged them.*

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 86

M Arunaachalam: *The Kapaleeshwar Temple at Mylapore, Madras is a standing example of Christian desecration. The great temple of Shiv was situated not at its present site but at the site of present San Thome Church even up to 16th century. It was demolished by Portuguese vandals and their missionaries of that period who erected their church on the site where the Hindu temple originally stood. Rama Raya, the Vijayanagar ruler, to save the Hindu temples, waged war on the Portuguese in Mylapore and Goa simultaneously. The Portuguese were defeated and he took a tribute from them for their vandalism. But when the Vijayanagar rule fell at the battle of Talikota (1565) before the Mohammedans, the Portuguese continued their demolition work.*

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 86

R S Whiteway: *When San Thome was held to ransom for the intolerant acts of some Jesuits and Franciscans, the Raja of Vijayanagar [Rama Raya who came to Mylapore in 1559] kept such faith with the **Portuguese that, as one of them says, such humanity and justice are not to be found among Christians.***

Our magnanimity is wasted when it is bestowed on unworthy

recipients. It is important that we know these finer aspects of our history that one of the ChristianPortuguese says [1559] such humanity and justice are not to be found among Christians. It is also important that we Hindus realize that our magnanimity is wasted if it is bestowed on unworthy recipients, like, when the Vijayanagar rule fell at the battle of Talikota (1565) before the Mohammedans, the Portuguese continued their demolition work. Something like this our Prime Minister Atal Behari Bajpayee is trying with President Musharraf. This might earn Bajpayee a Nobel Prize like Kissinger and Arafat but at what cost to the nation?

By and large Christians do not show any ethics. As we see from the ChristianBritish history, as to how they gradually colonized entire BhaaratVarsh, and also from the actions of ChristianPortuguese, we would realize that power hungry Christians are generally not trustworthy and, by and large, they do not show any sense of ethics.

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 86

N Murugesu Mudaliar: Mylapore fell into the hands of the Portuguese in 1566 when the temple suffered demolition. The present temple was rebuilt around three hundred years ago. There are some fragmentary inscriptions from the old temple still found in the *St. Thomas Cathedral*. Later devout Hindus built the present temple of Mylapore at a different site, a few furlongs west, out of what they could salvage from the ruins of the old temple. A number of carved temple stones can still be seen on the compound wall of the church.

Source: V R Ramachandra Dikshitar quoted in *Tiru Mayil Kapaleecharam Kumbhabisheka Malar* 1982 quoted in The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 86

V R Ramachandra Dikshitar: The great *Shiv temple* covered the area now occupied by the *palace of the Roman Catholic bishop* of Madras. This estate, on the south side of San Thome Cathedral, still contains scattered temple ruins and includes a museum.

Source: Dr R Nagaswamy, former Director of Archaeology, Tamil Nadu Government, and present Director of the Indian Institute of Culture, Madras, *Testimony of Religious Ethos, The Hindu*, Madras, 30 April 1990 quoted in The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, pp 87-88

Dr R Nagaswamy: A few Chola records found in the San

Thome Cathedral and Bishop's House refer to Kapaleeshwar Temple. A Chola record in fragment found on the east wall of the San Thome Cathedral refers to the image of Lord Nataraj [Shiv] of the *Kapaleeshwar temple*. A fragmentary inscription, 12th century Chola record in the San Thome Church region, refers to a *Jain temple* dedicated to NeminaathSwami.

So, we see that Christians also destroyed Jain temple. But our recent day Marxist historians love to attribute any such destruction to Hindu Braahmans. They also destroyed Buddhist temples.

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 91

Dr Nagaswamy, in The Hindu article Testimony of Religious Ethos mentions the finding of *Buddhist relics* and a mutilated Buddha image in Mylapore. The Chola period image is now in the Madras Museum.

Source: Historian T R de Souza quoted by MD David in *Western Colonialism in Asia and Christianity*, quoted in The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 81

T R de Souza: At least from 1540 onwards and in the island of Goa before that year, **all Hindu idols had been annihilated or had disappeared, all the temples had been destroyed** and their sites and building material were in most cases utilized to erect new Christian churches and chapels.

Here is something truly amusing, that is, Oxford dictionary definition of a Christian as one who is decent, kind and fair; isn't it a joke of the millennium?

Here is how Oxford dictionary defines the term 'Christian'. It sounds more like a joke, looking at the conducts of Christians and their history!

Source: Oxford Dictionary p 325

Christian: having or showing **qualities**

associated with Christians, especially those of decency, kindness, and fairness

We have seen above the characters and actions of Popes and Saints of Christianity. At times they have demonstrated that animals have better ethics than them.

In light of this, the description above in Oxford Dictionary sounds more like a joke!

- Do you see any decency in the acts of 1st Pope, Pope Alexander VI, St Xavier, Vasco da Gama, or any other whose actions are described above?
- Do you see any kindness or fairness in their actions?
- Were they not Christians?
- Actually, they were champions of Christianity!

It appears that Christians themselves have given them all those adjectives, which they did not possess. After all, the dictionary is also written and edited by Christians. Hope, someday non-Christians will write the true meaning of the word 'Christian' for that dictionary!

Now you need to witness something very disturbing, that is Christians have not changed, they are very much same today and they treat Hindu gods with (no less than before) distasteful and violent disrespect, and they do it here in BhaaratVarsh, even today, wherever they are more in number; so, those of you, who have been merrily snoring, thinking that those bad Christian conducts are a matter of past, are here for a jolt: on 13 August 2003 Christians did shit on Shiv Lingam at the instigation of a Christian priest

Source: *Christians commit sacrilege*, S V Badri, Hindu Voice, Sept 2003, pp 40-41

This morning (**13th August 2003**) I received a SOS from one Mrs Gunasekaran, village

Kovilancheri, Kaanchi district, Tamil Nadu. She informed me that the **Shiv Lingam** was desecrated by the Christians. Somebody **used it as toilet seat**. And had **garlanded Shiv with torn chappals!** She was in tears. And the entire Hindu community in the village was shocked beyond belief.

We drove to Kovilancheri. By the time we reached the spot, despite our prior request not to tamper with the Lingam so we could take a few photographs of the vandalism, the Inspector of Police, Salaiyur, Shri Krishnamurthy had the place cleaned (his argument was he could not stand the sight and had wanted it to be cleaned instantly).

The Hindus in the village gathered in large numbers (women outnumbered men 2:1).

We did the following: 1. Made the villagers draft a complaint to the police in the letterhead of Grama Nala Sangham. 2. Complaint to the Collector of Kaanchi, Shri Venkatesan, IAS, was drafted by Hindu Munnani and faxed, copy sent to CM cell. 3. Performed a Shuddhi of the ShivLingam and later an Abhishekam and Araadhana.

Apart from informing all Hindu leaders instantly, I also contacted the SP of Kaanchi, Shri Sankar, IPS, with a request to visit the site personally, which he did. We described to him the background of the village: of how the Church had come illegally on the temple land; of how the temple land was used as inducement to convert unsuspecting Dalit families to Christianity. We showed him the church that was barely 100 meters away from

the ShivLingam. The SP ordered the Inspector of Police for a thorough investigation of the case, after registering a FIR. He assured us that he would directly follow this up.

Letter to the Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu "Madam, Kovilancheri is a village close to Mambakkam, Chennai and comes under the Kaanchipuram district.

There is an 800 plus year old ShivLingam, open to sky and uncared for. Though the Shiv owns close to 7.5 acres of land, as per village records (Village 58 - Kovilancheri - Survey: 101/2). The village consists predominantly of Vanniars with Dalits outnumbering them in the colony.

100 meters away from the ShivLingam, a Church had suddenly sprung up, almost a year ago. The Pastor of the church is: Mathew Munuswamy and the President of the organization is: James Santhosam. The church is called Samadhana Prabhu Oozhiyargal (Telephone Office 24941765 and Residence 24661372).

The church had not only come illegally on the temple land but has also used the temple land as inducement to lure the helpless Dalit members embrace Christianity by offering them the temple land for 'enjoyment'. Close to 50 families have thus been converted.

Now, look at the trick, and this is not the only example, I have come across many. They have kept the *name of the organization* as *Samadhana Prabhu Oozhiyargal*. Prabhu is a very common term used by Hindus practically on a day-to-day basis. God is often remembered as Prabhu! Samadhana is a Hindu term meaning peace in Tamil, not a Christian term. So, what we see here that they work under the disguise of a name, which sounds Hindu name. And, they do anti-Hindu acts. Christian educated English media does not care to report these events at all, and if they do, they report part information, without any mention of their being Christians, which would give readers impression that the mischief was done by a Hindu organization. This would create anti-Hindu sentiments. This would divide Hindus as they would think that it is Hindus who do such anti-Hindu acts. We often get to read articles by champions of Hinduism blaming Hindus alone. *In this manner Hindus themselves play a pawn in the hands of*

these conspirators, and those champions themselves as Hindus do no understand this Christian game plan.

[Note: after reading the previous edition of this book one gentleman wrote to me: letters 17 September 2004, 6 October 2004 and 20 October 2004]:

Do you know that the Holy Bible is called *Satya Ved Pustakam* in Malayaalam? It is a bigger fraud.

The Christian Priest is called *Vaidikan* which, when loosely translated into English, means *One who is expert in the Veds* [Vedas].

The Sunday School is called *Veda Talam Class* in Malayaalam.

I saw a Christian monastery behind ISKON Bangalore and it was named *Sat Chit Aanand Bhavan*.

There is a famous church in Bangalore which is called Dharma Ram Church in which you could see Jesus Christ sitting in PadmAasan.

Behind Dharma Ram premises which runs into several acres I noticed a Christian seminary and nun's hostel near it. It is named OMI BHAVAN.

Now please do not get into one of those favorite self-deceptive fits that 'Look! Christians are adopting Hindu ways'. If you do all you will do is to fool yourself. They change their attire based on what suits them when. It is somewhat similar to that lizard like creature (I forget its name) which changes its color as per season (or as the need be, whatever). Their cloak is only to attract Hindus into their fold. Then as they are in, deferent stories are given to them. Example: Veds evolved from Jesus and all such nonsense. Fabrication is an area in which Christians have considerable hold.

Returning to the earlier theme, we see from the above description *Samadhana Prabhu Oozhiyargal* that Christianity not only resorts to illegal and unfair means but also attempts to create unnecessary friction through mischievous acts.

Now this particular act of shitting on the ShivLingam is not only mischievous - it would be very mild to describe it so. Despite this Hindus kept their calm. Had they resorted to revenge could you have blamed them?

Christians did it to Romans two thousand years ago, as we will learn soon. They have 'not' changed a bit in two thousand years.

But we do 'not' come to know because 'media' does not cover these facts. These men and women who cover the news are product of same Christian missionary education system. They probably do not see anything wrong in these acts. They also have a good excuse that making such news public would create communal disharmony. Under this pretext, they continue to hide the true character of Christians of today.

Would you consider this pardonable? Newly converted Christians are instigated by their so-called 'Fathers', who are basically rogues, a bunch of scoundrels. These so-called Dalits who lived in the same village for centuries wouldn't do something so horrendous by themselves, but for the instigation of these scoundrels whom Christianity calls Priests. Don't tell me, Christian sentiments are hurt by name-calling. Where were you when Hindu feelings were hurt by such lousy actions? If we look at the characters of Saints and Popes of Christianity we will have no doubts left as to what those down the line would be like - I am talking about their followers.

That wasn't the only incidence; if only, we were to start looking we will find many more; here is just another one of them. So long Christians live in Hindu surroundings they are 'gentleman'. The moment they develop their own neighborhood and if a Hindu comes to live there, they want the Hindu to stop observing their religious requirements, or leave the neighborhood. When they become a Christian nation like America, they even pass law to fine Hindus if they were to fly their religious flag! The problem is that our Christian educated Bhaaratiya journalists and editors with special agendas have no appetite to bring out truth to public

Source: P Deivamuthu, Editor, Hindu Voice, September 2003, p 26

Malvani village is a suburb in **Mumbai**, predominantly occupied by Christians. A Hindu family, which came to reside in that area

is being harassed by the residents.
The Hindu family's only mistake is that they are Hindus and observe all Hindu rituals.

Shri Elaya Thambi and his wife Radhamani bought a residential Room No 3/3 Ankit Cottage, Malvani Village, Malaad West, Mumbai 400 095, in **1998**. They decided to shift to this room, along with their two children - a daughter and a son. Their trouble started the moment they decided to enter the premises. As is the case with all Hindus, they decided to have a Yagya on the day of GrihaPravesh. For this purpose, they engaged a Purohit and made grand arrangements for the pooja. On the day of GrihaPravesh with Yagya, her Christian neighbors entered the room.

They objected to them for performing the pooja, which according to them, is satanic. They objected to the smoke emanating from the Yagya. Even incense sticks were not allowed to be lighted. Placing of Tulsi pot near the entrance was also objected to. Ringing of Pooja bells was not allowed. They even threatened the Purohit with dire consequences, which made him run away from the place, leaving all his Pooja accessories. Smt Radhamani was attacked with sticks.

The main objection of the Christians was that this was a Christian locality and only Christian and Western festivals are allowed. They told them in no uncertain terms that they should either become Christians or leave the place, selling it to a Christian.

More than Elaya Thambi, it was Radhamani who was more stubborn in opposing the Christians. She insisted on her rights to live as a free citizen in a democratic country. This brought her untold miseries.

Her 16 year old daughter has been chased many times, while on her way to school, by some of her Christian neighbors and their associates. They are making vulgar and obscene postures to her, which still continues.

Her 8 year old son has been kidnapped a few times. However, he was saved by the timely intervention of friends and well-wishers.

Smt Radhamani has made several complaints to the Malvani police station and also to the Asst Commissioner of Police. While the complaints were registered, no action has been taken by the Police, and Smt Radhamani continues to receive threats and anonymous telephone calls at odd times. She has even lodged a complaint with the Mahila & Crime Protection Cell. Her written complaint to the Rev Father, St Anthony Church, Malvani Village, for intervening in the matter has also been neglected.

Frustrated at the noncooperation from all concerned, Smt Radhamani has finally decided to take up the matter to the court. She has filed a writ petition in the Mumbai High Court, requesting the Court to order the Malvani

Police Station to take stringent action against the culprits, so that she can live peacefully.

As VHP activist, I along with my colleague in Malaad went to their place and met this family. After narrating the series of incidents, they provided me with a bunch of papers-Xerox copies of complaints to the Police station and petition to the Court. I studied the entire papers and made this story.

Now let look at the chronology. Problem started in 1998. Five years later it appeared in print when *Hindu Voice* published it. Since then two years have passed. While updating this edition, I wrote to Shri Deivamuthu if he was aware of any further developments.

Source: P Deivamuthu, Email 10 February 2005

A few months back there was a fight between the lady and other Christians. The Lady was thrashed by Christians, and she went to VHP office at Grant Road to complain. I am not aware of anything more. I am also not aware of the fate of the court case.

If in a small locality in the outskirts of Mumbai, this can happen, then what would happen if a large part of BhaaratVarsh were to become Christian?

Do you see, that in this Hindu land BhaaratVarsh, Hindus cannot perform GrihaPravesh Pooja (religious ceremonies while occupying a new house) if it happens to be a Christian dominated locality? *Do we Hindus do such things to Christians in Hindu dominated localities?*

O, my fellow Hindus, how long do you wish to remain apathetic towards these happenings just because this did not happen to your own family? How long do you wish to feel complacent and console yourself being tolerant and keep ignoring such happenings that are unfair, unjust, and a significant threat to Hinduism?

Do you notice that Police Commissioner did not take action; Father of the Church did not take action. Try to think, why? Do you realize that they treat you Hindus as a boneless community, which can be ignored and sidetracked? Don't you realize that your so-called tolerance is perceived as your cowardice? Don't you realize

*that Paandavs consistently tolerated atrocities of Kauravs, did not stand up against them in-time, and finally Shri Bhagawaan Krishn had to tell Arjun to lift his Gaandeev and set in pace the historic battle of MahaaBhaarat? Tolerating unfairness beyond a limit can make a **volcano** erupt one day!*

Christianity and Islam believe in keeping their minds shut, stay within closed walls of a dark room, and keep all windows tightly shut so that no light penetrates even by accident

Hindu Rig'Ved I.89.1 Let felicitous thoughts come to us from every source.

Hindu Rig'Ved III.62.10 Gaayatri Mantr: I pay homage to the supreme grandeur of the divine light, that it may inspire our intellect.

Felicitous (core sense) well chosen or suited to the circumstances; (sub sense) pleasing and fortunate.

Hinduism believes in letting the light come from every direction, the light of knowledge, the light of truth. But then, Christianity and Islam do not. Let us look at one example, as to how it works with Christianity at lower levels (common people), and then, see how that gets translated in to the thought process of those at the higher levels (distinguished spokespersons) of Christianity.

Hindu children are encouraged to read material about Christianity but Christians reprimand their children if they want to learn anything good about Hinduism

I am reminded of a personal episode, which I did not pay attention then, but now I see the connection. It was year 2000; I lived in Nerul, Navi Mumbai. A lady used to cook meals for me. She used to bring her 9-10 year old daughter with her, always. Her daughter would sit in a corner of the living room while her mother did the cooking in the kitchen. One day this lady brought me some booklet of Jehovah. She wanted me to read it and she wanted to bring her preacher to talk to me later. I did not read the book because I had already seen plenty of them in Canada, and known what they generally contain, but out of politeness, I never told her that I did not read it. One day I gave to her daughter one of my favorite books to read. It was published by Ramakrishna Mission and it contained few short stories for young children. I loved this book, even at this age, for its simple manner in which valuable lessons were conveyed to the children, such lessons that were

equally significant to we adults. One of the stories I particularly remember was that of Ganesh as a child, and his mother Paarvati [*Sri Ramakrishna tells Stories - God resides in everyone*]. By a small incidence mother Paarvati teaches the lesson to child Ganesh that God resides in everyone, without exception, even in animals. Fatima, the daughter, was reading the story with interest, while Jennifer (earlier name Jeenat), the mother, came out of kitchen and took the book away from her daughter, and slightly reprimanded her for reading it.

I couldn't realize the significance of this at that time, because I was so very ignorant of the true nature of Christian faith. Now that I have read some parts of the Christian Bible, and learned how Christian God forbids Christians from any exposure to other religions, particularly to those who worship idols, and he insists that Christians must destroy those religions and their followers, as long as they live on this earth, I can understand the action by the Christian mother, in trying to stop the Christian child from the very childhood from getting to read anything of Hinduism, howsoever good for humanity that lesson may have been.

But at the same time, I find my young Hindu relatives bringing home from their Christian schools the books about Christianity, which the students are encouraged to read. So, as you see, it is one-way traffic. No question of exchange, it is only imposing one on the other, that's it! In a Christian school, Christian prayers can be uttered but Hindu prayers cannot be, though most students would be Hindu. Now, my fellow Hindus, don't you see the impending threat to your religion in these designs?

Or, you do not want to see? Has your mental impotence grown to such a degree that you have become totally indifferent to such one-way games that cannot be said to be fair, but you seem to have no concern left for fairness towards yourself. Do you only want to be fair to others, but not to yourself? Are your all duties are towards others, none towards yourself? Am I teaching you to be selfish, would you say? Has common sense left you totally? Has Gandhi and the likes made you totally incapable of thinking independently? Haven't you ever learned a few lessons in self-defense?

Now let us go back into their past and see how they were in earlier days, and learn the true reason from Arthur Frederick Ide as to why Rome turned against the Christians?

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, pp 119-120

Arthur Frederick Ide: One primary reason Rome turned against the Christians was that Christians were **violently intolerant**. Christians would not accept altars to gods other than their own even though the Romans offered an altar to the Christian god. Christians **spat** upon those who would **not** convert. They hid documents.

They **alienated families**. They prayed for the end of the empire and the enthronement of their god as the new king. These were actions, which were socially disconcerting, disrupting, and **dangerous**.

It was a news to me from H G Wells, and so may be to many of you, that almost every country in Europe, except England, at one time or another, been provoked to expel the Jesuits, so forget all those lies you have been told about how good Christians were, and start wondering as to why England happened to be the only exception?

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 121 fn

H G Wells: Almost every country in Europe **except England** had at one time or another been provoked to expel the **Jesuits**, and ... their obdurate persistence

in evildoing continues to this day.

So we see that almost every country in Europe found it necessary, at one time or other, to kick Christians out. This could not have been by accident. Hindu BhaaratVarsh has treated them better. And if you want to know how Christians have treated Hindu BhaaratVarsh, please see subsequent volumes of this work.

Here the interesting point to note is that it was only England, which never felt it necessary to kick Christians out. What could be the reason? Is it because they were more Christian than rest of the others? Probably, yes. Probably, that is why only they could create a British Empire that stood next to Christian Empire, in its magnitude. Do you see the analogy?

T G Percival Spear, reputed Cambridge historian, describes Roman Catholicism, as a tradition of intolerance in context of BhaaratVarsh

Source: T G Percival Spear, reputed Cambridge historian, commenting on the Portuguese in BhaaratVarsh in an *Encyclopedia Britannica* article, quoted in The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, pp 118-119

T G Percival Spear: Then came Roman Catholicism, which today has perhaps 5,000,000 followers and an array of churches, convents, and colleges **all over BhaaratVarsh**. A by-product has been a **tradition of intolerance, which still lingers.**

A reputed Cambridge historian has thus spoken of the intolerance amongst Christians in BhaaratVarsh. Hindus need to take a note of that. It is not Hindus who divided the Bhaaratiya society; it is Christians and Muslims who did it. We will provide ample evidence thereof in our forthcoming works.

Why is it that Thomas Jefferson declared Christian God as cruel, vindictive, capricious and unjust?

Jefferson, Thomas (1743-1826) 3rd President of the US 1801-9. He played a key role in the American leadership during the War of Independence and was principal drafter of the Declaration of Independence (1776) Oxford Dictionary p 979

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 120

Percival Spear's remarks about a 'tradition of intolerance' is unfortunately true to Christianity itself. **Jesus** was the **first religious teacher** in history to threaten those who did not agree with him with **eternal damnation**. This is the **only original idea** that he contributed to the world's vast body of religious thought, and in two millennia it has **destroyed nations and whole civilizations** and caused Thomas Jefferson to declare, "The **Christian God** is **cruel, vindictive, capricious and unjust**"

Damnation condemnation to eternal punishment in hell [p 463]. **Eternal** lasting or existing forever; without end or beginning [p 631]. **Capricious** given to sudden and unaccountable changes of mood or behavior [p 271]. **Unjust** not based on or behaving according to what is normally right and fair [p 2024]. **Vindictive** having or showing a strong or unreasoning desire for revenge [p 2062]. Oxford Dictionary

Don't you see how aptly Thomas Jefferson has described Christian God? You can visualize the character of the Christian God from the followers of the characters of the Christian God. Christian followers try to imbibe in themselves the character of the Christian God. Their conducts themselves reveal such character, disregard how much they try to hide it or cover it up with nice goody-goody words. A question to my Hindu fellow beings: Do you want your children to be raised to become one of them? Remember that the time they spend in their Christian school, outside home with friends from such Christian schools, inside home in front of television that throws up plenty of Christianized thoughts and ideas of the script writers (they don't mention that these are Christianized thoughts because they themselves do not realize that these are, nor do you realize because when you see or hear a thing again and again, over and again, you feel as if it is all part of you, nothing alien about it), the thrust presented by the TV serial directors who have also graduated from those Christian schools, all these together leave much deeper impression on your children's psyche as compared to the little time they spend with their parents in today's environment.

Arthur Frederick Ide tells us that Christian were not executed for their faith but only after their actions were seen as riot inducing, treasonous, detrimental to the family unit and especially dangerous to children

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 120

Arthur Frederick Ide: Contrary to the Christian apologist Justin, the Christians were not dispatched from this life because they were Christians.

Christians were executed **only after their actions** (not their beliefs) were seen as **riot inducing, treasonous, and detrimental to the family unit,**

and especially dangerous to the children.

No wonder the family unit has been so fast disintegrating in Christian society, and its ill effect is also showing up on Hindu society, which has been under Christian education for six generations now.

As for their actions being treasonous no more evidence is needed than what they did to Hindus after they came to BhaaratVarsh as refugees from Syria and Hindu rulers gave them land, grants and privileges. They lived on Hindu land for centuries and then, when Vasco da Gama arrived, they approached him and asked him to wage war against Hindus [details later].

Christians did not understand the meaning of the word loyalty in those days, nor do they understand it now. In ChristianWest, in their corporate organism, there is nothing like the word loyalty. That disease is fast spreading in Bhaaratiya corporate world as well, and we are so very proud of that culture now. But now that they are in power, which often they acquired by illegitimate means (take the history of BhaaratVarsh or of Native Americans or of Native Canadians or of Native New Zealanders or of Native Australians), and being in power they have white-washed their records and presented them differently by use of media and money power.

Well, lessons for Hindus are here everywhere, but are we willing to learn from the past, and the present? Are we alert about what is going on around us? Bhaaratiya media men and women infected with Christian education won't bring you the truth of today, so do not live under the mistaken impression that newspapers and magazines tell you all that you need to know, and for a change start reading books that bring you hidden truth at a substantial risk

It is not enough to grieve that Hindu community is so helpless in a predominantly Hindu nation. Nothing will substantially change until Hindus emerge united and powerful.

Powerful does not mean, one has to demonstrate power by suppressing the weak, for instance, President Bush's recent show of strength in Iraq. True to his Christian heritage of duplicity, he

spoke of WMDs while eyeing at Oil Wealth. Christian appetite for power and money is phenomenal.

To be strong, one has to be united. Strength has to be visible characteristic that others should come to respect with veneration. To be so, one has to be truly strong. To be strong, one has to be united.

Hindus have not been united in the manner Muslims and Christians were. It was good and bad. It was good because they did not impose Hinduism on others by force or coercion as did (and still do), the Muslims and the Christians. It was bad because Hindus could not wholly resist the barbaric and cunning onslaught by these two. That does not mean you need to turn evil like Muslims, Christians and Communists (FakeSecularists). At the same time, you need to realize that now the water has crossed the level of the neck. If Hindus do not unite now Hinduism will soon be drowning. Do not be overconfident.

Do not say if we have survived thousand years we should continue to survive another thousand. Survival with dignity and survival of the 'good' is important. Today 'good' has become weaker and 'bad' has become stronger. No point saying 'it is KaliYug'. While you may not be able to turn the course of the events fully, you are certainly not so very 'impotent' that you cannot even resist the evil.

Earlier Hinduism faced the onslaught from barbarians like Muslims who knew the only language of sword, cannon, rape, and murder. All this could not destroy Hinduism. Instead, it encouraged them to resist with all their might. Finally, Muslims were almost exhausted and Mogul rule was on its last leg.

But there are some Hindus who must find fault with Hindus alone for Muslim and Christian rule. These people are one of the many enemies of Hindus, because they only try to inject inferiority complex amongst Hindus. They would say, Hindus were not technologically advanced enough to fight these two, or Hindus were too complacent to meet the onslaught, and so on.

To let these skeptic fools acquire some wisdom, herein below we have some information about Hindu past. From these few examples given below they should try to understand Hindu character a little better, and if they feel that they know not enough

then they can refer to chapter one of *Arise Arjun awaken my Hindu nation*.

When they condemn Hindus, they do not realize that our Christian Education System has made them silent admirers of Aasuric religion Christianity and its imbedded traits, making them vocal assaulter of Hinduism. These people either speak so out of their own deep sense of inferiority complex that the Christian education system has successfully built in them, or, as the silent admirers of Christian thought process, they speak from the vanity of feeling superior compared to the rest of the Hindus.

Source: Mehren, Manuel de la Cosmographie du moyen age, traduction de Shems-ed-din Abou Abdallah de Damas, Paris, Leroux, 1874, p 391, quoted in INDIA what it can teach us? p 257

Max Muller: Again in the thirteenth century, Shems-ed din Abu Abdallah quotes the following judgment of Bedi ezr Zenan:

Bedi ezr Zenan: The Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus) are innumerable, like grains of sand, free from all deceit and violence. **They fear neither death nor life.**

Source: Samuel Johnson, India, p 294, quoted INDIA what it can teach us? p 52

Max Muller: In the 16th century, Abul Fazl, the minister of the Emperor Akbar, says in his Aayine Akbari:

Abul Fazl: The **Hindus** are religious, affable, cheerful, lovers of justice, given to retirement, able in business, admirers of truth, grateful and of unbounded fidelity; and **their soldiers know not what it is to fly from the field of battle.**

It was the time when Hindus could have recovered from the accumulated fatigue of thousand-year war with Muslims, but around that time came the Christians. Christians were a mix of barbarism and cunning of high order.

While it is necessary that Hindus must unite, it would not be

possible until Hindus have adequate awareness of what is truly happening around them.

Unfortunately Hindu leaders do not keep Hindus well informed. Many Hindu preachers/leaders are themselves so very ignorant about the facts that they tend to often pass on their ignorance to millions, in form of glorifying Christianity and Islam. I have overheard three of them (Hindu preachers - I won't take names because that is not the purpose here) *occasionally* influencing millions every morning (year 2003 on hired TV channels, again 2005) with wrong facts about Christianity and Islam.

These ignorant Hindu preachers do no less harm to Hinduism. Before becoming preachers and start hiring television channel for broadcast using their devotees' money, they need to study well Islam and Christianity from authentic sources and then verify for themselves what they have learned, if they must speak about these religions. Otherwise, they can avoid mention of these religions, which they don't understand very well.

Awareness of facts is the first thing that we need. Reading facts once, and then forgetting them, won't work. Facts have to be brought out repeatedly and frequently that they leave a permanent impression on Hindu psyche. This has to be done on war footing. Organizational support is a must. Financial support is exceedingly important in today's media driven world. Resourceful Hindus all over the world must put in whatever they can afford.

Now let us take a detour and learn about some of Dalit game played by Christian missionaries

Today most families have become single families, except prosperous business families where common business interest keeps them together under Hindu joint family system. This single-family system has been promoted by ChristianEnglish education system through past several generations, and this supposedly beneficial system has now spread all over Hindu society like plague.

At this point, please do not get into argument that Dalits are not part of Hindu society. This particular topic needs a separate and detailed discussion on its own merit. The subject will be addressed in depth in subsequent volumes of this work.

Since it has become fashionable to discuss only the demerits of

Hindu joint family system, there is a need to take a microscopic look at the Christian single-family system and its demerits. Someday, I will demonstrate with ample real life examples that this Christian system, which Jesus advocated, happens to be the worst nuisance to the institution of family. Jesus had claimed that he had come to the world to split the family and put each family member against the other, a fact that Christian missionaries hide very carefully lest their true face becomes visible. By injecting this separatist attitude, Jesus has done one of the greatest harms to the humanity. Most people today are the product of Christian education system and therefore, they fail to see anything wrong in this disease that Christianity has spread, because Christianity, true to its character, only shows the half-truth.

Let us go back to the event we described earlier, about Christians using Shiv Lingam as toilet seat on 13 August 2003. S V Badri in his letter to the Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu mentioned that the village consisted predominantly of Vanniars with Dalits outnumbering them in the colony. Let us think for a moment why are Dalits more in number?

First we go by modern logic. Economically poorer section of our society is often known to have one primary source of entertainment, and that is sex. This gives rise to greater number of children in the family. Dominant male member in such families are often known to indulge in drinking habits. It happens to be the general pass time at the end of the day when woman of the family is busy in the kitchen.

Being single-family system there are no elders in controlling position to restrict such liquor indulging habits. The influence of liquor robs one of logic and sense. The result is simple. One does what one pleases. The sex becomes often the cheapest mode of entertainment. End result is larger family. Larger family adds to the economic woes but influence of liquor habit keeps one indifferent towards the growing menace. The process leads to outnumbering more sensible section of the society. Over a period of time the ratio becomes very high.

Christian missionaries, supported by CommunistMarxist Intellectuals and FakeSecularist politicians gladly blame this tilting of scale to atrocities by other class naming them Hindus, and naming the rest as Dalits (oppressed). This is how they divide the society, create animosity, lure towards conversion into

Christianity stating a blatant lie that there would be no more disparity after conversion, and thus, the game goes on.

Hindus need to wake up, recognize this game plan, which has been working silently against them for centuries. Now it has grown to such proportions that the Hindu society has started bleeding towards death. Unless the Hindu society wakes up soon, and united-together acts to counter this move effectively, it would continue to bleed Hindu society till death.

We will discuss in depth on this issue in our forthcoming book, which offers a vastly different perspective on the issue of untouchability, and we will see how right from 16th century onwards, Christian missionaries had been practicing this.

So far we have spoken of modern logic, which is understood and accepted with relative ease. Now let us look at the ancient logic, which is based on the Laws of Creation, simply described in today's language 'Mother Nature's balancing system'.

Visualize a gigantic wheel and identify a point on it. You will notice that as the wheel moves on, this particular point that you have identified also moves on. You see it on the top, you see it on the horizon, and you see it at the bottom.

In a human society, the family of birth becomes a determining factor. A person who is born in an American or European family is generally more prosperous today than a person who is born in a Bhaaratiya family. Centuries ago, before Columbus and Vasco Da Gama set their sails in search of the land of plenty; BhaaratVarsh, the golden bird, the story was different. In those days, a person who was born in a Bhaaratiya family was essentially far more prosperous than a person who was born in an American or European family.

Nature has a slow but essentially a balancing phenomenon. The wheel moves on and the top reaches the bottom and the bottom reaches the top. This process is identifiable through human actions. The human becomes an instrument in giving shape to Nature's designs. In this case, Christianized English were the instrument.

British, who were essentially Christians, arrived in BhaaratVarsh centuries ago, and through their cunning (not uprightness), through their deception (not honesty), they acquired the imperialistic control over this nation, a desire that is something

ingrained in the blood stream of Christianity, and a methodology that is consistently practiced by them all over the globe. Over 150 years they converted the richest known land on the earth into one of the poorest. This is, so far, the greatest contribution of the Christianity driven British to the humanity. A nation that calls itself Great(?) Britain!

They robbed this nation, culturally and economically, but they taught Bhaaratiya people that they made us civilized. After all, they are the one who define what should be the contemporary meaning of being civilized!

Macaulayite Education system was in essence 'Christian' education system, which had one special motive amongst many, as written by Macaulay in his letter to his father, that through this education system he aimed at eliminating idolaters (Hindus), much the same way as Bible speaks of eliminating idolaters (who worship idols) with one difference that Macaulay wore the mask of 'civilized' whereas Bible spoke of it more in a barbaric fashion

Macaulay's Education system, for all practical purposes, was run by Christian missionaries. Admit it or not, it was essentially a Christianity driven education system.

As you continue your journey with me, you will learn that the very foundation of Christianity lies on a big fraud, and the whole structure of Christianity is erected on frauds after frauds.

Truth is something, which you may find hard to locate in Christianity's history. However, they made it a point to talk about truthfulness a lot, as often as they could, indicating their immense love for truthfulness. As a result of this, those who heard them, naturally carried the impression that those, who admire truthfulness so much, they themselves must be very truthful.

Christianity has acquired, over the years, great skills in lying successfully by use of money and media. They have one motto, you may be a thief, but you are not a thief until you are caught and proved. In fact, they went one step ahead. You are not a thief until majority of people believe that you are a thief. It hardly matters, whether you are caught and it is proved, or not!

The Vatican was caught laundering drug money [details later] but most people do not know about it. Mother Teresa was loaded

with crime money. She did not use this crime money for helping the poor of Calcutta [details later]; standing on whose shoulders she managed the Nobel Prize. But most people know nothing about it, so she has been put on fast track to Sainthood!

Thus, they can be liars, they can be thieves, they may be caught red handed, charges proved, but so long as they can manage to hush up the things by use of their enormous money and purchased media, they are saintly people respected by the world, and they are the guides to the humanity giving it directions for the future! Do we need to wonder, in which direction [details later] the humanity would move under their able stewardship?

Macaulay provides evidence of his Christian thought in his own words when he says "there will not be a single idolater among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence." He uses the word idolater. The fifth book of the Bible is Deuteronomy. Section 13 of Deuteronomy has been titled, "Idolaters to be put to death" An idolater is one who worships an idol, that is, a Hindu.

And, Macaulay prophecy came true in less than 50 years, only two generations were sufficient to turn the table

Source: Michel Danino quoted in IndiaCause Newsletter 17 August 2003

That was what Macaulay, again, summarized best when he proudly wrote his father in 1836: "Our English schools are flourishing wonderfully.... It is my belief that if our plans of education are followed up, there will not be a single idolater among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence."

This reminded me of "M" about whom Aldous Huxley writes in his foreword to *The Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna* "Never have the casual and unstudied utterances of a great religious teacher been set down with so minute fidelity. Making good use of his natural gifts and the circumstances in which he found himself, "M" produced a book unique, so far as my knowledge goes, in the literature of hagiography." Aldous Huxley, Foreword, pp v.

Hagiography the writing of the lives of saints Oxford Dictionary p 826

Who was "M"? Aldous Huxley describes: "M", as the author modestly styles himself, was peculiarly qualified for his task. Ref: Aldous Huxley, Foreword, pp v. His name was Mahendra Nath Gupta. He was the headmaster/principal of Vidya Saagar School. *The Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna* was originally recorded in Bengali,

in five volumes, by M. Later it was translated into English, also by Swami Nikhilananda [1895-1973] founder of Ramakrishna-Vivekananda Center, New York, USA.

The diary of "M" recorded (in February 1882) the details of his first argument with the Master.

Source: The Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna, p 80

"But, sir," he said to the Master, "one should explain to those who worship the clay image that it is not God, and that, while worshipping it, they should have God in view and not the clay image. One should not worship clay."

This tells us that Macaulay's prophecy did become a reality in less than 50 years time. He had mentioned, "There will not be a single idolater among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence." And, "M" (being the headmaster/principal of respected Vidya Saagar School) is a befitting example. His diary recorded this event *forty-five years* after Macaulay wrote to his father.

Now meet some worthy children of Macaulay and peep into their minds

On Thursday evening, 15 January 2004, I was at Birla Maatushri Hall at Church Gate in Mumbai. I heard the distinguished speaker say, Jawaharlal Nehru used to say: I am a Hindu by accident. Now that I sit down to write these lines, this thought occurs to me, and I shall be blatant disregarding the harshness of word chosen in this context. I would want to share with you the thoughts, honestly, as they surfaced my mind, though some may argue that it crosses the limits of decency when we speak of someone so celebrated a personality as Jawaharlal Nehru.

Coming back to his feelings that he was born a Hindu by accident! Had he have a bit of intelligence, Jawaharlal would have realized that it was not his misfortune, but the misfortune of the Hindu community that he was born a Hindu. Till today, Hindu community is paying the price for his birth in Hindu community, and it will many-many years before the damage he has done can be rectified.

What better can be expected of someone (Jawaharlal) who was born of a father like Motilal Nehru? The speaker at Birla Maatushri narrated yet another instance. Motilal had been to Europe. He

checked in a hotel. He was asked to write his name, religion and other details in the register maintained for guests at the hotel. He wrote his name, his religion as Hindu, and added an unwarranted comment that it was because of his sins of earlier births that he was born a Hindu.

Motilal was so very ashamed of being a Hindu that he wrote so. He was not asked to write his comments on Hinduism in the Register of Guests kept at the hotel. But he wanted to tell the world how lowly he thought of Hinduism. How did those thoughts enter his thick skull? Naturally, the Christian missionaries, through their education system, did a pretty good job on him. He was a well-known barrister, considered to be a super rich one, and a good one at his profession.

He considered his present birth as a Hindu was on account of sins he had committed in his earlier births. Probably, he would have been happier born as a Christian? Did he realize that present day Christianity does not endorse that a human could have had earlier births? What was he then, a hotchpotch of Hindu and Christian thought?

Have you wondered why non-English educated people want to send their children to Christian schools?

National pride lacks significantly amongst English educated elite in particular (English educated masses in general) and they continue to occupy places of importance in the society. They keep blabbering their allegiance towards their Western masters (till today).

They monitor and influence anything that is of any significance in national policy and its administration. As a result, the rest of the people (who are not English educated) look up to these powerful ones with awe. And, they want their children to get English education, so that their children too, can become influential, when they grow up.

In this manner, we keep producing generation after generation, and keep harvesting the stooges of Westernity [effectively Christianity], who always look up to the West (ChristianWorld) for everything that they admire, and simultaneously, look down upon (and suppress and gradually destroy) anything good that may have been left of our Eastern (Hindu) heritage. This is how they keep sabotaging Hindu society.

No true and lasting solution will ever emerge until we go back to the root and reinstate Hindu education system, Hindu life system, Hindu value system.

Nothing much can be achieved unless and until we discard his conspiratorial education system 'totally and thoroughly from its root' and replace it by what had earlier produced those excellent characters as described by many-many foreign observers [details later]

Modern day stooges will not allow that to happen. These stooges include our present day bureaucrats, politicians, journalists, judges and educationists [see *Ayodhya Shri Raam Mandir facts that did not reach you all*].

The only hope we have is from common people. Though these people themselves are product of the same system but they have less self-interest to protect that system. Therefore, they might agree to eliminate it, once convinced.

But for the stooges, they won't do anything except defending it because they enjoy wholly the fruits of this system after their ChristianBritish masters have left. They have simply occupied those vacant ChristianBritish chairs for grinding their own axes.

Please do not wait for God to interfere; those who preach you that whatever is happening around is happening with the knowledge and will of God, they are only showing you the escapist way

God has given us the free will to protect our individual and collective interests by ourselves. We should not be expecting Him to interfere in day-to-day happenings of this world.

I have come across some Hindu preachers who tend to say that nothing in this world happens without the will of God and, therefore, all that is happening around us must be happening with His consent.

They want to focus their attention on God, which is fine. They do not want to get mixed up with all this, is also fine because it is their individual decision for their own self. They want to escape their individual responsibility towards the world around them - it is their decision, right or wrong! But they have no right to mislead the rest of the people saying that this all is happening with the

Will of God. That would amount to teaching them how to escape their individual responsibility towards the world around them.

They say it because of their part understanding of the Creation process. Part understanding is not good. The preacher must understand the whole, or must not preach.

Now about the understanding of the Creation process, it is a matter of profound intensity, and I would want to discuss this in depth in another work. This one is not the right place, as touching upon that issue on the surface wouldn't suffice. One needs to go really deep in this subject to do justice with it, and to make the point drive home.

Each one of us has the individual responsibility to stand against injustice because it is Adharm. If we do not, we suffer, and such suffering becomes a cyclic process. It does not cease, instead it keeps compounding.

How long do you wish to live under the rosy view that ChristianWest brought us the technology and advancement?

Many of us have become so blind that we cannot see beyond what they showed us, and many of us believe that ChristianBritish did so much for modernizing BhaaratVarsh, for instance bringing railways.

They do not realize that ChristianBritish did not bring railways to BhaaratVarsh to help Hindus. They brought railways to help themselves, so that they could mobilize their troops quickly from one place to another to keep Hindus enslaved.

They also fail to realize that ChristianBritish did not bring railways to BhaaratVarsh for free; they charged a price for it and that price was many times more than that they would have got by selling it to any other independent nation. In case of BhaaratVarsh, they were the buyers as the rulers of BhaaratVarsh, and at the same time, they themselves were the sellers as the British nation, and therefore, there was simply no question of price negotiations. How else do you think that ChristianBritish turned the world's richest nation into one of the poorest within a matter of hundred and ninety years (1757-1947)?

Christian Britain systematically destroyed Bhaaratiya indigenous industry and dumped on us, at a price many times more than the normal price, excess production of their industrial revolution, which otherwise they would have had to dump into nearest Sea

Do you recollect the statement of Sir Thomas Munro, the eminent Governor of Madras Presidency?

If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury,

Did they have any magic by which they turned this extraordinarily prosperous nation into a very-very poor nation? No, they simply destroyed all Bhaaratiya indigenous industries, and at the same time they needed to sell their products of industrial revolution, so they found a ready market in BhaaratVarsh, or else, they would have had to dump their excess production into the nearest sea. This happened to be one of many ways, which they used to suck the lifeblood of BhaaratVarsh.

There is another myth that many of English educated Hindus love to cherish till today: that Christian British brought us technology. They fail to realize, how did those nations get technology that were not under Christian British rule? How did Germany in the West and Japan in the East beat Christian British hollow though Christian British did not bring them the technology?

What they fail to realize that if the cycle of time were moving in a certain direction it would influence all concerned. BhaaratVarsh would have developed technology on its own at a much cheaper cost than those Christian British who looted us for all the imported technology.

Hindus had different concept about technology. We did not develop killer technology because we did not believe in hatred the way Christians and Muslims did.

On the other hand, bloodthirsty Christians believed in technology that could kill and therefore, they developed guns, canons, and destructive nuclear, and biological warfare technology. A great contribution to humanity, indeed! What better can be expected

of those who believe in killing only for action, and peace for talks; dual standard bearers.

Come with me and learn something about great Hindu technologies that Christian British systematically wiped out and taught us we had nothing worth its name. Prof MacDonnell tells us that European surgery has borrowed the operation of rhinoplasty (formation of artificial noses) from BhaaratVarsh and that Hindus had attained such finesse in surgery that they could cut a hair longitudinally! Would you not want to ask yourself a question: When Hindu surgeons had attained such finesse that they could cut hair by its length, would they have been primitive in other areas of science? Would they have reached such heights by a straight jump to that level without passing through earlier stages of development that would have been necessary to reach there?

Source: Prof MacDonnell, *History of Sanskrit Literature*, quoted in Vastu Relevance to Modern Times, Niranjan Babu, p 148

Prof MacDonnell: European surgery has borrowed the operation of rhinoplasty (*formation of artificial noses, plastic surgery) from BhaaratVarsh. They had attained such finesse in surgery that they could cut a hair longitudinally!

The ancient medical men beginning from the great Dhanwantari (whose prescriptions were said to be infallible), Sushrut, Charak and others down the line were bold and skilful in their surgery. They conducted amputations, arrested bleeding by pressure,

bandage or boiling oil, performed operations in the abdomen and uterus, cured hernia, fistula and piles, set broken bones and dislocations and could deftly remove foreign substances from the body. The great **surgeon** Sushrut is said to have advised dissection of dead bodies to a student of surgery and stressed the importance of knowledge gained from experiment and observation.

Now do not be extra smart to claim that all these information are creation of fiction. Visualize a situation that our "modern civilization and its scientific achievements" are destroyed by a bunch of barbarians (like Muslim invaders) and another bunch of cunning lot (like Christian conspirators). However, the information on present day developments is somehow saved. But then the subsequent non-Christian generations, through their non-Christian education system, can proclaim all that as nothing but work of fiction. Will that make the reality of "today" a matter of fiction?

Both looted Hindu riches. One destroyed the other eliminated, Hindu learning. Barbarian champions of Islam invaded BharatVarsh and looted and raped and put fire to its educational institutions. Arrived then the cunning Christians and they eliminated Hindu education system, withdrew all educational grants to Hindu systems of learning, and diverted all funding to support Christian Britain's technology and educational systems.

After all, Christian Britain needed to sell its allopathic medicines to Hindus at high prices so that they could bleed Hindus financially and at the same time, make Christians richer. They looted us in a civilized Christian way, not in barbaric Muslim way.

European surgery borrowed Technology from Hindus: Rhinoplasty - Plastic Surgery of Noses. Does our Christian education system tell us that? No, it does not. On the contrary, it tells us that we learned Plastic Surgery from the Christian West. Aren't they first-rate liars? But then, this happens to be a very small lie. Christianity specializes in lies and frauds. Frauds in respect of history are a way of life with Christianity. It has been so common place that it has become the second nature of Christianity [see forthcoming volumes].

Christianity as a Grown-up Tree

Christianity has grown into a system of Financial Racketeering but it has also mastered the technique of putting up a mask that has great public appeal

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, pp 121-122

Christianity, and especially Roman Christianity, has very little to do with religious faith. It is and has always been a system of imperialist politics and financial racketeering practiced under the guise of religion.

Finance the management of large amounts of money [p 685]
Financial of or relating to finance [p 686] **Racket** an illegal or dishonest scheme for obtaining money **Racketeer** A person who engages in dishonest and fraudulent business dealings [p 1527]
Oxford Dictionary

Does this definition hold good for Christianity? Yes, indeed, it does. But no point saying it, we need to prove it with evidence. So let us proceed. Things have changed now. Christianity cannot behave like it did earlier. So it needs a different mask to give itself new identity. Christianity cannot continue any more the way once 1st Pope of Christianity, or Pope Alexander VI, or Saint Francis Xavier operated. They need to change the method of operation with time, and Christianity is quite adept at it. Let us see how it is done these days. But first let us look at the root, so you know the nature of influence at the origin.

Have you known that one of the Supreme Spiritual heads of Christianity, Pope Pius XII shared Nazi gold loot? I hadn't known about it until a year and half ago; Christianity has perfected the art of hiding truth

Let us see why Pope Pius XII supported Hitler during Second World War.

In **1944 alone** the **Nazi contribution** to the **Vatican** amounted to over \$100 million—worth nearly **a billion and half** in today's values. The Vatican managed also to get a **large share of the Nazi gold looted** from the Jews of Europe during the Second World War.

This has now become a **major scandal** in Europe. While **Swiss banks** are opening up their records, the Vatican has maintained secrecy over its **collaboration with the Nazis**, including its participation in the looting of the Jews of Europe.

Let us understand how Christianity is a 'product' to be marketed well and Pope heads that marketing outfit; let us also understand what shapes their thought process and belief system

Through our subsequent works, we will show you, how big a business it is for Christianity to convert Hindus into Christianity.

Christianity is a product to be marketed and Pope heads that marketing outfit. We will also see that it is a product that does not have a direct genuine consumer demand, because the product itself is lousy and fraudulent [details later]. Therefore, it becomes necessary to create an artificial demand. And we all know that to create an artificial demand one needs plenty of money.

This leads to the next question as to how one gets that money. Generally, when we sell an ongoing product it generates revenue and from that revenue we feed marketing budget. Here the product

is faith, that is, faith in Christianity. So that faith must generate revenue.

N S Rajaram tells us that some European countries, Germany in particular, levy a 'church tax' of about two percent on every wage earner and thus, the believers in Christianity are taxed for their faith. But then comes the merger of the East and West Germany and resulting economic hardships. So more and more German Christians start claiming themselves as non-Christians and thereby, avoid paying church tax.

That creates serious resource crunch for the church. Next question, how does church deal with the gloomy situation? As the church deems itself beyond law and ethics, it adopts unique ways for generation of revenue to feed their marketing budget.

Let us see what led Vatican Bank, a Cardinal and an Archbishop to get involved with drug money laundering, and try to understand what kind of disastrous effect it can have on youngsters in the society, and also let us see a few real life instances; then let us know, what makes a Supreme Spiritual leader like one of the Popes to collect 10% of earnings of lepers and prostitutes; ask yourself as to what makes money so very dear to these people of immense spirituality, who work for the Christian God, and how do they raise their followers to become like them

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 135

Loss of revenue following Europe's rejection of Christianity has led the Church to resort to extreme measures including collaboration with **narcotics traffickers** and **drug money laundering**.

The **Vatican Bank** for years has been acting as a conduit for **drug money**. The Chief, **Archbishop Marcinkus** is wanted by the **police**, in several countries.

He has avoided arrest only by staying within the walls of the Vatican, which enjoys immunity as a sovereign state. Investigations in Britain, Germany, Switzerland and Italy have shown that the Vatican is deeply involved in the international drug trade.

Archbishop The chief bishop responsible for a large district Oxford Dictionary, p 86

So, we see higher they rise; the bigger crook they become, in Christianity.

Reading these things, a few questions come to my mind: How is it that Vatican Bank's Chief Archbishop Marcinkus, wanted by the police in several countries, could avoid arrest by staying within the walls of the Vatican? Did this happen with the permission of Pope? Was Vatican Bank's Chief Archbishop Marcinkus acting with explicit consent of Pope?

Why did Pope approve Vatican's involvement in drug money laundering? Is it because Vatican needed more money? Why would Vatican need more money? Is it to 'buy' more Christians from other religions, something that they name as 'evangelization' and 'Proselytizing' in Christianity's civilized language?

What would be the effect of Vatican's involvement into drug money laundering? Would it be converting younger generations into drug addicts? How important is money? Is it so very important? What would be the purpose of Vatican's sharing Nazi gold loots? What use would it have been put to? Would it have been used for 'buying' new Christians?

What kind of moral value will they have whose rebirth into the new religion 'Christianity' has been nourished by the blood of innocents who were tortured, burnt, and killed by Nazis or the younger generations who have been turned into drug addicts? If we nourish the plant with poison, what would the grown up tree yield? May be some day coming generations will ask these questions to pope?

The following recent episode will go to show how deep must be the links between the Vatican and drug traffickers. In **May 1993, Cardinal** Posadas-Ocampo, the highest-ranking Church official in Mexico was assassinated in the Guadalajara airport.

Later investigations showed that the **Cardinal** had been acting as **an agent of** the notorious **Colombian drug lord** Pablo Escobar...

It is now known that the Cardinal had been trying to arrange safe asylum in Mexico for Escobar. Escobar himself was, later gunned down by the Colombian authorities assisted by the US Drug enforcement agents showing that he was badly in need of a safe asylum.

...

There have been many such scandals, but this is enough to show how far removed the Church is from any spiritual concerns.

So, these characters are called Cardinals. What great spiritual leaders are these: they must be showing great path to the Christians!

Cardinal A leading dignitary of the Roman Catholic Church. Cardinals are nominated by the Pope, and from the Sacred College, which elects succeeding popes (now invariably from among their own member) Oxford Dictionary, p 275

Now let us try to understand the significance of this definition given by Oxford Dictionary, in practical terms. A Cardinal is nominated by Pope, and later one of them becomes the next Pope. So, here we see a Cardinal acting as an agent of a drug lord. If he does his job well, and if he does not get killed in the process, he stands a bright chance for becoming the next Pope. Who else could better manage an empire for financial racketing, as is the Christian Church?

Our modern day reporting system has a unique approach. It deals with issues separately like modern allopathic treatment (if there is a boil on the body they will treat the boil and ignore the root cause of it). Our whole outlook has become like that: we do not wish to connect the cause and effect and see the relationship between the two.

Let us trace the connection between the following two news reports with what we have seen happening in the Christian spiritual world of drug money laundering! Now our most modern religion Christianity's highest spiritual leader the Pope and his able assistants like Cardinals and Archbishops have pushed our modern society deeper into being drug addicts.

Source: *The Free Press Journal*, 23 June 2003, p 7

London: In a major embarrassment to British Prime Minister Tony Blair, his sister-in-law Lauren Booth has decided to quit 'crime-ridden' Britain and live in the South of France, reports PTI. Lauren, sister of Cherie Blair, said she has been driven from the country by the drug-pushers and muggers who plague the streets around her London home. "I've been a Londoner all my life but now I am moving to France, driven out by the grime and the crime that have made so much of this city unlivable in."

They have not left behind the women folk. After all, modern ChristianWest treats women equally or at least pretends (they won't elect a woman President for USA) to do that. BhaaratVarsh is not far behind because most of its educational institutions are Christian missionary funded and guided. Result of it is what follows:

Source: *The Free Press Journal*, 23 June 2003, p 3

New Delhi: The involvement of women in drug trafficking

has gone up to alarming proportions with the number of women operators arrested in the first six months of this year exceeding the total of the entire last year," Deputy Commissioner of police (narcotics and Crime Prevention) DL Kashyap stated.

Hats off to you Pope, Archbishop and Cardinals of Christianity who have shown the wonderful way of how to make a living to the women folk. You are worthy of our admiration! You are the truly spiritual gurus of Aasuric culture that is Christianity. You will definitely succeed in taking humanity to dogs. This is how you will probably get them salvation that Pope you claimed Christianity 'alone' can get. What a great spiritual leader of the world you are, and how great followers you have!

Source: *The Free Press Journal*, 24 June 2003, p 3

Shiv Khera: Educating the mind without morals creates a maniac in society.

This is precisely what Christianity does to its followers!

It is not the first time popes have been collecting illegitimate money.

Source: *The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 122 fn

When the Portuguese (*Christians) were attempting to evangelize BhaaratVarsh – 'to instruct the inhabitants in Catholic Faith and good morals' as decreed by the Pope – the **Pope himself** was **taxing lepers** and **prostitutes** in Rome, ten percent of their incomes, and was doing this on the authority of Catholicism's greatest theologian St. Thomas Aquinas.

Just think of the character of these people who call themselves Saints (Thomas Aquinas) and Popes. These are shame to humanity. They want to teach the world good morals, themselves who have no morals at all!

St Thomas Aquinas (1225-74), Italian philosopher, theologian, and Dominican friar; known as the Angelic Doctor. He is regarded as the

greatest figure of scholasticism; one of his most important achievements was the introduction of the work of Aristotle to Christian Western Europe. His works include commentaries on Aristotle as well as the *Summa Contra Gentiles*, intended as a manual for missionaries, and *Summa Theologiae*, the greatest achievement of the medieval systematic theology. He also devised the official Roman Catholic tenets. Oxford Dictionary, p 83

Our Christianized Journalists are so much in love with money that they can sell their souls and they show no love for truth

Our major newspaper publishing houses that control the lion's share of publishing business be it in English or Hindi or other regional languages - these papers have been pretty careful about keeping the lid on any such information, which could have a significant blow to Christian missionary operations.

Why - that would be any body's guess. Missionaries in BharatVarsh operate with enormous amount of money, glimpses of which we will provide you in our subsequent publications.

And, you should know that today journalism is more of money game than anything else. A major newspaper like *The Times of India* selling their editorial space for money has been in the news sometime ago. I generally keep newspaper cuttings because I would not want to invent news, but in this case I could not trace it. Therefore, I called Shri M V Kamath on phone now - 16 October 2003 around 5 PM - to reconfirm if my memory did not fail me that a couple of months ago, he wrote an article in *The Free Press Journal* editorial page, which dealt with the topic concerning kind of a war between *Hindustan Times* of Delhi and *The Times of India* to grab greater readership, in which both did some mudslinging at each other, and in that process a few facts - rather business secrets - that were otherwise carefully hidden from the common public, came out in the open. In this war of accusations *Hindustan Times* blamed *The Times of India* for selling its editorial space, a fact that was not refuted by *The Times of India*. Shri M V Kamath readily confirmed that this was in the news and I was satisfied that my memory did not fail me. I had not personally read these allegations, which happened to be facts, as they were not refuted, because I do not get to see Delhi editions of these papers. What better example would you need if editorial comments are available for sale in the market place like commercial advertisement spots!

In other words, editor writes and people think that it is he who is writing it, but actually he writes my views if I am able to pay for the space in which he writes. So, I need money to buy his pen! What kind of credibility from these newspapers can you expect who can sell their souls and stoop to this level?

These editors are big name journalists. They set the standards for journalism. Following their footprints, the small timers ape them aspiring to become like them.

These big guns happen to be well-respected names in the profession of journalism. If this happens to be their character, what better character would you expect from those who follow these leaders of journalism?

These are the people in today's big mass media business, whose prime business is money making, and as we will see later that their childhood-adolescence-days Christian education influence has lot to do with it.

Incidentally, they also happen to be the one who champion themselves as the secularists, who favor no religion. Between the lines you need to read, they favor no religion because they have no religion of their own, except the religion of money, power and fame, which again happens to be the 'true' religion of Christianity, as you will see through my works.

The specialty of these FakeSecularists, however, is that they double it up in its effect: first, by their childhood-adolescence-days Christian education influence and then, youth-time CommunistMarxistInfluence. In totality, they make doubly dangerous combination.

To acquire money, power and fame they can sell their souls. Basically, a FakeSecularist is one, who does not believe in God, who has no religion except one, that is, opportunism. And, Christianity trains them how to put a beautiful mask on their face so no one can easily detect their true identity.

They keep politicians on the forefront, projecting them as root cause of all evil, pretending themselves the innocent ones.

Christian educated elites who administer our nation pull money out of Hindu temples, let them face closure, and pour that money into Christian churches, Muslim madarsas,

and for journey to Mecca for Hajj, while Hindu devotees are made to pay, during Kumbh Mela, for each dip into Ganga; thus, Hindu pays, Christian-Muslim enjoys

Hindus voluntarily donate at the temples out of their respect towards the places of worship. Then government takes away the collections of these temples. Then the temples are allowed to starve for funds, and many are forced to close down. Funds so collected are cleverly diverted towards Christian and Muslim institutions, churches and mosques.

Who maneuvers such moves? The elite who administer the nation, and the politicians, most of them have Christianized psyche through Christian education system. However, it has become fashionable to blame politicians alone, whose image has been popularized through media exposures, as those of an uneducated corrupt person.

In this manner public attention is never allowed to get focused at others, who do the real work of managing 'the business of the government' quietly behind the screen.

Here we have a few numbers. For the year 2002-03 Congress ruled government of Karnaataka collected 79 Crores (790 million) from Hindu temples. Less than 10% of such collection (7.1 Crore) was spent on temple renovation and maintenance. But more than 16% of Hindu temple revenue was spent on development of Christian institutions and churches. More than 74% (58 + 1.15 million) of Hindu temple revenue was spent on development of Muslim madarasas, mosques, and Hajj subsidy to visit Saudi Arabia. These numbers are from the Government records. You can see a copy of the exact replica of Finance Department's document as published as a *Hindu Voice* exclusive in December 2003 issue on page 3.

While Hindu paid tax is spent on Hajj subsidy, Saudi Arabia earns \$1.3 billion from HAJJ (www.dawn.com) (www.hinduvoice.net newsletter of 12 February 2004). The Chief Minister of Karnaataka carries on his shoulder a well-respected Hindu name, the name of Bhagavaan Shri Krishn but he writes it in Christianized Anglicized fashion as Krishnā. I wouldn't be surprised, if he turns out to be a Christian in disguise of a Hindu, to fool Hindus. At least, one of such Congress Chief Minister, Ajit Jogi's secret is now out in public. Though his name sounds like a respected Hindu Yogi (Jogi) but he, in reality, happens to be a Christian. *Similarly,*

there many FakeSecularists and Christians who regularly fool Hindus by carrying a Hindu name.

Source: Anjali Patel, www.IndiaCause.com, 29 October 2003

5,000 temples in Karnaataka to be closed down due to lack of funding and maintenance. During Kumbh Mela in Naasik each Hindu was forced to pay Rs 25 to Rs 50 for a dip in the holy water. Today, if a Hindu or Sikh wishes to visit holy places in Kailaash MaanSarovar or Gurudwaara in Pakistan, leave alone subsidy, they are forced to shell out large amount of money to visit their holy places.

But the same government [administered by these elites] dares not touch the revenue of rich mosques. Such revenue gets channeled into funding thousands and thousands of madarasas across the country that raise Islamic terrorists [who covertly support activities like serial bomb blasts; recent case 25 August 2003 in Mumbai]. All this happens in the name of secularism and for the greed of Muslim vote bank. On occasions mosques have been found to hoard armaments that had been used for killing Hindus [recent case of Maraad beach massacre of fishermen in Kerala] but that does not bother our elite, administrators.

Our elites [those who govern the nation in one way or another; or, those who are branded as 'intellectuals' and are able to influence public opinion through media coverage or by selecting material for textbooks] have a special character. They lose no opportunity for poking fun at Hindus who make offerings to their deities but at the same time they do not seem to appreciate the voluntary nature of such offerings. These elites, however, turn a blind eye to church taxing its faithful in many different ways.

If we look at the upbringing of these elites and those who write frequently in English dailies and periodicals we will find them coming from the same source, namely Christian education system.

Meet another Saint in the making, named Mother Teresa and her love for Crime money; learn about her Charles Keating and Duvalier connections

We Hindus have raised Mother Teresa to sky. Something we do to many who are least worthy of it. Let us look at the real faces of these Christian missionaries to learn their true characters and

thereafter ask ourselves: should we send our children to such missionary schools to be raised to become one like them?

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 140

Among her special favorites were Charles Keating – now serving a ten-year sentence in a California prison – and Robert Maxwell who committed suicide when the Scotland Yard got too close to him. Both had swindled hundreds of millions of dollars from their depositors and investors. Paul W Turley, the California Deputy District Attorney who prosecuted Keating stated that Keating stole more than \$900 million! Many individuals lost their life savings. Turley wrote: "The victims of Keating's fraud come from a wide spectrum of society. ... Most were people of modest means and unfamiliar with high finance."

Why did Keating give more than a million dollar to Mother Teresa? When his case was being tried, she wrote to the trial judge Lance Ito asking him to show clemency towards Keating because: "He has always been kind and generous to God's poor."

In addition, she appealed to the judge to: "Look into his heart and do what Jesus would have done in that circumstances."

Learning to this appeal, District Attorney Turley wrote back to Mother Teresa: "I submit the same challenge to you. Ask yourself what Jesus would have done if he were given the fruits of the crime ...money that had been stolen. ...I submit that Jesus would promptly return the money to its rightful owners. ...Do not keep the money. Return it to the rightful owners." But Mother Teresa ignored his appeal and kept the stolen money. This was not the only such instance.

As we will see soon that she never spent that money stolen from the poor to help the poor of Calcutta. Instead she used it for buying new Christians. Now let us hear about the best selling piece of investigative journalism by Christopher Hitchens:

Source: The editorials review of Christopher Hitchens, *The Missionary Position: Mother Teresa in Theory and Practice*, www.amazon.com

The most riveting material in the book is contained in two letters: one from Mother Teresa to Judge Lance Ito—then weighing what sentence to dole out to the convicted Keating—which cited all the work Keating has done “to help the poor,” and another from a Los Angeles deputy DA, Paul Turley, back to Mother Teresa that eloquently stated that rather than working to reduce Keating’s sentence, she should return the money he gave her to its rightful owners, the defrauded bondholders. (Significantly, Mother Teresa never replied.) And why do former missionary workers and visiting doctors consistently observe that the order’s medical practices seem so inadequate, especially given all the money that comes in? (Hitchens acidly observes that on the other hand, Mother Teresa herself always manages to receive world-class medical care.) Hitchens’s answer is that Mother Teresa is first and foremost interested not in providing medical treatment, but in furthering Catholic doctrine and—quite literally—becoming a saint.

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, pp 140-141

She helped produce political propaganda films for the notorious dictator Duvalier of Haiti and his wife Michele who stole billions of dollars from their impoverished country before running away to Spain. Mother Teresa not only accepted millions from Dictator Duvalier (who was guilty of mass executions), but also honors and decorations from his bloody hands. What is it about Christian missionaries, from Mother Teresa to Pat Robertson that draws them to such thieves and mass murderers as Duvalier and Mobutu?

Haiti From 1957 to 1986 the country was under the oppressive dictatorship of the Duvalier family Oxford Dictionary, p 827

Have you ever noticed that they do so little but show such a lot; look here at Dr Robin Cox, Mary Loudon, Anne Sebba reports; look at Mother Teresa’s hidden bank balance of 50 million dollars, but not a cent of it for poor of Calcutta; those poor suffered badly in her make-believe hospital, while the world learned they were being served by Mother Teresa

I too like a fool donated her money out of sheer ignorance.

Bhaaratiya Press slept and did not do their job for all they needed was to go and look at her hospitals.

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 138

In her home for the dying, no medication or painkillers are allowed even to terminally ill patients. Even her child-care institution in Calcutta is a cheerless place where children have neither toys nor playgrounds.

They are told only to pray.

Reading this I have wondered, whom they were supposed to pray? Would that be anyone other than Jesus? In the disguise of prayer, would it be a clever ploy to convert the kids into Christianity from their very childhood? These kids may not even know the difference between two religions! Many months later my doubt was reconfirmed when I read the following:

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 122 fn

Mother Teresa likes to tell her international donor audiences, from whom she collects millions of tax-free dollars for missionary enterprise, that what BhaaratVarsh really need is Jesus.

We observe that the former Yugoslavia, Northern Ireland, South Africa, Rwanda, Brazil, the Philippines - to name a few - all have Jesus, and have had him for some time, but that he does not seem to have done any of them any moral, spiritual, or material good.

Some time during late 2000 I boldly announced to my aunt that if there was a true Karm-Yogi in today's world, it was Mother Teresa, who did service to humanity without seeking any return for it. What a fool was I, now I feel! Sometime early 1999 I picked up *Mother Teresa: A Complete Authorized Biography* by Kathryn Spink from the shelf of Flemingdon Library in North York, Toronto. It was supposed to be the only authorized biography of Mother Teresa, which was to be published only after her death. Innocently I believed author's version that Mother Teresa wanted to maintain a low profile because her dedication was to the masses, serving those whom no one cared for. It never crossed my mind

that publication of it after her death was a master-stroke. No one wants to dig up the skeletons after the death of someone otherwise so honorable. On the contrary, after death even not so honorable rogues are also known to have been honored. Publication during her lifetime could have attracted few investigative eyes but after death, all hard feelings are generally buried.

Why then am I digging up past skeletons, not letting her soul (or ghost) rest in peace? It is not because I felt cheated. I have every reason to be angry on being cheated but that does not put me on to write this. It is detest for fraud, hatred towards presenting untruth and pass it for truth that troubles me more, because, this is something that does great harm to humanity. Never worship false gods is fine to say, but more important is never worship fraudulent gods.

Mother Teresa wasn't here to serve the masses. She was here to convert the masses on the guise of service, which scantily she provided. I was offended when I had asked my uncle, who lived great part of his life in Calcutta (now Kolkata), if he had visited Mother Teresa's place of work and he responded in negative. I thought it was a case of gross indifference towards someone so noble and so great but now I know it wasn't so; instead it was the true reflection of Mother Teresa's true worth in Kolkata, and her true worth was determined by her true contribution to the poor of Kolkata.

When we speak here of her true contribution, we mean 'true' to the letter, not the advertised contribution, which the ChristianWest is so fond of making a big show of. It happens to be a typical Western tendency to do little and show a lot. I lived part of my life in the West. I observed them carefully and as I examine more of their present and the past, I find deep influence of Christianity and hypocrisy, as these two things go together. Hypocrisy because what they show is not what they are, but unfortunately, they do not always realize consciously that they are being such hypocrites.

The whole Western culture is marketing-driven. And, you should know that any culture that is marketing-driven would only show what sells! If you were to tell the whole truth, most products would never sell. If you do not find out for yourself what has not been told to you, it is your problem, that's the simple attitude. And, it originates from Christianity, because if you examine carefully, Christianity is nothing but mass marketing of a product called

'salvation/redemption' the true meaning of which they themselves are not aware.

Like Pope John Paul II said when he visited BhaaratVarsh last time:

Source: *The Coming of the Third Millennium*, Pope John Paul II, quoted in *How I became a Hindu*, David Frawley, p 147

The Asia Synod will deal with the challenge for evangelization posed by the encounter with ancient religions such as Buddhism and Hinduism. While expressing esteem for the elements of truth in these religions, the Church must make it clear that Christ is 'the one' mediator (*middleman) between God and man and 'the sole' Redeemer of humanity.

It claims Jesus acquired sole distributorship for granting salvation. He patented it. Typically marketing attitude! This is a topic, which we will deal with, later, in greater depth.

For the time being let us return to our dear Mother Teresa. Let me admit that I myself never lived in Kolkata and therefore, I had no inkling of the truth that lay behind that entire facade about great humanitarian Mother Teresa. Someone who lived there most of his life would probably describe it better, and therefore, let us hear it in words of Dr Aroup Chatterjee.

Source: Dr Aroup Chatterjee, *The Final Verdict*, Introduction

I am Calcuttan born and bred, and our family has lived in the city for as long as can be traced. I know Calcutta well, and many people who matter there, and many more who do not. I do not have Calcutta 'in my blood', but the place has definitely made me what I am, warts and all. My mother tongue is Bengali, the language of Calcutta, but I speak Hindi passably, which is spoken by a large number of the destitute of Calcutta. I had no interest whatsoever in Mother Teresa before I came to England. Difficult it may seem to a Westerner to comprehend, but she was not a significant entity in Calcutta in her lifetime; paradoxically posthumously her image has risen significantly there - primarily because of the Bhaaratiya need to emulate the West in many unimportant matters. I had had some interest in the destitute of Calcutta during my college days, when I

dabbled in leftist politics for a while. I also took a keen interest in human rights issues. Never in the course of my (modest) interaction with the very poor of Calcutta, did I cross paths with Mother Teresa's organization - indeed; I cannot ever recall her name being uttered.

Now the question is, why ChristianWest would make such a big pomp and show of Mother Teresa, who was like a nonentity as it would appear from what different people of Kolkata have to say. It is because, by advertising small achievements and showing them duly magnified many times over, they are able to generate lot more revenue. For them Mother Teresa is a brand to be marketed well, so it can draw lot more money in the name of charity from unsuspecting people, from whom the whole truth is kept carefully hidden

I myself happened to be one of those and if I did not find out for myself the whole truth and donated money to them regularly for some time, it was my problem, in their view. As far they are concerned, they are not obliged to tell the whole truth because they are simply marketing a product, namely, Mother Teresa brand image.

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 138

Her hospitals are in a highly unhygienic state. This happens to be the opinion not of a hostile reporter, but of Dr Robin Cox, editor of the prestigious British publication *Lancet* - the foremost medical journal in the world.

For those who do not know of *Lancet* reputation, for them I would want to add that Dr Dean Ornish, the revolutionary American cardiologist also describes *The Lancet* as the most well respected international medical journal. Refer *Dr Dean Ornish's Program for Reversing Heart Disease*, Ballantine Books, USA, 1996, p 17

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 138

Mary Loudon, another English investigator found patients sleeping on the floor - as many as sixty in a single room. Even rudimentary health procedures were not being followed. Loudon saw unsterilized needles being used

and reused after being simply washed in cold water. Also, patients in need of simple surgery were allowed to die instead of being sent to other hospitals in Calcutta. It was not just patients that were treated under such appalling conditions; even health workers could not escape infections. Anne Sebba has pointed out that several of the nurses caught tuberculosis, and possibly AIDS. In all these, there is a single remedy offered to the inmates regardless their condition – prayer.

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, pp 138-139

This has greatly contributed to the image of Mother Teresa as a woman driven by faith and love for Jesus; it has contributed even more to her bank balance, for prayers costs nothing. But she did not display the same reserve – not to say piety – during her own illnesses. She was always careful to get treated at the best hospitals in the world like the Massachusetts General in Boston. When she was unable to travel, as during her final illness, she was treated by the specialist at the prestigious R K Birla Heart Research Center in Calcutta – a Hindu charitable institution. So neither money, nor Christian faith, was allowed to come in the way, when her-own health was involved. But for the poor it is different. Prayer is good for them.

Now this Hindu charitable organization R K Birla Heart Research Center in Kolkata, did not ask Mother Teresa to get converted into Hinduism, while providing health care to Mother Teresa when she needed it badly and could not visit her favorite Massachusetts General in Boston, because they were not trading in charity. But if a Hindu had gone to Mother Teresa for treatment she would have got him/her converted into Christianity. These people who make such publicity in the name of service to humanity are in reality flesh traders of a different kind. They want the whole body and soul in lieu of their scanty service!

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 139

From the appalling condition of her institutions, one would be wrong to conclude that the Missionaries of Charity is only doing

what its limited resources allow. Nothing could be further from the truth. It is one of the richest Catholic institutions in the world.

Recently, a **single** current account in a bank in the Bronx (New York) showed a deposit of **\$50 million!**

So she had plenty of money but she would not spend it for the poor of Calcutta, and at the same time she would want to have the Nobel Prize for having helped the poor of Calcutta. Did she help the poor of Calcutta in getting better health or, did poor of Calcutta help her get the Nobel Prize, tons of donation money, and tons of new converts into Christianity? Are these missionaries nothing but some greedy merchants of soul?

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 141

As far as the poor are concerned, Mother Teresa's successor Sister Nirmala put it in perspective:

"The poor are God's gift, to us. Without the poor we would all be without jobs".

But Mother Teresa herself never had the courage to admit this truth! She gave to the world a totally opposite and carefully cultivated impression that poor of Kolkata were dependant on her services. She did not let the world know that, in reality, she was dependant on poor of Kolkata for getting tons of charity money.

Using the mask of charity, she paid no taxes on such earnings, and merrily used those funds for 'buying' more new Christian converts. She was clever enough not to hoard the fortune she made in this covert manner, in Bhaaratiya banks lest it becomes known to public. So she hid 50 million dollars in New York bank.

Nor she told people that she was using this facade of 'service to the poor' to manage the Nobel Prize and the title of the Saint. After all, she was true to her Christian faith of hypocrisy. It seems

Christians are always on 'Sale'. They would do anything that would fetch them money, power and fame.

We will see plenty of evidence that there is a common pattern amongst Christian Missionaries. One: that they manage to keep a beautiful face before masses. Two: that they love to get crime money and hold to it for 'buying' new Christians. Three: hypocrisy seems to be in their blood. Mother Teresa seemed to be no exception.

Familiarize yourself with the games they play and how they build images that give you a very different picture from what the truth is

Why is it that Christian organizations stole the show all the while? Why is it that Hindus never did anything for themselves? Why is it that it took Christian missionaries to come to Hindu land and provide service to Hindus?

There was a time when I had asked these questions to myself but at that point of time I had no satisfactory answers. I had seen in olden day Bengali movies that a Hindu becomes a Christian and then he goes door to door serving the poor in the name of Jesus. The theme would be this; the story would be different in different movies. The message would be the one: Christianity and service to humanity are two aspects of the same coin. One cannot exist without the other. Thus, Christianity and Service-to-Humanity would become synonymous.

The question of foul play of any kind wouldn't simply arise in my small mind. It would never occur to me, what could possibly be the background of those who make these movies, and what could possibly be their agenda?

Service to the poor is such a humanitarian work that no questions need be asked thereafter. If someone would do that, such person is essentially out of his mind, or cynical to the highest degree and therefore, must be avoided for company, or else our thoughts would be corrupted!

It would never occur to me, why are they so poor? Who made them so poor? Is there a possibility that they are today being served by the same people who made them poor? Ridiculous! How can that be? Therefore, it is necessary that we go back a few steps into the history and reexamine our impressions.

Source: Sir Thomas Munro, the eminent Governor of Madras, quoted in Mill's History, vol. i. p 371, re-quoted by F Max Muller, p 57, p 231

If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and BhaaratVarsh, I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo.

Here we need to ask ourselves many more questions. Why did Christopher Columbus [note: 1451-1506, who mistakenly landed in America and thought it was India and called the natives as Indians, and till today Native Americans are called Indians! We, however, distinguish them from ourselves calling them Red Indians because they look pinkish and we look brownish] and Vasco da Gama (1469-1524) set their sails with a desire to discover sea route to BhaaratVarsh? Wasn't it because BhaaratVarsh was known to be the golden bird, the richest nation on earth in those days? Why did the Portuguese, the Dutch, the French and the British, all of them did fight it out between themselves for supremacy on this land of BhaaratVarsh? Why a knight of the British Empire Sir Thomas Munro, the eminent Governor of Madras (now Chennai), spoke so eloquently about Hindu practice of hospitality and charity (read many more accounts in my earlier title *Arise Arjun awaken my Hindu nation*)?

Was it all a long time ago? What happened in a matter of few decades that there were so many poor everywhere that they all suddenly started needing Christian missionary attention? What happened to that hospitality and charity of which eminent Governor of Madras spoke so eloquently? Do you realize now what happened? ChristianBritish methodically sucked the lifeblood of Bhaaratiyas and made them so poor that now they needed help. They encouraged ChristianBritish missionaries to serve those poor with a small fraction of what they had already sucked out. With that they gave further bait to Hindus. If you remain Hindu you have no support whatsoever to help the poor. But if you turn

Christian then you have some support to help these poor. Thus, Christians became the messiah of the then poor Hindus!

Somewhat similar game was replayed during Nehru dynasty. Hindu was made a dirty word through fabrication of history. We will discuss the entire sequence of events in one of our other works. In Christian British days you needed to become a Christian to get governmental or institutional financial support (*remember Michael Madhu Sudan Dutt?*). During Fake Secular Nehru Dynasty you needed to become a non-Hindu to get the freebie.

Recognize, who did the actual job of service with scanty resources, and who took the credit, full blown many a times over, with news splashed world wide?

Teresa came to Bhaarat Varsh with a great idea in her mind. She employed Hindu women (converting them into Christianity) to do the job for her, without giving those employed adequate means to serve, and without adequate service to those whom she claimed to serve.

But she and her Christian organizations advertised a lot. These were not commercially paid advertisements. Millions of dollars that she amassed, which she never spent on the poor of Kolkata, a small fraction of that she threw at the journalists, who raised Teresa to the sky, lifting her on their shoulders. Many of these journalists were Christian born. The others were Hindu born but Christian educated, morally converted into Christianity, if not formally baptized. Their big name newspapers and periodicals happened to be the mouthpiece of this nation.

Under Teresa Christian banner, it was Hindu women, converted to Christianity, who did the real job of service, while Teresa took the full credit. These Hindu born women did the actual job of 'whatever scanty service they could provide with limited means extended by 50 million dollar rich Teresa'.

These Hindu born women did it out of their 'Hindu spirit for no credit' while promoting the name of Jesus because that is what Teresa had filled in their heads mornings and evenings. This is how Christian imperialism spreads its wings everywhere.

Christianity's entire structure stands on the premise of exploitation, be it exploitation of Mother Nature or exploitation of the mankind.

Get to know of Mother Teresa's personal letters and diary that tell the inner truth

Finally, let us see what personal diary of Mother Teresa says:

Source: PTI London 29 November, quoted Indian Express, 30 November 2002

Her letters and diaries present a completely different picture of the nun from her public image as a woman confident of her faith, The Daily Telegraph reported on Friday.

IL Messaggero said: "The real Mother Teresa was one who for one year had visions and who for the next 50 had doubts - up until her death."

"...My smile is a great cloak [*disguise, pretext] that hides a multitude of pains," wrote Mother Teresa...

'In my own soul, I feel the terrible pain of this loss. **I feel that God does not want me, that God is not God and that He does not really exist,**" she wrote.

Is it not natural that she got into those doubts that God does not want her? How can God want a hypocrite and a patron of criminals? I would not be surprised if a simple girl Agnes Gonxha Bojaxhiun of Albania started as a true God lover but longtime association with, and bad influence of, Christianity corrupted her soul thoroughly giving birth to a Mother Teresa, who later turned out to be a half-fraud, true to her Christian heritage. A heritage, which turned Popes, Saints, Cardinals and Archbishops of Christianity into such personalities who had no relationship to true spirituality for miles; for them, spirituality had all been for show, not for dedication.

Why is Pope John Paul II in such a big hurry? Is he afraid that if he were to let the normal procedure be followed, Mother Teresa may never get to acquire her sainthood? Is it because, her not gaining sainthood would be a big monetary loss to Christianity? Is it because Pope wants to use Saint image of Mother Teresa for getting new Christian converts in BhaaratVarsh? Does he want Saint image of Mother Teresa to bring more American public dollars to 'buy' more new Christians in BhaaratVarsh?

Sometime ago I had read something like this: that pope was putting Mother Teresa on fast track towards sainthood. Now that I think of it in this context, I find myself amused. It sounds like a fast track on sports ground! Well Christian sainthood has to be truly a sport event, and you got to collect lot of points for that; for example, Nobel Prize, 50 million dollars in a single current account in a US bank, and perhaps much more that we haven't dug out as yet.

Why would Pope want to put Mother Teresa on fast track to sainthood? Why would he want to bypass certain basic requirements that are prescribed in Christianity for granting anyone the title of Saint?

Have you ever wondered what Bhaaratiya Christianized media had been doing all these days, carefully keeping under wraps all these things about Mother Teresa, which were going on for a long time right under their nose? Do you care to think over, what should be done about such media?

Bhaaratiya media, the popular media, major newspapers and magazine publishing houses, those who have millions in circulation and billions in revenue, to put a few of them together, what have they all been doing? They were right here and they could not find it out? Or, they did find out but wanted to put a lid on it? Why would they do so? Who all do the reporting for PTI (*Press Trust of India*), TOI (*The Times of India*), *The Hindu*, and all such big names?

To figure out the answer to this we need to know who they are. What is their upbringing? Where did they receive their education? Were their minds preprogrammed through the missionary education system to blindly respect Christianity?

Were they totally ignorant of The Lancet, Mary Loudon, Christopher Hitchens and Anne Sebba? If they were, then it's a shame on their journalistic abilities. If they were entirely incapable of original investigation they could have at least taken the lead from these people but they did not. If they knew all this and hushed it up then it is a shame on their journalistic professional ethics.

Instead, they raised her to the sky and held her there all along with great reverence. If this is the character of opinion-makers to the nation, will the nation not go to dogs?

There are big names involved behind these major newspaper and magazine publishing houses. Many a well-known (and unfortunately well-respected) names associate with these business houses, whose business seems to be something other than bring truth to the public. Were they all sleeping or have they been busy with other games? Some day the nation will ask those questions that they will not be able to answer.

[Note: please do not think that this is an isolated case. You will find many instances (rather too many), as you will proceed through my various works. There seems to be a pattern, a well designed and a well established one.]

They have to start questioning their own conscience, of course, if they have any. If they did have a conscience they would not need a reminder, and if they do need a reminder then it's a shame. What has happened to our nation, that once all said, was so very truthful [see *Arise Arjun awaken my Hindu nation* Chapter 1]. And now today, these leaders, the intellectual leaders, seem to know not 'truth' what it means.

Cleverly they had been diverting public attention all along toward political leaders and their brand of duplicity, only to keep under cover their own brand of duplicity. Wake up my Nation; wake up, at least now!

One thing you need to understand clearly: Corruption and Christianity go hand in hand because it is all money and power game. Prior to Christianized education, Hindus were such a truthful lot, and there is plenty of documented evidence, and we will bring you all that later and also demonstrate how Hindu society has been brought down to the level of Christian society

The media you rely on is one of the most corrupt and with no ethics. And all these big name self-proclaimed secularist editors

and columnists are nothing but pimps, and now it is high time that they all should be thrown out because they truly deserve nothing better than kicks.

But kicking them out will not solve the problem as new crops of the same variety are underway and they will join the main stream pretty soon. You have to dismantle the factories that manufacture them.

These Christian and Communist educational institutions, spread all over the nation like spider web, have strangled the nation of its life giving breath, which (not so long ago) used to be the unique Hindu quality of truth, justice and ethics. They have embedded Christianity's and Communism's corruption all over the psyche of Hindu masses. Only their names have remained Hindu with misleading impression that it is Hindus who have become corrupt. It is Christianity and Communism, which have corrupted Hindus within two centuries through Macaulay conspiracy.

For a change, now compare this high profile Christian Mother Teresa with low profile Hindu Braahman Baba Amte, and examine who did the job and who took the credit? After that, ask yourself, why is it that Bhaaratiya Christianized media raised Mother Teresa to sky and dumped Baba Amte to dust?

We have seen our Christian educated journalists who raised a half-fraud Christian woman, whose interest was more in the Nobel Prize and the title of Saint, and less in lepers, but who cleverly used Christian educated media to her advantage to create the false image. Now let us see how the same media ignored a Braahman who worked for lepers without those incentives, which the likes of Mother Teresa crave for. You will then know, how characterless our Christian educated media is, when it comes to the question of fairness.

Source: Veena Adige, Book review by MV Kamath, The Free Press Journal, Mumbai, 9 November 2003, Spectrum p 6

Baba Amte was born in a wealthy, high caste Braahman family, studied law and had a flourishing practice but the steep fees he charged worried him. His hero was Sane Guruji, the great MahaaRaashtrian social reformer. In his late thirties he decided to dedicate his life to social service after he saw a leper, disowned by his

family, die in the street. It was to lead him to set up a leprosarium and the MahaaRogi Seva Samiti to rehabilitate leprosy-affected people.

One Shri R K Patil of Naagpur offered him a house and seven acres of land. Vinoba Bhave inaugurated AanandVan in 1951, which was soon to attract patients. By 1954 there were sixty of them. Word quickly spread and assistance came pouring in. AanandVan became the hub of tremendous activity. What was once a wasteland was to become a center of intense care? As one patient was to say: "AanandVan is a place where the loneliest, the unloved, the sufferers of the ultimate degradation of our bodies are taught to overcome fear". In all his work Baba had the full support of his wife SaadhanaaTaai, and subsequently from his sons. As word of his selfless dedicated work spread, awards were quick to come, one after another. Baba used the prize monies he received to get into new ventures like AshokVan and Hemalkasa. AanandVan itself came to be known as a place which cheerfully received abandoned children; that further led to the setting up of communes of which there now are four: Mukti Sadan, Krishi Sadan, Mukti Sadan (sic.) and Nitangan. Veena Adige traces the growth of AanandVan (which presently houses 2,500 people) and the efforts that went into it in great detail. It is a story of love, care, dedication, and selflessness and total commitment."

Now, our media is hardly interested in such people like Baba Amte. They are interested in half-fake kinds of Mother Teresa. Why? Is it because there is so much money in it? Those millions of dollars that Mother Teresa had stacked up in New York bank, did she share a bit with our enlightened media men and women? Is this all money game? Must our media run after those who have plenty of it, like hungry dogs after the bones? With this kind of character, are they going to build the nation, as they happen to be the opinion-makers to the nation? Our Christian education system has made of them, nothing but money-mongers like Christianity's flag-bearers themselves are, of which brilliant examples happen to be the likes of Mother Teresa, Pat Robertson, Archbishop Marcinkus, Cardinal Posadas-Ocampo, Pope Alexander VI, Pope Pius XII, etc.

Has it ever bothered you that Mother Teresa gave Calcutta 'the charnel house image' in return of Nobel Prize for herself and 50 million dollars in bank?

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, pp 136-137

The image of Mother Teresa which Indians – Calcuttans in particular – take enormous pride in has permanently tarred Calcutta, if not all of BhaaratVarsh as a wretched place. If the Mother had remained in Albania as Agnes Bojaxhiun no one would have heard of her. But thanks to Calcutta – the supposedly wretched place to which she brought succor – she became without a doubt the most admired woman in the world. So whatever Mother Teresa did for Calcutta fades into insignificance compared to what Calcutta did for mother Teresa. Why is it that the Bhaاراتiya elite feels no shame at the sight of this foreign woman rising to great heights while at the same time one of their greatest cities is condemned as the most wretched place on the earth? Calcutta is no longer the city of C V Raman or Rabindrnath Tagore (*Robindro Naath Thaakur) – both real Nobel laureates, just not 'peace' laureates like Kissinger and Yasser Arafat – but of Mother Teresa. While Bhaاراتiya intellectuals have willingly swallowed this humiliation heaped on Calcutta (and BhaaratVarsh), it was left to a Westerner – Germaine Greer – to appreciate the truth with some sensitivity.

And we fools worship such a person! Don't we have any self-respect left? Has Macaulay been so successful in his cunning-design that till today we cannot kick his ghost out?

Source: A Hindu view of the world, N S Rajaram, p 137

Germaine Greer: Much as she has tried to show that the poor need missionary charity elsewhere, Mother Teresa is Mother Teresa of Calcutta. Part of the general veneration for her stems from a conviction that only a saint could bear to live in such hideous place. The fact that her principle work was caring for the dying has reinforced the impression held by people who have never been there that Calcutta is a charnel house. If the cult of the Christian Mother Teresa is fed by contempt for Hindu India (BhaaratVarsh), it must do more evil than

good. However, many people are helped by Mother Teresa and her nuns, there are close to a billion others who will continue to be judged unfairly as unable or unwilling to take care of their own.

Source: Dr Aroup Chatterjee, The Final Verdict, Introduction

In the midst of all this I remembered the 'Calcutta' of the West - Calcutta the metaphor, not the city. In my three years in the West I had come to realize that the city had become synonymous with the worst of human suffering and degradation in the eyes of the world. I read and heard again and again that Calcutta contained an endless number of 'sewers and gutters' where an endless number of dead and dying people lay - but not for long - as 'roving angels' in the shape of the followers of a certain nun would come along looking for them. Then they would whisk them away in their smart ambulances. As in my twenty-seven years in Calcutta I had never seen such a scene, (and neither have I met a Calcuttan who has), it hurt me deeply that such a wrong stereotype had become permanently ingrained in world psyche. I felt suddenly overwhelmingly sad that a city, indeed an entire culture should be continuously insulted in this way.

At last you need to wake up, and face the reality as compared to the fairy tale

Source: Dr Aroup Chatterjee, The Final Verdict, Introduction

Why I decided to do 'something about it' I cannot easily tell. As a person I am flawed enough to understand lies and deceit. Why certain people, themselves no pillars of rectitude, decide to make a stand against untruth and injustice is a very complex issue. Also, my wife, brought up (a Roman Catholic) in Ireland on Teresa mythology, felt angry and cheated when she went to Calcutta and saw how the reality compared with the fairy tale; she has encouraged me in my endeavors.

This is how money and media together can make truth as untruth and similarly, the untruth as truth!

So you see, how money and media together can make truth as untruth, and at the same time, turn untruth as truth. Those

interested in recently published work of Dr Aroup Chatterjee *The Final Verdict* may want to visit www.meterorbooks.com and refer to the book. The web site gives all 14 chapters. There you will find lot more hard facts.

My purpose is only to raise awareness that the truth lies behind the smoke screen and need of the time is to penetrate through and look beyond.

Now, compare all this glorious Christianity with the Hindu thought process of those days when it was not fully adulterated by the bad influence of Christian thought process, which has by now thoroughly corrupted the Hindu character with six generations of Christian Education System

I am talking about Sir Jagdish Chandr Bose, who was knighted in 1917 for his Crescograph and other inventions. However, his name does not feature in the 2152 pages Indian (Bhaaratiya) edition of Oxford Dictionary, which does not fail to list many other relatively insignificant achievers. This discrimination must be based on some criterion that they can only explain.

Source: An Autobiography of a Yogi, Paramahansa Yogananda, pp 65-66

Using his Crescograph, Bose demonstrated that plants have a sensitive nervous system and a varied emotional life. Love, hate, joy, fear, pleasure, pain, excitability, stupor, and countless other appropriate responses to stimuli are as universal in plants as in animals [p 66]. The Bose Crescograph has the enormoussness of ten million magnifications [pp 65-66].

Look at the spirit behind this dedicatory inaugural speech delivered by J C Bose, when the Bose Institute was opened:

Source: An Autobiography of a Yogi, Paramahansa Yogananda, pp 67-69

I dedicate today this institute as not merely a laboratory but a temple.

The lectures given here will not be mere repetitions of secondhand knowledge. They will announce new discoveries, demonstrated for the first time in these halls. Through regular publication of the work of the

Institute, these Bhaاراتiya contributions will reach the whole world.

They will become public property. No patents will ever be taken.

The spirit of our national culture demands that we should forever be free from the desecration of utilizing knowledge only for personal gain.

It is my further wish that the facilities of this institute be available, so far as possible, to workers from all countries. In this I am attempting to carry on the traditions of my country. As far back as twenty-five centuries, BhaaratVarsh welcomed to its ancient universities, at Naalanda and TakshShila, scholars from all parts of the world.

The point I am trying to make is that here we see an example of the total absence of greed in form of money and power that it unleashes. Just think of an invention almost a century ago, which could magnify an object by ten million times! What kind of fortune could he have amassed from patenting such an invention during this period? And then, of course, Oxford Dictionary would have found it fit to give him a place somewhere in its 2152 pages. But, one like J C Bose who forgoes that greed and the power that money could yield, remains ignored in this Christianity dominated world!

This is only one of the many basic differences between Hindu thought process and Christian thought process. The bigger, the wealthier, the power yielding (disregard how that power is acquired) and the power-hungry (to the extent that destroying others by unfair means is not considered immoral) characters are welcome in Christianity. It is encouraged in every way and it flourishes there. Ethics, goodness, wealth for prosperity of all, power for protection of the weak, humility have been cherished Ethos of Hinduism that have now been crushed by Christianity driven world and its education system.

Creation is a cyclic process. Focus your gauge at a specific point on a moving cycle. It is at the top now, then it moves to the horizon, then it is at the bottom, then again at the horizon, finally at the top again. Civilized values of Hinduism were the admired ones for almost five thousand years continually after the battle of

MahaaBhaarat in which Dharm was reestablished [details in other works]. Now barbaric values (survival of the fittest by application of their cunning) of Christianity are in vogue and they will continue to be admired until the wheel moves again to another position!

Come and dive with me, understand the deadly impact of Macaulay's Christian design, the amputative attitude of Christianity. Christianity trains you to compartmentalize issues, as a result you never get to focus at the root cause. In the end, you engage in self-condemnation, blaming the victim; finally, you have no solution to the problem

I have already discussed the undeniable Christian influence on Macaulay's psyche and therefore, I would not want to delve it any further. However, the point I wish to make here is so obscure that I have to take you through an equally obscure path, and you may keep guessing as to why I am speaking of those things, while I can possibly come to the point directly. If I do that, you might summarily dismiss it in the very beginning. Therefore, in dealing with an issue of obscure nature, sometimes it becomes necessary to go around a path that may initially appear to be as 'beating around the bush' though I have no intention of doing that.

Allopathy and Christianity may not have any apparent connection and, therefore, I place before you these questions. Is it a coincidence that Hippocrates (father of Allopathy medicine) was a Greek? Is this a coincidence that Bible New Testament was written originally in Greek? Is this a coincidence that American architecture bears undeniable influence of Greek architecture? Or, is there a connection?

Allopathy was born and brought up in the land of Christian influence. Allopathy has a very special attitude. It is anti-everything that it perceives as a disease. For instance, anti-biotic, anti-coagulant, anti-depressant, anti-diarrhoeal, anti-emetic, anti-flatulent, anti-histamine, anti-perspirant, anti-pyretic, anti-septic, anti-spasmodic, anti-tetanus, anti-viral, and so on.

Allopathy depends substantially on amputative surgery. Anything that is undesirable, amputate it. If the poison is spreading through the leg, cut it off. If the cancerous growth is in a tissue, destroy it.

Is this a coincidence that this amputative attitude of Allopathy

and an equally amputative attitude of Christianity coexist? What is this amputative attitude of Christianity am I talking about?

Christian Bible Matthew 12:30 He that is not with me is against me.

One, who is not with me, is essentially against me. That is the attitude of Christianity. If there is a perceived disease, it must have an anti-dote. This is the attitude of Allopathy. If there is some part undesirable in the body, amputate it. This again is the attitude of Allopathy.

Getting to the root is hardly any one's concern, as long as this thought process persists. And, we Hindus too have now become quite used to this kind of thinking, thanks to generation after generation Christian education and mental makeup.

This mental-make has yet another characteristic. It compartmentalizes. It puts things into compartments. It speaks of Christianity separately. It speaks of Macaulayite education system separately. It speaks of Western influence separately. It speaks of modernity separately, as if, they all were unrelated.

Hence, when you look for the cause of a problem, look at any one of them. In the process, focus yourself at one of them that appears to be the culprit. Don't mix up all of them. Effectively, do not go to the root. Treat the issue in isolation. This very attitude of isolation is typical of Christian thinking.

Christianity simply cannot coexist with any other parallel thought process. It must eliminate whatever comes its way. So it did with Hindu system of education and Hindu culture. And, in about two centuries that it operated on Hinduism, it has been successful in quite a substantial degree.

Christian Macaulay had said "...” and here, I am deliberately using the term Christian Macaulay. I do not want you to lose the sight, even for a moment, that Macaulay bore undeniable Christian psyche. His thoughts and actions evolved from the root of Christianity. Hence Christianity and Macaulay phenomenon must not be perceived in isolation.

Returning to what Christian Macaulay had said: "...they will lose their self-esteem..." Did he succeed in it? I wish to give one example here. There can be millions but I chose deliberately this example, because I feel this instance has something additional

to reveal. I am going to speak of someone very learned whom I hold with great respect but, unfortunately, even a person of his caliber could not remain uninfluenced by Christian Macaulay's designs. What could then be expected of others? As for our men of justice, academicians, press, politicians, etc. you have already witnessed the Macaulay influence through my earlier work *Ayodhya Shri Raam Mandir facts that did not reach you all*. He wrote a review of my work *Ayodhya Shri Raam Mandir facts that did not reach you all* and mentioned, "I would blame my own Hindus". There are quite a few who write in *Hindu Voice* regularly condemning Hindus.

This has become quite a fashion these days. Often it is done with an expression of love towards Hinduism, giving an impression as if they are true well-wishers and their heart bleeds for Hinduism. What they do not realize that this is what Hindu haters love to see: demoralize Hindus as much as you can. These supposedly lovers of Hinduism do not realize what they are doing!

This self-condemnation is typical of present-day Hindus. From what does this often-witnessed self-condemnation sprout? It sprouts from the same attitude that Macaulay wanted to inculcate in Hindus, when he said "...they will lose their self-esteem..." This low self-esteem is the result of many generations of mental slavery that Macaulay wanted to achieve "they will become what we want them, a truly dominated nation" as he put it in his own words. The beauty is that we are generally not even aware of this phenomenon. This lack of self-esteem has become our second nature, and we often indulge in self-condemnation.

Hindu had been the victim of Christian Macaulay conspiracy and we are blaming the victim. That is the easiest thing to do. Beat the underdog and it cannot protest.

What do we achieve by that? What is the effect of it? Hindu, who already has a low morale, loses it further. Everyone points finger at him - even Hindu himself. Average Hindu has been robbed of his self-respect. If he tries a bit to gather it back, it gets smashed by the self-condemnation of supposedly wiser Hindus. In this process of self-scrutiny we may want to appear, in the eyes of the world, very impartial; but at what cost? At the cost of the same oft-beaten Hindu being pushed further down. And remember, the world does not think of us as impartial, though howsoever we may want to look like one. Instead, we are perceived as divided and weak; people who can be taken for granted!

This is no time for Hindus to engage in self-condemnation. If they refrain from this favorite pass-time, there would be plenty others who would merrily engage in that activity (including large brigades of self-proclaimed Bhaaratiya secularists who have had a substantially impressive crop during last fifty years of Nehru dynasty). There is no reason for Hindus to play pawns in their designs, knowingly or unknowingly.

It is the time for Hindus to recognize their own true worth vis-à-vis all other prevailing and dominant systems (be they Christianity, Islam, Communism or Buddhism - in this context, Buddhism happens to be an offshoot of Hinduism but it has acquired a distinct identity today). And for that, it would first be necessary to know the other systems better. We may think that we already know, but what we know is what we have been shown, which may not, and is not, the whole truth.

It is the time for Hindus to assert themselves. If they do not do it, others are not going to do it on their behalf.

Garden that beckons ~ the ChristianAmerica ~ the land of plenty

The image has been built that USA is a secular nation; let us look at its laws and compare them with dictionary definition of secular; then, you decide for yourself

Source: Oxford Dictionary p 1681

Secular: denoting attitudes, activities, or other things that have **no** religious or spiritual basis

Source: IndiaCause newsletter 25 February 2004

A Hindu family in America is not allowed to fly a religious flag, and if they do should pay a fine of \$100 per day.

US attitude and its law does not conform that US is secular nation. Why then, media has created an image that USA is a secular nation? Who these media men and women are? Aren't they Christians? Do Christians have no love for truth? The media power has created an image in our mind that USA has a secular society. It is very difficult to penetrate that image and look beyond unless you want to. Even more difficult is 'to want to challenge our own impressions'.

Have you known that USA has 84% Christian population, whereas BhaaratVarsh has only 81% Hindu population?

Source: <http://www.factmonster.com/countries.html> [February 2004]

USA has 84% Christian population as compared to BhaaratVarsh having 81% Hindu population.

Now the question is why American politicians do not brand USA as ChristianAmerica while they merrily keep referring to BhaaratVarsh as Hindu India? While I do not see anything wrong in their quoting BhaaratVarsh as Hindu India, I do expect them to be honest enough to quote USA as ChristianAmerica. Let the right identification be used in all referrals. Let us not try to hide the truth and be hypocrites.

Then the next question would be, if ChristianAmerica can let US President be sworn on Bible, why should Bhaaratiya Prime Minister Atal Behari Bajpayee not be sworn on BhagavadGita? If US schools in certain states can start the day with Christian prayer, why can Bhaaratiya schools not start the day with Hindu prayers? If US army can hold Christian sermons on Good Friday, why Bhaaratiya army should not hold Satya Naaraayan Pooja on appropriate days? Let there be parity. Let there be fairness. Let truth be accepted as it is.

Here we have our President Bush who starts his day with the Bible, and to top that we have our Attorney General Ashcroft who even holds Bible classes in his Office; such is their dedication to those teachings of Christian Bible that you read earlier

Source: Will Rev graham's America realize it? S Gurusurthy, Hindu Voice, May 2003, p 9

The entire American establishment is now hooked to Bible and Church. President Bush starts the day with the Bible. So is the Attorney General Ashcroft. **The Attorney General even holds Bible classes in the office.**

Story doesn't end here; we have now US Army with 98% Christians joining in

Source: S Gurumurthy, Hindu Voice, May 2003, p 9

“What is Pentagon? Says the Washington Times, which carried the report about Rev Graham’s proposed service at the Pentagon, “Christians of various denominations are about 98 percent of incoming troops who declare a religious preference, according to a 1999 study”. So is it a conscript Christian army? No more a professional one.”

We have seen above the composition of American Army. It has 98% Christians. Can you ever call it a non-Christian nation? Now let us look at how they make the Christianity’s influence felt in the army.

Source: S Gurumurthy, Hindu Voice, May 2003, p 9

Two things need notice. First the Defense establishment of (*secular?) America observes church services for Good Friday, a pure religious ritual. Imagine the Bhaaratiya Army or Navy or Air Force doing a Pooja and inviting a ShankarAachaarya to address them. Second, it deliberately invites a known anti-Islamic evangelist.

If only Bhaaratiya army ever dares to do it, our FakeSecularists (CommunistMarxistIntellectuals and English media newspapers, periodicals and their protégés) will raise such a hell that ... you guess!

Source: S Gurumurthy, Hindu Voice, May 2003, p 9

What is so special about Reverend Graham? “Islam is “a very evil and a wicked religion”. It is a considered remark of an American, which he stood by despite all criticism. He is a revered Christian religious scholar, Rev Franklin Graham. Rev Graham is a religious scholar respected by the US Government. He is the son of the ‘famed’ Billy Graham, the Christian evangelist who attempts to globalize faith. He has been invited to speak at the sacred Good Friday service at the Pentagon, the US Defense establishment.

Now let us look at the degree of commitment Pentagon has towards Christianity.

Source: S Gurumurthy, Hindu Voice, May 2003, p 9

When the Muslims in the US expressed 'concern' at the Pentagon inviting Rev Graham, the Pentagon spokesman dismissed it ... It said clearly that it has "no plan or discussion to uninvite", that is, to withdraw the invitation to Rev Graham. "He is a recognized religious leader", said the Pentagon. The plan is not limited to just invite Graham. But to avoid future problems, said the Pentagon, so that there can be no objection about the Pentagon inviting such 'recognized' religious leaders to sermonize the Pentagon officials in future.

And then of course, we have those freebies that affect common man; to satisfy Christian sentiments all public holidays in ChristianWorld are for Christians, but we fools in BhaaratVarsh, who are branded as Hindu communal, make sacrifices by sharing our available public holidays with all religions

What about fixed holidays? In Canada, I worked with an Information Technology company that was under *white* management. We used to get holidays from around 22nd of December till about 3rd - 4th of January for Christmas. If in a supposedly Secular nation they can have such long holidays for Christmas, why in Hindu BhaaratVarsh we cannot have such long holidays for Dashehara and Diwaali?

Don't give me the crap that we already get too many holidays. May be we do but don't put it in comparison to the West, which many ChristianizedHindus love to do. It is true for the ChristianizedHindu Judges. These people still live in ChristianBritish day mentality. In those days, *white* judges used to go for long summer holidays to UK. Similarly, our *brown* Christianized-Judges enjoy long summer vacations even now. They seem to live in that mentality till today, and they being the Judges, no one is supposed to question them. This information regarding abnormally large number of holidays for our judges is based on a news item that appeared in the Free Press Journal sometime early 2004 or late 2003, most likely on the front page bottom.

As for common man, whatever holidays they get is *due to respect for all religions in BhaaratVarsh*. So we have holidays for everyone, Muslim, Christian, Sikh, Jain, Paarsi, Buddhist, Dalit, and name any. But in Secular West we do not get any holiday for Hindu

festivals. Why? I am not complaining why we do not get. I am questioning those Christianized-Bhaaratiya fools who look down upon all that is Bhaaratiya Hindu and look up to ChristianizedWest as their ideal, as the result of their Christianized education.

Some of these ChristianizedHindus speak in sarcastic manner about too many holidays in BhaaratVarsh. They try to convey we work so little in Hindu-BhaaratVarsh and they work so much in the ChristianWest. For the benefit of such fools [I have no better adjective for them] let me tell you that in the Canadian company under *white* management, I used to get during first year 15 workings days, and second year onwards 21 *working* days in a calendar year as my holiday entitlement, which means added Saturdays, Sundays, and public holidays if any, I enjoyed not less than 30 days a year, and add to that those Christmas holidays for about 14 days plus few other public holidays. Thus, I enjoyed almost 50 days a year in holidays. Does a common man in BhaaratVarsh enjoy more vacation than this? This is the question to those ChristianizedHindus, who see something wrong in everything that is Bhaaratiya, and see everything exemplary in all that is Westernized, which in reality is Christianized. While these mental-Christian-slaves may think of themselves pretty highly, it is their mental insolvency that they reflect in reality from their attitude.

What we see is that while ChristianizedWest speaks about religious equity and secularism and all that stuff, positively sounding, impressive undoubtedly, appealing to good sense of course, and yet in their action they do the opposite. All holidays are for Christians in the Christianized world. And they call us Hindu nation, not secular, not religiously tolerant, and yet we do it. We give holidays to Christians also. And, not only to Christians, but also to Muslim, Sikh, Buddhist, Jain, Paarsi, name any one. Even those called Dalits, whom Christian missionaries have given this new name so that they can convert them easily to Christianity; we even give holiday for their messiah Bheem Rao Ambedkar's birthday. This is religious tolerance; not that, which ChristianizedWest boasts of.

And, what do those Christian schools in BhaaratVarsh do?

They don't give long holidays to children during Dashehara; instead they invariably fix examinations during that period. Why? This is a very well thought out plan. Examinations on head,

children cannot go and enjoy their festivals. This is the way to keep them away from those Hindu festivals.

Year after year, this happens. The result is simple. Children grow indifferent towards their festivities. And during Christmas, they never ever fix the examinations. They want Hindu children to fully enjoy Christmas. Year after year this happens. The result, Hindu children become more and more interested in Christian festivities. This is an indirect way to Christianize Hindu children. Hindu parents do not understand this game plan.

That is why I call Christians as cunning. They are simply not straight forward in their dealings. But whatever they show is definitely impressive. Hindu parents go after that. This deceptiveness is second nature to Christianity. They don't have to practice it. It is in their genes. Generations after generations they have practiced it so well that they do not need any more of practice.

Remember Mother Teresa's personal diary? She says my smile is a cloak. She wouldn't say it publicly. To public that smile is genuine. Internally, that is pretext. All that talk of God was also pretext for her. In her diary she writes, God is not God, he does not exist. Therefore, for Christianity outer show and inner truth are two different things altogether, almost poles apart!

And then, what kind of Christianized Hindus they produce?

It was my early days in Canada. I was new, you can say raw to the glamour of Christian World, and I hadn't yet learned to look up to everything that was Christian-Western as superior to whatever we had Hindu-Eastern.

Here you would notice me using word Christian rather frequently. It is by repetition that you have learned to think of them as Western. It is only by repetition that you can be made to remember that whatever you have learned to identify as Western, is primarily Christian.

I was invited to my old colleague-friend's house for a small get together. Between other two invitees were a Bhaaratiya-Christian and a Bhaaratiya-Sindhi (I would assume a Christianized Hindu). The host was Hindu and he had a Bhaaratiya-Christian wife.

I would not remember very well as to who started this topic,

though I have a reasonable impression as to who it was, but I would let it pass because that, in itself, is not so important. I shall rather narrate what transpired there.

One of them said, he was traveling by air and there was a Chinese gentleman sitting next to him. Somehow the topic came about use of tissue papers, the toilet papers that ChristianWorld uses after they shit to clean themselves. I am using plain language, Americans love to use such lingo; haven't you noticed that the most repeatedly spoken word in Hollywood movies is fucking? That is basically their culture and I am just keeping you in that environment. In recent times, since globalization, I notice increased use of toilet papers among ChristianizedHindus in BhaaratVarsh as well. They have started following those whom they have learned to admire!

Returning to the story: the Chinese gentleman asked with surprise, so you guys don't use toilet paper? What do you use then? Do you use tree leafs?

At this moment, three of them burst into laughter. I felt bad but I hadn't yet acquired the ability to hit back at them. The Chinese (presumably American Chinese) thought we Hindus were so primitive that we might have used tree leafs! And our ChristianizedBhaaratiyas had a hearty laugh at it. Not at the Chinese, they were laughing. They were laughing at the primitive nature of what Hindus do.

The storyteller ended here. He did not enlighten the Chinese. He carried the stigma of primitiveness about the Hindus back home. He, of course, wasn't one of those primitive folks. He had by now sufficiently advanced in the ChristianWorld, as had also advanced that Chinese guy.

I wish he had known that what Hindus do was not primitive but much more advanced than what Christians do. The only difference is that Hindus had been doing it for very long but ChristianWorld still lives in that state, which mistakenly they perceive as advancement. So, let us discuss what is it that Hindus do or had been doing from time immemorial and how it is not only cleaner but also more hygienic and medically sound, which would be the correct standards of advancement, or otherwise.

Hindus use water to clean themselves. It cleans thoroughly even a bit inside because they use squatting position sitting on their

two legs. ChristianWorld uses toilet paper, which cleans them superficially because they sit in chair position with the support of their thighs.

As for hygiene is concerned, I think any fool would know that washing yourself with water is more hygienic than rubbing with a paper, howsoever sterilized that paper may be.

And something that ChristianWorld is probably not aware. If only they start using water instead of rubbing themselves everyday with paper, howsoever soft it may be, a large number of hemorrhoid patients will get cured. I am speaking of not very aggravated stages of hemorrhoid. Ordinary amount of bleeding and swelling of rectum is fairly commonplace among people living in the ChristianWorld. All pharmacies carry *Anusol* kind of products. Even if you go to counted few homeopathy stores, you will find prominently displayed anti-hemorrhoid ointments. And yellow pages are full of big advertisements for colon cleaning. Now, if only these supposedly advanced people become a little more advanced, and start using water they will have a good relief from their nagging problem, and they don't ever have to use *Anusol* and such ointments, where it hurts.

May be the smart ones would start arguing that it is so cold out there, how can they use water. Fine, that is okay, but please do not carry the inflated ego that you are more advanced. You would have been a little more advanced if you had thought of using warm water, which is available in plenty there. You would have been a bit more advanced if you had learned to use a hand-held shower like thing to clean yourself with water. Few people in Christianized world have started using it but in those days I had seen it only in one house in Calgary (Canada) and another in Venezia (Italia, Europe). So, use of water was not yet been commonplace; at least, until just a few years ago.

Advancement should be measured by not what you produce in factories in bulk, but whether you match the requirements of cleanliness, hygiene, and medical well being. So, for a change, I hope our ChristianizedHindus start taking a bit more pride in the kind of advancement we had and learn not to be so ashamed of their culture and their age-old systems.

Teachings of Jesus now shape every sphere of American life and activity

Well, things don't end there, rather begin; here in America we have Jesus philosophy all around, even interfering with the US Foreign Policy

President Bush starts his day with the Bible and therefore, we can expect to see reflection of the teachings of Bible on his decisions.

Christian Bible Matthew 12:30 He that is not with me is against me.

The concept is very clear in Jesus's mind. Any one, who dares stand against him, must be treated as the opponent and should be punished!

So, President Bush and American Christians have understood it very well that their messiah Jesus Christ has given them a clear instruction that whosoever is not with the US is against US. When France objected to US invasion of Iraq, McDonald French Fries became Freedom Fries! And, France was told not to expect any role in Iraq's after-war reconstruction contracts, which meant loss of huge profits to France. This was the punishment to the French for not being with the President Bush and his country America.

Then we have Jesus's presence in shaping the US Business Policy

America produces huge quantities of armaments and sells them to developing nations at high price and keeps instigating them

(in a covert manner, of course) to fight each other. This is how USA keeps Jesus and his motto alive.

Christian Bible Matthew 10:34 Think not I am come to send peace on earth: I come not to send peace, but a sword.

Christian Bible Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but rather division:

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war.

This very clearly spells out the agenda Jesus Christ had. He did not want peace in the world. He wanted war every where. He wanted to divide the humanity! Predominately Christian nation USA is following Jesus well, making his dream come true!

And we have these multinationals making Jesus dream come true

Gospel of Thomas 42 Jesus said: He who has (something) in his hand, to him it will be given; and he who has nothing, from him even the little he has will be taken away.

American multinationals like Coca Cola, Pepsi and all come to BhaaratVarsh, buy over indigenous competitors, or wipe them out using their financial muscle, erect their plants and draw water from earth so much that the local populace is soon left with little water to irrigate their lands or drink from their wells. This is how they make Jesus dream come true, those who already have will snatch from have-nots whatever little they had.

The sudden decline in underground water resources and resulting hardships to local populace is reported in some corner of Christianized media and then it is all hushed up using same financial muscle. They buy over anyone who makes noise. Once a year some international agency prepares a report and speaks of corruption high in developing countries. They make no mention that such corruption is bred by their Christian brothers by buying the have-nots all the time. Those who put the seed for so-called corruption and keep it alive all the while they become clean people because reporting media too belongs to them.

But the deadliest of all, is the influence of Jesus on the institution of family, which he wanted threadbare

Now let us see as to how the Teachings of Jesus, as documented

in the Christian Bible without any ambiguity, creates Enmity amongst family members and destroys Hindu Joint Family system.

Christian Bible Matthew 10:35 For I am come to set a man at variance **against** his father, and the daughter **against** the mother, and the daughter in law **against** her mother in law. 10:36 And a **man's foe** shall be they of **his own household**.

Christian Bible Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give **peace** on earth? I tell you, **Nay**; but rather **division**: 12:52 For from **henceforth** there shall be five in **one house divided**, three **against** two, and two **against** three. 12:53 The father shall be **divided** against the son, and the son **against** the father; the mother **against** the daughter, and the daughter **against** the mother; the mother in law **against** her daughter in law, and the daughter in law **against** her mother in law. 14:26 If any man come to me, and **hate not** his **father**, and **mother**, and **wife**, and **children**, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he **cannot be** my disciple.

Gospel of Thomas 16 **Jesus** said: **Perhaps men think** that I came to cast **peace** on the world; and **they do not know** that I came to **cast division upon earth**, fire, sword, **war**. For five will be in a house; there will be three **against** two and two **against** three, the father **against** the son and the son **against** the father. And they will stand because they are **single** ones. 56 **Jesus** said: He who will **not hate** his father and his mother **cannot be my** disciple. And he who will **not hate** his brothers and sisters, and carry his cross as I have, will **not become worthy** of me.

This very clearly indicates that Jesus Christ was against the institution of family. He wanted broken families all over. He wanted the human institution of family ruined totally. Men and women without family become like street dogs and bitches!

Western nations with prolonged exposure to the ills of Bible have long moved towards single-family system. With six generations of continued Christian education system, Bhaaratiya Hindu Joint Families have been dissolving quickly, and presently they are a rarity in urban areas.

Why do we hear of feud between mother-in-law daughter-in-law so often in our movies and television serials? Is it the gift of six generations of Christian education in BhaaratVarsh? Why is it that we do not see reflection of such feuds in Raamaayan and MahaaBhaarat? Why do we find respect for mother-in-law and love for daughter-in-law in our Hindu stories?

What is it that Hindu life style taught Hindus for thousands of years? What is it that Christian life style taught Hindus in past 170 years? Which one do you wish to follow?

Christians have followed their ideal person Jesus so far that they have not only broken the institution of family but they have made it threadbare. A family starts from husband and wife. Christianity has destroyed if anything of value remained in that relationship.

Why is it that we hear North American husband and wife say to each other so very often 'I love you' and respond 'I love you too'? Is it to keep reminding themselves that they do love each other, lest they forget loving each other? Or, is it the deep sense of insecurity amongst them that they want to keep each other reminded that they do love each other? Why there is so much show of love? Is it because it exists, but so very unstable in reality?

An average American household is a split unit. Children start leaving parents by the time they get sixteen. In 1998, North America registered over 50% divorces. Statistics seem to have covered divorces out of registered marriages, ignoring uncountable unregistered marriages. Registered marriages in north-American society are less in number than unregistered marriages (live-in relationship on trial basis). Registered marriages are more difficult to break than unregistered marriages because of issues like children and the length of relationships. If divorces (break ups) out of unregistered marriages were to be counted, the percentage of such broken unions would be far more than 50. *So, we see how deep-rooted is the influence of the above teachings of Bible are on the North American society, which is predominantly a Christian society under the cover of secular society!*

Now this disease is spreading fast in BhaaratVarsh. You know why? It is because most Bhaaratiya children today go to Christian schools. Their mental make up is firmed up from their very childhood towards Christian thinking, though they all may not be consciously aware of it fully. But, its deep-rooted influence is later reflected in their actions and their value system as they grow up to be modern-day adults.

We, however, are unwilling to admit that. We would want to believe that it is the effect of Western influence, placing Western and Christian in two separate compartments. This tendency to compartmentalize has become our habit, which again evolves from the same Christian education system as we have discussed earlier.

Gospel of Thomas 56 Jesus said: He who will not hate his father and

his mother cannot be my disciple. And he who will not hate his brothers and sisters, and carry his cross as I have, will not become worthy of me.

Well, Jesus said that you must hate your father, mother, brothers, and sisters. Did he also say that you should hate your spouse? I guess he must have said so. If I were study more of Bible, I might stumble on it. But you know what, I have no more appetite left to read that book. Though it is titled as 'Holy' Bible, but it feels so very 'unholy' in its thoughts and preaching. I keep wondering about the concept of Satan in Christianity. It occurs to me as if Satan is truly the Christian God!

Months after writing this book, while working on the 2nd edition, I found something that I would want to add here, as this one paragraph:

Christian Bible Luke 14:26 If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple.

St Luke did not forget to document that. Jesus did say that a man should hate his wife and children too. Oh! So much of hate.

I know of Canada, so I tell you about that place, but I am sure it would be roughly the same in USA and Europe. I know it for sure that if wife approaches a Canadian bank and asks for balance in husband's account, she would be refused. Similarly, husband asking for balance in wife's account will be turned down.

Now the question is, why does this happen? It happens because banks have come to realize that generally wife and husband do not trust each other in money matters. I am sure they would not have come to this conclusion overnight. Over the years modern Christian spouses must have proved it over and again through their conduct that husband and wife can very well cheat each other even in such simple matters. *Don't get carried away by all that talk about trust and truthfulness they keep blabbering. They do it to keep consoling themselves. All that show business comes out of a deep sense of insecurity, if not hypocrisy.*

Now, don't think it has percolated down to the level of husband and wife all of a sudden. No, it comes right from the top. As you will come to know more about Christianity through my future works, you will find that fraud is a way of life with Christianity all through its history. Higher levels display greater degree of

fraudulent tendencies through their conduct. So, the common man and woman also adopt the same life style, even at the level of husband and wife.

Dominant Christian education in BhaaratVarsh over the generations has now corrupted Hindus substantially. Gradually, it is moving towards alarming proportions, as reflected in television serials of higher classes who are more Christianized than rest of the folks. Yet, an average Bhaaratiya Hindu spouse has not yet stooped down to the level of an average Western Christian spouse. Banks still respect spousal relations and help in these matters.

But how long this will continue is anybody's guess. Since globalization, we notice much rapid erosion of the family institution in BhaaratVarsh. The stage is now well set after six generations of continued Christianized education, and the influx of Internet and Television has accelerated very significantly the pace of Christianizing Hindu masses as far as thinking and outlook issues are concerned. The situation may soon reach a stage where the process will become irreversible.

At this point, it is important that we remember what Lord Macaulay and Sir Thomas Munro had testified about the Hindu culture and Hindu education system. *Unless corrective measures are taken on war footing to restore those bygone days, we may never experience those beauties again!*

But things don't end there; Jesus has his spell cast over public institutions as well

Look at the basic attitude behind Jesus' teachings that we have been discussing in last few pages. This destroys oneness and dependability between family members. This attitude does not limit itself to the family institution. It spreads far and wide. It engulfs the social arena. It influences public institutions, as well!

This is by way of an example to elaborate the central point that I narrate you this story. I know of an individual who had his entire schooling at a convent. After that studies in England. Then work in Canada. Now, as you look at the background, this Bhaaratiya gentleman got a thoroughly Anglicized-Christianized upbringing at school. At home, his parents were very simple, good Hindus. But, parental culture could not save the boy's Christianized psyche. Parents were no less responsible either. They dreamt of ChristianWest to be the abode of their children. Though they

themselves would not touch any kind of non-vegetarian food (when they visited the West) out of fear that they might eat beef unknowingly. In those days, vegetarian food was not so commonly available in public eateries of the West, as is today after epidemic of heart diseases in the West reached alarming proportions, and modern science suddenly realized how harmful is beef eating. [*These Christianized modern medical men and women thought of Hindus as primitive not realizing that Hindus gave up beef long ago learning its disastrous effects. But modern medicine had to establish its superiority; hence, Christian educators told Hindu children that not eating beef is a superstition. And finally after wasting billions of dollars they reinvented the wheel! At least they can boast their modern science has established this fact, primitive Hindus did not.*] Anyhow, the parents practically lived on raw cabbage whenever they ate out. *Such is the attraction of ChristianWest among we Hindus that we want to stay Hindu ourselves but we would not mind let our children turn ChristianizedHindu!* This is our one of the greatest misfortune, and to be honest, practically all of us are affected by this contagious disease. Those who boast that they are not affected by this euphoria are often the ones who have no means to jump to the ChristianWest. So much for the Macaulay effect! Now let me narrate the main incidence for which I started the whole topic.

One day he (the hero of our story) tells me, *Satya Yug* has descended. People trust each other so much. Look at public libraries, they trust you so much, they don't even check what all you are carrying out of the library. I had to tell him that no, they don't rely a bit on trust. The reality is that they simply do not trust you; they only show that they trust you. You try taking a book on your person, un-issued to you, and try crossing the gate-like location after the issue desk, and a mild siren will blow, cautioning the staff to hold you for search. Don't you see the electronic pen-like thing that they mildly move over the bar code when you present a book for issuing it to you? What does it do? It simply conveys to the security system that this particular bar code should be allowed exit unchallenged. Now, if you have forgotten or deliberately avoided presenting a book for issuing on your name and try to smuggle it out of the library, then that book would not have passed through the sensors of that electronic pen, and that bar code will get challenged the moment you try to cross that security gate.

But then, our ChristianizedHindu (who believed in superiority of all that was part of that ChristianWorld and spoke often with

sarcasm about all that was Bhaaratiya revealing his supposedly good sense of humor), he thought of it all as an expression of trust! He ought to have known better that they trusted their million-dollar security system, not the people.

Now ask yourself, why do such an affluent society need to spend millions of dollars to protect itself from possible theft of few books once a while, if it were a society of truthful honest people? That too in a country where the value of books is like peanuts compared to their earnings; you can often buy a book for a price less than the price of a week's newspaper. So people cannot be trusted even for such petty things. And to protect such petty things, they spend millions of dollars.

They will, however, tell you, it is the *white* Christians who are trustworthy, but these *browns* and *yellow*s and *black*s that come from other countries in search of jobs are the doubtful ones. It is against them that we try to protect the establishment from possible theft. Well, if it were true then Ontario's economy wouldn't have been in such a mess due to parasite like *white* Christians living merrily on Social Welfare, necessitating the state to invite those *browns* and *yellow*s with their human and cash capital (and slogging it out) to rescue the state's economy through immigration process, which in a matter of few years gave the province surplus budget and let the government refund part of income tax paid by public around middle of year 2000.

Now our ChristianizedHindus will say look, at least, they repaid 200 dollars to every tax payer since they had surplus budget but our Bhaaratiya government won't ever do that. While claiming so they would merrily forget that when they would sell their equity shares or real estate holdings in BhaaratVarsh they would try in every possible way to evade tax simply because this government could not yet equip itself with computerized controls adequately enough to plug such tax evasions. But then in their country of residence these ChristianizedHindus would pay through their nose calling it tax payer's pride simply because government has plugged the avenues of tax evasion by spending heavily on technology at the tax payer's expense. To give you an example, once I got a \$500 monthly raise and I distinctly remember the next pay check had \$200 in government deductions. None of these deductions were of personal nature like mortgage payment on house, etc. The point I am trying to drive you home is that while we paid net 40% effective tax here in BhaaratVarsh we paid, in those days, less than 10% effective tax [forget all those deceptive slabs of

30-40% because they never represented effective tax ~ if you got Rs 500 increment in those days you would have paid at the most Rs 50 more in government deductions if employed in executive position because good amount of your perks would have come in cash form ~ reimbursements]. In spite this, I remember ChristianizedHindus always cribbing in those days of high tax rates in our country in comparison to other developed nations.

Worst of all, look at these real life examples of Jesus having injected deep sense of insecurity in every house and apartment; this may sound absurd but read through and see the connection, which is otherwise invisible to your naked eyes; these examples are from a period prior to 9/11 attacks when North America was considered a pretty safe place to live, boasting their law and order situation

Christian Bible Matthew 10:34 Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword.

Christian Bible Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but rather division:

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war.

Jesus is very clear in his objectives as conveyed by his talks to his chief disciples. He does not want peace. He wants war everywhere. He does not want unity. He wants division everywhere!

Christians admire Jesus. They follow Jesus. They do what Jesus wanted them to do. They also know deep down their hearts what would be the repercussion of such actions. So they need to safeguard themselves. How do they do it? Let us see.

They give war to the world. They give division to the mankind. They know what their actions are like. They live in a constant unspoken fear of its boomeranging onto them. This hidden fear is reflected in how they live their lives.

Here are few simple and visible examples. Mind you, I am talking about the days before 9/11 attacks by Bin Laden. I am speaking of 1995 till 1999 when North America was yet considered pretty safe from external aggression of Islam. *However, it would appear from their then life-style that they never considered themselves*

safe from the internal aggression from Christians that they themselves are!

It is about the mega-city Toronto, which assumed the position of the largest city in the world sometimes in 1999 I think. There are primarily two kinds of residential complexes: (a) The houses, as they call them, those having one or two stories, generally occupied by a single-family (b) The high-rise buildings; these are generally huge buildings and may have 15-20-25-30-35 floors. For instance, the first condominium I owned, mine was at 36th floor [37th was the top floor penthouse] and on cloudy days I could watch the clouds floating outside, through my window, close to the level of my eyes. In that building each floor had fewer apartments but generally, in many other buildings each floor may have 10-15-20 individual flats. These buildings generally have either all ownership flats or all rented flats.

All houses that I have visited have their own independent security system. When the last resident of the house steps out, s/he activates the security system by use of a security code. When the first resident of the house steps in, s/he deactivates the security code before entering the house. If anyone enters the house without properly deactivating the security system, the police will soon be at the doorstep.

Now, these are all common people like you and me. I am not speaking of very rich who have lot to protect from burglars. So, the point you need to note here is that the common man has the security system over and above insurance. When I say common man I really mean it, because all these houses are mortgaged to banks. Owners are ordinary working people and they don't have lot of wealth. In reality, they have little net worth of their own because all of them live on borrowings. Everything in the house is purchased on credit cards, which they may be paying for years in installments. Behind all that pomp and show, an average Westerner or a Bhaartiya in the West lives a life on borrowings and s/he works till death to repay those debts.

Interestingly, this reminds me of stories written by *PremChand* about poor men in village who would take a loan from the *Baniya* and pay life long. The writer would speak of the exploitation by the *Baniya*, of the poor gullible villager. Not very different is the situation here. Here *Baniya* is replaced by the major bank, which has impressive offices all over, modern technology, and all pomp and show. Poor villager is replaced by the common man who

lives a life on borrowings till death. The Baniya is the villain whereas the bank is the financier. The terminology changes and the role assumes respectability.

In *PremChand's* story the poor villager was truly poor because ChristianBritish had sucked the lifeblood of the nation, a nation that was so wealthy prior to the stranglehold of ChristianBritish.

A Native North American has long been consigned to the jungle by the same ChristianBritish who landed there, and by the same means of cheating them, which their history is witness. Those whom you see often in North America today as white people are mostly the descendants of those who cheated the natives of those countries. This was a diversion but for the benefit of my ChristianizedHindu readers who look up to the West with awe, not realizing that all their affluence today is borrowed from the natives of other countries through cheating in the past, and therefore the 'awe' is totally unwarranted, this is basically my point. I said all these only to drive home the reality. I used harsh words towards them because the 'awe' that they have created on your psyche can only be shaken by such harsh words.

Returning to the base story, these ordinary people of whom you may think highly on account of the pomp and show they have, are so very scared of their small belongings, as well as of their life that before they go to bed they would activate the same security system, so they have police protection while they are unable to call the police themselves while asleep.

Now, this security system is such a common thing there that you will find it practically in every house, and you need to ask what is it that they are all so afraid of? Here in Mumbai (BhaaratVarsh), we too have things at home, and practically everything is purchased from our own money, not borrowed money, and we do not even take insurance and yet, we never feel the necessity for installing a security system.

What are they all afraid of? They are supposed to be living in a country, which boasts of its law and order situation, then why should every one have such individual security system? They all are afraid of themselves. Had this seemingly affluence were of their own honest earnings, they would not have to have such an imbedded insecurity. Christians have looted others through their two thousand years history by unfair means and significantly by cheating and that has gone deep into their conscious (not

conscience), so deep that it has become part of their genetic system, and from each generation to the other it is compounded further, and transmitted deep into their psyche.

We have spoken about the houses before 9/11 attacks. Now let us look at the high-rise ownership condominiums, again before 9/11 attacks, year 1999. Here I am talking about a good locality like Don Mills, opposite Ontario Science Center, a building managed by *white* management. Be it day or night, expect it any time throughout 24 hours, be it lobby, basement laundry, underground parking, outside gardens or anywhere and everywhere throughout the building complex, you can expect to see huge police dogs along with hefty security men, women taking rounds.

As a resident, you feel safe and secured. What is the cost? It wouldn't be cheap. And, you pay for it. You feel it's worth it. Why that sense of insecurity? What are you afraid of in such good locality? Here you don't fear the blacks as you don't see them anywhere around. I speak here of blacks because that is how whites and Christianized browns look at them or think of them when they speak of good or bad localities. So back to the same question, why and what are you so afraid of in such a country with such good law and order situation that you feel proud of, and do not hesitate talking about BhaaratVarsh with low esteem for its law and order situation?

This Christianized world and Christianized people, be they white or brown, they judge the rest; who will judge them?

This all security police dogs taking rounds 24 hours, is over and above, the basic security that no one can gain entry into the building main lobby without proper key or coded electronic sensor. And, these security keys cannot be duplicated. No duplicate key maker in Toronto will make it for you. You press the buzzer and talk to the occupant of the house. Or why talk; the occupant of the house can see you on a special channel in his/her television, while you wait outside the main lobby in the small corridor where an overhead camera photographs you constantly.

In spite of all this, Christians in North America do not feel themselves safe from their own Christian people around. And we Hindus in BhaaratVarsh do not need all this and yet we do not feel unsafe about our living. They boast of their law and order situation and yet they lived in constant fear of exposure even in

those days prior 9/11 within the safe heaven of Americas. They deplore our law and order situation and yet we do not live in constant fear of exposure. They give themselves high rating through self-evaluation, when will they learn the process of reevaluation?

Let us look at another aspect. These ownership condominiums or rented apartment buildings, as I mentioned earlier, could house even 4,000 families (think of 20 floors, 20 flats on each floor). Not a single apartment door will show the name of the occupant. Why?

It is the fear of being identified. Again, why are they afraid of? Here, in Mumbai, every apartment door invariably has the occupants name plate, and you can simply walk up to the apartment door in most Hindu buildings, though newer housing complexes are becoming security conscious, as more newer generation ChristianizedHindus coming to occupy those buildings.

Let us look at yet another aspect of living in such a supposedly safe world of North America. Again, I am speaking of those days prior to 9/11 attacks. This is only to remind you that the ChristianWorld had not yet become paranoid about their safety from Islamic onslaught as you may think sitting here. Christians were afraid of Christians themselves because they know what Christians are like.

Now, coming to the story: there in Canada, you can have your personalized number plate for cars! So, I had my car number plate, which read as MAANOJ that is my name. In other words, my car number plate did not have any number but it had my name on it. I still have those plates and I can use them in Canada if I go there and drive my own car again, which is unlikely that I will do. Looking at my number plate, a well-wisher friend advised me against it. This could identify me as a non-Christian (I did not adopt a Christian sounding name after landing there). And, I could invite some unexpected problem, in form of assault to myself, or my car in my absence.

Though I did not feel that way, and so I did not make any changes, but the point that I am trying to convey here is that, different kinds of fears are in their lives in those parts of the world towards which we look up so admiringly, and most of these fear syndromes are Christianity induced, but we never relate them to Christianity

because it is fraudulently projected as a religion of peace and service to humanity.

Finally, all those beauties or horrors, whatever you may choose to call them, are on their way to BhaaratVarsh in a big way, now that this Christian Education System has its stranglehold everywhere

Now let us see as to how the Current Education System in BhaaratVarsh is primarily Christian Education system, and those who administer this nation invariably come from such background. Let us also see the condition of Hindu schools for want of governmental support and care, a matter that is decided by those who graduate from Christian education system. After that, let me ask a soul-searching question to Hindus.

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, p 123 fn

It is estimated that 60 percent of all students in BhaaratVarsh attend Roman Catholic schools and colleges. [Ref: Malachi Martin, The Jesuits]

The real figure is **much higher** as this book was published over 15 years ago in 1987. They **do not include** non-Catholic educational institutions, which have proliferated in recent years with rapid spread of evangelical Protestant churches in BhaaratVarsh.

More significantly, 90 percent of those who formulate administer and monitor national policies and practices are the ones who graduate from Christian educational institutions and CommunistMarxist FakeSecularist infected universities. So, it matters not how many students graduate from Hindu schools, because they would have no say in the matters that 'matter' in the national context.

As I walk down through my locality I see the huge building, attractively painted, the Christian school with an array of large well-maintained buses parked outside, which bring students from their home. These buses carry numbers and I see 30 or 40 and such numbers. A school that can afford to own such a large fleet of buses - think how prosperous would it be, and this school started just in front of my eyes some years ago on an empty piece of land. It is named after a Saint of Christianity whose brutal acts, worse than animal tendencies we have learned about. So often I walked down through that locality but hardly ever noticed the presence of a Hindu school. Once I stopped by and had a brief look at it, wondering as to how it had missed my

attention all these years. I soon realized why it never registered in my mind that it happened to be a school. The front row of buildings had shutters down - shutters that we often see in industrial estates. The rear row of buildings looked like burnt buildings of yesteryears. Now think of it, would you want to send your child to this Hindu school or to that Christian school?

Would it be out of place for me ask a soul searching question to Hindus - do they not have enough money to build schools that can help their children grow up in the environment of Hindu life style and Hindu value system? I would not blame you for the past. I understand that ChristianBritish methodically and cunningly destroyed Hindu education system to enslave Bhaاراتiya Hindu minds. But now, can we not wake up to that reality and try to reverse it gradually? How will the things change unless we make a determined effort? The other day (2 November 2003) I heard a 'concerned' RSS member admit that even some of their children go to English medium Christian schools.

USA and Secularism?

Let us move away and look at these stories of US love for secularism, and rip open Christian face hidden behind secular mask

We had moved away from our main theme. Now let us return to the base issue. No point fooling ourselves that USA is a secular nation. It is essentially a Christian nation. Being in ChristianBritish company for too long, we have imbibed lots of their bad qualities, because it is generally easier to pick up bad qualities, and rather difficult to acquire the good ones. And, that has made us hypocrites. Let us not try to be a hypocrite saying that we are a secular nation. We can be either a Hindu nation or a Communist nation. As communism is not listed as a religion, no census data is available as to what percentage of our population is influenced by this ideology. Today we are a nation dominated by communist thinkers under cover of secularism. Their crop has grown substantially during the prolonged regime of Nehru dynasty. This Communism is the biggest disease humanity has known so far. Beware of it. Our self-branded-secularists are in reality the CommunistMarxists who have no religion, except the religion of no ethics and the religion of power game. These Communists do not believe in God and, to cover that, they call themselves neutral. Under cover they are the religious eunuchs. Being eunuchs they have neither the qualities of male or female. Neither have they belonged to Hinduism, nor to Islam, nor to Christianity. They have only one religion. That is, opportunism.

Outstanding accomplishments of many Hindus in BhaaratVarsh do not get any coverage whatsoever in our English media, but an achievement in USA by a Bhaartiya-American gets repeated coverage and that too, on a good scale, and titled as *Creating History*.

The name of the guy is Jindal which sounds like a Hindu family

name. When this person at his young age draws large number of white votes in US elections, lot of people seem to be elated at this unusual recognition. Now, do not live under the mistaken belief that American white dominated so-called 'secular' society has voted for a Hindu Bhaaratiya. No, no, they have voted essentially for a Christian American, though to us he may sound like a Hindu Bhaaratiya.

Source: The Free Press Journal, 8 October 2003, World p 7

Jindal, a teenage convert to Catholicism from Hinduism

Hinduism was no good for Jindal, so he became Christian in his youth, or may be, Hinduism did not present him with enough opportunity for advancement in Christian America to meet his Christianized ambitions.

It is a different thing that a 'modern' Christianized-Bhaaratiya Hindu may see nothing wrong in it, treating it as petty matter. The English educated modern Bhaaratiya man or woman prides himself/herself being above the 'narrowness' of religious thinking. He/she looks up to the 'secular' West not realizing that their dream West is after all 'not so secular' as it so laboriously portrays itself to be.

Not only 'no more' a Hindu, Jindal also has strong ties with Bush. You have already read that Bush starts his day with Bible.

Source: The Free Press Journal, 8 October 2003, World p 7

The 32 year old Jindal emphasized his strong ties with the US President George W Bush saying "it will help to have a Governor with strong ties to the White House".

Naturally, Bush would not have allowed a Hindu Jindal around him, and staying a Hindu, Jindal would not have met his ambitions; so, conversion was the only option.

Though he was born as 'Piyush' a Hindu, now he happens to be 'Bobby' a Christian. Moreover, he was not even born on the soil of BhaaratVarsh.

Source: The Free Press Journal, 8 October 2003, World p 7

His family immigrated from Punjaab before he was born and was brought up in Baton Rouge.

And finally, this is what happened:

Source: The Free Press Journal, 17 November 2003, p 1

New York: Bobby Jindal was today defeated in a close race by Lieutenant Governor Kathleen Blanco who became first woman to be elected to the highest office in Louisiana, reports PTI.

It is good that someone with ancestry from BhaaratVarsh attains the support of whites, but do not harbor the impression that it is on account of the secular nature of American society - this is my point.

Positive thinking or Hypocrisy?

Christianity has trained them well right from childhood; all this positive sounding talks that come so close to Hypocrisy

Source: Being Indian Abroad II, Francois Gautier, Hindu Voice, Nov 2002, p 39

The American population is overwhelmingly Christian and nobody there finds anything to say that the President of the United States is sworn in on the Bible, or that in some states a Christian prayer is uttered before the start of the school. BhaaratVarsh has a thumping Hindu majority (80 per cent), but imagine the uproar if Atal Behari Vajpayee had been sworn in on BhagavadGita! And remember what happened when Murli Manohar Joshi wanted to introduce the chanting of the Saraswati Vandana in schools. Yet, BhaaratVarsh has today a Muslim President, the third one since Independence. Did the US ever have a Muslim President?

Have you noticed how Christianity has established itself as the 'Service-to-Humanity Brand' religion? When we think of Christianity, we think of Mother Teresa, the savior of the poor and downtrodden [fake though it may be if you read the true to life account of Mother Teresa's contribution to Calcutta in Dr Aroup Chatterjee's *The Final Verdict*]. When we think of Christianity, we think of Jesus Christ who said, "Forgive them Lord, for they know not what they are doing" or, some such thing during his crucifixion. We do not even know of the Jesus who said so many nasty things in the Bible that have been quoted verbatim in the beginning of this book. So what we see here is that Christianity has mastered the technique, how to fool the world by saying the right things and broadcasting them full volume all over. They have also groomed the Westerners well in that technique. For, these Westerners, after all, in majority, are the Christians.

Christians-Westerners are great talkers. They love to talk things

that sound good. For, they know this is what creates the image! In today's media driven world, if you wish to make a positive impact, you must know how to say the right things, things that will sound right. This is what they cash in on. Saying the right things. Creating the right images. Christianity of today does it all the time. An average Westerner does it all the while, not faking, but as a matter of habit.

They have been groomed so well from their very childhood, something that they seem to term as 'positive thinking'. In effect, they are groomed to project their speech, which they have carefully avoided naming, but if they attempted at doing so, they might have called it 'positive speaking' or if they were trying to be more direct, they may have wanted to call it 'positive showing' or 'positive image building'. But they won't name it that way. The moment you try to be direct, the cover blows up.

The net result is that as they grow up, it becomes their second nature. They don't have to fake it. It just comes naturally to them. That is, to project themselves the right way. And, in this context, the right way is to project themselves the way it would be acceptable to others in general.

In trying to do so, as a matter of habit all the while, they seem to remain no more honest enough to themselves. By habit, they speak the things that they do not truly believe in. And, the best evidence of it can be found in their actions.

You will find a huge gap between their saying and their doing about issues of morality. They teach the world today morality. They seem to set the standards of morality for the rest of the world to follow.

Start examining carefully their own conduct when it comes in direct conflict with their interests and, here you will find the hypocrisy in their talks. And, there will be plenty of such cases, only if you have the eyes to look for them.

But the beauty is that they still tend to believe that they are being wholly honest. This is something so deeply embedded in Christianity and the Westernity that it is well nigh impossible to make them see the point.

Hindus do not say it that we are secular. They do it today. They have been doing it all through centuries. In my works, I shall

provide historical evidence that Hindus gave shelter to Muslims and Christians. And, these very sheltered Muslims and Christians later backstabbed Hindus. This has happened so many times, and yet Hindus have not learned a lesson from the past. Till today they continue to shelter these traitors. Despite that, those CommunistMarxist FakeSecularist intellectuals who practically control the Bhaaratiya media created a communal image of Hindus based mostly on lies and distorted facts. *Here I am saying this, but I won't stop at only saying it. I shall demonstrate it with evidence. Each new work will bring some more evidence of this. You need to have patience and follow it up with.*

Francois Gautier has more to say:

Source: Being Indian Abroad II, Francois Gautier, Hindu Voice, Nov 2002, p 39

But is America really the benevolent, casteless society some readers are convinced it is? Well, I am not sure. For one, what the White Americans did to the Blacks not that long ago must rank among some of the saddest deeds perpetuated by one class of humanity on another; not to speak of the terrible and shameful treatment inflicted upon the helpless Red Indians, the original inhabitants of their land, a Karma (Karm) the US will have to pay for sooner or later. There are also a lot of inequalities in the States: extremely rich people and some incredibly poor folks, mostly Blacks, for such a country of tremendous wealth. Secondly, are the Blacks today on a truly equal footing with the Whites? I am not convinced either. BhaaratVarsh has had an untouchable President. Has the US ever had a Black President, or Vice President? American journalists and human rights activists like to highlight the 'oppressed' condition of women in BhaaratVarsh. But as early as the late sixties, BhaaratVarsh democratically elected a woman Prime Minister, the highest post of the nation - and that for nearly twenty years. Can the country of triumphant feminism and gender equality boast of a woman President? Yes, in America one enjoys the liberty to do whatever one wants without bureaucracy and (*with) heavy taxation. But after September 11, freedoms have been heavily curtailed in the US, especially if you have a brown skin. Compare this to BhaaratVarsh: I have lived here for 33 years; I have gone to most remote places, traveled to the sacred spots

with my cameras, tape recorder and white face. And never once have I been aggressed, never once has my passport been asked for in the streets (try traveling in the subway in Paris if you have a brown face and a leather jacket), never once have I been mugged at late nights in Delhi, Mumbai or Chennai, whereas in Washington, the capital of the 'land of freedom,' we were told not to go out alone in certain parts after 8 PM.

Westerner love to portray a positive image of them, and say all goody-goody things disregard what their inner character is. It has imbibed so deep in their nature that they do not even realize that it is a kind of hypocrisy.

And, this characteristic comes to them from the values of Christianity. It is not important that they all remain Christian through their lives. It has gone into their genes. Generation after generation they have been practicing it so well that even if they turn non-Christian today, they can't give up those traits so easily.

We have already seen through the earlier chapters of this book, the kind of values Christianity inculcates amongst its leaders and followers, and we will see plenty more in this and the forthcoming works. They do something, and they show something. Like an elephant has tusk to show and teeth to chew.

Unless you go through all of it, you will not get the whole picture of what a fraud Christianity is. The term 'fraud' may sound too harsh and farfetched at this point but just wait for the next volume, and you will see fraud at the very foundation of Christianity and frauds again and again, over and again, so much that it has become the way of life with Christianity. And, I do not use such words like fraud loosely. I shall demonstrate that their conducts, repeated through the course of history, fit very well with dictionary definition of fraud.

Money talks, Morality is for Show

Then there are those morality talks, but look a bit deeper and you will see that only the money talks, morality is just for the show

Here I am tempted to add few lines months' after this chapter was written. I did not have these thoughts in mind when I wrote this chapter, but let me add these examples for whatever worth they may be.

Past few weeks [January-February 2004] there have been front-page news, photographs and plenty of writings in English dailies about breast-baring of Michael Jackson's sister Janet Jackson on MTV/CBS during the halftime of Super Bowl football game.

Source: The Free Press Journal, 8 February 2004, World p 6

There is a "class action suit against Janet Jackson".

Source: The Free Press Journal, 15 February 2004, Spectrum p 1

"President George Bush had to comment on it"

This gives the impression that morality is high on American agenda that even President of the nation had to address the issue. An aberration of morality demands the President's attention. No doubt setting great standards of morality. Well, but then, there has been no talk whatsoever when you could see the same Janet Jackson in total nude, not an inch covered, dancing in front of you at length, on hotel room television screens in Calgary in Canada [1996]. But here you have so much hullabaloo because there can be pots of money in it.

Source: The Free Press Journal, 8 February 2004, World p 6

Advertising during the game* sold for more than 2 million dollars a spot.

[*Here the reference is to Janet Jackson breast-baring on MTV/CBS during the halftime of Super Bowl football game].

American morality is vastly guided by the amount of money involved for those who make the noise.

In mega city Toronto of Canada we have huge Wal-Mart, Zellers outlets where as you approach to pay for your purchases, you have an array of magazines stacked near the cash register so that you and your children simply cannot miss them [I am speaking of 1996-99, I don't know how it works these days]. These magazines portray on their cover beautiful bodies of men and women, mostly bare, and highly tempting to the teenagers. Here again morality is not the issue because not many million dollars you can extract from them by making any noise.

Yet another instance: we have now photograph published in our English dailies of two women, as if they were some kind of celebrities. Caption below the photograph read as:

Source: The Free Press Journal, 13 February 2004, World p 7 center

US porn actress Julia Taylor (L) and Avy Scott, pose during a press conference in Mexico City on Wednesday after announcing their participation in the "Sex and Entertainment" show, due to begin 12 February at the Sports Palace. AFP.

Do you know what these women do? They display their sexual acts in full view of camera from every possible angle, with every possible part of their body exposed, and every possible kind of erotic sexual intercourse possible. They do all this for money. Here again morality is not the issue.

Then we had those days when hours of prime time on American television channels were dedicated to an American President and one of his White House women from which children learned all about oral sex. Good way of educating children about morality! After all, there is so much money in it.

And, why today there is so much hullabaloo about Michael Jackson's child molestation issue where every now and then a new claimant pops up and today (FPJ 16 April 2005 p 9 reported by AP) there is a claim by the mother of a child that Michael Jackson molested 13 year old and kept the youngster and his family captive on pretext that the family needed protection from killers.

There are so many cases for the ChristianWorld is the world of sex maniacs but no one is going after them whereas Michael Jackson is the prize catch because there is plenty of money in it. The lawyers and the media all are after a part of that flesh which they can snatch besides the accusers themselves. Where there is no money, there is no talk, no morality issue.

One can go on writing about the ChristianAmerica's actions [as examples of American 'doings'] in connection with Pakistan's support to Bin Laden and Taliban, state sponsored terrorism in Kashmir, clandestine Nuclear technology sale, while comparing them with American 'sayings' about truthfulness, need for control over nuclear proliferation, and all such beautiful things that sound good in speech.

Not White but Christian

By all means listen to them but do not confuse the identity, it is not white, but it is Christian

There are some things that are not easily identifiable at first sight. We tend to identify them with the mask that is in front of us without trying to penetrate through the outer cover of the mask and look for what lies underneath it.

To elaborate the point, how we saw it at the first instance that is how we continue to see it later. The way we saw it first time that is the way we tend to remember it. The next time we look at it, we identify it as we remembered before. The mask, of course, stays there and the image is thus built. The image that is once built continues, and with every repeated sighting it firms up, thus, the tendency to look behind the mask keeps reducing. Thus, the mask itself becomes the identity; no one then attempts to penetrate it. This happens all the more in today's media driven world. With every now and then repetition occurs, and the image that journalist portrays leaves its impact on peoples' psyche. Thus, the process continues, and the images are built.

Now, what I am going to tell you may come into clash with the image you carry. It will be difficult for you to accept the way I try to make you look at it. I may look to you a cynical, fanatic, or whatever. If none of those, at least you would say I am out of mind. For, simply I am projecting a weird picture that has nothing to do with the way you have been used to looking at it. You would naturally think, all these people cannot be wrong. They all look at it the same way. How can they all be wrong? We cannot simply blame it to media driven culture. This is what your mind will probably tell you. In such a situation, it will probably be best for you to discard all that I am saying. In this way, at least, you don't have to deal with the totally opposing interpretation of the events that I am proposing. Why get into conflict, you would say,

while we can live in peace. Fine, let that be your choice but I must go on my way. Let it be no one else's way, and I may have to walk it alone. Fifty years from today you may come to accept it. Let it be that way, if it has to be that way.

Look at this news item:

Source: The Free Press Journal, 31 October 2003, front page

A right-wing organization had planned to ship all people of Bhaaratiya origin back to BhaaratVarsh and threatened to shoot them if they resisted, reports PTI. This dramatic evidence has been given in the terrorism trial of 22 white right-wingers in the Pretoria High Court on Wednesday. The right-wingers are facing charges of murder, attempted murder, terrorism and high treason. A police informer who had infiltrated the Boeremag organization, Johannesburg Coenraad Smit, was giving evidence at the start of the long-delayed trial of the 2 white Afrikaners. Smit said he had been given a document in June 2001 by the number one accused, Mike du Toit, in which the plan to overthrow the new democratic government was contained. Part of the plan was to drive all Bhaaratiyas out of Gauteng to Durban from where they were to be shipped back to BhaaratVarsh.

Quite naturally, you won't think twice that it is nothing but a racial, political, economic issue. If I want to stay in good books with you and want my work read, I would continue to present it that way. I would probably write lengthy analysis as to how it is a racial issue between whites and browns, reminding you of Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi who was thrown out of first class railway compartment with his luggage at a Durban (or wherever) platform calling him a Coolie (or whatever). Then I shall tell you with pride that this was the beginning of the making of world famous Mahaatma Gandhi.

Alternatively, I would probably brilliantly argue (and some intellectually inclined newspaper or magazine will pay me for my article) as to how it is an economic issue, in the overall context of the African continent, where in some countries Hindus had good control over the trade and commerce, thereby making the Native Africans jealous of their financial prosperity, and here in Durban

it may not be the same story but it is essentially a reflection of that pattern.

Alternatively, I would perhaps present a detailed survey of political scenario in that continent, examining various angles, and eventually branding it a politically motivated issue.

In any case, I would probably be paid adequately for my efforts and would be recognized as a thinker of contemporary issues.

But my friends, I would throw away all that as garbage, and present to you another viewpoint that you might readily call as absurd. Let it be absurd but I got to share with you the thoughts that transpired my mind no sooner than I read that news item. Before that, I was thinking of nothing of that sort; this comment is necessary to add here because, otherwise, psychologists will present an explanation that I was probably brooding over such thoughts (that I am going to present hereafter) and as soon I read that news items I readily found it a fitting example. Or, they might want to say that I am saying all this out of my deep seated hatred for Christianity. There can be many such versions of psychiatric analysis coming from modern scientists that he psychologists are. Anyhow let us move on.

Think it over: Not for once we want to think that these 'white right-wingers' who want to send or shoot Hindus have a Christian upbringing that teaches death for idolaters.

Let us go back to the teachings of Bible in the very beginning of this book. Let us read them again to remember well as to how Bible teaches us to hate our loved ones, and how it prescribes death penalty for the idolaters (those who worship idols). In today's context it is primarily Hindus because Christianity and Islam have effectively eliminated idolatry from the face of the earth, and they have so far failed only for Hinduism whom they now consider to be their arch enemies, though Hindus do not seem to think the same way for Christians and Muslims do - the reason, we will discuss soon.

Let us look at yet another news item before going any further, and you will probably find its interpretation far more absurd and farfetched, as compared to this one.

Here is another news that appeared in today's paper. On the face of it, this would seem to be one of those routine political stuffs,

which we either ignore or simply scoff at American politicians' biased attitude and then forget about it for the time being, knowing well that nothing we can do about the powerful American policy makers.

Source: The Free Press Journal, 31 October 2003, p 2

Holding both BhaaratVarsh and Pakistan, whom Rocca [US Assistant Secretary of State Christina Rocca] described as close US allies, responsible for the Kashmir imbroglio, Rocca said the US policy was to "encourage" both the countries to sit down and resolve their difference. Terming as "excellent" Pakistan's cooperation in counter-terrorism since 9/11, she said the US looked to Islamabad to do everything in it's power to prevent extremist groups operating on its soil from crossing Line of Control.

Probably you do not notice the pattern because it is disguised under a different image. On the face of it, this may appear to you as American double-talk. But look beneath it. Why do they have such attitude? Don't try to color it in usual 'political' or 'economic' parlance. That is how we look at things in Allopathy. We focus at the disease. Just look at it differently, from religious angle, and you will notice the underlying pattern, which is same. Look at the root cause disregard how deep the root may be, and how difficult it may be to trace it. With time and its depth, we may have even lost the sight of its origin!

So you keep groping all over but the real thing deludes you, from start to end it is all about polytheism vs. Monotheism, many gods vs. One God

It is Monotheism's (belief in only one God) opposition to Polytheism (belief in many gods). You will find all Monotheists, like followers of Christianity and Islam (predominantly), fundamentally in opposition to Polytheists, like (predominantly) Hindus. But you won't find the same attitude in Polytheists because they embrace belief in many gods, which includes the gods of Monotheism.

You might say, in case of Christina Rocca, where does the question of Monotheists opposing Polytheists come from? It is not about the individual as such, but about the deeper psyche of those individuals who collectively make US policy. They come from the same origin of Christianity, which makes up their deeper psyche.

When it comes to making a choice between a Polytheist (BhaaratVarsh, which they perceive as Hindu India) and a Monotheist (Pakistan, officially declared Muslim nation), their natural tendency would be to take the side of Monotheist, despite the fact they know very well that, in this particular case, the Monotheist nation happens to be the true mischief maker. Yet they find it so very difficult to admit the truth, because they simply cannot do that. Until now they may have deliberately kept their eyes closed towards ample evidence of Pakistani terrorism in Kashmir, and when it hurt them below the belt (attack on World Trade Center) they may have woken up to the reality, and yet they find it so very difficult to take the side of truth because it would amount to supporting a Polytheist nation.

Please do not tell me that I am mixing up the issues of American politics (anti-Taliban interests) and American economics (of armament sales) with American religiousness, simply out of my lack of understanding of the profundities involved in those areas. *After all, the boundaries of religious, political and economic issues are all merged in each other when it comes to Christianity.* Their separate identities are lost and I hope you have seen sufficient evidence thereof in earlier pages, and you will see much more in coming pages of this book as well as the next book.

Source: The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple, pp 121-122

Ishwar Sharan: Christianity ... is and has always been a system of imperialist politics and financial racketeering practiced under the guise of religion.

And finally when the argument stops at atheists, their choice is very simple

One may want to argue that all American policy makers may not be Christian, some may be atheist. Well, when it comes to American Atheist policy-makers (those who do not believe in God's existence), and they face the hard decision to choose between Polytheists and Monotheists, their subconscious choice tilts towards the Monotheists. To an Atheist, Monotheism is a lesser evil than Polytheism. To them, Polytheism is just too much. Their simple reaction would be, we don't believe in existence of God and these people have so many of them, uncountable; they must be out of their mind! Finally, both types end up taking the same side.

So these American policy makers, be they monotheist or atheist, when it comes to making a choice between the two, they prefer the monotheist, be it a conscious act or otherwise.

Have you wondered why is it that FakeSecularists invariably take the side of Christians and Muslims in opposition to Hindus?

These FakeSecularists are generally atheists. Christianized education has already turned them anti-Hindu but it failed to convince them to become Christian. So they tried to become neutral, but Christianity's influence had been deep enough on them not to let them remain honest towards being neutral. They became FakeSecularist.

When Atheists have no option but to take the side, they would be more comfortable with one God rather than too many gods, as they themselves believe in no God. This is the reason why FakeSecularists take side of Muslims and Christians even if the truth and justice is on the side of Hindus (example: Ayodhya imbroglio). For them Monotheism is the lesser of the two evils. After all, in politics you have to consider all aspects before you take sides, and deeper psyche issues do play their respective roles!

Christianity knows nothing of God

I was trained as an auditor many years ago. Though I did not spend much of my work life in that profession, yet I liked it pretty much and used those skills in different environments fruitfully. For example, here I have chosen to audit history, instead of auditing accounts. It is not important, what image you carry of accountants but you will find me combining accountant's meticulous approach with Aquarian's foresight. You may not want to listen to me, what I have to tell you today, but that would be your choice.

Last year (July-August 2002) I visited Venezia (proud Italians do not call it Venice in anglicized fashion) at the invitation of an Italian professor of literature, a linguist and a person of sharp intellect, who had studied well Robindro Naath Thaakur (or call him in anglicized fashion the Nobel Laureate Ravindra Nath Tagore), as well as some of BhagavadGita.

Prof Meo must have found out early in life that Christianity knows nothing of God, and is incapable of knowing anything about the existence and nature of God

Professor D Meo (60) was Christian born, but turned agnostic at an early age. Those who lived closest to the hub of Christianity probably saw the true color of this religion, sooner than many of us did.

Oxford Dictionary describes an agnostic as 'a person who believes that nothing is known or can be known of the existence or nature of God' [p 34]. I did not realize it then, but now it occurs to me that Prof Meo might have turned agnostic after discovering the hidden fact that, to Christianity "nothing is known or can be known of the existence and nature of God", and as a follower of Christianity Prof Meo had no alternative but to turn agnostic.

Born Christian in Italy, having known of only one religion, the

only source of spirituality happened to be Christianity and Prof Meo must have found out early in life that Christianity knows nothing of God, and is incapable of knowing anything about the existence and nature of God. As a result, there was no alternative but to turn agnostic.

Those who could not turn agnostic, preferred to stay neutral and called themselves secular, and that was in Europe

Those in Europe, who could not turn agnostic, preferred to remain neutral. This is how, I would assume, Secularism was born in Europe. These people, the neutral ones, today prefer to call themselves as secularist.

Secular denoting attitudes, activities, or other things that have no religious or spiritual basis Oxford Dictionary, p 1681

But the jargon had caught fancy of our prime minister and he devised a new game

But, please do not be misled by the use of the same term Secularism, in context of BhaaratVarsh. Many still call it India, not realizing that 'ChristianBritish Sun' has set long ago. ChristianBritish legacy lives close to the hearts of many till date in our country, so much for the Macaulay-effect.

Jawaharlal Nehru (the first Prime Minister of present-day BhaaratVarsh), turned a Communist at heart after his visit to Soviet Russia, coined a new term for Communism, specifically for use in our country. He preferred to clothe Communism in Bhaaratiya context, as Secularism.

Nehru, probably, was very farsighted. He might have anticipated that one day Communism would be looked down upon, because the farce it truly is! So, he took due precautionary measures beforehand. This is how Communism lives well and flourishes even today, in our country, but in the disguise of Secularism, and enjoys very significant intellectual support.

His legacy goes on and so does the power game and that should tell you, why these FakeSecularists are only anti-Hindu

Though they call themselves Secular, these CommunistMarxists are primarily anti-Hindu. It is not the religion of Hinduism that

makes them anti-Hindu. It is the power game that drives them so hard against Hindus.

They have illegitimately acquired (over past 50 years of Nehru dynasty after Christian British left) an upper hand over the Hindus, and they do not want to give it up.

Christians and Muslims are not immediate threat to their power-hold and therefore, they perceive Hindu community as their number one enemy, but being cunning to the core they do not make their feeling public.

Instead, they continue to pose as the guardians and teachers to the Hindu community, carrying Hindu names on their shoulders, and thus remain the unidentified enemy of the Hindu community.

Now, Hindus have started getting a feel of their cunning game plan, but yet majority of the Hindus are quite oblivious of their game. Bhaaratiya Seculars take side of Muslims and Christians in every illegitimate case against the Hindus [details: *Arise Arjun awaken my Hindu nation and Ayodhya Shri Raam Mandir facts that did not reach you all*].

Their strategy is very simple. They would fight these smaller enemies later; first the bigger one has to be defeated with combined efforts of the three.

And why do they consider Hindus their enemy number one? They have understood it very well that Islam (through its thousand years of genocide) and Christianity (with its two hundred years of world's worst ever Inquisition in Goa and surrounding areas, followed by Macaulayite conspiracy to wipe out Hindu education system and Hindu culture), could not obliterate Hinduism. Hinduism has proven to be the toughest opposition for Communism to overcome, and therefore, Hinduism has been their primary target.

Christianity Strangles all other religions

Now, this may sound harsh but I have come to the conclusion, and that too for good reasons, that Christianity strangles all other religions; and this process of strangling is slow, widespread and decisive

If only Prof Meo had an alternative but, unfortunately, had none! This is because, wherever Christianity has its stronghold, it strangles all other religions. It does not allow any other religion to survive. This is the reason that now, finally after 2,000 years; Italy has declared itself a non-Christian State.

Prof Meo, finally, found an alternative but probably very late in life. It was through BhagavadGita. And, that is what took me to Italia (they do not call it Italy, probably they do not feel themselves British slaves in their minds).

If only Christianity had known something about the existence and nature of God, it would not have strangled other religions.

Strangle squeeze or constrict the neck of (a person or animal), especially so as to cause death Oxford Dictionary p 1836

From my choice of this word 'Strangle', please do not think that I am overreacting. Hope you have realized by now that Christianity did strangle other religions, after studying the documentary evidence I have presented in this work, starting from the 'Past' till the 'Present', with due analysis of such evidence in my own way.

This process of strangling, however, has been so slow (yet so decisive) that, with naked eyes, it has been hardly visible, unless you have had the vision to see through the outer cover.

This process of strangling has been so widespread (geographically) that its intensity has hardly been felt acutely enough by a substantial mass of people, at a given point of time, that they could feel compelled in their own minds to put all their might to resist this strangling.

And yet, this slow-motion widespread process of strangling has been so decisive that it has generated irreversible impact on the humanity over past two thousand years of its slow poisoning.

Have you ever wondered, why less than 3% people attended church in Italy, the birthplace of Christianity, where every day lunch time for an hour you see the drooping face of Pope John Paul II, and despite that Italians have kicked Christianity out?

A good part of Europe finally rejected Christianity because it strangles the religion itself. Probably this is the reason why a few years ago, statistics indicated that less than 3% of Italian population visited Christian churches. Prof Meo was no exception!

It happened where Christianity was born some 2,000 years ago. How it was born is different story altogether, not the story you have been told so often, but the story that had been deliberately (and fraudulently) withheld from you. And when the evidence came in form of archaeological findings of 1947, the Vatican kept a lid on it for almost 45 years using its money and influence. You will learn of that story from us very soon. When it became known, a major part of the mass media refrained from bringing it to public knowledge. Whose influence and whose money was at work is a different question?

That happened because Italians finally realized that Christianity strangulates not only other religions, but also the religion itself

Oxford Dictionary defines Strangulation as 'the action or state of strangling or being strangled' [p 1836]. We have demonstrated through this work, and we will demonstrate through a series of books that will follow hereafter, that Christianity possesses an innate quality of strangulating "the religion itself". For, it is no religion in its standard sense. As we have witnessed through the pages of this book, it is a system of Imperialistic Politics and Financial Racketeering in disguise of religion. This is why Christianity strangulates the religion itself!

To understand Christianity better, it would be necessary for you to learn the lesser known facts about the origin and creed of Christianity, its formative period through which it steadily grew, the intrinsic qualities it acquired as it grew stronger and stronger. Part of this you have already learned from this work and a lot more will come to you from other works. Christianity that we generally know of, and Christianity that it truly is; are two different things altogether. Much the same way, as an elephant has different teeth to show (tusks) and different teeth to eat.

And behind this remains the fact that the torchbearers of Christianity know nothing of existence and nature of God, and that is the precise reason why they love bloodbath and financial racketeering so much, and God is only their showpiece

No one might come forward to support my theory that Christianity knows nothing of God and more importantly, it is incapable of knowing anything about the existence and nature of God. Such a weird theory could not be presented without adequate supporting evidence. Therefore, it imposes on me the responsibility to demonstrate that the torchbearers of Christianity know nothing of the existence and nature of God, except that they make false claims and often use fraudulent means to achieve their objectives.

Oxford Dictionary describes [p 1955] torchbearer as 'a person who leads or inspires others in working towards a valued goal'. In this context, attaining God is the valued goal, and persons who lead or inspire other Christians are Christian Popes, Christian Saints, Christian Archbishops, and Christian Cardinals, whose characters we have already witnessed and will witness later.

Why is it so important to first understand them and their hidden characters as supported by their actions? It is because, the character they themselves possess, is the character they pass on to their followers. Their thinking shapes the thinking of their followers, and we have seen plenty of evidence in the actions of their followers too, through this work and we will see more in other works. I cannot point finger at them without having enough supporting evidence. Evidence: that is what I have been presenting, and I am going to present through these writings. The evidence is so voluminous that even if I were to attempt at making it brief (yet sufficiently intelligible), I cannot possibly contain them in one book. So, you have to continue your journey

with me further, if so far I have been able to arouse your interest through this introductory work.

Part - 5

Epilogue

Why seek shelter under the slogan 'Hinduism is not a religion but a way of life'?

Now, let us change the subject and look at those champions of Hinduism who claim Hinduism is not a religion but a way of life, and while parroting this slogan they don't bother to look at the dictionary definition of religion; let us also examine what prompts them to do this, and where Christianity and Communism fit in this game

Religion has been described by Oxford Dictionary as "the belief in and worship of a superhuman controlling power, especially a personal God or gods" [p 1567]. Hinduism has every ingredient of a religion. It believes in a superhuman power. It worships superhuman power. It believes in personal God. It worships personal God. It believes in gods. It worships gods.

"Hinduism is not a religion. It is a way of life!" I have often heard this statement from learned Hindus, as well as from Hindu spiritual gurus. First, it is untruth (that Hinduism is not a religion), as we have seen from the dictionary definition of religion. Second, it reveals the inferiority complex among these learned and the gurus, when they try to explain Hinduism is not a religion, as if it were a crime to be part of a religion!

In effect, they try to juggle with words for establishing that they are part of Hinduism because it is not a religion. They try to run

away from the word 'religion' as if it were a derogatory term and they could simply not be part of something so untouchable as a 'religion' if Hinduism were to be a religion. This brings the compulsive urge in them to defend Hinduism as a way of life, an expression that they only understand, not the ordinary human beings who gather to listen to these learned men and women!

This inferiority complex among Hindu learned people and gurus is the result of consistent propaganda by FakeSecularists (under cover Bhaaratiya CommunistMarxists) on purpose. Communism grew from the lands of Christianity (England and Germany; Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, both Germans, with English connections; Marx resident in England from 1849; Engels's own writings include *The Condition of the Working Classes in England in 1844*; Oxford Dictionary, p 1137, p 611). Witnessing the ills (exploitative nature) of Christianity, Communist gurus came to the erroneous conclusion that 'all' religions are bad, per se.

In BhaaratVarsh, undercover CommunistMarxists had a political agenda. They had come to be known as the guiding intellectuals to the supposedly ignorant Hindu masses. So, they took upon themselves the task of educating these supposedly ignorant Hindu masses that 'religion' is a dirty word. Their messiah Jawaharlal Nehru and his daughter Indira Gandhi pampered these CommunistMarxist intellectuals with a view to advancing their own political ambitions. In all, this whole lot of supposedly respectable men and women cheated Bhaaratiya Hindu masses. The learned Hindus and gurus, who did not have the ability to see through this whole game or, who did not have the courage to challenge those rogues, meekly surrendered to this state of affairs, and found a via media, in form of this brilliant idea that Hinduism is not a religion but a way of life. This was essentially an escapist attitude!

Those who say this, they tend to argue that the Sanskrit term Dharm and the English term Religion are not synonymous. As English has no word that can explain Dharm, people have chosen Religion for Dharm. This is true because Dharm is a term of much wider import, and it needs to be understood very carefully [see *BhagavadGita in Today's Context*]. But that does not justify saying Hinduism is not a religion. Remember that you are making a statement in English language using the English word 'religion' and therefore, you must accept the dictionary definition of that word.

Those who will listen to you will remember the slogan 'Hinduism is not a religion' and repeat it proudly to many others. This is how you will be compounding the erroneous statement 'Hinduism is not a religion'. It would be a wrong statement, and an untrue statement. You need to understand that Hinduism is first a religion, and then much more than religion. I have heard and read over and again this statement, Hinduism is not a religion. I have heard this from men and women who are supposed to be learned. The slogan must have caught their fancy, and they keep parroting it, without caring to find out what is the meaning of English word Religion. Listening to this slogan from such so-called learned people, many common people also find it fashionable to repeat the same.

Behind all this slogan-mongering remains the feeling that religion is something bad and Hinduism is above it. This attitude is bad; religion is not bad. Religion is a human necessity; it irrigates human soul; without it the soul will die

If we understand the meaning of the term religion as it is supposed to mean in the English language, then we must stop saying, "Hinduism is not a religion". Why do we need to find shelter under such pretext? Is it because, religion has become such a dirty word in BhaaratVarsh? Just because these CommunistMarxist FakeSecularist (who denounce religion) ruled over the intelligentsia of BhaaratVarsh for about fifty years of Nehru dynasty, must all learned Hindus raise hands and give up? Do we have no intelligence of our own? Must we start defending Hinduism under such explanations, as "Hinduism is not a religion"? Why we run away from the truth? Why must we feel so impotent in our own minds, that we have to defend ourselves under such self-deceptive slogans as, Hinduism is not a religion; it is a way of life?

It is high time that we start having some self-respect for our own ability to understand dictionary definitions of English terms, and stop defending Hinduism by calling "It is a way of life!". May be it is, but why play with words? Why say it is not a religion, when it is essentially a religion? There is no need for you to be ashamed that Hinduism is a religion. Rather, you should be proud that it is the best religion on the face of the earth today. It is a religion par excellence, provided you understand Hinduism.

What do you try to achieve by saying such things as, Hinduism is

a way of life? Are you trying to impress the masses with your intelligent interpretation of Hinduism or with your fancy slogans? By all means do that if that makes you feel good, but don't tell them, Hinduism is not a religion! That would be a lie. Hinduism teaches you to be truthful.

Why do you do all this? Is it because Christianity and Islam are understood to be religions, and therefore, we must separate our identity from religion by saying "Hinduism is not a religion"? These are self-deceptive approaches. They are good for intellectual luxury but they don't gel with masses. Don't fool yourself saying that, Hinduism is not a religion. If you must separate yourself from Christianity's image as a religion, try to understand that it is Christianity, which is not a religion, but is a system of imperialistic politics and financial racketeering, under the guise of religion. And, in that respect, Hinduism is different from a religion that kinds of Christianity claim to be.

It seems that (a) you do not have guts to tell that Christianity is not a religion, and (b) you do not want to equate Hinduism with Christianity by calling both as religions. So, you try telling Hinduism is not a religion. You are going in a round about manner. Hinduism teaches you to respect truth. Do not insult that teaching by trying to take shelter under false impressions and intellectual luxury like "Hinduism is not a religion". Masses understand Hinduism as a religion, and Hinduism is a very good religion. To me, it is the best religion, if you understand what Hinduism is. Instead of understanding yourself, and making others understand it well, many of you start defending Hinduism. Why such low esteem of yourself and of your religion? Is it because of your ignorance about your own religion and the true meaning of the English word religion, or is this because of your mental impotence that you feel uncomfortable about challenging those Christians (who taught you for six generations now), and also those CommunistMarxist FakeSecularist (who have now taken the reign of your self-respect)?

I have noticed another such self-deceptive declaration. Some say, I do not believe in religion, I believe in spirituality. Now, let them describe what this spirituality is, that they believe in? They want to play with the words, OK, let us see the dictionary meaning of spirituality, and try to understand them who believe in spirituality, but at the same time do not believe in religion! Spiritual has been described by Oxford Dictionary as "of or relating to religion

or religious belief" [p 1794]. The same dictionary indicates that Spiritual is the adjective and Spirituality is the noun. Now let these smart ones explain, what do they believe in 'spirituality' that they do not believe in 'religion' and how do they distinguish the two? They want to show, they are above the masses that believe in religion! They are fooling themselves.

Self-defeating emotions of we Hindus

And that takes us to the next question, why should Hindu live with self-defeating emotions, and for how long?

I am not interested in apologizing for Hinduism. Nor am I interested in defending Hinduism. To my mind that is not at all necessary.

A Hindu has lived long enough under the spell of self-condemnation and self-defeating emotions.

There is no need for all that nonsense. This has happened because of the Christian education over the six generations. The spell of that education can break only when we see the true face of Christianity. I am willing to walk you through that process, but it is you who has to decide, if you are serious about it, or your interest is casual.

Those who have been pointing fingers at Hinduism for past few centuries, and those who are responsible for systematically destroying the morale of Hindus - it is the time to point fingers back at them. Those who evaluate Hinduism and sit on judgment, it is time to evaluate them, and show them their true worth.

If pen is mightier today than sword, then let it be my weapon. I have arrived at this conclusion (that Christianity knows nothing of God and is incapable of knowing anything about the existence and nature of God) only after studying the innate character and conduct of the leaders and guides of Christianity, which involved a yearlong intensive research and several thousand hours of continuous work on the subject, the findings of which have already

been documented in several books that may appear in print one-by-one during coming months. I have, and I shall, demonstrate this by drawing the real life incidences of Christian Popes, Christian Saints, Christian Archbishops, Christian Cardinals, and the huge array of those Missionaries who use money power to create a false image of God, and that money is often amassed by questionable means and from questionable sources. This book and the next book (and also few other books that will come soon after) deal with the true life incidences of these leaders and followers of Christianity, which have a direct correlation to the teachings of Jesus and Moses, the founders of Christianity. What you have already read in my first book *Arise Arjun awaken my Hindu nation* can at best be described as the tip of the iceberg, and now you will have an in-depth exposure.

Here we shouldn't lose sight of the fact that, it is not so easy to come out of Macaulay spell; to admit the truth, it took me a long journey and intense research to shatter my own preconceived notions

Interestingly, my impressions were not same a year ago [note: different parts of this book have been written in different stages] when I met Prof Meo, who did not speak often about Christianity, probably because I did not take much interest in it, at that time. During my 21 days stay in Venezia, total number of sentences spoken by the professor on the subject of Christianity may not have exceeded twenty-one. And yet, they left some lasting impressions on my subconscious. Let me admit that those impressions did not enlighten me on the subject of Christianity. They did not enlighten me because I did not ask right questions seeking proper clarifications. I did not ask questions because I did not fully believe in them in the first place [as you may not believe in me right now, until you have completed your journey with me]. For instance, "throwing live humans into huge vessels of boiling oil" - that could not have been true, I thought at that point of time. Popes and his men are the epitome of peace, love and service to humanity. That is what I had been told all along. At that time, I knew nothing of The Inquisitions. And, their Messiah Jesus gave his life away for the sin of others! This is what I had been told or taught. At that time, I did not know that story was also fraudulently imposed on our mind. The archaeological findings of Dead Sea Scrolls (Qumran Texts) have questioned the very historicity of Jesus, and the Vatican made every possible effort to keep those evidences out of public knowledge for almost half-century, in spite of the fact that centuries ago Pope Leo X (1513-

21) had admitted this by saying, "It has served us well, this myth of Christ". As we will discuss these things in subsequent books, you will see that the very foundation of Christianity is based on fraud and deception, and it has been repeated over and over again through the centuries, and till date, so much so that it has become the second nature of Christianity as a religion and that of its followers [and we will demonstrate that]. You would be wondering at this point, if I were totally out of my mind? Whatever, I am saying here; nothing seems to corroborate with whatever images you carry. Well, why you, I too carried the totally opposite images, and would have entertained none of these (I would have summarily dismissed that someone was talking sheer nonsense).

That brings me to the next question. How did I manage to carry such an impression when Professor Meo, born in Italy (the place of birth of Christianity), happened to have carried a totally opposite image of that religion? Well, believe it or not, the kind of newspaper we read, the kind of school we go to, can do wonders with the type of impressions we carry. No wonder, *The Times of India* does a great job in this respect (later through my writings I shall quote you examples from TOI), a newspaper that I (foolishly) admired for most of my life and until a year ago! Besides that, part of my education had intermittently taken place in missionary primary school, missionary secondary school and then Christian college but fortunately not throughout, and that may possibly be the reason that, today, I am able to see both sides of the coin. This could have been the reason that, while in Venezia, I wasn't quite interested in digging out, as to what had gone wrong with the 'service-to-humanity brand' religion. With enormous money power, backed by mass media repeated exposure, Christianity has well established a brand for itself, that is, the 'service-to-humanity oriented religion'. The truth, however, is just the opposite, and you will yourself witness ample evidence of it through my works.

As humans we all tend to continue with the images that we carried all along. Generally we do not like to change our notions, particularly at a late age like that of mine, unless we are shaken up thoroughly. Despite these limitations, I could not avoid listening with half-belief occasional outpourings of my host. What little I heard was adequate to leave a few nagging questions in my mind. They were to stay there, somewhere in the back corner of my mind, until I returned home.

After my return, I did start my research but not directly into

Christianity because, as yet, it hardly mattered to me whether it was a good religion, a bad religion, or a lousy religion, or no religion at all. My research was primarily oriented towards Hinduism the reasons for which, I have already explained to you in my earlier book *Ayodhya Shri Raam Mandir facts that did not reach you all* under the section *This is how it all began*. Therefore, I am avoiding its repetition here. During the course of my research on Hinduism, I happened to stumble on material connected with Christianity that, in turn, compelled me to have a deeper look into the roots of this religion.

I adopted a particular approach in selection of my research material. First I read a couple of books of each author to arrive at a conclusion about the integrity of the author. If you have the right intuition, you might be able to get a feel of the inner character of the author, as reflected through his or her works. This integrity was of crucial importance to me because I was to later rely on the work of those people for drawing my source material. My interpretation of such material may not be same as that of the authors I consulted, but the material itself, as the source of historical facts, should not be questionable - that was my primary concern. This is where the selection of authors became relevant.

I have already described in great detail the basic thrust behind my all these works. I am skipping all that here. But to understand and appreciate my objective fully, a serious reader will need to go through these books sequentially, as they are connected by an invisible chain, which will become gradually visible as, we will progress through the series that I am presenting to you here.

Aasuric religions

Now it is time that we start recognizing these two Aasuric religions, and you need to understand why I call Communism a religion though the perception may be that they are anti-religion

It will be very necessary to understand well the hidden character of Christianity before we can begin to comprehend its conclusive role in bringing down the image of Hinduism that we witness today. This and some of the subsequent books will focus on this topic. Christianity's latent character is very different from the one that is portrayed today, particularly in our country.

Communism is understood by us in BhaaratVarsh as something very different from its true inner character. In reality, present form of Communism-in-practice is an advanced version of Christian character, one with a further refinement, but in an especially cunning way. This statement may surprise many and it may be treated as absurd, in the first place. Well, it would be for those with necessary patience, who would want to complete the journey with me, to appreciate why I chose to say so.

At some point of time, through my works I shall clarify this issue, establishing a sequential chain of developments from Christianity to Communism, imbibing certain basic traits, finally to acquire a different character and identity. For this, we may have to examine from where Communism arose, what were the value system of those who evolved this concept of Communism, and similarly, what were the values (from childhood till adulthood) of those who adopted it. Our past always haunts us even if we acquire a different identity and ideology!

Understanding the hidden character of these two religions is an absolute necessity before we can begin to comprehend the true reason behind their attitude towards Hinduism, and the significance of their role in bringing down the image of Hinduism, where it stands today.

Let us not get carried away by few White men and women who

embrace Hinduism or countable few Westerners who write favorably about Hinduism. Our self-esteem has stooped so low that we easily begin to gloat over such limited appreciation, and tend to assume an inflated sense of worth from a small pat on the back. When that happens, we tend to turn complacent and in the process, we lose our fighting spirit. This complacency has been one of our great inner enemies that have robbed us of the needed vigil against onslaught of Aasuric religions. The use of the term Aasuric is not out of vengeance. I have, and I shall, demonstrate it with plenty of real life examples throughout this book and other books that will follow. Earlier chapters have presented you with examples of the Aasuric character of their Leaders and of their Creed.

I have mentioned above 'these two religions' while referring to Christianity and Communism. Most of you may want to remind me that Communism is anti-religion per se and therefore, it cannot be called a religion. Here again, understanding the true nature of Communism would help in viewing how much of a religion it is in itself, though a religion of not the usual order. It has all the negatives that a so-called religion may have, and that too in a rather accentuated degree, because here men and women try to play God; at the same time, it practically has no positives that a good religion may have to offer to the humanity.

Religion the belief in and worship of a superhuman controlling power, especially a personal God or gods Oxford Dictionary p 1567

In Communism, the Party takes the position of the superhuman controlling power, and those few who control the party, assume the position of the personal God or gods. This is why, Communists treat God as a historical concept, having assumed the position of gods themselves, rewriting the history by their whims and fancy, only to learn in the long run that they were nothing but a bunch of overconfident fools!

Finally, I have a task on hand, that is, exposing those who discredited Hinduism through the course of past few centuries; they have turned it into an intellectual game, so let it be a war on intellectual level

In the course of our work, we will need to examine in-depth the character of those who conspired over centuries to discredit Hinduism, particularly Christian missionaries and Communists

wearing the mask of secularism. In the process, it will become necessary to examine:

- How credible they themselves were? What were their basic characters like?
- Were they worthy of judging Hinduism? What were their motives behind judging Hinduism?
- How did they go about doing it? What were their methodologies?
- How they fabricated history? What frauds they committed in doing so?
- Who were involved in those conspiracies? How systematically those fabrications were carried out generation after generation?

At each stage, we may need to ask ourselves many a questions that will give us a different meaning to what we have so far been taught and made to believe in.

Fundamentally, this would be akin to auditing and reinterpreting the history afresh without relying on past interpretations. Neither trusting nor distrusting whatever we may have been taught so far, but to look at the whole thing afresh...

My basic professional training as an auditor may come handy as you will come to notice. Evidence before conclusion will be the hallmark of my approach throughout. However, in a literary work of this kind it may not always follow that sequence of presentation. In other words, you may not always find it look like a drab thesis, first enlisting the evidences and then the conclusions. At times you will find the presentation of material not strictly in that order. And yet, you will always find that disregard the sequence of presentation, always the conclusions are duly supported by evidence. For example, this was to be the introductory section, in the very beginning of this book, titled *This is how it all began*, where you were to find conclusions but no evidence in particular. Then, as you were to proceed with first chapter and continue thereafter, you were to find more space covered by hard evidences and their necessary analytical interpretations, but not so much space dedicated to conclusions, where conclusions were to be the natural outcome of those analytical interpretations. However, later I changed my mind and shifted this section towards the

end, where you find it now. This is because I like to go straight to the subject without plenty of preamble.

But before we proceed, we need to be cautious of this Hindu love for self-deceptive nobility, which defeats all such ventures

Millions of viewers watch television every morning. One Mahaaraaj (name deliberately withheld, but you can identify him if you happen to follow his speeches) speaks to his audience every morning. He speaks many good things about Hinduism. On occasions he quotes Jesus. Twice I overheard him say exactly opposite of what Jesus had said [year 2002-03]. He quoted Jesus but did not give reference from Bible, so no one could verify if what he was quoting was true. As I happened to have known what Jesus had said in those contexts, I knew what this Mahaaraaj spread amongst his millions of viewers was an untruth, most likely out of his ignorance about Christianity and probably out of his desire to reveal his big-heart that he holds respectful attitude towards all religions. This is one particular kind of self-deceptive nobility we Hindus are quite fond of engaging from time to time.

This Mahaaraaj has a large following and one of the web-site claims it to a billion (which is quite absurd because then, every Hindu on this earth would be his follower, and that simply cannot be true, and I think the guy who wrote it got confused between Crore and Billion, which differ by one zero), and his loyal followers will not like my comments. But they need to realize that I am not hitting out at an individual. The individual happens to be real-life example, as I do not like to base my statements on fiction.

What they need to realize is that I am targeting a tendency amongst we Hindus. That tendency is to make ourselves feel big-hearted, encompassing all religions with open arm. There is nothing wrong in doing that. What is wrong is overdoing it. We do it (welcome all religions openhearted) but we do not care to learn their true innate characters. As a result, the history is witness that we have been backstabbed and enslaved.

Now, there is no glory in being so naive. It harbors to the extent of being foolish. And that is what, we not only have been doing but still love to do it. Our Hindu preachers, in particular, are affected by this fever of self-imposed greatness, and they love to spread this contagious disease on a regular basis.

Again, you won't agree with me at this stage, and that is quite fine. I need to walk you through historical evidence before I can expect you to believe in what I am saying. Simply file in the back of your mind, those of my comments that you do not seem to agree, do not rubbish them, walk with me through my research, and I can assure you that you will be reminded yourself of these comments, when evidence appears, in subsequent sessions.

Probably, based on such untruths being repeated over and again, by our own people, I had lived under the mistaken belief that Christianity is a religion of love for humanity. But then I had not studied the teachings of Bible myself. I only relied upon those who spoke for it with vested interest, or spoke of it with ignorance.

I had also not realized that the things could be made to look like what they are actually not, by using the enormous power of money and mass media. Today's media is cheap; it focuses on what would sell well for money. Most part of present day journalism revolves around marketing a product that is the newspaper or magazine or a television channel or whatever. Upholding the truth is last of their concerns.

Let us return to our base theme and try to understand that secularists mistakenly rejected religion, when they actually wanted to reject Christianity

Secularism was born in Europe. They saw and understood well the evils of Christianity. They had known only one religion, Christianity. So, they rejected religion, when they actually wanted to reject Christianity. They thought religion is bad.

The problem with Secularists is that they did not know that there existed an enlightened religion like Hinduism. They had heard of Hinduism but in lot derogatory sense. They had not known that Christianity had deliberately presented that image of Hinduism to Europeans. It is the speciality of Christianity that it tries to eliminate all other religions by hook or crook. As a result, Secularists did not look for an alternative in Hinduism.

Without religion, their life became dry and they faced different kind of problems that they did not anticipate. They had thought that removal of religion from their lives would eliminate all their woes. But the human life, as it is, needed to be filled with something else in place of religion.

Here started their experimentation. And as we know, any experimentation, particularly that with human lives and believes take long time to find settling and dependable solutions.

CommunistMarxists mistakenly believed, and continue to believe, or at least show that they believe, that economic compulsions are pivotal to all human needs; at the base of it, the fact remains that they have such a hypothetical and superficial understanding of life-phenomenon itself

As for Communism, it was born from those who had a Christian base. Remember Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels? Both were from ChristianGermany and both had Christian-England connection.

Unlike Secularists, they formed a definitive, though hypothetical, concept of life based on economic compulsions of human race.

In attempting to bring it fully into effect, and impose on humanity as *the only solution* to the evils of religion, they unknowingly invited the worst of the monsters humanity had known, to monitor the ill-conceived theory of Communism.

They had well understood the evils of Christianity, but they misunderstood the goodness of Hinduism, which was deliberately misrepresented to them by Christianity.

Beware of Christianity and Communism. These two are bigger threat than Islam. While Islam can physically wipe out a good part of Hindu population, Christianity and Communism together can totally destroy the entire Hindu clan morally and ethically!

By Communism, I am not referring to Communist Party members. They are the visible ones and can be dealt with. The mischief makers are the hidden ones. They carry Hindu names on their shoulders. You think of them as Hindus. They come in different variety; film makers, media personalities, economists, historians, jurists, etc.; they call themselves professionals. They assume different identities for themselves; Secularist, Marxist, reformers, thinkers, intellectuals, etc. Fairly impressive crops have been raised during Nehru dynasty. They pose as the guides to the Hindu society, though they have no basic understanding of what Hinduism is. Inside their mind, many of them are infected with CommunistMarxist thought process. These people are pretty contagious. Their masks are not often easily penetrable!

What kind of Advancement are we looking for?

Now, to our friends who say, if we want to advance, we must have peace; so ask yourself what is your starting point and what is your destination

You want to advance, don't you? Do you know exactly what kind of advancement are you looking for? 'From where' do you want to Advance? 'To where' do you want Advance? 'How' do you want to Advance?

Well, this is where you were before

Sir Thomas Munro, the eminent Governor of Madras: If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the **Hindus** are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and BhaaratVarsh, I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo.

And, this is where you have landed

You have lost all that, nothing remains of it any more. With the help of Christian and Communist Education systems, you know by now, where you have landed. Now, with their help how 'far' do

you want to go? Or, let us put it this way. With their help how 'low' do you want to go? It is for you to decide...

Who am I to question you? Yet, I want to put finger into your eyes and show you, what you have fallen in love with! They say love is blind, well; you can stay in love with Christian and Communist education systems until you are ruined...

Before you go any further, have you thought this over: what are you benchmarking yourself against, when you claim that knowledge of English is your strength?

You keep gloating at your knowledge of English, and say the knowledge of English is our strength. Look, how much American Information Technology and Call Center business that we've bagged. It is all due to our proficiency in English.

Don't you see what are you measuring yourself against? You are benchmarking American business as the measure of your success. What better example do you need for exhibiting your mental slavery?

When will you learn that this is exactly what Christian Macaulay had wanted? The only difference is that now you look up to ChristianAmerica, instead of ChristianBritain...

By all means, learn English but not to turn mental slaves. Instead, use your understanding of English to see through their speech, action and motives.

You know it pretty well that in today's world there are many Asian and European nations, who do not care for English and yet they are able to challenge the English speaking world, technologically and economically, both ways. This should tell you that English is not essential in today's world. And you know that English was not essential in earlier days too, as we ourselves were technologically more advanced than them [except that we did not build mass killer technology] and wealthier than rest of the English speaking world [and, if we are not so today, it is also because of English, not the language but the people, who cheated us and made us poor].

Therefore, you know that if you need English, it is only for maintaining your own supremacy over non-English speaking people of your own nation. You also know that amongst those

non-English speaking people, there are many more than you in number, who are intellectually and administratively superior to you, but they are behind you only because they cannot express themselves in English. It is not in the national interest but in your personal interest that you are advocating for English at the cost of national interest.

When you gloat over those little praises from white people, think if these are the right reasons for patting your own back

Handful few white skins become Hindu, or praise Hinduism, and you all start gloating over the feel that how great Hinduism is! Don't you notice, what are you measuring Hinduism with?

Must Hinduism be benchmarked against the acknowledgment or adoption by few Christian born white skins? Here I am not letting down those Christian born white skins but putting finger into your eyes and showing you that this is 'not' the right reason to be patting your own back...

Yes, I have quoted testimonies of those Christian white men like Max Muller and others because, your problem is that you will accept it as truth only when they say it; for, they have brainwashed you so well through their Christian missionary education system that if I were to quote you some Hindus, you wouldn't simply want to believe them.

The time has come that you rise above this invisible mental slavery

Rise above this invisible mental slavery. Do not feel ashamed of this mental slavery because you haven't acquired it by choice; it has been imposed on you by systematic conspiracy of the cunning ChristianBritish taking advantage of HinduBhaaratiya simplicity. And also understand that I am not inventing HinduBhaaratiya simplicity in defense of Hindus, but it is they, those white foreigners, whom you hold in such great awe, it is they, who have given the testimony through their own documentation. Want to know more about it? Read my earlier title Arise Arjun awaken my Hindu nation Chapter 1.

It is for those of you, who tell me that conflict can only take us backwards, that I say this...

Some of you tell me, fighting can only take us backwards. Well, that depends on what you think, "Backwardness is all about"?

Somewhat similar question Arjun had asked Bhagawaan Shri Krishn 5,000 years ago, when he was in a state of confusion and distress.

And what did Bhagawaan Shri Krishn tell him? And what did Arjun do? And what was the result?

You have been told, the result was nothing but whole lot of bloodshed at KuruKshetr. You have been told that 18 Akshauhini (4 million = 40 lakh) men lost their lives in 18 days.

Have you also been told that following the historic battle of MahaaBhaarat, Dharm was reestablished?

You may have heard it, but did you believe in it? Do you know what does this exactly mean? What is reestablishing Dharm? How do you measure it?

How do you determine that Dharm was truly reestablished after eliminating the evil from the society? Do you understand how necessary it is to eliminate the evil, from time to time?

Have you read Chapter 1 of my earlier title *Arise Arjun awaken my Hindu nation*? Have you read the testimonies of Greeks, Arabs, Chinese, Italian, Muslims, and British? Have you noticed what they all found during 2,400 years about Hindu society, without a trace of disagreement?

Did you realize how this extraordinary Hindu system of justice, Hindu love for truth, such security of human lives, such honesty in business, such care for foreigners, such extraordinary character of the entire Hindu society over 2,400 years consistently - how was this achieved and maintained all along - if not a better foundation was established following the battle of MahaaBhaarat? Think, my dear fellows think!

Go back and look at your forgotten heritage. Go back and recognize your Roots. Don't get fooled any more by these Christians and CommunistMarxist FakeSecularist who have taught you all along only to despise yourself.

Rediscover all your Strengths my fellow beings. Rediscover

the Glory within you. Believe in your Past then alone you can recreate it!

For once, resolve to eliminate these who have fooled you all along. Another MahaaBhaarat will take you back there where you started 5,000 years ago of which evidence was available until early Nineteenth century, when they said:

Sir Thomas Munro, the eminent Governor of Madras: If a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the **Hindus** are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between England and BhaaratVarsh, I am convinced that England will gain by the import cargo.

Christian education system did corrupt you thoroughly and uprooted you from your roots totally in a matter of one hundred and twelve years (1835-1947) and today you have learned to admire the same education system!

You will not be able to get back to there “where you started once” until you eliminate what has brought you down to this level. MahaaBhaarat did the same ~ total elimination. Remember that MahaaBhaarat was not a bloody war as you have learned to perceive. *MahaaBhaarat was Dharm waging war against Adharm.* In this context, Dharm represents Hinduism and ancient Hindu education system whereas Adharm represents Christianity and Christian education system and its bad influence.

However, remember that no MahaaBhaarat can ever be fought until you have an Arjun to fight that battle. And you know the truth of today that you have no Arjun to fight and no Bhagawaan Shri Krishn to show the way.

The day will come when Shri Naaraayan will descend, but must you do nothing till then? Do you have no responsibility of your own towards your Mother Earth?

Do you have no responsibility of your own towards Hinduism, which has once given you such humanity that none could compare!

So what is it that you are waiting for, and how long do you want

to wait? This is not for me to ask you; this is for you to ask yourself today.

An Aquarian is born before Time. Present generation may not listen to him. But, future generations will!

Letters from the Readers

Writers of these letters come from a wider spectrum of Hindu society. A number of them have taken Sannyas as it appears from their titles, some of them are/were professors, there are corporate executives, and other people who have not indicated their profession. Practically none of them I have known before barring a stray case. People have written the way they have felt; many have said it from their heart.

The subject that I am writing on is tricky and the environment within our country is not quite congenial to such outspoken literature. People do not like to speak about the subject openly. People feel uncomfortable about their names coming in print with subject matters like this. This is not the case with every one but it is with many. I have no way of knowing who feels how about his or her name coming in print with this subject. Hence, I have made a decision not to divulge the identity of the writer unless he or she expressly writes to me to put his/her name in print by the side of his/her reactions.

No doubt, identity gives greater degree of credibility to such statements when they come from a wide cross-section of the society. But then I do not need to use their identity as a tool to market my work.

From an Editor of a magazine [phone April 2004]

Blood boils when we read about what happened in Goa for two hundred years in the name of papal Inquisition.

From an Industrialist [letter April 2004]

It is a very good book, and very boldly written.

From a retired Professor [Email April 2004]

Please go ahead with your work to remove the darkness, which has enveloped Hindu society.

From a Corporate executive in Canada [Email April 2004]

I like your narrative style of writing.

From a gentleman in Dubai [letter 2 July 2004]

Your book is a valiant effort to awaken the sleeping elephant that is our poor Hindu society. I also feel that your future books should clearly indicate what Hindus must do.

From a gentleman [postcard 30 August 2004]

I am reading *Christianity in a different Light*. Kindly do write more books. For example, about Qur'an and Islam; a very good book on Bhaaratiya history would be appreciated. Adopt (better to say retain) the same style (way of presenting) in your forthcoming books also.

From a Brahm'Chaarini [Email to a group of people on 31 August 2004]

[She was not born as a Hindu. She was born in the Americas from Christian parents]

A few months ago Swamini ___ sent me a copy of *Christianity in a different Light - Face behind the Mask* by Maanoj Rakhit. I have been reading it in my spare time. First I want to congratulate Maanoj Rakhit for this monumental work, which is unique and highly important for all to read. So far (to my knowledge) nobody in the world has openly brought the true teachings of Christianity to light in such a clear-cut manner. I have personally checked every Bible quote he has used and found them all to be accurate. The book contains much more. It reveals in stunning contrast the truth, beauty, love and universality that lie within Hinduism. It also reveals much about human thought processes, world history and the current world situation. I have not finished the book yet, but I didn't want to wait any longer before recommending it to all of you. Here is Maanoj Rakhit's address etc...

From same Brahm'Charini [Email to a group of people on 4 September 2004]

Prompted by Maanoj Rakhit's book, *Christianity in a different Light - Face behind the Mask*, I got a copy of the Bible from the library and started reading it. Even though I was raised as a Christian I never read the Bible. Maybe I glanced at it once and was turned off when I was still a child or teenager. Now after spending most of my life studying Vedanta, when I started reading the Bible I was really sickened. We need to expose the Bible to all Hindus by getting a copy of it in every Indian language that it has so-far been translated into, and, also, get a copy of Maanoj Rakhit's book in order to quickly find the core of what it teaches. Otherwise, you'll be wading through pages and pages of family histories, wars etc. I read the entire passages surrounding the quotes he gives, and believe me, it gets much worse. Even within the first few pages of the Bible God starts cursing his creation....

From the same Brahm'Charini [Email to me 17 September 2004]

Our Aachaarya, Swami ____, has been heaping praise on you and your book. He announced it in his Samskrtam class and said you are a brilliant scholar. That is indeed high praise coming from him, who is one of the most highly acclaimed scholars among Swami ____'s disciples. He said he wants all the students to have a copy and wants 100 books.

From a gentleman who was kind enough to bring to my attention an error in my work for which shall remain ever thankful to him [letter 17 Sept 2004]

I have finished reading your book *Christianity in a different Light*. It is a wonderful book. It helps in seeing the truth behind the smoke screen. Years ago I also used to believe that all religions teach the same truth. After reading the Qur'an and the Bible my opinion has also changed. I fully agree with you that they are not religions but imperialistic ideologies cloaked under the banner of religion. They are Aasuric religions. Thank you so much for writing such a wonderful book. May Hindus open their eyes to realize the truth.

From a senior Swamini [phone 18 September 2004]

Please remove the Agyaan अज्ञान around all. You are very valuable to us. We want you to continue writing.

From a well known scholar [letter 26 October 2004]

I am delighted to go through your well-documented work, viz., *Christianity in a different Light*.

From a Sannyasi [letter of 29 October 2004]

[He was not born as a Hindu. He was born in the Americas of white parents. His father was a Christian priest in a Christian church. He left his home early and travelled a great part of the world.]

Thank you for the copy of *Christianity in a different Light*, which I have read from cover to cover. You are one of the very few Hindu writers working today who has understood the truth about Christianity and the insidious influence it has had on our educated and governing classes. I particularly appreciate your criticism of Yogananda Paramahansa of California. For the last hundred years our mahatmas (including Mahaatma Gandhi) and god men have misled the people by promoting Jesus as a standard and example of righteousness and sacrifice. They think it enhances their own spiritual reputation and universality to do so. They are sadly mistaken. Jesus is not an example for any good man of intelligence and discrimination to follow. Swami Chidananda of Shivananda Ashram at Rishikesh is a prime example of this kind of Christianized Hindu sadhu who has gained a world following by undermining the very integrity of Hindu Dharma. My best wishes and Sri Devi's blessings on your good work for Dharma.

Insidious (proceeding in a gradual, subtle way, but with very harmful effects)
righteousness (morally right and justifiable)

From one gentleman [letter 4 November 2004]

I congratulate you for your effort and vision, which has authored and published the book *Christianity in a different Light*. Such books are the need of the hour to remove cultivated ignorance of Hindus especially the English speaking elite. Pujya Swamini ___ has presented a copy of the said book to me. As I have informed you

over the phone I may require 300 to 500 copies of the said book mainly for distribution among Hindu Saadhus and some of the intellectuals whom I know.

From one gentleman [Email 7 November 2004]

I am so lucky that I got your excellent and very purposeful book *Christianity in a different Light*, presented to me by the great son of Bhaarat, the eminent scholar, (name omitted). Such insightful books should reach the hands of every Hindu (of every state), who have been long brainwashed to be ignorant of what happened or happens around them. Late (name omitted) asked me to translate all his works into Tamil, as also, my good and revered friend, (name omitted) of Bangalore. Would you permit me to translate your above-mentioned work? On receiving the necessary permission from you, I shall start the work and on its completion, I shall send you the script for printing and publishing at your end.

From a professor at IIT [Email 7 December 2004]

Quite fascinating and revealing!

From a young man of 31 [letter 18 January 2005]

Reading your books makes my heart bleed. I sincerely pray to God to give you further strength. May Ma Durga protect you. May Ma Saraswati give you further knowledge. May Lord Ganesh give speed to your fingertips. May Ma Lakshmi give you immense wealth so that your books reach in every corner of the globe and in all possible languages.

This book has been out of stock for more than six months and I had no inclination to go for a reprint as I wanted to present it in an entirely different manner rewritten from the scratch. Now that it is back in print, the credit goes to the two brothers from Tamil Naadu. They wanted to purchase some 300 to 500 copies and all I had was 6 copies left with me. That is what I sent them some six months ago. Since then, for past six months, they have been asking me for another print, as it is, without change.

The Last Word

My contribution is limited only to the following activities:

researching, conceptualizing, writing, typing, editing
desk top publishing and preparation of dummies for the printer
making printing arrangements and financing the production
promoting, selling, packing, dispatching, accounting
designing, developing, and maintaining the web site

I look towards you hoping you would expand the horizon:

by reaching these works to many more
by making the lesser known facts better known
by turning such knowledge into the real Strength of the Hindus
by letting free access to the withheld information
by removing the Curse emanating from ignorance of such information

Reaching you the facts is my duty

using them appropriately is your duty

Aim for Protection of Dharm धर्म

Once that is taken care of everything else will fall in its place