

Contents

Why today's Students should not be kept in dark	13
Glimpses of Forgotten Past	14
Past, Present, and Future are interwoven ~ you must not ignore your Past	16
Quoted references	19
Notes on Transliteration	20
Part -1	21
Face to face with your Roots	21
1 - 1	22
Testimonies of so MANY Foreign visitors	22
Before Christ 5th century Greek Ktesias speaks of legendary Justice of the Hindus	25
Before Christ 4th Century Greek Megasthenes speaks of Hindu Love for Truth	25
Megasthenes tells us that NO Hindu was Slave	26
Megasthenes speaks of Hindu Simplicity and Frugality	26
After Christ 2nd century Greek Arrian speaks about Hindu Self- Government	27
Arrian tells us NO Hindu was accused of Lying	27
3rd century Chinese Su-we speaks of flourishing Buddhism in Hindu Raaj	28
Su-we speaks of Hindu Straightforwardness and Hindu Honesty	28
7th Century Chinese Hiouen-thsang speaks of Hindu Straightforwardness and Hindu Honesty	28
Hiouen-thsang speaks of Hindu Justice	28
Hiouen-thsang tells us Hindus NEVER take Unjustly other peoples' Wealth	29
11th Century Muslim Idrisi vouches for Hindu Justice and Hindus NEVER depart from Justice in their Actions	29
Idrisi speaks of Hindu Honesty and Hindu Fidelity	29
11th Century Arab Andalusi speaks of Hindu Wisdom	30

Andalusi speaks of Hindu Fairness	30
Andalusi speaks of Hindu Fables	30
Andalusi on Hindu RARE Inventions.....	30
13th Century Bedi ezr Zenan vouches for Hindus as FREE from all Deceit	30
Bedi ezr Zenan vouches for Hindus as FREE from Violence	31
Bedi ezr Zenan tells us Hindus DO NOT Fear Life NOR Death ...	31
13th Century Italian Marco Polo vouches for Hindu Braahmans as MOST Truthful and they DO NOT tell a lie for ANYTHING on the Earth	32
14th Century Friar Jordanus vouches for Hindus as True in speech and eminent in Justice	33
15th Century Kamal-eddin Abd-errazak Samarkandi vouches for Perfect Security under Hindu Raaj.....	33
16th Century Abul Fazl speaks of Hindus as lovers of Justice	34
Abul Fazl speaks of Hindus as admirers of Truth and of unbounded fidelity	34
Abul Fazl tells us Hindu Soldiers NEVER run away from battle field .	34
18th Century British Warren Hastings vouches for the Hindus as LEAST revengeful against wrongs done to them than ANY people on this earth	35
18th Century German Christian Dohm speaks of Hindus as The GENTLEST people	36
18th Century French Voltaire tells us early Greeks traveled to Hindu land for acquiring knowledge	36
18th Century William Macintosh confirms all Science and Arts were BORN on this Hindu land and Greek philosophers came to this land to improve themselves	37
18th Century French Pierre Sonnerat confirms that Hindus gave the knowledge of Religion and Law to the World and Egyptian and Greek got Fables and Wisdom from the Hindus	37
19th Century German Friedrich Schlegel tells us that the Hindus can bring Westerners back more SAFELY towards the DIVINE	38
19th Century Alexander Hamilton speaks of Hindu polished manners, Hindu mild temperament, and extensive Hindu kindness and charity	39
19th Century Max Muller tells us that even modern Muslims speak of Hindu Straightforwardness.....	39
19th Century Sir Thomas Munro vouches for Hindu good system of agriculture, incomparable manufacturing skills, schools in EVERY	

village, hospitality and charity, Respectful treatment of Women	40
Sir Thomas Munro vouches for the Superiority of Hindu civilization as compared to Christian civilization of the British	40
19th century Professor Wilson vouches for childlike Simplicity of Hindu Braahmans and he clarifies that if such simplicity was Lost it was due to European (Christian) influence	42
Professor Wilson vouches for extreme Frankness of the Hindus	44
19th century Bishop Heber vouches for Hindu character on many counts	46
19th century British Elphinstone vouches for Hindu merciful nature and Hindu Superiority in Purity of manners and thoughts towards Sex	47
19th century English merchants repeatedly vouched that Commercial Honor stood higher among Hindus than any other people in the world	49
Max Muller vouches that he could go on quoting from Book after Book that NO one EVER accused the Hindus of Falsehood and he places the Hindus Higher than the British and the French in this context	49
British historian William Digby tells us that 18th century Bengal was wealthier than whole of Britain	52
Another historian Brooks Adams asserted that Britain's Industrial Revolution was financed by Loots from Bengal	52
Professor Wilson speaks of higher class Hindus of Calcutta who can be stamped Gentlemen in any country in the World	53
19th century Colonel Sleeman who saw the core of Hindu BhaaratVarsh in its villages spoke highly of Village Self Governance system which happens to be the best form of democracy	53
Colonel Sleeman vouches that he witnessed numerous cases where the man's property, liberty and life depended on telling a lie but the Hindu refused to tell it	54
Max Muller asks can we find in England equivalents of such extraordinary Hindu Truthfulness	54
This was documented in 1835-36 and immediately thereafter ancient Hindu education system was replaced by Christian missionary English education system in 1835-36	54
The extraordinary Hindu Truthfulness that Colonel Sleeman vouches for represents Hindu Past based on ancient Hindu Education System	54
What you witness today the total lack of such high moral values represents ChristianizedHindu Present based on ChristianEnglish education system	54
Max Muller vouches that Hindu literature in its entirety represents	

Hindu love and respect for Truth	57
Max Muller says it is unlikely that you will find an equivalent of this in any ancient literature of the world	57
Max Muller says he has known Hindus who were better than him ..	58
Max Muller says Hindus can teach the ChristianBritish at least one major lesson in 'truthfulness to themselves' besides Hindu literature and law	58
Final Verdict by Max Muller stating "I should point to BhaaratVarsh..." ..	59
1 - 2	61
Does this all sound like a fairy tale?	61
That dreaded word Caste	66
MahaaBhaarat needs to be put in proper perspective and children must be taught the following facts from the very beginning as part of their character building process	68
Everyone wants Peace but we lose sight of the most important question: Peace at what Cost?	71
Part - 2	73
Who Uprooted you from your Roots?	73
Intellectual Conspiracy and Social Fraud of immense magnitude ...	74
2 - 1	75
It is the religion that drove them all along! Listen to it in their own words	75
When you think it was their nationality you are identifying the wrong culprit	75
Professor Wilson says he wrote those lectures to disprove Hinduism	76
Two opposite faces of Max Muller	76
1855 Max Muller at age 32 Macaulay poisons Max Muller's mind	77
1860 Max Muller at age 37 says the whole human race needs to admitted to the truth of Christianity; and obliquely pointing towards BhagavadGita, he says Hinduism, through its own errors, may have paved the way for Christ	77
1866 Max Muller at age 43 claims Vedic hymns are extremely childish and low grade	79
1866 Max Muller at age 43 writes to his Wife that his translation of	

Rig'Ved will show Hindus how inferior is the root of their religion and that is the only way of uprooting them and destroying all that has sprung from that root during past thousands of years and that would seal the fate of the millions in BhaaratVarsh	79
Quite an irony that I grew up learning that Max Muller had done a great service to Hinduism by translating Veds and making them known to the whole world when we Hindus, ourselves, had ignored them and forgotten about them	79
Education system can do wonders by brainwashing children making the villain look like the savior and victims look like the culprits	80
And why did Max Muller do it? To get a share of the plunder and world wide recognition as an intellectual of eminence	81
Max Muller writes to his Son that in the order of superiority among all religious documentations in world with regard to moral teachings first comes the New Testament of Christian Bible, then Qur'an of Islam, then Old Testament of Christian Bible, followed by Buddhist, Chinese and in the end of the line stand Hindu Veds	81
To put things in perspective it becomes necessary to bring in some specimen of those moral teachings from the New Testament, Qur'an and Old Testament so that you can form your own opinion about their superiority in comparison to Hinduism .	82
Moral teachings of New Testament	83
Moral teachings of Qur'an	84
Moral teachings of Old Testament	89
1868 Max Muller at age 45 writes to the Minister for BhaaratVarsh now that Hinduism is doomed Christianity must step in to fill the vacuum	91
18?? Max Muller at age ?? charges N K Majumdar what stops you and your countrymen from openly following Christ now that English educated brass of your country is already converted to Christianity	92
Do you notice the reach of religion in human lives? This is why I mix religion with history because human thoughts and actions can never be understood well without understanding the latent religious bias, and history is nothing but a compilation of human actions based on human beliefs shaped by religion	93
1882 Max Muller at age 59 gains much needed wisdom and takes an about turn: I should point to BhaaratVarsh	93
Need to look at Max Muller in proper perspective	94
Prof M Monier-Williams claims Braahmanism must die out for it is incapable of imparting even basic knowledge and education and therefore, without the aid of Christianity the foundation of	

Hinduism will crack	96
Monier-Williams writes the Victory of Christianity will be Complete as the Soldiers of Cross will storm in, encircle and undermine the mighty fortress of Braahmanism	96
Do you notice that it was an all out War by Christianity against Hinduism? For strategic reasons, they named it not Hinduism but Braahmanism	97
1869 Principal Rudolf Hoernle wrote he hopes that Christianity will fill in the gap as Hindus give up Hinduism on persuasion of Swami Dayaanand of Aarya Samaj who may be able to convince Hindus that present form of Hinduism is in opposition to Veds	98
1925 Professor Winternitz could not tolerate Schopenhauer placing Hindu Upanishads in high esteem	99
Winternitz claims that whatever little worth Hindu Veds may have they certainly do not match Hebrew religious documentations ..	100
Ripley terms Hinduism as Curse for BhaaratVarsh	100
Professor McKenzie finds Hinduism devoid of ethics which prevents development of strenuous moral life; isn't it rater amusing that these creatures define ethics and morality who have none of it themselves	101
Sir William Cecil Dampier holds Hinduism responsible for lack of its contribution towards science	103
To put the things in proper perspective it may be appropriate to bring in here a few examples of Hindu contribution to Science	103
Professor MacDonnell went on record saying Europeans learned plastic surgery from the Hindus who had already attained such heights in medical surgery that they could cut human hair longitudinally (lengthwise)	104
Stephen Knapp tells us that Yajur'Ved speaks of astronomy, geography, geology, medicinal plants, hydrostatics and electricity	105
Stephen Knapp tells us that Yajur'Ved speaks of engineering, ships, airplanes	105
Stephen Knapp tells us that Atharv'Ved speaks of atomic energy fission, ninety-nine elements, bombardments of neutrons, mass of molecular adjustments of the elements	106
You think all these are wild imaginations? So, answer these questions for yourself	106
Michel Danino says that Sayana's commentary on Rig'Ved clearly stated the Speed of Light with an amazing degree of precision	108
For once drop this egoistic idea that whatever modern science	

does not know till now or could not create as yet, Hindu
ancients could not have known that or could not have created
that 109

2 - 2 112

**They destroyed that ancient Hindu education system,
which had consistently produced, for millenniums,
'humans of such quality' that could make humanity proud
. 112**

Listen to it in their own words that they destroyed that education
system with the sole objective of Christianizing Hindus 114

2-3 117

**Sanskrit was turned into a dead language within a matter
of a century 117**

I grew up listening over and over again that Sanskrit is a dead language!
..... 117

Prof M Monier-Williams leaks away the true motive behind his
compiling Sanskrit-English Dictionary 118

Sanskrit was a thriving language until early 19th century 119

Did you have any idea of this? 119

What does this impression do to your thought process? 120

Does it NOT matter to you? 120

2 - 4 124

**How the ChristianBritish poisoned the minds of a vast
majority of the Hindus by systematically pouring venom
into the unsuspecting minds of the Hindu children? . 124**

They taught Hindus and told rest of the world 125

That: Aryans (Aarya आर्य people) invaded our country in the second
millennium before Christ, and they drove original inhabitants
down south and to the jungles 126

What did they gain by doing that? 127

Who showed them the way to Divide and Rule? Jesus of Holy Bible!
Surprised? Let us check Bible 128

That: they created the Caste system to maintain their superiority
over the original inhabitants and called them Shoodr 129

That: their literature described the original inhabitants by various
derogatory names like Daas, Dasyu, Asur, Naag, Raakshas130

That: Braahmans (ब्राह्मण not Brahmin ब्राह्मण) were the cunning lot, and they controlled the society and reaped rich dividend for themselves	130
That: Braahmans destroyed Buddhism, Jainism because those were rationalists and humanitarian religions, as opposed to Braahmanism, which was essentially a useless ritual oriented, primitive, superstitious and cruel in its caste administration	132
That: Braahmanism Hinduism has been economically exploitative, socially oppressive, and supportive of culturally dilapidated caste system	134

2 - 5 136

The legacy goes on and lies keep compounding through the efforts of those who were raised by Christian English education system and influenced by Marxist thinking

Jawaharlal Nehru	137
Professor Muhammad Habib of Aligarh Muslim University	138
Pundit Sunderlal	138
M N Roy	138
Sanskrit educated Braahmans of yesteryears and English educated Braahmans of today	138
All-out attack on Hinduism	139
The stubborn Hinduism does not give up	139
Abuse of the Constitution of BhaaratVarsh	139
Jawaharlal Nehru as the first Prime Minister	140
Muhammad Habib and Jawaharlal Nehru join hands	141
Ethnic cleansing continues unabated	142

2 - 6 143

Effectively, the Hindus became the true Minority on their own soil for all practical purposes 143

Part - 3 148

The Game is NOT yet Over 148

Though you may want to tell yourself that it is!	148
Building Anti-Hindu nation of the Hindus	149
Splitting the nation into pieces	153
Tribes that lived for millenniums peacefully have now been trained for organized violence	153

Bleed the Hindu society till death	154
Strategy for splitting the nation into pieces	155
Christianity's Post-War World Policy for BhaaratVarsh	156
Teachings of Bible that showed them the way	156
Christianity's history in Europe	157
Enormity of the money circulating	158
The Mask	158
Missionary schools in tribal regions	159
Missionary orphanages	159
Missionary money-lending business	159
Buying new Christians	160
Selling Christianity	160
Attack on Idol worship	161
Child's dilemma	161
Press bought over?	161
Strategy behind conversion of one year old infants	162
How Secularists look at it?	163
Refusal to produce Baptism records	163
Mass conversions	163
All factors being constant the only significant variable is	163
Supremacy of Christian flag over National flag	164
Liberation of Goa opposed	164
Alienating Christian community from their Nation	164
Phenomenal growth of Christian population revealed through Census	165
All copies of Niyogi Committee Report were destroyed so that it can no more be available to public	166
No point having democracy unless you have mechanism to prevent abuse by those whom you mistakenly respect	166

Part - 4..... 167

Scars that are very part of your existence 167

But all the while you have been trying to tell yourself that they never
existed 167

4 - 1 168

The Face of Muslim BhaaratVarsh 168

You may need to know this unless you want to invite it again in your

life. Do not think that times have changed and it will not happen again. It has been happening in Pakistan and Bangladesh for past 50 years. It will happen here with demographic changes taking place rapidly.	168
Inferno that Max Muller spoke of	169
Now we go back to the pre-Christian British period	169
How so much of native virtue and truthfulness survived?	169
How the nation survived?	170
How they fought back?	170
Islam on its last leg	171
Games that Christian British played	171
The beginning of the great Fall	172
The divide was made	172
Those who had mastered the art of deception	173
Switching the Actors	173
Brainwashing the children	173
Finally the Sun set	174
But by now new crops had been harvested	174
The legacy goes on	174
NCERT textbooks	174
Glimpses of the Policy of broad Toleration	175
Not a single Hindu temple from Surat to Delhi	176
Immense contribution by Late Shri Sita Ram Goel	176
Testimonies of Historians of Islam	177
Documented by Muslim historians of yesteryears which NCERT textbooks tried to hide from students	177
AD 1210-1236 Sultan Shamsu'd-Din Altutmish	177
AD 1290-1296 Sultan Jalau'd-Din Khalji	177
AD 1296-1316 Sultan Alaau'd-Din Khalji	178
AD 1351-1388 Sultan Firuz Shah Tughlaq	178
AD 1375-1378 Sultan Alaau'd-Din Mujaahid Shah Bahmani ...	184
AD 1389-1412 Sultan Nasiru'd-Din Mahmud Shah Tughlaq ..	184
AD 1422-1435 Sultan Ahmad Shah-I Waali Bahmani	185
AD 1436-1469 Sultan Mahmud Khalji of Malwa	186
AD 1440-1457 Sultan Mahmud bin Ibraahim Sharqi	186
AD 1463-1482 Sultan Muhammad Shah-II Bahmani	187
AD 1489-1517 Sultan Sikandar Lodi	188
AD 1525-30 Baabar wrote in his memoir that he killed Hindus for	

sake of Islam to become a Ghazi	190
AD 1556-1605 Akbar Jalaalu'd-Din Muhammad Akbar Paadshaah Ghazi	191
AD 1658-1707 Aurangzeb	191
Prophet Muhammad Showed them the Way	199
Destruction of temples by the Prophet himself	199
Arabia before and after prophet Muhammad	199
Prophet's entry into the Kaaba	200
Islamic account of prophet Muhammad's deeds	200
Allah had decreed that	201
Quotes from Qur'an	201
Constant and consistent behavior pattern for more than eleven hundred years	205
Think, why no Hollywood movies	207
4 - 2	211
The Face of Christian BhaaratVarsh	211
Inferno that Max Muller did not speak of	212
Goa Inquisition unimaginably worse than Nazi atrocities	212
What Prompted Them?	214
Teaching of the Holy Bible you can verify for yourself	214
Things have NOT changed with time	217
Year 1746 that was 200 years ago	217
Year 1998-2005 and this is now	220
Year 2003 so very recently	222
How very saintly Saints?	225
Conclusion	227
Part - 5	228
Your Heritage and your duty towards protecting it ..	228
There is no way you can go back to your roots unless you learn to give due importance to these small little things that you would otherwise want to dismiss as petty matters.	228
Know the beauty of your heritage language	229
Protect the phonetic integrity of the language that shaped your heritage	229

Phonetic integrity	230
Corruption galore	230
Why does this happen?	231
What is the situation with Sanskrit?	231
Highly unfair	231
Average reader's expectations and limitations	231
Helping young Hindus with better Sanskrit pronunciation	232
Visually phonetic approach	232
Blending English and Sanskrit phonetic traditions	233
Helping Westerners with better Sanskrit pronunciation	233
Know the Sanskrit terms as they are written in Sanskrit alphabet	233
Part - 6.....	239
Action Plan.....	239
Aim for Protection of Sanaatan Dharm धर्म Hinduism	239
Once that is taken care of everything else will fall in its place	239
Duty of the Students, the Householders and the Sannyasis towards Protection of their SoulMother Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism	240
Building Organizations	242
Centers of Sanaatan Dharm	242
No intellectual luxuries please	242
Action please, no self-fulfilling debates	243
Ensure Visibility	243
Spreading Knowledge	244
Part - 7.....	245
Miscellany	245
Letters from the Readers	246
Publications & Prices.....	247
Copyright, Price & Contact	248

Why today's Students should not be kept in dark

Can today's students take the truth? My answer is: yes! They are more matured than they need to be. The television, the cinema and the internet together has made them grow beyond their age. Be it in good sense or bad sense but it has!

Can bitter truth help them? My answer is: yes! At least one experiment is in front of you: **Germany**. They did not hide the atrocities committed by the **Nazis**. They could have kept a lid on them or whitewashed them. But they chose not to. What was the result? I don't have to tell you that it has helped.

What happens when truth is hidden from them fearing it would disturb them? They learn to live in a make-believe world devoid of truth. They gradually turn hypocrites. Hidden truth keeps resurfacing again and again. The evil is not restrained. It only reappears in different forms assuming different masks. New generation finds itself incapable of resisting the evil because it has never learned to recognize it in its true colors.

How can it help the newer generations? Well, the best way to describe that would be to give frank examples.

Take newer generation of **Muslim students**. If they know what their ancestors have done to the Hindus then they will learn to look at things in proper perspective. That will make them tolerant and accommodating.

The same way when **Christian students** know the truth of their ancestors they will learn to become better men and women.

When **Hindu students** will learn the truth they will not live in a make believe world. They will know what to guard themselves against. Living in a make believe world can only make out of them a bunch of cowards whose armor is torn: one who has no capability of defending his or her own heritage.

Glimpses of Forgotten Past

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 20 referring to William Digby, 'Prosperous' *British India* (London: 1901), p 141, quoted in "Golden Bengal," *The Modern Review* (Calcutta), October 1908, pp 298-299 and Brooks Adams, *The Law of Civilization and Decay* (London: 1900), quoted in "Golden Bengal," *op. cit.*

While most eighteenth-century European travellers to BhaaratVarsh described her as **"flourishing," less than a century later she had sunk into depths of dismal misery.** One British historian noted in 1901: "Time was, not more distant than a **century and half ago, when Bengal was much more wealthy than was Britain.**" Another even asserted that **Britain's Industrial Revolution could not have taken off without the influx of money that followed the conquest of Bengal.**

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 21 referring to A Anquetil-Duperron, *Legislation orientale* (Amsterdam: 1778), quoted in *Les Indes Florissantes ~ Anthologie des voyageurs francais (1750-1820)* by Guy Deleury (Paris: Robert Laffont, 1991), p xv-xvi

Anquetil-Duperron year 1778: Peaceful Bhaaratiyas...., did the rumor of your riches have to penetrate a clime in which artificial needs know no bounds? Soon, **new foreigners** reached your shores; inconvenient guests, **everything they touched belonged to them...**; it was not enough that they should invade your commerce, **make up the price of foodstuffs and goods triple, alter their**

quality; your factories almost wiped out, the workers taking refuge in the mountains, a dying son asking his father what harm he did those foreigners who have taken the bread out of his mouth ~ nothing touches or softens their hearts: “Your gold,” the Peruvians and Mexicans were told; here, the revenue of Industan (*BhaaratVarsh) is what we demand, even if for that streams of blood have to flow. At least, unfortunate Bhaaratiyas, you will perhaps learn that in the space of two hundred years, one European **who saw you and lived among you** has dared to plead your cause and present to the Court of the Universe your wounded rights, those of mankind blackened by a vile interest.

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 quoting from *fragments historiques sur l'Inde*, Voltaire, *Euvres Completes*, Hachette, Paris, 1893, vol. 29, p 386

Voltaire: We have shown **how much we surpass the Bhaaratiyas in courage and wickedness**, and how inferior to them we are in wisdom. Our European nations have mutually destroyed themselves in this land where **we only go in search of money**, while the **first Greeks** travelled to the **same land only to instruct themselves**.

Past, Present, and Future are interwoven ~ you must not ignore your Past

History is our past. Our present is built on our past. Our future will be built on our present. Past, present and future are interwoven. We cannot ignore any of them. If we ignore lessons from our past, we will let our past be repeated into our present. If we ignore Adharm of our present times, we will let our future repeat them on magnified scale.

Past is the most significant link in the chain.

It is the foundation of the present and the future because lot of our present is based on our past.

By choosing to ignore the past all you can do is to spend away your life but then your coming generations will have to pay for it.

Professor D Meo of Italia asked me to spread the true image of Hinduism during my last visit to Venezia.

I reckoned that the true image of Hinduism could be reestablished by taking my readers to visit the lost world of Hindu society because it was Hinduism in action.

I also realized that after that visit my readers will ask me how such wonderful world was lost into the oblivion.

Or, why do we carry such a poor opinion of Hindu society today?

To answer that I shall have to tell my readers what transpired in-between.

I noticed that Italians do not say Italy, they say Italia. Similarly, they do not say Venice, they say Venezia. Reason: they do not think that they need to be English slaves [mentally]. We do, so we say India and Indian. Italians are proud to say Italia and Venezia but we do not feel pride in saying BhaaratVarsh and Bhaaratiya.

When you identify your country as India, you relate yourself to a history of few hundred years.

When you identify your nation as BhaaratVarsh, you relate yourself to a heritage that goes back to time immemorial.

Therefore, to recognize yourself, first you have to identify your nation correctly.

We have substituted India with BhaaratVarsh throughout this work including **quotes** from other people. **Exceptions** are titles of the books and names of the organizations, only to avoid any confusion with regard to their identity. We have also substituted Indian with Bhaaratiya throughout this work including **quotes** from other people.

Who are my target audience? Not those adults who know it all, for they need not learn any more. Not those adults who are so convinced that what has been taught to them in school, and what further they learn from media today, is all that they need to know, and that is what represents the truth, therefore, rest is garbage particularly when such material varies significantly from the popular view. Not those children who firmly believe that textbooks have all the truth that they need, and the rest that contradicts textbooks are not to be trusted.

It is these children who would be the future of the nation, and it is them who may do something about it if it appeals to them.

As for the adults, they are generally busy earning money and securing their future, and have relatively lesser degree of commitment towards a cause that may require reconditioning of their minds.

Children have greater ability and inclination to grasp new things.

The catch is: it is our non-believing or disinterested adults who have to introduce these documentations of suppressed facts to their children before these children can get to look at them.

Anyway, time has its own way of dealing with matters that have such interdependence.

Plain white background of the cover denotes a clean slate, a state of mind where you have chosen to set aside your preconceived notions, and made it ready for new imprints. The single color of the text on the cover page denotes single-minded enquiry into your past.

My work is for those who have an open mind.

Those who do not wish to reject anything based on preconceived notions.

Those who are willing to examine each piece of information that is new to him or her.

Those who are ready to allow their minds time sufficient for new material to sink in.

Those, who have the desire to combat against preconditioning of their mind, those, who believe in arriving at their own conclusions after prolonged deliberation!

Important note

To draw reader's attention, occasionally I may have italicized or underlined or put in bold some part of the text while **quoting** from other published works. To bring additional clarity to the subject, I may have occasionally **inserted** [*text matter] while reproducing **quotes** from other published works.

Quoted references

I have **quoted from** the following works of various authors, which are listed here in alphabetical order of the **title** of the work referenced:

**A Hindu View of the World-Essays in the intellectual Kshatriya Tradition*, N S Rajaram, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1998
**A Sanskrit English Dictionary*, M Monier-Williams, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 2002
**Arise Again, O India!* Francois Gautier, Har-Anand Publications Pvt Ltd, New Delhi, reprint 2001
**Ayodhya: The Case against the Temple*, Koenraad Elst, Voice of India, New Delhi, 2002
**Collins English Gem Dictionary*, London & Glasgow, 1969
**Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought* by Michel Danino, this paper was presented at a seminar on *Decolonization and its Cultural Problems* organized by N V Krishna Warrior Smaraka Trust at Tripunithura, Kerala, on 9-10 October 1999 quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003
**Eminent Historians: Their Technology, Their Line, Their Fraud*, Arun Shourie, ASA, New Delhi, 1998
**Hindu Temples what happened to them Vol. II The Islamic Evidence*, Sita Ram Goel, Voice of India, New Delhi, 2nd edition 1993, reprint 2000
**Hindu Voice*, a monthly magazine espousing cause of Hinduism, Mumbai
**Holy Bible*, Pocket-Size Edition, King James Version, Pilot Books, Athens, Georgia, Broadman & Holman Publishers, Belgium, 1996
**In defence of Hinduism*, Swami Vivekananda, Advaita Ashrama, Calcutta, June 1999
**INDIA what can it teach us?* F Max Muller, Longmans, Green and Co, London, 1883, Penguin Books India, New Delhi 2000
**Pseudo-Secularism, Christian Missions and Hindu Resistance*, Sita Ram Goel, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1998
**Qur'an Majeed*, Arabic-English-Hindi, Maktaba Al Hasanat, New Delhi, 2003
**The Calcutta Qur'an Petition*, edited by Sita Ram Goel, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1999
**The Goa Inquisition*, AK Priolkar, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1991
**The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino / Sujata Nahar, The Mother's Institute of Research, Delhi and Mira Aditi, Mysore
**The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, Ishwar Sharan, Voice of India, New Delhi, 1995
**The New Oxford Dictionary of English*, Indian edition, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2001 [2152-page edition]
**The Student's Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Vaman Shivram Apte, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, reprint 2000
**Understanding Islam through Hadis: Religious Faith or Fanaticism*, Ram Swarup, Exposition Press, Smithtown, New York, web version at www.bharatvani.org.
**Vastu Relevance to Modern Times*, Niranjana Babu, UBS Publishers' Distributors Ltd, New Delhi, 2001
**Western Indologists A Study in Motives*.htm, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt, <http://www.veda.harekrsna.cz/encyclopedia/indology.htm#11>

Notes on Transliteration

My English spellings of Sanskrit terms are different from those commonly seen in print. **These are my reasons:** We have a **Sanskrit phonetic tradition:** *ending vowels are pronounced.* We also have an **English phonetic tradition:** *ending 'a' is pronounced as long-a आ.*

In an attempt to keep up with the Sanskrit phonetic tradition we tend to use ending 'a' quite often totally ignoring the English phonetic tradition. When a reader pronounces the Sanskrit term written in English alphabet, he naturally uses the English phonetic tradition simply because he is reading it in English.

This practice of indiscriminate use of tailing-a in the end of practically every Sanskrit term written in English has defeated the very purpose of its implementation. We see today en-mass distortion in Sanskrit pronunciations. Therefore, I do not use the tailing-a, because it does more harm than any good.

योग has become योगा ~ कर्म has become कर्मा ~ धर्म has become धर्मा ~ वेद has become वेदा ~ **male कृष्ण** has become **female कृष्णा** (Draupadi) ~ राम has become a **woman** of low origin रामा.

In Mahabharata 'a' appears in **five** places. **Reader has no visual clue as to whether all represent short-a, or all represent long-a, or some represent short and some long-a.** Reader should not be expected to go hunting for an expert. **Reader should have the necessary visual clue built-in the spelling itself. In such situations, I prefer to take a different approach.** I write MahaaBhaarat.

You might ask why I write my name as Maanoj मानोज whereas Sanskrit term is Manoj मनोज. It is because I have chosen my name to be मानोज not मनोज knowingly that it is not same as the Sanskrit term. Let us use the same analogy. Shri Krishn कृष्ण did not chose his name as Krishna कृष्णा or Shri Raam राम did not chose his name as Rama रामा.

The principle is quite simple: **write as you want it pronounced.**

Part -1

Face to face with your Roots

1 - 1

**Testimonies of so
MANY Foreign
visitors**

Hindu BhaaratVarsh was like this! Do your school textbooks tell you **all** about it? Or, do they hide **most** of it from you?

If they do so, **why** do they do so? **Do they want to keep you uprooted from your roots? Do they want you not to know of your true heritage?**

Why is that? Is it because they fear, you might dream of recreating your glorious past?

I **never** knew humanity could have seen such wonderful days in the past as is described below by people coming from different nations, seeing Hindu society through their eyes, over a period of more than 2,000 years.

I never knew this because not much of it has remained now to be seen often, and the history that I was taught in school did not care to mention any of these. Yet they happen to be well-documented facts and not creation of fiction.

We will see that foreign travelers, businessmen, writers, educationists, diplomats and conquerors, who visited BhaaratVarsh during a period of 2,300 years as to what they have to say about the Hindu Society in their own words.

We will see that these people came during different centuries, from different continents, different nations, different cultures, different societies, different backgrounds, different mental makeup, different expectations but all of them invariably documented the same qualities about Hindu society.

We will see that these people visited different parts of BhaaratVarsh and lived in different sections of Hindu Society and they all came to the same conclusions.

This cannot happen by coincidence. This can happen only if it had been a constant and consistent truth about Hindu Society through the ages.

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 50

Max Muller: It is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek, or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any

attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first.

This was Max Muller in 1882 at Cambridge University in England. By then he had substantially matured in life and acquired the much needed wisdom that comes **with age**. He had also approached closer to his grave when people tend to admit the truth more easily than in their youth when survival and ambitions take precedence in life.

Therefore, be prepared to see yet **another face** of the same Max Muller in his youth, much influenced by the 1st Baron of erstwhile British Empire Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay. **That will tell you the reason why you hold today such a low opinion of Hindu society.**

It is a story of intellectual fraud carried out by eminent people of European society, mostly under British umbrella. **It was a social fraud of an immense magnitude that blackened the face of an entire society.**

It was like painting milk white with charcoal black. It was much like covering bright sun shine with the dead of night.

And the most amazing thing that you will notice from their personal admissions is that it was their Christian faith, the belief in Jesus and his doctrine, which drove them towards carrying out a social fraud of this magnitude.

Mention of Jesus at this point may surprise you but to understand Jesus well you may have to read my other works on Christianity.

This work will quote only those teachings of his which are relevant to the context. This is a work on history and if religious teachings are quoted occasionally then it is **to demonstrate what shaped those historical events.**

At this moment, these are only words but as you will progress through my work these words will come alive as hard facts of history, which had been deliberately withheld from common knowledge of the Hindu society in particular, and the world at large.

Before Christ 5th century Greek Ktesias speaks of legendary Justice of the Hindus

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 51, endnote 23, referring to Ktesiae Fragmenta (ed. Didot), p 81

Ktesias, the famous Greek physician of Artaxerxes Mnemon (present at the battle of Cunaxa, 404 BC), the first Greek writer who tells us anything about the character of the Bhaaratiyas, such as he heard it described at the Persian court, has a special chapter "**On the justice of the Bhaaratiyas**".

Artaxerxes II son of Darius II, reigned 404-358 BC, king of ancient Persia. Oxford Dictionary, p 93

Some of you must argue. You would say Ktesias spoke of all Bhaaratiyas, not of Hindus alone! Hence, this clarification: Muslims were nonexistent in the 5th century before Christ. Jesus was not born yet. Therefore, when *Ktesias* spoke of Bhaaratiyas, he meant **Hindus only**.

Before Christ 4th Century Greek Megasthenes speaks of Hindu Love for Truth

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 51, endnote 25, referring to Indian Antiquary, 1876, p 333 Megasthenis Fragmenta (ed. Didot) in *Fragn. Histor. Graec. Vol. ii. p 426*

Megasthenes, the ambassador of Selucus Nicator at the court of Sandrocottus (Chandragupt) in Palibothra (Paataliputra, the modern Patna), states that thefts were

extremely rare, and they **honored truth as virtue.**

Megasthenes tells us that NO Hindu was Slave

Megasthenes speaks of Hindu Simplicity and Frugality

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 23 referring to Megasthenes, quoted by Greek historians Arrian and Strabo, in R C Majumdar, *The Classical Accounts of India* (Calcutta: Firma KLM, 1981), pp 224, 218, 270

Michel Danino: Megasthenes, for example, who visited the Maurya court at Pataliputra in the 4th century BC, noted:

Megasthenes: **All Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus) are free, and none of them is a slave... Bhaaratiyas never invade other peoples,** nor do other people invade BhaaratVarsh... They fare happily, because of **their simplicity and their frugality...** Since they esteem beauty, they practice everything that can beautify their appearance. Further, **they respect alike virtue and truth...**

Ktesias praised Hindu justice a century ago and Megasthenes praises of Hindu love for truth. You know why? Because, truth and justice go hand in hand! One cannot survive without the other.

Megasthenes also spoke of Hindu simplicity and frugality. This trait is very necessary for containing expansive tendencies amongst humans in any sphere of activity.

Lack of such expansive tendencies helped Hindus from not being the invading type. This non-invasive temperament gave them no desire for slave building attitude. That is what Megasthenes confirms by saying that all Hindus were free and none were slave.

Physical slavery is the gift of Islam to Hindus. Mental slavery is the gift of Christianity to Hindus.

Absence of base frugality and presence of hyper expansive tendencies is what makes them strive to expand their respective domains by destroying other domains.

After Christ 2nd century Greek Arrian speaks about Hindu Self-Government

Arrian tells us NO Hindu was accused of Lying

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 51, endnotes 26, 27 referring to Indica, cap. xii. 6. McCrindle in *Indian Antiquary*, 1876, p 92

Max Muller: Arrian (in the 2nd century, the pupil of *Epictetus*), when speaking of the public overseers or superintendents in BhaaratVarsh, says:

Arrian: They oversee what goes on in the country or towns, and report everything to the king, where the people have a king, and to the magistrates, **where the people are self-governed**, and it is against use and wont for these to give in a false report; but indeed **no Bhaaratiya is accused of lying**.

Epictetus (c. 55 - c. 135 AD) the Greek philosopher who preached the common brotherhood of man and advocated a Stoic philosophy [Oxford Dictionary, p 619] **Stoic** a person who can endure pain or hardship without showing their feelings or complaining [Oxford Dictionary, p 1830]

This 2nd century documentation indicates that good part of Hindu society was self-governed. You will again see the same testimony in early 19th century by Colonel Sleeman.

This self-government system was a village based system built on the foundation of 4-Varn system. If 4-Varn system was based on social injustice the way it is made out to be today then how come the same society was praised for unmatched sense of justice not only in speech but also in

their action, and that too, consistently over two millenniums? I have discussed this matter in considerable depth in *Gita Today*.

Today, Hindu is a crossbred [**Hindu by birth and Christian by education**] who belongs neither to Hindu roots, nor Christian mask. This is what I call a **ChristianizedHindu!**

3rd century Chinese Su-we speaks of flourishing Buddhism in Hindu Raaj

Su-we speaks of Hindu Straightforwardness and Hindu Honesty

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 256 [about AD 231]

Max Muller: The earliest witness is Su-we, a relative of Fan-chen, king of Siam, who between 222 and 227 AD sailed round the **whole** of BhaaratVarsh, till he reached the mouth of Indus, and then **explored** the country. After his return to Siam, he received four Yueh-chi horses, sent by a king of BhaaratVarsh as a present to the king of Siam and his ambassador. At the time these horses arrived in Siam (it took them four years to travel), there was a staying at the court of Siam an **ambassador** of the **emperor of China**, Khang-thai, and this is the account he received of the kingdom of BhaaratVarsh:

Su-we: It is a kingdom in which the **religion of Buddh flourishes**. The inhabitants are **straightforward** and **honest**, and the soil is very fertile. The king is called Meu-lun, and his capital is surrounded by walls...

7th Century Chinese Hiouen-thsang speaks of Hindu Straightforwardness and Hindu Honesty

Hiouen-thsang speaks of Hindu Justice

*Hiouen-thsang tells us Hindus NEVER take
Unjustly other peoples' Wealth*

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 51

Max Muller: The Chinese, who come next in order of time, bear the **same**, believe, **unanimous** testimony in favor of the **honesty** and **veracity** of the Hindus. Let me quote **Hiouen-thsang**, the most famous of the Chinese Buddhist pilgrims, who visited BhaaratVarsh in 7th century.

Hiouen-thsang: Bhaaratiyas are of a light temperament, they are **distinguished** by the **straightforwardness** and **honesty** of their character. With regard to **riches**, they **never** take anything **unjustly**; with regard to **justice**, they make even excessive concessions. **Straightforwardness** is the **distinguishing** feature of their **administration**.

11th Century Muslim Idrisi vouches
for Hindu Justice and HINDUS NEVER
depart from Justice in their Actions

Idrisi speaks of Hindu Honesty and Hindu Fidelity

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* pp 51-52, endnote 30 referring to Elliot, History of India, vol. i. p 88

Max Muller: If we turn to the *accounts given by the Mohammedan conquerors* of BhaaratVarsh, we find *Idrisi*, in his *Geography* (written in the 11th century), summing up their opinion of the Bhaaratiyas in the following words:

Idrisi: The Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus) are naturally inclined to **justice**, and **never depart from it in their actions**. Their **good faith**, **honesty**, and **fidelity** to their engagements

are well known, and they are **so famous** for these qualities that people flock to their country from every side.

11th Century Arab Andalusí speaks of Hindu Wisdom

Andalusí speaks of Hindu Fairness

Andalusí speaks of Hindu Fables

Andalusí on Hindu RARE Inventions

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 16 referring to Andalusí, 1068, quoted in French *A Concise History of Science in India* editors D M Bose, S N Sen and B V Subbarayappa (New Delhi: Indian National science Academy, 1989), p i

Michel Danino: In AD 1068, Andalusí, an **Arab** writer from **Spain**, wrote:

Andalusí: The Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus), among all nations, through many centuries and since **antiquity**, have been the source of **wisdom, fairness** and **moderation**. They are creators of sublime **thoughts**, universal **fables**, rare **inventions** and remarkable concepts.

Today Hindu child grows up learning hardly anything about any of the rare Hindu inventions, and if someone speaks about them such information is branded as creation of fiction. I shall deal with many such inventions in a later work.

13th Century Bedi ezr Zenan vouches for Hindus as FREE from all Deceit

*Bedi ezr Zenan vouches for Hindus as FREE from
Violence*

*Bedi ezr Zenan tells us Hindus DO NOT Fear Life
NOR Death*

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 257, endnote 26 referring to Mehren,
Manuel de la Cosmographie du moyen age, traduction de Shems-ed-din Abou
Abdallah de Damas, Paris, Leroux, 1874, p 391

Max Muller: Again in the thirteenth century, Shems-ed din
Abu Abdallah quotes the following judgment of Bedi ezr Zenan:

**Bedi ezr Zenan: The Bhaaratiyas are
innumerable, like grains of sand, free from
all deceit and violence. They fear neither
death nor life.**

This very sentiment (free from all deceit) is expressed by Professor
Wilson in early 19th century as you will come to see very soon.
Look at the consistency: 13th to 19th century.

**Your history did not tell you these things about Hindu
society, things that could have made you proud of your
heritage. Why? Is it because they wanted to Christianize
you?**

**What would have happened if you would have known
enough about your heritage?**

- **Would you have looked up to the Christian West with
awe?**

- **Would you have tried to ape them if you knew that
your heritage was far superior to theirs?**

Would you have started questioning:

- **How did you get totally cut off from that heritage?**

- **Would you have smelled foul play?**

- **Would you have wondered 'if this heritage lasted**

two thousand years' could it have been around from much earlier?

- **Would you have asked something that lasted so long, where did it disappear in a matter of one century?**

**13th Century Italian Marco Polo
vouches for Hindu Braahmans as
MOST Truthful and they DO NOT tell a
lie for ANYTHING on the Earth**

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 52, endnote 32 referring to Marco Polo, ed. H Yule, vol. ii. p 350

Max Muller: In the 13th century we have the testimony of Marco Polo, who thus speaks of **Abraiaman**, a name by which he seems to mean the **Braahmans** who, though **not traders** by profession, might have been employed for **great commercial transactions by the king**. This was **particularly the case** during times which the Braahmans would call **times of distress**, when many things were allowed which at other times were forbidden by the laws.

"You must know," Marco Polo says, "that these Abraiaman (Braahmans) are the best merchants in the world, and the most truthful, for they would not tell a lie for anything on earth".

This is 13th century. Six hundred years later *somewhat similar* sentiments have been expressed by Professor Wilson who lived amongst Hindus and mixed with them. It was part of his official job to communicate with them. In that process he came to observe the inherent character of the Braahmans.

But then what have you been told about those Braahmans? Check for yourself if the two images match. Then ask yourself where the foul play is?

We will later see how the image of Braahmans was methodically tarnished to encourage conversion of Hindus into Christianity.

We will also look at evidence in form of letters written by the Jesuit Christian Saint who initiated the process centuries ago.

As you will proceed you will be amazed to see the amount of historical fraud that had been carried out, over the centuries, with the sole motive to cut you off from your roots. And, if you will examine today your current attitude towards Hinduism, you will come to realize that they have been mighty successful in their venture and you have been the victim.

14th Century Friar Jordanus vouches for Hindus as True in speech and eminent in Justice

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 52, endnote 33 referring to Marco Polo, ed. H. Yule, vol. ii. p 354

Max Muller: In the 14th century we have **Friar Jordanus**, who **goes out of his way** to tell us that the people of Lesser BhaaratVarsh (**South and Western BhaaratVarsh**) are **true in speech** and **eminent in justice**.

15th Century Kamal-eddin Abd-errazak Samarkandi vouches for Perfect Security under Hindu Raaj

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 52, read with endnote 34 on p 231 referring to Notices des Manuscrits, tom. xiv. p 436

Max Muller: In the 15th century **Kamal-eddin Abd-errazak Samarkandi** (1413-82), who went as **ambassador** of the Khakan to the prince of **Kalikut** and to the king of Vidya Nagar (about 1440-45), bears

testimony to the **perfect security**, which merchants enjoy in that country. He seems to have been one of the first to state that the Persian text of the Kalilah and Dimna was derived from the **wise people of BhaaratVarsh**.

16th Century Abul Fazl speaks of Hindus as lovers of Justice

Abul Fazl speaks of Hindus as admirers of Truth and of unbounded fidelity

Abul Fazl tells us Hindu Soldiers NEVER run away from battle field

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 52, endnote 35 referring to Samuel Johnson, *India*, p 294

Max Muller: In the 16th century, Abul Fazl, the minister of the Emperor Akbar, says in his Aayine Akbari:

Abul Fazl: The **Hindus** are religious, affable, cheerful, **lovers of justice**, given to retirement, able in business, **admirers of truth, grateful and of unbounded fidelity**; and **their soldiers know not what it is to fly from the field of battle**.

Look at the consistency. Greeks started telling this ever since 5th century before Christ and two millenniums later in the 16th century, Muslim minister also says the same thing about the Hindus.

- **What was behind it?**
- **What made the Hindu such great a character?**

- **What retained that pattern?**
- **The answer is in the ancient Hindu education system and those who imparted such education.**
- **Education is what builds a character by influencing the mind and heart.**
- **And, it was Hindu Braahmans, the poor Braahmans, who imparted the education.**

18th Century British Warren Hastings vouches for the Hindus as LEAST revengeful against wrongs done to them than ANY people on this earth

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 56

Max Muller: Warren Hastings thus spoke of **Hindus** in general:

Warren Hastings (1st Governor General): They are gentle and benevolent, more susceptible of gratitude for kindness shown to them, and **less prompted to vengeance for wrongs inflicted than any people on the face of the earth**; faithful, affectionate, submissive to legal authority.

This legendary tolerance is now being abused to the hilt. Some cultures do not understand the value of tolerance. They perceive it as weakness.

That is what Kauravs did all along. Eventually, Bhagavaan Shri Krishn had to tell Arjun to lift Gaandeev.

MahaaBhaarat was the result of excessive tolerance by Yudhishtir.

History repeats itself. We are now moving in that direction.

18th Century German Christian Dohm speaks of Hindus as The GENTLEST people

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, pp 17-18

Michel Danino: When, going deeper, a few European thinkers began in the eighteenth century to try and fathom BhaaratVarsh's philosophy and religion, they were so struck by the **wisdom**, the **ancientness**, the **richness** they saw, that they soon declared BhaaratVarsh to have been the "**cradle of the human race**" and the "**birthplace of civilizations**," in the words of Christian Dohm, a German scholar, and described the Hindus as "**the gentlest people**".

18th Century French Voltaire tells us early Greeks traveled to Hindu land for acquiring knowledge

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 quoting from *fragments historiques sur l'Inde*, Voltaire, *Euvres Completes*, Hachette, Paris, 1893, vol. 29, p 386

Voltaire: Our European nations have mutually destroyed themselves in this land where we only go *in search of money*, while the **first Greeks** travelled to the **same land only to instruct themselves**.

Voltaire (1694-1778) French writer, dramatist, and poet; pseudonym of *Francois-Marie Arouet*. He was a leading figure of the Enlightenment, and frequently came into conflict with the Establishment as a result of his radical views and satirical writings. Notable works: *Lettres philosophiques* (1734) and the satire *Candide* (1759) [Oxford Dictionary, p 2070].

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 quoting from *Lettres sur l'origine des sciences et sur celle des peuples de l'Asie*, Voltaire, Paris 1777, letter of 15 December 1775

Voltaire: I am **convinced** that **everything** has come down to us from the **banks of Ganga**, astronomy, astrology, metempsychosis, etc.

Metempsychosis the supposed transmigration at death of the soul of a human being or animal into a new body of the same or a different species [Oxford Dictionary, p 1164].

18th Century William Macintosh confirms all Science and Arts were BORN on this Hindu land and Greek philosophers came to this land to improve themselves

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 as quoted by AL Wilson in *A Mythical Image: the Idea of India in German Romanticism* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1964)

Michel Danino: Many of the early travelers to BhaaratVarsh of the time (the exceptions being found mostly among missionaries) tended to share this enthusiasm.

"All history points to BhaaratVarsh as the mother of science and art," William Macintosh wrote. "This country was **anciently** so renowned for **knowledge** and **wisdom** that the **philosophers of Greece** did not disdain to travel thither **for their improvement.**"

18th Century French Pierre Sonnerat confirms that Hindus gave the

knowledge of Religion and Law to the World and Egyptian and Greek got Fables and Wisdom from the Hindus

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 referring to P Sonnerat, *Voyage aux Indes orientales et a la Chine* (Paris: 1782), quoted in *Les Indes Florissantes--Anthologie des voyageurs francais (1750-1820)* by Guy eleury (Paris: Robert Laffont, 1991), pp 16-17

Pierre Sonnerat: We find among the Bhaaratiyas the vestiges (*trace, remains) of the **most remote antiquity**. ... We know that **all peoples came there** to draw the elements of their **knowledge**. ... BhaaratVarsh, in her splendor, **gave religions and laws** to all the other peoples; **Egypt** and **Greece owed to her** both their **fables** and their **wisdom**.

19th Century German Friedrich Schlegel tells us that the Hindus can bring Westerners back more SAFELY towards the DIVINE

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 19 referring to Friedrich von Schlegel, letter to Ludwig Tieck of 15 December 1803 quoted by Leon Poliakov in *The Aryan Myth*

Friedrich Schlegel: **Everything without exception** is of Bhaaratiya **origin**.

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 19 referring to Friedrich von Schlegel, *Essay on the Language and Wisdom of the Indians* quoted by Roger-Pol Droit in *L'Obli de l'Inde* (Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1989) p 129

Friedrich Schlegel: Whether directly or

indirectly, all nations are originally nothing but Bhaaratiya colonies. ... The oriental antiquity could, if we consented to deepen it, **bring us back more safely towards the divine.**

19th Century Alexander Hamilton speaks of Hindu polished manners, Hindu mild temperament, and extensive Hindu kindness and charity

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 19 quoted by TR Tratmann, *Aryans and British India*, p 34

Alexander Hamilton: When we read in the valuable production of those great Oriental scholars ~ those of a Jones, a Wilkins, a Colebrooke, or a Halhed ~ we **uniformly discover** in the **Hindus** a nation, whose **polished manners** are the result of a **mild disposition** and an **extensive benevolence.**

19th Century Max Muller tells us that even modern Muslims speak of Hindu Straightforwardness

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 52

Max Muller: And given in quite **modern times** the **Mohammedans** seem willing to admit that the **Hindus**, at all events in their dealings with Hindus, are **more**

straightforward than Mohammedans in their dealings with Mohammedans.

19th Century Sir Thomas Munro vouches for Hindu good system of agriculture, incomparable manufacturing skills, schools in EVERY village, hospitality and charity, Respectful treatment of Women

Sir Thomas Munro vouches for the Superiority of Hindu civilization as compared to Christian civilization of the British

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 57, read with endnotes 43, 44 seemingly referring to Mill's History, vol. i. p 371

Max Muller: Sir Thomas Munro (*Eminent Governor of Madras Presidency) bears even stronger testimony. He writes:

Sir Thomas Munro: If a good system of agriculture, **unrivalled manufacturing skill**, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, **schools established in every village** for teaching, reading, writing, and arithmetic, the **general practice of hospitality and charity** amongst each other, and above all, a **treatment of the female sex** full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people – then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if **civilization** is to become an article of trade between

England and BhaaratVarsh, **I am convinced** that **England will gain** by the import cargo.

Think about what he said: England would gain if civilization were to be traded. What made Hindu society so great? It was the kind of education that the society had received. Education that had built the character of the Hindus in general! Education that had shaped the thought process and value system of the Hindu society! Education that had guided the conducts of Hindu people through the ages!

Who were those Hindu educators? Who were those educators to the society who gave this society such a great character? To whom Hindu society had entrusted the task of educating its children?

Do you know the answer? Is it very difficult to speak out the name of that class of Hindu society? Why is it so very difficult to admit who were they? Is it because you have been repeatedly told many nasty things about that class of Hindu society? Is it because you have come to think of that class of Hindu society as a necessary evil? And what drew that picture in your mind?

The ancient Hindu education system that was evolved, maintained, and nourished by Hindu Braahmans until ChristianBritish uprooted it from its root, destroyed the infrastructure, and replaced it with ChristianEnglish Missionary education system.

Braahmans did not defend themselves. They only gave knowledge. It was Kshatriya's duty to defend the Braahman. Christian missionary education for past six generations taught Kshatriyas to hate Braahmans.

The Christian Governor of a Hindu dominated state in Southern BhaaratVarsh, Madras Presidency, pointed at excellent treatment of the female sex. This had been possible due to the kind of education that those Braahmans gave to Hindu children and also guided them through their adult life wherever necessary. Hindus not only believed in 'one wife one husband for the life' but they also practiced the same. Divorce was unheard of in Hindu society.

With Muslim culture around for centuries, Hindu men became corrupt. Muslims would have many wives. They would use force to pick up Hindu women and marry them after converting into Islam or make them concubine. They made Tawaaif system (prostitution) very common. They brought women to streets. Muslims had major presence in the Northern BhaaratVarsh. **They corrupted Hindu value system beyond repair.**

Then came the Christian culture and with that Hindu woman became corrupt. Female body became a material for display on the streets. Lesser the clothes better it is. In the name of modernity came the promiscuity. Divorce became a popular game. Multiple partners (in a life time) became more of a norm than exception. **These two alien cultures thoroughly corrupted Hindu value system.**

19th century Professor Wilson vouches for childlike Simplicity of Hindu Braahmans and he clarifies that if such simplicity was Lost it was due to European (Christian) influence

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* pp 38-39

Max Muller: Then, speaking of **much-abused** Bhaaratiya **Pundits**, he (*Professor Wilson) says: The studies which engaged my leisure brought me into connection with the men of learning, and in them I found the similar merits of industry, intelligence, cheerfulness, **frankness**, with others peculiar to their avocation. A **very common characteristic** of these men and of the **Hindus especially**, was **simplicity truly childish**, and a total unacquaintance with the business and manners of life. **Where that feature was lost**, it was chiefly by

those who had been **long familiar with Europeans**. Amongst the Pundits, or the learned Hindus, there prevailed great ignorance and great dread of the European character. There is indeed, very little intercourse between any class of Europeans and Hindu scholars, and it is not wonderful, therefore, that mutual misapprehension should prevail.

Here speaks a Christian professor from his experience with Hindu Braahmans. Before quoting his testimony, Max Muller adds 'much abused pundits'.

Why, does he do so? He was aware that pundits were abused by many.

Who were those people that abused the Braahmans? Naturally, not Hindu Braahmans themselves*. Not true Hindus either. Who then? Christians primarily!

Why would they all have done that? We will discuss that later at considerable length.

And **why does Max Muller add** the adjective 'much abused'? It is because he was about to tell you the truth, which others hide from you.

And **why they hide the truth** from you? And why they tell the lie to you? It is because of their vested interests. There is **conflict of interest**, and one who is deceitful, uses every means of available media to abuse the system. Social frauds of this nature are specialty of many, and you will find ample case studies in other works.

[*in this context, do not go by a Braahman sounding names. A Braahman born could have turned Marxist, given up faith in God, and yet retained his Braahman family name to take advantage for personal benefits.]

And, our Christian professor speaks of **child like simplicity of Hindu Braahmans**.

Can you visualize **what that truly means**? Go back and **look at**

a small child, and you will know. But do not select a child for this purpose who knows all about what Bill Clinton and Monica Lewinsky did together by watching those prime time television exposures and newspaper headlines. Christian dominated modern civilization can rob children of all their simplicity by misusing what they **pride as information explosion**, or **technological advancement**.

The second thing our witness Professor Wilson tells us that, there are cases **where this simplicity is lost**. But when is it lost? He clarifies that too. It is then, when these childlike simple creatures are **exposed to the adult like cunningness** of Europeans who are primarily Christians.

You may have heard that **foul association spoils** good people. This is a case in point. The professor says it is the **long familiarity with Europeans** that robs their child like simplicity.

Now, try to visualize what would be the **true character** of those Europeans, more precisely the ChristianBritish, who **carefully cultivated the opposite image** about these simple Braahmans, in the minds of the Hindu masses in BhaaratVarsh, by using the Macaulayite English Christian missionary **education system**, and English **media**.

That is what we call **intellectual conspiracy and social fraud**.

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 quoting from *fragments historiques sur l'Inde*, Voltaire, Euvres Completes, Hachette, Paris, 1893, vol. 29, p 386

Voltaire: We have shown **how much we surpass** the Bhaaratiyas in courage and **wickedness**, and how inferior to them we are in wisdom.

Professor Wilson vouches for extreme Frankness of the Hindus

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, pp 37-38

Max Muller continued: I knew the late Professor Wilson, our Boden Professor of Sanskrit at Oxford, for many years, and often listened with deep interest to his reminiscences. Let

me read you what he, Professor Wilson, says of his native friends, associates, and servants:

Professor Wilson: **I lived**, both from necessity and choice, **very much amongst the Hindus**, and had opportunities of becoming acquainted with them **in a greater variety of situations** than those in which they usually come under the observation of Europeans. In the Calcutta mint, for instance, **I was in daily personal communication with a numerous** body of artificers, mechanics, and laborers, and always found amongst them cheerful and unwearied industry, good-humored compliance with the will of their superiors, and a readiness to make whatever exertions were demanded from them: there was among them **no** drunkenness, **no** disorderly conduct, **no** insubordination. It would not be true to say that there was no dishonesty, but it was comparatively **rare**, invariably **petty**, and much less formidable than, I believe, it is necessary to guard against in **other mints in other countries**. There was considerable **skill** and ready **docility**. So far from there being any servility, **there was extreme frankness**, and I should say that where there is **confidence without fear, frankness is one of the most universal features in the Bhaاراتiya character**. Let the people feel sure of the temper and goodwill of their superiors, and there is an end of reserve and timidity,

without the slightest departure from respect...

Here Professor Wilson mentions of **extreme frankness** of common Hindus. Do you notice the significance of this statement? People of this kind do not use their words lightly. Here I am referring to Prof Wilson who displays the **rare honesty** to admit truth that most others would want to deny to help protect their self-interest.

Think: extreme frankness comes when?

- **It comes when there is nothing to hide, nothing to manipulate, nothing to fear, it is when there is utmost reverence for truth and truth alone, as one sees it.**
- **It is when there is no suppression of facts on purpose, no deliberate misrepresentations, no half-truths with malicious intent, and no hypocrisy that is so typical of Christian dominated world of today.**

19th century Bishop Heber vouches for Hindu character on many counts

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 56, endnote 38 referring to Samuel Johnson, l. c. p 293

Bishop Heber: The **Hindus** are **brave, courteous**, intelligent, most eager for knowledge and improvement; sober, industrious, dutiful to parents, affectionate to their children, uniformly gentle and patient, and **more easily affected by kindness and attention to their wants and feelings than any people I ever met with.**

Bishop Heber spoke of Hindus as 'more easily affected by kindness and attention to their wants and feelings than any people I ever met with'. This is true even today. Look at the respect Hindus

gave to Mother Teresa, who truly did so little for Calcutta but publicized such a lot to the world. Surprised at my comments about someone so very respectable? Read *Popes Saints cardinals archbishops Bishops*. You will find ample documented evidence.

Now, read the following comments. You will notice that the qualities described by different people have something in common fundamentally. They all speak about the character of the Hindus. That speaks for the Hindu society. All these people came from different backgrounds. They all represented different generations, different cultures, different sentiments. Yet, what they found about Hindu character in general is not in conflict with each other.

That would simply mean those who taught you the opposite, taught you lie. Why did they do so? You have to investigate into their background.

- **Do they have something in common, as far as their vested interests and their *political agendas* are concerned?**
- **Is there anything common in the *ideology* they all subscribe to?**
- **Could their attitude towards the Hindus be part of an *ideological warfare*?**

19th century British Elphinstone vouches for Hindu merciful nature and Hindu Superiority in Purity of manners and thoughts towards Sex

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 56, endnote 39 referring to Elphinstone's *History of India*, ed. Cowell, pp 375-381

Elphinstone: The Hindus are **mild** and **gentle** people, **more merciful** to prisoners **than any other Asiatics**. Their **freedom** from **gross debauchery** is the point in which they appear to most advantage; and

their superiority in purity of manners is not flattering to our self-esteem.

Debauchery excessive indulgence in sensual pleasures [Oxford Dictionary, p 474]. **Mountstuart Elphinstone** one of the most distinguished, learned, and judicious members of the Bhaaratiya Civil Service [Max Muller, p 54]

Michel Danino adds that it was in **1841**, Mountstuart Elphinstone, the first Governor of the Bombay Presidency, wrote above-mentioned comments in his *History of India* (Source: *Arsha Vidya Newsletter*, July 2004, p 24).

Here the Christian Governor of Bombay Presidency speaks of Hindu superiority in context of excessive indulgence in sensual pleasures. But things have changed now. Hindu value system has been corrupted by close association with, and cultivated admiration for, the culture of ChristianWorld.

We call it West not realizing that it is primarily the world of Christian people. The ChristianWorld loves to put its women on public display half-naked, or even ninety percent naked wherever they can manage [I am told, women walk around topless ~ without bra ~ on Goa beaches, a British citizen's eyewitness account 23 February 2005].

They proudly call it the times of women's liberation where women need to be liberated in every possible way, from all kinds of inhibitions, and all hindrances, and if clothes that cover the body become an hindrance, the body should be liberated from such bother.

This ChristianWorld treats pornography as an expression of art and the Internet is infested with it. Then we see big headlines in our intelligent (?) media like *The Times of India* posing the question: what's happening to our youngsters who are getting involved in rapes of minors. Well, it is a gift of liberation, the liberty to do with what one pleases. Those who want to make money calling pornography as a form of art and suitable for public display through the Internet are at liberty to do so! Similarly those teenagers who rape other teenagers are also at liberty to do so. After all, you must enjoy the fruits of aping the Western world, the ChristianWorld about whose latent character you can learn much more from *Christianity in a different Light - Face behind the Mask*.

19th century English merchants repeatedly vouched that Commercial Honor stood higher among Hindus than any other people in the world

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 58

Max Muller: Let me add that I have been **repeatedly told** by **English merchants** that **commercial honor stands higher in BhaaratVarsh than in any other country**, and that a dishonored bill is hardly known there.

Why is it that we do not see much of that kind of commercial honor **today**? Instead, we often feel as if the situation now is quite opposite.

Have you ever thought, in this context, **what a disaster** bad company of bad cultures can bring? Have you also considered what **bad** leaders, philosophers, and guides can do to a society?

Carry on the journey with me, and you will see the faces **carefully hidden** behind masks. You will gradually know whom all I am referring to.

Do not give up at this stage, if I have been able to arouse your curiosity, even if it bothers you that whatever I am saying seem to be in direct conflict with all that you may have known so far.

You may have read with interest works of *fiction* dealing with *mystery*. Those works deal with individuals. Now you can get a taste of a different kind of mystery involving societies, and civilizations.

You will find that the truth is truly stranger than fiction!

Max Muller vouches that he could go

on quoting from Book after Book that
NO one EVER accused the Hindus of
Falsehood and he places the Hindus
Higher than the British and the French
in this context

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 53

Max Muller: So I could go on **quoting from book after book**, and **again and again** we should see how it was the **love of truth** that struck all the people who came in contact with BhaaratVarsh, as the prominent feature in the **national character** of its inhabitants. **No one ever accused them of falsehood. There must surely be some ground for this, for it is not a remark that is frequently made by travelers in foreign countries, even in our time, that their inhabitants invariably speak the truth.** Read the accounts of English travelers in France, and you will find very little said about French honesty and veracity, while French accounts of England are seldom without a fling at Perfid Albion!

Interesting, isn't it? **What made this possible?** Nothing, but ancient Hindu education system **that shaped the psyche of the Hindus!**

And, now we see hardly any trace of this, **why?** The **only reason** is the shift to modern Christian education system.

With that education, comes the **long association with** Christian

culture. That **reshaped Hindu psyche** and Hindu behavior thoroughly. That **corrupted Hindus** fully.

You would ask why corruption **of same degree is not seen** in Christian societies. The answer is two fold.

One, Christian societies do have **their own kind of** corruption*, and that too of **very significant magnitude**. But they have mastered the technique of **covering it** with mask.

Their **expertise** remains in **showing** their strengths, and **at the same time**, highlighting weaknesses of others; thereby, creating an **image of all clean** that belongs to Christianity, and **all dirty that belongs** to Hinduism.

They use **media and money** very effectively for that purpose.

[*WorldCom CEO Ebbers found guilty of \$11 Bn fraud. Richard Scrushy of HealthSouth is on trial. Kenneth Lay, ex-chairman of Enron, will be tried on fraud charges ~ *The Times of India*, Mumbai, 17 March **2005**, p 1, p 19]

I spoke of twofold reason. The second reason is **imposed poverty**, and **borrowed affluence**.

It may be difficult for you to visualize that only two centuries ago, Hindu society of BhaaratVarsh enjoyed **affluence far greater than** any Christian society of the West does today.

The ChristianBritish **systematically robbed** this nation, **siphoned the wealth out**, gave us **imposed poverty**, and **acquired the borrowed affluence** that they enjoy today.

Poverty has its **own disadvantages**, be it any scenario, and similarly, affluence has its **own advantages**, in that particular context.

The difference is that poverty encourages corruption **in small doses**, and borrowed affluence induces corruption **in large measure**.

Notice that, here, I mention of borrowed affluence. The **genuine affluence**, like the one Hindu society had, **encourages honesty**.

Earlier I hinted at Christianity having **mastered the technique** of covering truth with masks of different kind. The Christian education to Hindus, through six generations during past 170

years, and Christian education to the Christians of the world, did not deny Hindu affluence. Only they altered the outer cover.

They taught every one that Hindus did have affluence, but it was in few pockets, that of rajas and maharajas. They created an image that an average Hindu was poor all along as they are today.

Think: when money remains in few pockets and masses suffer, you do not see such testimonies about truth and justice as have been credited to Hindu society [Hindus in general] for two millenniums without break.

British historian William Digby tells us
that 18th century Bengal was
wealthier than whole of Britain

Another historian Brooks Adams
asserted that Britain's Industrial
Revolution was financed by Loots
from Bengal

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 20 referring to William Digby, 'Prosperous' British India (London: 1901), p 141, quoted in "Golden Bengal," *The Modern Review* (Calcutta), October 1908, pp 298-299 and Brooks Adams, *The Law of Civilization and Decay* (London: 1900), quoted in "Golden Bengal," *op. cit.*

While most eighteenth-century European travellers to BhaaratVarsh described her as "flourishing," **less than a century later** she had sunk into depths of dismal misery. One **British historian** noted in 1901: "Time was, not more distant than a century and half ago, **when Bengal was much more wealthy than was Britain.**" Another even asserted that **Britain's Industrial**

Revolution could not have taken off without the influx of money that followed the conquest of Bengal: "Very soon after Plassey [in 1757], the Bengal plunder began to arrive in London, and the effect appears to have been instantaneous, for all the authorities agree that the 'industrial revolution' ... began with the year 1760. ... Possibly since the world began, no investment has ever yielded the profit reaped from the BhaaratVarsh plunder."

Plunder rob systematically Collins English Gem Dictionary p 392

Professor Wilson speaks of higher class Hindus of Calcutta who can be stamped Gentlemen in any country in the World

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, pp 38-39

Speaking, lastly, of the higher classes in Calcutta and elsewhere, Professor Wilson says that he witnessed amongst them polished manners, clearness and comprehensiveness of understanding, liberality of feeling and independence of principle that would have stamped them **gentlemen in any country in the world.** 'With some of this class,' he adds, 'I formed friendship which I trust to enjoy through life.'

19th century Colonel Sleeman who saw the core of Hindu BhaaratVarsh in its villages spoke highly of Village Self Governance system which happens to be the best form of democracy

Colonel Sleeman vouches that he witnessed numerous cases where the man's property, liberty and life depended on telling a lie but the Hindu refused to tell it

Max Muller asks can we find in England equivalents of such extraordinary Hindu Truthfulness

This was documented in 1835-36 and immediately thereafter ancient Hindu education system was replaced by Christian missionary English education system in 1835-36

The extraordinary Hindu Truthfulness that Colonel Sleeman vouches for represents Hindu Past based on ancient Hindu Education System

What you witness today the total lack of such high moral values represents Christianized Hindu Present based on Christian English education system

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, pp 40-47 referring to Colonel Sleeman's Rambles and Recollections of an Indian Official, published in 1844 but written originally in 1835-36

Colonel Sleeman saw BhaaratVarsh, **where alone the true BhaaratVarsh can be seen**, namely, in the village-communities... Now what Colonel Sleeman continually, insists on is that no one knows the Bhaaratiyas (*Hindus) who does not know them in their village-communities – what we shall now call their communes. It is that

village-life which in BhaaratVarsh has given its peculiar impress to the Bhaaratiya character, more so than in any other country we know. When in Bhaaratiya history we hear so much of kings and emperors, of rajahs and maharajahs, we are apt to think of BhaaratVarsh as an Eastern monarchy, ruled by a central power, and without any trace of that self-government which forms the pride of England. **But those who have most carefully studied the political life of BhaaratVarsh tell you the very opposite. In their Panchaayats** [*village self-governance], Sleeman tells us, men adhere habitually and religiously to the truth, and **"I have had before me hundreds of cases," he says, "in which a man's property, liberty, and life depended upon his telling a lie, and he has refused to tell it."** **Could many an English judge say the same?**

Here we see Colonel speaking of extraordinary, yet hundreds of cases of Hindu love for truth, and Max Muller asks: Can many an English judge say the same?

- **What does this indicate?**
- **Does this not speak of superiority of Hindu moral values, as compared to the ChristianBritish moral values?**
- **And what image do you carry today?**
- **Why this extraordinary downfall?**
- **All other factors being constant only significant variable is Christian education system.**

- **Education that shaped our thoughts, our values and our conducts!**
- **And you think highly of that education system and lowly of our education system!**

Colonel Sleeman traveled extensively, and witnessed for himself the truth of Hindu society that today our Macaulayite elite, Marxist intellectuals, Christian educated media men and women paint in a very poor light.

Movies that reach the masses and television serials that are viewed by the millions, till today reflect at those days of Hindu society in a different color.

What they propagate all along is that there existed a Hindu society that was ridden with meaningless, superstitious, and socially unjust rituals and practices, behind which laid the sole objective of exploitation, and oppression of the poor gullible masses.

Actually speaking, this is all true, but the actors have been replaced.

- **The actors/oppressors in the real life assumed the position of saviors through media coverage and school textbooks.**
- **And to substitute the roles, they needed someone to assume the character of the exploiters/oppressors.**
- **What better scapegoat they could find than the Hindus themselves?**
- **Thus, those who lived an exemplary life were shown as the villains, and by control over school textbooks, print media and electronic media, the true villains assumed the face of the sympathizers, and saviors!**

Here, I am gradually exposing you to the game that was, and is, being played, you being the pawns on the chessboard.

Part of the evidence is being gradually opened up in front of you, and much more will follow in due course.

As you will continue your journey with me through my various works, the whole game, in slow motion, will be before your eyes.

Enjoy every reel.

Max Muller vouches that Hindu literature in its entirety represents Hindu love and respect for Truth

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 59

Max Muller: I have left to the last of the witness who might otherwise have been suspected ~ I mean the Hindus themselves. **The whole of their literature from one end to the other is pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth.**

Max Muller says it is unlikely that you will find an equivalent of this in any ancient literature of the world

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 67

Max Muller: **I doubt whether in any other of the ancient literatures of the world you will find** traces of that extreme sensitiveness of conscience which despairs of our ever speaking the truth, and which declares silence as gold, and speech silver, though in a much higher sense than our proverb.

Max Muller says he has known Hindus who were better than him

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 67

What I should wish to impress on those who will soon find themselves the rulers of the millions of human beings in BhaaratVarsh, is the duty to shake off the national prejudices, which are apt to degenerate into a kind of madness. **I have known people with a brown skin whom I could look up to as my betters**

Max Muller says Hindus can teach the ChristianBritish at least one major lesson in 'truthfulness to themselves' besides Hindu literature and law

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, pp 67-68

Max Muller: If you approach the Hindus with such feelings, you will teach them neither rectitude, nor science, nor literature. Nay, they might appeal to their own literature, even to their law-books, **to teach us at least one lesson of truthfulness, truthfulness to ourselves,** or, in other words ~ humility.

What a great tribute! Wish you had the eyes to see, the heart to feel, and the mind to understand. But how could you, when your mind has been clouded by the untruth that has been presented to you as the truth by the very educators whom you learned to respect from childhood?

Beware of your friends, philosophers, and guides. Choose them carefully. But do you have the choice? You are given, none. They make the selection of your guides from your very childhood, the day you get into a school of Modern Christianized English education. They elect your philosophers who keep you company as you grow, step in to your adolescent days, and graduate towards your adulthood.

You are never free to keep your mind and perceptions free. You are being bombarded every moment with thoughts, concepts, ideologies, morals, and ethics of an alien culture that you have come to embrace as your own. The print media, and the electronic media, which you consider to be the exaltation of technological advancement of the contemporary modern civilization, is being used very effectively to keep you prisoners of the thought process that is being imposed on you, not your quite realizing what is being done to you!

Final Verdict by Max Muller stating “I should point to BhaaratVarsh...”

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, pp xvi-xvii

Max Muller: If I were to look over the whole world to find out the country most richly endowed with all the wealth, power and beauty, that nature can bestow, **I should point to BhaaratVarsh.**

If I were asked under what sky the human mind has mostly deeply pondered over the greatest problems of life and has found solutions of some of them which well deserve the attention even of those who have studied Plato and Kant, **I should point to BhaaratVarsh.**

And if I were asked myself from what

literature, we here in Europe, we who have nurtured almost exclusively on the thoughts of Greeks and Romans, and of Semitic Race, the Jewish, **may draw that corrective which is most wanted in order to make our inner life more perfect**, more universal, in fact, more truly than, a life not for this life only, but a transfigured and eternal life, **again I should point to BhaaratVarsh.**

Semitic relating to or denoting a family of languages that include Hebrew, Arabic, and Aramaic and certain ancient languages such as Phoenician and Akkadian, consisting the main subgroup of the Afro-Asiatic family; of or relating to the peoples who speak these languages, especially Hebrew and Arabic. Oxford Dictionary, p 1691

1 - 2

Does this all sound
like a fairy tale?

How is it that the image we all along carried was quite opposite of this?

- **How is it that we carried the impression that we have essentially advanced over our predecessors, as far as values are concerned, as far as social systems are concerned, as far as delivery of justice is concerned?**

- **This inflated ego of our progress over our ancestors - does it feel punctured with discovery of this suppressed history of our past?**

Does this question surface on our mind:

- **Why is it that all this information was suppressed from us when it was actually readily available?**

- **Does your common sense tell you that there has to be a deeper conspiracy behind it?**

Yes, I call it conspiracy because it fits into dictionary definition of conspiracy.

Conspiracy as a secret plan by a group to do something unlawful or **harmful** Oxford Dictionary p 393

a conspiracy of silence as an agreement to say **nothing** about an issue that should be **generally known** Oxford p 393

So, if you want to know more, you are welcome to continue your journey with me through my works and I shall demonstrate as to how there had been a conspiracy and also a conspiracy of silence.

Think my dear friends, think!

- **What have you lost in the bargain, can you visualize?**

- **What are you after, today, which your past could not offer you in richer ways?**

- **What have you settled for, something far inferior to what you yourself possessed as your heritage?**

What is that attraction, which keeps you glued to something

that only takes you downwards, but makes you think that you are moving upwards?

- **When would you open your eyes, open your minds, open your inner perceptions, and recognize the truth?**

- **When, when?**

How long do you wish to wait?

How many life times do you need before you will want to reach out for the truth?

What is so very attractive in your life that keeps you away from the quest for truth?

The knowledge, the awareness of the truth alone can free your soul, but it is only you who has to reach out for that.

No one, and I repeat no one, will ever lead you towards that ultimate truth.

It is you, the inner you, who alone would be able to experience it, in your own individual way.

For that, you have to open up your perceptions.

Do not go after those who want to sell you that capability.

You, yourself can evolve it, provided you want to reach out for that and that badly!

You need to realize that this ~ the Hindu literature from one end to the other ~ pervaded by expressions of Love and reverence for Truth ~ has been the basis of all the goodness that you witnessed through the earlier pages, as documented by people coming from different continents, different countries, different centuries, different background, different expectations from life ~ but all said more or less the same thing about the Hindus ~ same good things ~ spread over 2,400 years ~ not a small period to show that kind of consistency!

Hinduism is not a **missionary** religion desirous of increasing the number of its followers through conversion from other religions.

Hinduism is not a **prophetic** religion, founded by a prophet, who would be the only link between man and God, whereby preventing man from direct access to God.

For Hinduism, the Journey to the Supreme Soul is every man's own quest!

Everyone will reach it, but only at his or her own time.

In our heart we may feel like a Hindu but we would not be expected to adopt Hinduism formally and give up our birth religion unless we ourselves want to do so, and that has to be essentially a deep-seated desire emanating from within our self.

Coercing or tempting others to give up one's own birth religion is inspired by gross selfishness of one kind or other, and that is not a divine characteristic!

Hinduism shares with others whatever it knows.

It does not seek anything in return.

It does not try to capitalize on the weaknesses of other religions.

Hinduism encouraged open debates and experiments on individual experiencing and understanding of God.

Unlike Christianity and Islam, it did not formulate a hypothesis on God and made it mandatory for all seekers to accept it.

This process over thousands of years allowed true seekers to experience God in their own respective ways and then share with others.

This helped enrich the understanding about God better amongst all seekers.

The collective knowledge gathered by the ancients who were in closer communion with the Supreme Soul and the Mother Nature

has come to be known as **Sanaatan Dharm**, later named as *Hinduism*.

Why do I speak of religion in a work of history?

Religion shapes history.

It has shaped history of mankind since time immemorial.

It has shaped history of every known civilization.

History accounts for human actions through the ages.

Human actions are shaped by human thought process.

Human thought process is shaped by human values.

Human values are shaped by religion.

Without religion humans drift without direction.

Why today there are so many attempts to separate religion from education, from work place, and from many other spheres of human activity?

People have seen the ugly face of carnivorous religions.

People have come to think it is better to live without religion than with it.

They have not seen the true face of Hindu religion.

They have been shown a highly distorted image of Hinduism, and with purpose due to conflict of interest.

People have not known how beautiful specimen of humanity the influence of Hindu religion produced.

That dreaded word Caste

Every time the word Caste System is uttered it puts Hindus on the defensive.

Centuries long Christian missionary propaganda followed by CommunistMarxist intermittent offensive has made the word Caste a dreaded one for the Hindus.

Therefore, anti-Hindus and self-professed Hindu reformers do not miss an opportunity to drop this dreaded word Caste whenever they want Hindus to take a retreat.

Bhaaratiya Christianized media makes it a point to constantly keep this word Caste into focus every now and then as if Hinduism and Caste system were **synonymous**.

Given this scenario, I urge you to think about it differently.

The very thought of Caste system triggers an image in your mind that feels like an epitome of **Social Injustice**.

If Hindu society practiced social injustice at such massive scale as it is made out to be then all those foreign visitors must have noticed it and mentioned it.

But what did they do? Each of them invariably praised **Hindu Justice**.

Now, it is a matter of plain common sense that the following two contradictions cannot simply exist together.

One that Hindu society practiced gross social injustice.

Other that Hindu justice and love for truth were unmatched by any civilization of which any such documentation is available.

Naturally, one of them is the liar. Which one?

Either all those foreign visitors from 4th century BC joined

together from their graves to conspire and praise Hindu justice and its love for truth.

Or all those Christian missionary educators conspired to systematically tarnish the image of Hinduism.

And all those Christian educators harvested new crops of educators, generation after generation, which continued to carry out the legacy of those liars and conspirers.

Now this happens to be only one aspect of the massive conspiracy and social fraud committed by them.

As you will proceed through this work and other works of mine you will see on how many fronts they have worked to destroy a civilization that was superior to their own.

As they could not raise themselves to the level of this great civilization, they chose to pull it down below the level of their own.

They worked at it systematically and consistently spreading their wings in every possible direction to consign this great civilization to the **oblivion**.

And, as you will read their own admissions, the most amazing thing that you will learn is that it was not their nationality which drove them to do so but their **religious faith** lead them to destroy this civilization.

MahaaBhaarat needs to be
put in proper perspective
and children must be
taught the following facts
from the very beginning as
part of their character
building process

I have heard Hindus mention:

O! You have started another MahaaBhaarat! Or,
You have made it a KuruKshetr!

When they say so, notice their tone.

**There is an undeniable streak of disapproval, or even
disgust.**

What does this attitude reflect at?

**It indicates that MahaaBhaarat or KuruKshetr is not
perceived in favorable light.**

They do not realize that MahaaBhaarat was a necessity of time.

The battle of MahaaBhaarat was fought about 3138 BC to
reestablish Dharm.

Foreign visitors' accounts of what they witnessed, which
we read in the earlier pages, are testimony that Dharm,
indeed, was reestablished.

They all arrived at same Conclusions.

These visitors came from different lands, they had different backgrounds, they came from different centuries, and they had different value system.

They visited different segments of Hindu society, placed in different parts of BhaaratVarsh.

They witnessed Hindu society over a vast period of time, more than two thousand years.

In their own ways they each evaluated Hindu society, and invariably came to similar conclusions.

What does this say?

There must have been something inherent in Hinduism that would have inculcated such fine qualities amongst Hindu men, women and children.

These values must have been so deep that they lasted for so long (almost 5000 years) and, uniformly, since the time they were reinstated following the battle of MahaaBhaarat.

These accounts are dated 404 BC onwards. Similar conditions must have prevailed after MahaaBhaarat, until this time, because suddenly such strong social fabric could not have developed overnight.

The structure must have evolved from the time battle of MahaaBhaarat ended, and Dharm was reestablished.

Finally, we may want to ask ourselves one question:

Whatever held Hindu society together for 5,000 years, could it have been of small value?

For once, we may want to be honest to ourselves and start thinking if we need to look back and study the value system that ancient sages of BhaaratVarsh had evolved with due respect that it deserves.

For this, we may have to first set aside our ego that we have essentially advanced over our predecessors.

But before that, let us understand one thing very clearly.

Hindu society without Hinduism (Sanaatan Dharm) would be nonexistent.

The foundation of Hindu society lies in spiritualism of Hinduism.

That is where its soul is, and that is where its life support system has been.

Therefore, Hindu society cannot be viewed without Hinduism.

No nation can prosper dwelling upon borrowed values, particularly those, which have not stood the test of time.

It is important that we Hindus realize, that we did have a glorious past.

It is very essential that we recognize our roots.

It is important that we Hindus examine in-depth, what lay behind our glorious past that gave us such enviable character, as a society and, as a nation?

Then only, and then alone, we will be able to recreate our past once again, and see its reflection in our present; *or else, we will keep drifting, like one who knows not his roots.*

If it existed once before, as is evident from the testimonies of so many people coming from such diverse background, and if it existed for such a long time period as is evident from these accounts, and if it had stood the 'test of time' then it must be capable of recreating itself, in today's context, provided we have the desire and the determination.

Everyone wants Peace but we lose sight of the most important question: Peace at what Cost?

Peace is necessary for life but obsession for peace that prompts many to buy peace at any cost is nothing but foolishness, though many of our leaders and preachers are very fond of this obsession.

Battle is equally necessary for life, be it the battle that has become part of our daily life today, or be it the battle for the right, and battle against the wrong!

Today's media driven world has raised our aspirations too high for peace of mind.

Advertising oriented campaigns have pushed our needs too high to be fulfilled with ease.

Inevitable is the result that drives us consistently towards new ambitions.

This puts us into a never-ending cycle of battle with the self.

Today we want to have everything that we do not possess, and that leads us into a maze from which we are unable to find our way out.

Battling with the self-driven desires all the while, we have hardly any time or inclination left to get into the battle with wrongs.

Such wrongs that are potentially harmful to any society but we have no energy for that.

Some, however, appear to be strongly obsessed with 'righting' the wrongs, but often these characters have their hidden motives.

Many of them are politically motivated in one way or the other, but being in command of excellent communication and presentation skills, they fool the world in believing that they happen to be the crusaders of truth.

Hang on with me, and you will get to know of many a specimen.

What is important is the right purpose for the battle.

And for that, it is necessary to have the right understanding of the purpose.

Battle is necessary for reestablishing Dharm, but then what is Dharm that needs to be understood well.

I have discussed this in *Gita Today ~ a different perspective* in detail.

Those who have led you to believe that MahaaBhaarat was nothing but a whole lot of bloodshed; they have misled you with purpose.

Having learned to look down upon MahaaBhaarat, you have only learned to give up your self-defense, and bid good-bye to your better counsel that taught you to uphold truth, and stand up always for protection of Dharm.

You do not realize how far you have drifted from your core values by not understanding the significance of MahaaBhaarat in your life, for you have been taught of MahaaBhaarat with a different kind of orientation in mind.

Your education system has successfully built many a mental blocks that keep you away from getting back to your roots.

Part - 2

Who Uprooted you
from your Roots?

And how much of it have you been
told?

Intellectual Conspiracy and Social Fraud of immense magnitude

Conspiracy has been defined by Oxford Dictionary (p 393) as a secret plan by a **group** to do something unlawful or **harmful**.

The phrase **a conspiracy of silence** has been defined by Oxford Dictionary (p 393) as an agreement to **say nothing** about an issue that should be **generally known**.

You have witnessed an amazing character of Hindu society in course of your journey through Part - 1.

Now, this part of your journey will take you through the amazing conspiracy which consigned that civilization into oblivion.

As you will progress you will notice that the sole objective of these intellectual conspirators was to convert Hindus into Christianity and thus gradually eliminate Hinduism.

I call it a conspiracy because the victims were not aware of the motive.

A conspiracy of silence has been maintained on this subject because 99.9% Hindus today are not aware of this.

Out of one billion Hindus world over, you may find it difficult to locate even that minuscule 0.1% (1 million or 10 lakh) Hindus who know about all this.

We will go about this in a sequential manner; first looking into the driving force, then the motive, finally the methodology and its systematic and consistent implementation.

2 - 1

It is the **religion**
that drove them all
along! Listen to it in
their own words

When you think it was their
nationality you are identifying the
wrong culprit

Professor Wilson says he wrote those lectures to disprove Hinduism

Source: *Eminent Orientalists*, Madras, p 72 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: Prof Wilson was a man of very noble disposition, **but he had his obligations towards the motives of the founder of the Chair he occupied.** He, therefore, wrote a book on 'The Religious and Philosophical System of the Hindus' and **explaining the reason for writing** it he says;

Prof Wilson: These lectures were written to help candidates for a prize of [Pounds] 200 given by John Muir, a well-known old Haileybury man and great Sanskrit scholar, **for the best refutation of the Hindu Religious System.**

Refute repel, reject, disprove Collins English Dictionary p 432

Thus the tool was created with the **sole objective** of demoting Hindu religious system. And it was **financed** by one who was known as a great Sanskrit scholar.

What did he find in Sanskrit literature that made him put up a prize money for denouncing Hindu religious system? Did he see the real strength of Sanskrit literature and felt **insecure** enough to use his loot money for further downgrading Hindu religious system? Here I refer to John Muir.

I speak of loot money because he and the likes of him earned their livelihood plus enormous amounts of money that they did not deserve by plunder (systematic loot) of Bengal and the rest of the BhaaratVarsh.

Professor Wilson himself may not have wanted to do it and yet he may have succumbed to the pressure from his employers.

Two opposite faces of Max Muller

In Part 1 you have read how valiantly Max Muller fought for Hinduism in **1882** at Cambridge University. While getting into it, I have prepared you for two **opposite** faces of Max Muller.

Here will get to look at his **youth-driven** blunder amounting to intellectual **conspiracy**, which he sought to **partially** correct by the time he matured, and nearing grave; but the enormous **damage** to Hinduism was done, and could **not** be reversed.

Max Muller was born in 1823. He acquired his doctorate before he was 20. He was a voluminous writer, and a forceful writer. He died in 1900.

1855 Max Muller at age 32 Macaulay poisons Max Muller's mind

Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol I, Ch IX, p 171 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: Max Muller's interview with Lord Macaulay on the 28 December 1855 also played a great part in his anti-Bhaaratiya views. Max Muller had to sit silent for an hour while the historian poured out his **diametrically opposite views** and then dismissed his visitor who tried in vain to utter a simple word: "I went back to Oxford", writes Max Muller, "a **sadder** man and a **wiser** man."

1860 Max Muller at age 37 says the whole human race needs to admitted to the truth of Christianity; and obliquely pointing towards BhagavadGita, he says Hinduism, through its own errors, may have paved the way for Christ

Source: *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, 1860, p 32 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Max Muller: History seems to teach that the whole human race required a gradual education before, in the fullness of time, it could be admitted

to the truths of Christianity. All the fallacies of human reason had to be exhausted, before the light of a high truth could meet with ready acceptance. The ancient religions of the world were but the milk of nature, which was in due time to be succeeded by the bread of life ... `The religion of Buddha has spread far beyond the limits of the Aryan world, and to our limited vision, it may seem to have retarded the advent of Christianity among a large portion of the human race. But in the sight of Him with whom a thousand years are but as one day, **that religion**, like the ancient religions of the world, **may have but served to prepare the way of Christ, by helping through its very errors to strengthen and to deepen the ineradicable yearning of the human heart after the truth of God.**

Max Muller displays such deep faith in Christianity and Christ that he claims all other religions needed to be raised to the level of Christianity!

Max Muller's references to "the Aryan world" and to "in the sight of Him with whom a thousand years are but as one day" are pointers towards **Hinduism**.

Without understanding what Bhagavaan Shri Krishn meant in Shrimad BhagavadGita Adhyaay 8 Shlok 17 Max Muller proceeds to claim "that religion may have served to prepare the way of Christ by helping through its very errors".

These pitiable characters, profoundly educated in Christian education system, speak of "ineradicable yearning of the human heart after the truth of God"!

Their over inflated ego made them think of "whole human race

required a gradual education before it could be admitted to the truths of **Christianity**".

His own words make it abundantly clear leaving no doubt whatsoever that the assault on Hinduism was driven by his faith in Christianity and Jesus Christ.

1866 Max Muller at age 43 claims Vedic hymns are extremely childish and low grade

Source: *Chips from a German Workshop*, second edition, 1866, p 27 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Max Muller: Large number of Vedic hymns are childish in the extreme; tedious, low, commonplace.

Max Muller had **not yet** risen in his life to the level where he could understand the depth of Vaidik (Vedic) literature.

His mind was so very **obsessed** with supremacy of Christianity that it was simply **not open** to receive any thing **nobler** than its own.

Twenty two years later he publicly acknowledged [see Part - 1] supremacy of Hinduism and **fought** for it realizing his earlier mistake but by then it was too late.

The irreversible damage had been done!

1866 Max Muller at age 43 writes to his Wife that his translation of Rig^Ved will show Hindus how inferior is the root of their religion and that is the only way of uprooting them and destroying all that has sprung from that root during past thousands of years and that would seal the fate of the millions in BhaaratVarsh

Quite an irony that I grew up learning that Max Muller had done a great service to Hinduism by

translating Veds and making them known to the whole world when we Hindus, ourselves, had ignored them and forgotten about them

Education system can do wonders by brainwashing children making the villain look like the savior and victims look like the culprits

Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller, Vol I, Ch XV, p 346* quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: In a letter of AD 1866 (Hindu Vikram Samvat 1923) he writes to his **wife**:

This edition of mine and the **translation of the Ved** will hereafter tell to a great extent on the **fate of BhaaratVarsh ...** it is the **root of their religion** and to show them what the root is, I feel sure, is the **only way of uprooting** all that has **spring** from it during the last three thousand years.

[Note: Notice the gap "...". in the above quotation? Michel Danino fills the gap in above quotation though without indicating the chronology and therefore it has been necessary to present both quotes. They complemented each other's deficiencies. I was lucky to get both simultaneously. These are some practical problems associated with investigations into the past. Lest some of you find fault with the two quotes let me clarify that referenced page numbers are different (346 and 328) as they seem to have used different editions. Michel Danino has indicated the publisher and the edition of 1902 but Bhagavan Dutt has not.]

Source: *Life and Letters*, F Max Muller, Vol. I (London: Longmans, 1902), p 328 quoted in Shriram Sathe, *Aryans: Who Were They?* p 20 quoted in *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 24

Max Muller to his wife: This edition of mine and the translation of the Ved will hereafter tell to a great extent on the fate of BhaaratVarsh and **on the growth of millions of souls in that country**. It is the root of their religion and to show them

what the root is, I feel sure, is the only way of uprooting all that has spring from it during the last three thousand years.

Max Muller writes to his wife describing his planned strategy for uprooting Hindu heritage through his translation of RigVed by showing it in poor light.

And why did Max Muller do it? To get a share of the plunder and world wide recognition as an intellectual of eminence

Do you want to know who financed this operation? It was East India Company.

They hired Max Muller to translate Rig'Ved. His time, body and mind were hired by the ChristianBritish East India Company with the sole motive of uprooting Hindus from their roots using translation of Rig'Ved as the weapon.

This weapon was meant to be used against English educated Hindus who had no ability to read and understand Sanskrit on their own.

And such English educated ChristianizedHindus were going to take the battle against Hinduism further down the lane.

In return, Max Muller was to get plenty of money and world wide recognition.

[Re: **funding by East India company**, refer to F Max Muller, *My Autobiography*, (New York: 1901; reprint New Delhi: Asian Educational Services, 1993), pp 13, 201-202, 216 quoted in *The Invasion That Never Was*, Michel Danino, p 24]

Those who use their knowledge for such **subversive** purposes, I call them intellectual whores for they put on rent their body (physical abilities and energies), mind (mental abilities and energies) and time for pleasure of those like Macaulay and East India company.

Max Muller writes to his Son that in the order of superiority among all religious documentations in

world with regard to moral teachings first comes the New Testament of Christian Bible, then Qur'an of Islam, then Old Testament of Christian Bible, followed by Buddhist, Chinese and in the end of the line stand Hindu Veds

Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol II, Ch XXXII, p 339 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: In another letter he writes to his son:

Max Muller to his **son**: Would you say that any one sacred book is **superior to all others** in the world? ...I say the **New Testament, after that**, I should place the **Koran**, which in its **moral teachings**, is hardly more than a later edition of the New Testament. **Then would follow** according to my opinion the **Old Testament**, the Southern Buddhist Tripitaka, the Tao-te-king of Laotze, the Kings of Confucius, the **Ved** and the Avesta.

Max Muller writes to his son that in order of *superiority in moral teachings*, he places Christian Bible New Testament at 1st place, Islamic Qur'an at 2nd place, Christian Bible Old Testament in the 3rd place, then Buddhist, Chinese, and at the end of the line Hindu Veds and Persian Avestha.

You have learned sufficiently about Hindu morals "in action" through testimonies presented in the first chapter. You will get to witness plenty of Muslim morals through the real life conducts of the prophet and his followers as you proceed through this work. Now you are seeing what Christian morals are like because these conducts of those people are based on those very moral teachings of Christian Bible.

To put things in perspective it becomes necessary to bring in some specimen of those

moral teachings from the New Testament, Qur'an and Old Testament so that you can form your own opinion about their superiority in comparison to Hinduism

Moral teachings of New Testament

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1249, p 792, p 1143

New Testament is the second part of the **Christian Bible**

Gospel is the record of **Christ's** life and **teachings** in the first four books of the New Testament

St Matthew was an Apostle, and the author of the **first Gospel**

Source: **Christian Bible** New Testament

Matthew 10:34 Think **not** that I am come to send **peace** on earth: I came **not** to send **peace, but a sword**. 10:35 For I am come to set a man at variance **against** his father, and the daughter **against** the mother, and the daughter in law **against** her mother in law. 10:36 And a **man's foe** shall be they of **his own household**. 10:37 He that loveth father or mother more than me is not worthy of me. 12: 30 He that is **not** with me is **against** me

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1099

St Luke was an evangelist, and the author of the **third Gospel**

Source: **Christian Bible** New Testament

Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give **peace** on earth? I tell you, **Nay**; but rather **division**: 12:52 For from **henceforth** there shall be five in **one house divided**, three **against** two, and two **against** three. 12:53 The father shall be **divided** against the son, and the son **against** the father; the mother **against** the daughter, and the daughter **against** the mother; the mother in law **against** her daughter in law, and the daughter in law **against** her mother in law. 14:26 If any man come to me, and **hate not** his **father**, and **mother**, and **wife**, and **children**, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he **cannot be** my disciple.

Nay a negative answer Oxford Dictionary p 1237

Source: *The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, Ishwar Sharan, p 76 n 36

Gospel of Thomas: the second century Coptic text of this Gnostic

gospel, probably written in Syria, was discovered in Egypt in 1946. It contains the **secret sayings of Jesus** as recorded by **St Thomas**.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1928, p 77

St Thomas was an **Apostle**

Each of the twelve **chief disciples** of Jesus Christ is an **Apostle**

Source: **Gospel of Thomas** as quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n

Gospel of Thomas 16 **Jesus** said: **Perhaps men think** that I came to cast **peace** on the world; and **they do not know** that I came to **cast division upon earth**, fire, sword, **war**. For five will be in a house; there will be three **against** two and two **against** three, the father **against** the son and the son **against** the father. And they will stand because they are **single** ones. 56 **Jesus** said: He who will **not hate** his father and his mother **cannot be my** disciple. And he who will **not hate** his brothers and sisters, and carry his cross as I have, will **not become worthy** of me.

Source: Hindu Voice, Mumbai, Aug 2004, p 25

Swami Vivekananda: Christians talk of **universal brotherhood**; but anyone who is **not a Christian** must go to that place where he will be **eternally barbecued** (*roasted like animals).

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva temple, p 120

Ishwar Sharan: **Jesus** was the **first religious teacher** in history to threaten those, who did not agree with him, with **eternal damnation**. This is the **only original idea** that he contributed to the world's vast body of religious thought, and in two millennia it has **destroyed nations and whole civilizations** and caused Thomas Jefferson to declare, 'The **Christian God** is cruel, vindictive, capricious and unjust'.

Thomas Jefferson (1743-1826) 3rd President of the US 1801-9; he played key role in the American leadership during the War of Independence and was the principal drafter of the Declaration of Independence (1776) [p 979] **damnation** condemnation to eternal punishment in **hell** [p 463] **eternal** lasting or existing forever; without end or beginning [p 631] **capricious** given to sudden and unaccountable changes of mood or behavior [p 271] **vindictive** having or showing a strong or unreasoning desire for **revenge** [p 2062] [Oxford Dictionary]

Moral teachings of Qur'an

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 2, Aayat 193, al-Bakra ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

2:193 Fight against them **until idolatry** is no more and Allah's religion reigns supreme.

Sura [Surah, **Soora**] a chapter or section of Qur'an Oxford, p 1866

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 2, Aayat 216, al-Bakra ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

2:216 Fighting is obligatory for you, much as you dislike it.

Source: Qur'an Majeed, p 231, Soora 4 an-Nisa, Aayat 56, revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

4:56 Lo! Those who disbelieve Our revelations, We shall expose them to Fire. **As often as their skins are consumed We shall exchange them for fresh skins that they may taste the torment.** Lo! Allah is ever Mighty, **Wise.**

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 256 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 12 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:12 I shall cast terror into the hearts of the infidels. Strike off their heads, maim them in every limb

Maim wound or injure (someone) so that part of the body is permanently damaged. **Limb** arm or leg of a person or four-legged animal, or a bird's wing.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 15-18 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:15-18 It was not you, but Allah, who slew them. It was not you who smote them; Allah smote them so that He might richly reward the faithful.

Slay kill (a person or animal) in a violent way. **Smite** strike with a firm blow.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 8, Aayat 39, al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:39 Make war on them **until idolatry** is no more and Allah's religion reigns supreme.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 259 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 67 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:67 It is not for any **Prophet** to have captives until he has made **slaughter** in the land...

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 259, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 2-3, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:2-3 Allah will humble the unbelievers... Allah and His apostle are

free from obligation to the **idol-worshipper**... Proclaim a woeful punishment to the unbelievers.

Woeful characterized by, expressive of, or causing sorrow or *misery*

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 262, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 7, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:7 Allah and His apostle repose **no trust** in **idolaters**...

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 39, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:39 If you do not fight He will punish you sternly and replace you by other men...

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 41, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:41 Whether unarmed or well equipped, march on and fight for the cause of Allah, with your wealth and your persons.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255 Qur'an Soora 9 Aayat 73 at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:73 O Prophet! Make war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites. Be harsh with them. Their ultimate abode is Hell, a hapless journey's end.

Hapless (especially of a person) unfortunate

Source: Qur'an Majeed, p 388, Soora 9 At-Tauba, Aayat 111, revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:111 Lo! **Allah** hath bought from the believers their lives and their wealth because the Garden [*paradise] will be theirs: they shall fight in the way of Allah and shall slay and be slain. It is **promise** which is binding on Him in the **Torah** and the **Gospel** and the **Qur'an**. Who fulfilleth His covenant better than Allah? Rejoice then in your bargain that ye have made, for that is the supreme triumph.

Torah (in Judaism) the law of God as revealed to Moses and recorded in the first five books of the Hebrew scriptures (the Pentateuch) Oxford Dictionary p 1955

Gospel 1) the teaching or revelation of Christ 2) the record of Christ's life and teachings in the first four books of the New Testament [the four Gospels ascribed to St Matthew, St Mark, St Luke, and St John] Oxford Dictionary p 792

Koran [Quran, **Qur'an** کورآن] the Islamic sacred book, believed to be the **word of God** as directed to Muhammad by the archangel **Gabriel** and written down in Arabic. The Qur'an consists of 114

units of varying lengths, known as Suras; the first sura is said as part of the ritual prayer. These *touch upon all aspects of human existence*, including matters of doctrine, social organization, and legislation Oxford Dictionary p 1019

Gabriel (In the Bible) the archangel who foretold the birth of Jesus to Virgin Mary (Luke 1:26-38), and who also appeared to Zaharias, father of Jon the Baptist, and to Dael; (in **Isam**) the archangel who revealed the Qu'an to the Prphet Muhammad Oxford Dictionary p 749

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 123, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:123 Believers! Make war on the infidels who dwell around you. Let them find harshness in you.

Source: *A Hindu View of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 44

Quran 9.123, 29, 5 Surely the **worst beasts in God's sight** are the unbelievers. O ye who believe! Fight those of the unbelievers and let them find in you harshness. Humiliate the nonMuslims to such an extent that they surrender and pay tribute. Then, when the sacred months are drawn away, **slay the idolaters wherever you find them**, and take them and confine them, and **lie in wait for them at every place of ambush**.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 266, Qur'an Soora 22, Aayat 19-21, al-Hazz ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

22:19-21 Garments of fire have been prepared for unbelievers. Scalding (***boiling**) water shall be poured upon their heads, **melting** their skins and that which is in their **bellies**. They shall be lashed with **red iron**.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 256 Qur'an Soora 47, Aayat 4-15, Muhammad ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

47:4-15 When you meet the unbelievers in the battlefield strike off their heads and when you have laid them low, bind your captives firmly. Then grant them their freedom or take ransom from them, until war shall lay down her armor. Thus shall you do! Had Allah willed, He could Himself have perished them (without your help); but He has ordained it thus that He might test you, the one by the other. As for those who are slain in the cause of Allah, He will not allow their works to perish. He will vouchsafe them guidance and ennoble their state; He will admit them to the Paradise He has made known to them.

Believers! If you help Allah, he will help you and make you strong. But the unbelievers shall be consigned to perdition (***eternal damnation**). Because they have opposed His revelations, He will frustrate their works ... Allah is the protector of the faithful; unbelievers have no protector. Allah will admit those who embrace the true faith to gardens (***paradise**) watered by running streams.

The unbelievers take their full of pleasure and eat as the beasts eat; but Hell shall be their home ... **They shall abide in Hell forever** and drink scalding water, which will **tear** their bowels.

Perdition (in Christian theology) a state of eternal punishment and damnation into which a sinful and unrepentant person passes after death; **penitent** feeling or showing sorrow and regret for having done wrong; repentant

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, preface p xv

What has made it particularly alluring for the faithful throughout the ages is something else, namely, the bevy of beautiful virgins who never grow old or lose their charms, and who never tire of providing newer and ever more plentiful pleasures to those who have lived or died for the faith. Lusty and lurid descriptions of paradise comprise a whole corpus of Islamic lore starting with the **Qur'an** and the **Hadis**.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 258 Qur'an Soora 48 Aayat 29 al-Fath ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

48:29 Muhammad is Allah's apostle. Those who follow him are **ruthless** to the unbelievers but merciful to one another.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 262, Qur'an Soora 60, Aayat 4, al-Mumtahana ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

60:4 We renounce you (i.e., the **idolaters**): enmity and hate shall reign between us **until you believe in Allah only**...

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 66 Aayat 9 at-Tahreem ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

66:9 O Prophet! Make war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites and deal sternly with them. Hell shall be their home, evil their fate.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257, Qur'an Soora 69, Aayat 30-33, al-Hakka ~ Allah to Muhammad at Mecca

69:30-33 We shall say, 'Lay hold of him and bind him. Burn him in the fire of Hell, then fasten him with a chain seventy cubits long. For he did not believe in Allah, the Most High.

Source: Qur'an 4.91 as quoted in *Eminent Historians...* p 93

4:91 Then, if they turn their backs, take them and **slay** them wherever you find them.

Note: This verse is in relation to those persons who, having accepted Islam went back to their traditional observances.

Source: HadIs Saahih Bukhaari 84.57 quoted in *Eminent Historians...* pp 93-94

84:57 Whosoever **changes** his Islamic religion **kill** him.

Hadith [HadIs] a collection of traditions containing **sayings** of the prophet Muhammad which, with accounts of his **daily practice** (the Sunna), constitute the major source of guidance for Muslims apart from the **Qur'an** Oxford Dictionary p 824

Sunna the traditional portion of the **Muslim law based on Muhammad's words and acts**, accepted (together with the **Qur'an**) as **authoritative** by Muslims Oxford Dictionary p 1861

Source: HadIs Saahih Bukhaari 82.794-7 and Saahih Muslim 4130-7 as quoted in Eminent Historians - Their Technology, Their Line, Their Fraud, p 94

82:794-7 The **Prophet** had them caught. He then **ordered** their hands and legs to be cut off, their eyes to be branded with heated pieces of iron. He **ordered** that the cut hands and legs should **not** be cauterized so that they **bleed** to death. 'And when they asked for water to drink', records the Hadis, 'they were **not** given water.'

Note: Those were the commands of Prophet Muhammad in respect of the members of the tribe Ukl, some of whom embraced Islam and then left Islam.

Source: Hindu Voice, August 2004, p 25

Swami Vivekananda: Mohammedans talk of **universal brotherhood**, but what comes out of that **in reality**? Why, anybody who is **not a Mohammedan** will not be admitted into the brotherhood; he will **more likely have his throat cut**.

Moral teachings of Old Testament

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1291 p 504

Old Testament is the first part of the **Christian Bible**

Deuteronomy is the fifth book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Deuteronomy 12:1 These *are* the **statutes** and judgments, which **ye shall observe** to do *in the land*, which the **LORD God** of thy fathers *giveth thee to possess it*, **all the days ye live upon the earth**. 12:2 Ye shall **utterly destroy** all the places, wherein the nations **which ye shall possess served their Gods**, upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and every green tree: 12:3 And ye shall overthrow their altars, and break their pillars, and burn their groves with fire; and ye shall **hew down the graven images of their gods**, and **destroy the names of them out of that place**.

Hew chop, cut with axe [Collins English Gem Dictionary p 246]

Graven image a carved idol or representation of a god used as an object of worship [Oxford Dictionary p 801]

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Deuteronomy 13 **Idolaters to be put to death**

Deuteronomy 13:6 If thy **brother**, the son of thy mother, or thy **son**, or thy **daughter**, or the **wife** of thy bosom, or thy **friend**, which *is* as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and serve **other Gods**, which thou hast not known, nor thy fathers; 13:7 *Namely*, of **the gods of the people which are round about you**, nigh unto thee, or far off from thee, **from the one end of the earth even unto the other end of the earth**; 13:8 Thou shalt not consent unto him, nor hearken unto him; **neither** shall thine eye pity him, **neither** shalt thou spare, **neither** shalt thou conceal him: 13:9 But thou shalt **surely kill him**; **thine hand shalt be first upon him to put him to death**, and afterwards the hands of all people. 13:10 **And thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die**; because he hath sought to thrust thee away from the LORD thy God

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Deuteronomy 20:16 But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an **inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth**: 20:17 **But thou shalt utterly destroy them**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Deuteronomy 32:24 *They shall be* burnt with hunger, and **devoured with burning heat**, and with bitter destruction: **I will also send** the teeth of beasts upon them, with the poison of serpents of the dust. 32:25 The sword without, and terror within, shall **destroy** both the young man and the virgin, the **suckling also** with the **man of gray hairs**.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 645

Exodus is the second book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Exodus 23:24 Thou shalt **not bow down to their gods**, nor serve them, nor do after their works: but thou shalt **utterly overthrow them**, and quite **break down their images**.

Exodus 34:13 But ye shall **destroy their altars, break their images**, and cut down their groves. 34:14 For thou shalt worship **no other god**: for the LORD, **whose name is Jealous, is a jealous God**:

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1272

Numbers is the fourth book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Numbers 31:17 Now therefore **kill every male among little ones**, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him. 31:18 But all the **women children**, that have not known a man by lying with him, **keep alive for yourselves**.

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 966

Isaiah was a major Hebrew **prophet**

Isaiah is a book of **Bible** containing his prophecies

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Isaiah 13:16 Their **children** also shall be **dashed to pieces before their eyes**; their houses shall be spoiled, and **their wives** ravished (*raped).

Ravish force a woman to have sexual intercourse against her will [Oxford Dictionary p 1541] **Dash** strike or fling something somewhere with great force, especially so as to have a destructive effect [Oxford Dictionary p 468]

Source: **Oxford Dictionary** p 1228

Nahum a book of the **Bible**

Source: **Christian Bible** Old Testament

Nahum 1:2 God *is* **jealous**, and the LORD **revenge**th; the LORD revengeth, and *is* furious; the LORD will take **vengeance** on his adversaries, and he reserveth *wrath* for his **enemies**.

1868 Max Muller at age 45 writes to the Minister for BhaaratVarsh now that Hinduism is doomed Christianity must step in to fill the vacuum

Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol I, Ch XVI, p 378 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: On 16th December 1868 AD (Samvat 1925) he writes to Duke of Argyle, the Minister for BhaaratVarsh:

Max Muller: The **ancient religion of**

BhaaratVarsh is doomed and if **Christianity does not step in**, whose fault will it be?

The extent to which Max Muller goes to subvert Hinduism can be seen from this letter to the then Minister for BhaaratVarsh. Christianity's assault on Hinduism explored every possible avenue of persuasion.

18?? Max Muller at age ?? charges N K Majumdar what stops you and your countrymen from openly following Christ now that English educated brass of your country is already converted to Christianity

Source: *Life and Letters of Max Muller*, Vol II, Ch XXXIV, pp 415-6 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: Max Muller grew so insolent and audacious that he started to challenge Bhaaratiyas in a direct foolhardy manner. It is clear from a letter written by him to N K Majumdar:

Max Muller: Tell me some of your chief difficulties that prevent you and your **countrymen from openly following Christ**, and when I write to you I shall do my best to explain how I and many who agree with me have met them and solved them ... From my point of view, BhaaratVarsh, **at least the best part of it, is already converted to Christianity**. You want no persuasion **to become a follower of Christ**. Then make up your mind to work on yourself. Unite your flock - to hold them together and prevent them from straying. The bridge has been built for you by those who came before you. STEP

BOLDLY FORWARD, it will break under you, and you will find many friends to welcome you on the other shore and among them none more delighted that your old friend and fellow labourer F Max Muller.

Do you notice the reach of religion in human lives? This is why I mix religion with history because human thoughts and actions can never be understood well without understanding the latent religious bias, and history is nothing but a compilation of human actions based on human beliefs shaped by religion

- Do not **underestimate** religion.
- It can influence **best** brains.

It may not be apparent because they know how to hide their true feelings in public, if necessary, but their letters **betray** their innermost feelings.

They found it simply **intolerable** that such a large mass of people should follow any religion other than Christianity. That **intolerance** is reflected through Max Muller's tone in the letter.

1882 Max Muller at age 59 gains much needed wisdom and takes an about turn: I should point to BhaaratVarsh

Location: Cambridge University, England, Lecture 1

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* p 6

Max Muller: If I were to look over the whole world to find out the country most richly endowed with all the wealth, power and beauty, that nature can bestow, **I should**

point to BhaaratVarsh. If I were asked under what sky the human mind has mostly deeply pondered over the greatest problems of life and has found solutions of some of them which well deserve the attention even of those who have studied Plato and Kant, **I should point to BhaaratVarsh.** And if I were asked myself from what literature, we here in Europe, we who have nurtured almost exclusively on the thoughts of Greeks and Romans, and of Semitic Race, the Jewish, may draw that corrective which is most wanted in order to make our inner life more perfect, more universal, in fact, more truly than, a life not for this life only, but a transfigured and eternal life, **again I should point to BhaaratVarsh.**

Here we see Max Muller reverse his stand.

He had grown in age. With that came **maturity.**

The **ambition** of youth had subsided. The hunger for **recognition** through support of British establishment had been fulfilled. The need and greed for **money** had reduced having already made enough.

Now it was the **beginning** of a journey towards the grave. Time for **reconciliation** with truth.

But then few had the matching tenacity.

In his youth he fought **ferociously** for Christianity.

And now in his maturity he **fought** for the **truth.**

Need to look at Max Muller in proper perspective

When we **condemn** Max Muller we do not want to look at his work. With that remains **ignored** the enormous documentary evidence he compiled in favor of Hinduism. Who is the **loser**?

It is no point **bragging** how great a nation we were. In today's environment people want printed evidence. And that too, only such evidence that they **feel** trustworthy.

ChristianEnglish education for past six generations has molded our thinking in such a direction that we consider only **foreigners'** evidence as admissible. This makes Max Muller's work very **valuable** to us.

When we **glorify** Max Muller we also look very favorably at Germans and British. We remember them by their nationality.

This glorification makes them **respectable** in our eyes.

In the process, we fail to see the **damage** they have done to us.

They have **cut us off** our roots.

They have made us **forget** our past.

They have made us **despise** ourselves.

They have made us look at ourselves in **low esteem**.

They have made us their **mental slaves**.

They have taught us to look at different factors in an **isolated** manner.

They have made us to perceive them as Portuguese, French, Dutch, German, British.

They have **taken our sight off** the **sole driving force** behind them that was Christianity.

Neither condemnation nor glorification in **isolated** manner is desirable. We need to accept both faces. Time has put its **inerasable stamp** on his conducts that stood one opposite the other. Both faces were true faces but in **exclusive** sense. One represented his **irresponsible** youth, the other showed his **matured** wisdom.

The ChristianWest knows only to admire the irresponsible youth in humans. Old is not gold for them. ChristianWest treats old as **spent** force. This attitude is most evident in the manner they treat their old family members. The same attitude they have inculcated **in us** through six generations of ChristianizedEnglish education.

Prof M Monier-Williams claims
Braahmanism must die out for it is
incapable of imparting even basic
knowledge and education and
therefore, without the aid of
Christianity the foundation of
Hinduism will crack

Source: *Modern India and the Indians*, M Williams, 3rd edition 1879, p 261
quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: Monier-Williams, who revealed the real object of the purpose of the establishment of the Boden chair, thus delivers himself:

Monier-Williams: **Braahmanism, therefore, must die out.** In point of fact, **false ideas** on the most ordinary scientific subjects are so mixed up with its doctrines that **the commonest education ~ the simplest lesson in geography ~ without the aid of Christianity** must inevitably in the end sap its foundations.

Monier-Williams writes the Victory of
Christianity will be Complete as the
Soldiers of Cross will storm in,

encircle and undermine the mighty fortress of Braahmanism

Source: *Modern India and the Indians*, M Williams, third edition 1879, p 262
quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt

Monier-Williams: When the walls of the **mighty fortress of Brahmanism** are **encircled, undermined, and finally stormed by the soldiers of the cross, the victory of Christianity** must be signal and complete.

Do you notice that it was an all out
War by Christianity against Hinduism?
For strategic reasons, they named it
not Hinduism but Braahmanism

He writes soldiers of Cross must storm in to make Christianity victorious.

What we see again and again that it was Christianity's war.

British or Macaulay were mere tools.

Do not perceive them as the true offender.

Or else, you will never find out who had been working against you day and night.

It was the Cross, the Jesus, who had been working all the while to turn you Christian. He inspired his soldiers to adopt foul means. He was pulling the strings from behind the curtain. May be he was dead and buried but his ghost was still at work controlling the minds of these people whom you have mistakenly learned to respect.

1869 Principal Rudolf Hoernle wrote he hopes that Christianity will fill in the gap as Hindus give up Hinduism on persuasion of Swami Dayaanand of Aarya Samaj who may be able to convince Hindus that present form of Hinduism is in opposition to Veds

Source: *The Christian Intelligence*, Calcutta, March 1870, p 79 and A F R H quoted in *The Arya Samaj* by Lajpat Rai, 1932, p 42 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: Rudolf Hoernle was the Principal of Queen's College, Banaaras, in [*Vikram] Samvat 1926 (*AD 1869) [*even after the turn of a century, in 1966, Queen's College commanded very high respect from the local students fraternity]. At that time Swami Dayaanand Saraswati, who later on founded the Arya Samaj happened to reach Banaaras for the first time for the propagation of his mission. Dr Hoernle met Swami Dayananda on several occasions. He wrote an article on Swamiji from which the following extract is noteworthy, because **it reveals the real intention of many European scholars** who take to study of Sanskrit and ancient scriptures of BhaaratVarsh. Hoernle says:

Rudolf Hoernle: he (**Dayaanand**) **may possibly convince the Hindus that their modern Hinduism is altogether in the opposition to the Veds...** If once they became thoroughly convinced of this radical error, **they will no doubt abandon Hinduism at once** ... They cannot go back to the Vedic state; that is dead and gone, and will never revive; something more or less **new must follow. We hope it may be Christianity.**

He writes Swami Dayananda may show Hindus that modern Hinduism is in total opposition to Vaidik Hinduism, and this may lead Hindus to fall out of Hinduism, and while looking for a better alternative, they may embrace Christianity. Thus, he expected Swami Dayananda to play **pawns** in the hands of Christian manipulators.

1925 Professor Winternitz could not tolerate Schopenhauer placing Hindu Upanishads in high esteem

Source: Some Problems of Indian Literature, Calcutta 1925, p 61 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: The pride of the superiority of their own philosophy and religion, and of the infallibility of their own conclusions has become so ingrained in the above-mentioned type of Western Sanskrit scholars that they feel no hesitation in giving expression to it brazen-facedly before the public. Reverent admiration of the philosophy of the Upanishads by Schopenhauer, often quoted by Bharatiya writers, ranked in the heart of the Europeans, and **as late as** AD 1925 Prof Winternitz thought it incumbent on him to denounce the sincere and heartfelt views of Schopenhauer in the following words:

Winternitz: Yet I believe, it is a **wild exaggeration** when Schopenhauer says that the teaching of the Upanishads represents 'the fruit of the **highest** human knowledge and wisdom' and contains 'almost superhuman conceptions the originators of which can hardly be regarded as mere mortals...'

Winternitz found it necessary to pull down Schopenhauer and attempted to **discredit** him because Schopenhauer had unqualified reverence for Hindu Upanishads.

Winternitz claims that whatever little worth Hindu Veds may have they certainly do not match Hebrew religious documentations

Source: *History of Indian Literature*, 1927, p 79 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: Not content with his invective (*abusive speech or oratory) against the Upanishads he had the audacity to deprecate even the greatness of the **Veds** by saying:

Winternitz: It is true, the authors of these hymns rise but **extremely seldom** to the **exalted flights and deep fervor of, say, religious poetry of the Hebrews.**

Old Testament, which was originally written in Hebrew, contains those religious poetry that Winternitz boasts about. We have already presented you with a few samples. You may have noticed what **exalted** flights they have had. Naturally, Hindu Veds could not have lowered themselves to those levels!

Ripley terms Hinduism as Curse for BhaaratVarsh

Source: Ripley's '*Believe it or Not*', Part I, 26th edition, Pocketbooks Inc, New York, p 14 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Purohit Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: The **climax of hatred against Hinduism** is seen in the highly mischievous and provoking remarks like the following even in popular literature:

Ripley: The **curse** of BhaaratVarsh is the **Hindu religion**. More than two hundred million people believe a monkey mixture of mythology that is strangling the nation. ...

**He who yearns for God in BhaaratVarsh
soon loses his head as well as his heart.**

Think what effect would it have had on those American masses who had no first hand information on Hinduism.

Professor McKenzie finds Hinduism devoid of ethics which prevents development of strenuous moral life; isn't it rather amusing that these creatures define ethics and morality who have none of it themselves

Source: vide '*Ethics of India*', E W Hopkins, New Haven, 1924, Preface, pp x-xi quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: Prof McKenzie of Bombay finds the ethics of BhaaratVarsh defective, illogical and **antisocial**, lacking any philosophical foundation, nullified by abhorrent ideas of asceticism and ritual and altogether inferior to the 'higher spirituality' of Europe. He devotes most of his book 'Hindu Ethics' to upholding this thesis and comes to the triumphant conclusions that Hindu philosophical ideas,

Prof McKenzie: when logically applied [*Hindu philosophical ideas] leave no room for **ethics**, and they prevent the development of a strenuous **moral** life.

These people talk of morality?

Who will tell them that you do not look for ethics in the books and the rotten minds of intellectual whores.

The real-life application of ethics needs to be seen in the day to day acts of common people.

So, compare the real-life conducts of Hindus over two millenniums, as documented by the foreigners visiting BhaaratVarsh, and then see the comparative moral bankruptcy of these celebrated intellectuals of Christianity.

Wonder why I use such harsh language?

Do you think honestly they deserve any better?

Wonder why I keep harping on their Christian leanings while you are used to hearing terms like British, German, European, Modern, Western, etc.

Have you read carefully their words?

Do you have any doubts left that it was not Christianity but some obscure nationality, or continentality, or modern view point, or East-West division that was behind their words?

Today you must show the courage to stand up and point fingers at them, those who did it all the while to you.

Do not be timid.

Do not keep justifying your inactivity saying: you are being big-hearted!

I keep using the word Christian, again and again, over and over, so that you do not lose sight of the fact that it has all along been monotheist Christianity's war against polytheist Hinduism with the sole objective of eliminating it from the face of the earth after having been successful in eliminating all other polytheist faiths that survived for thousands of years in every corner of the globe until the Avataar of Love Jesus Christ descended and cursed all non-Christians the eternal hell from which you couldn't get a bail.

Then began the systematic ethnic cleansing of all other faiths only to be given further momentum by advent of Islam which was essentially a Judeo-Christian bye-product.

You have failed to remember this finer aspect, and

you always viewed them by their different nationalities as British, Portuguese, French, and so on.

You have lost sight of this fundamental truth that it was not their nationality that drove them, it was their Christian faith that drove them to eliminate you.

ChristianBritish finally succeeded in mentally enslaving you by replacing ancient Hindu education system with ChristianEnglish education system.

This is how they managed within a matter of 112 years (1835-1947) what Islam could not achieve in thousand years.

Sir William Cecil Dampier holds
Hinduism responsible for lack of its
contribution towards science

Source: *A History of Science*, Cambridge University Press, 1948, 4th edition, p 8 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt

Purohit Bhagavan Dutt: This vilification did not remain confined to Sanskrit scholars alone, but **through them it percolated into the field of Science**. Not knowing a word of the exact and multifarious scientific knowledge of the ancient Hindus, Sir William Cecil Dampier writes:

Sir William Cecil Dampier: Perhaps the paucity of Bhaaratiya contribution to other sciences (the Philosophy and Medicine) may in part be **due to the Hindu religion**.

Which lack of contribution was he talking about? Let us see where Hinduism stood vis-a-vis sciences which they had no inclination to learn about.

To put the things in proper
perspective it may be appropriate to

bring in here a few examples of Hindu contribution to Science

Professor MacDonnell went on record saying Europeans learned plastic surgery from the Hindus who had already attained such heights in medical surgery that they could cut human hair longitudinally (lengthwise)

Source: History of Sanskrit Literature, Prof MacDonnell, quote in Vastu Relevance to Modern Times, Niranjan Babu, p 148

Professor MacDonnell: **European surgery has borrowed the operation of rhinoplasty** (formation of artificial noses) **from BhaaratVarsh. They had attained such finesse in surgery that they could cut a hair longitudinally!** The ancient medical men beginning from the great Dhanwantari (whose prescriptions were said to be infallible), Sushrut, Charak and others down the line were bold and skilful in their surgery. They conducted amputations, arrested bleeding by pressure, bandage or boiling oil, performed operations in the abdomen and uterus, cured hernia, fistula and piles, set broken bones and dislocations and could deftly remove foreign substances from the body. The great surgeon Sushrut is said to have advised dissection of dead bodies to a student of surgery and stressed the importance of knowledge gained from experiment and observation.

Rhinoplasty plastic surgery performed on the nose [Oxford Dictionary, p 1592]

Stephen Knapp tells us that Yajur'Ved speaks of astronomy, geography, geology, medicinal plants, hydrostatics and electricity

Source: **Stephen Knapp**, *The Secret Teachings of the Vedas*, 1986, p 26 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt

Yajur'**Ved** 6:21 Through **astronomy**, **geography** and **geology**, go through to all the different countries of the world under the sun. Mayest thou attain through good preaching to statesmanship and artisanship, through **medical science** obtain knowledge of all **medicinal plants**, through **hydrostatics** learn the different uses of water, through **electricity** understand the working of ever-lustrous lightning. Carry out instructions willingly

Stephen Knapp tells us that Yajur'Ved speaks of engineering, ships, airplanes

Source: **Stephen Knapp**, *The Secret Teachings of the Vedas*, 1986, p 26 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt

Yajur'**Ved** 10:19 O royal skilled **engineer**, construct **sea-boats**, propelled on water by our experts, and **airplanes**, moving and **flying upward**, after the **clouds** that reside in the mid-region, that fly as the boats move on the sea, that fly high **over and below the watery clouds**. Be thou, thereby, prosperous in this world created by the

Omnipresent God, and flier in both air and lightning.

Stephen Knapp tells us that Atharv'Ved speaks of atomic energy fission, ninety-nine elements, bombardments of neutrons, mass of molecular adjustments of the elements

Source: **Stephen Knapp**, *The Secret Teachings of the Vedas*, 1986, p 26 quoted in *Western Indologists A Study in Motives.htm*, Bhagavan Dutt

Atharv'Ved 20:41:1-3 The **Atomic Energy fissions the ninety-nine elements**, covering its path by the **bombardments of neutrons** without let of hindrance. Desirous of stalking the head, i.e., the chief part of the swift power, hidden in the **mass of molecular adjustments of the elements**, this atomic energy approaches it in the very act of fissioning it by the above-noted bombardments. Herein verily the scientist know the similar hidden striking force of the ray of the sun working in the orbit of the moon.

You think all these are wild imaginations? So, answer these questions for yourself

Do these all sound like wild imaginations, and day dreaming by the ancients?

From your point of view, they could not have known about, conceptualized, and documented any of these things.

Well, for you the ancients did not have the technology.

Let us say, you are proud of your printing technology.

How many thousand years, these pages that you hold in your hand now, will last in good condition?

Now, go back to the technology of the ancients.

They wrote on leafs.

You think that was primitive.

Look at it differently.

These leaf documents lasted for thousands of years.

Look at the ink they used, whatever kind that may be.

That too lasted for thousands of years.

Is this not technology?

Is your definition of technology limited to internet which can bring you lots of pornography, and the television which can mature your kids before time by showing them lots of sex and violence?

Is that the technology, but not the one which your ancients had?

Have you truly advanced?

Go and look at the *Garur Dhvaj* at Delhi and see the writing with *Braahmi script* on it.

This huge iron pillar is standing there for thousands of years, and not a trace of rust.

Do you think your backward ancients could develop an alloy that could stand open the tyranny of weather for thousands of years, undisturbed, unbent, un-rusted!

What your advanced technology has developed to match that?

Whatever you have that all has to stand the test of time to prove, whatever may be your tall claims about, your modern technology.

Until proven they are only assumptions and claims that they will last so long, what you think, but no one knows if it truly will.

As compared to that the technology of the ancients have already proven them against test of time.

Another thing: whatever your modern science knows not today simply did not exist as per your belief system.

So, look at the following and realize for yourself how little your modern science knows, on whose knowledgebase you gloat over so much.

Those things that your modern science did not teach you, you thought all that could not have existed.

So, where from do the following things come up?

How is it that references to Rig'Ved clearly stated the speed of light with an amazing degree of precision?

Where was your modern science then?

Michel Danino says that Sayana's commentary on Rig'Ved clearly stated the Speed of Light with an amazing degree of precision

Source: *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought* by Michel Danino, this paper was presented at a seminar on *Decolonization and its Cultural Problems* organized by N V Krishna Warrior Smaraka Trust at Tripunithura, Kerala, on 9-10 October 1999 quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003

The **speed of light** is clearly given, to an **amazing degree of precision**, in Sayana's commentary on the Rig'Ved.

Source: Michel Danino, Email 23 January 2005

Sayana, commenting on R'V 1.50.4, who records:

In Sayana's time, the *yojan* was about 14.5 km (as given in the *ArthShaastr*), and a *nimesh* 16/75th of a second. This takes us to **299,334 km/s**, which is a **mere**

0.15% away from the accepted velocity of light (**299,792 km/s**). Even a different value for the *yojan* would remain of the same order.

For once drop this egoistic idea that whatever modern science does not know till now or could not create as yet, Hindu ancients could not have known that or could not have created that

Look at these Homo floresiensis, humans who lived from 90,000 years ago, and until as late as 12,000 years Before Christ. And, your modern science knew nothing about it until very recently.

Source: *The Times of India*, Mumbai, 20 November **2004**, editorial

A recent discovery has unearthed a completely new species of humans who lived from 90,000 years ago to as late as 12,000 BC before apparently becoming extinct. This species almost certainly coexisted, if not actually interacted, with our Cro-Magnon cousins. Identified by Australian and Indonesian scientists from a cache of fragmented bones buried in the sediments of a limestone cave on the remote Indonesian island of Flores, it has officially been named *Homo floresiensis*. This particular group of hominids were on average no taller than a meter or so, with a brain the size of a grapefruit. Nonetheless, they still managed to fashion delicate stone tools, light fires to cook food, organize group hunts for a dwarf species of elephant extant (*surviving) at that time, and possibly develop a proto-language of sorts along the way. Sounds too much like us to be true? Hard as it might be for us to accept, it could well be for real.

The discovery, heralded as one of the most important palaeo-anthropological finds in the last 50 years, has the potential to drastically change **all the received wisdom gathered till now** concerning human evolution. As one spokesperson of Natural History Museum in London says, it raises the whole issue of what it is to be human, or a member of the genus *homo*, and shows **how little we know** about our ancestry.

The find also challenges our species-specific anthropocentrism of posturing as the greatest kids on the block to date. Because if it gets confirmed that a creature with so small a brain was capable of such advanced behavior ~ including perhaps even communication skills ~ then **many theories about the evolution** of our own intelligence might need to be hurriedly revamped. Actually, **it appears we never learn**. Remember our collective chagrin (*disappointment, annoyance, distress, humiliation) when we discovered that lowbrow "brutes" like Homo Neanderthals buried their dead with flowers? Homo floresiensis ~ or 'Homo hobbitus' as he's been nicknamed because of his small size, reminiscent of J R R Tolkien's Hobbits ~ suggests that there are many routes to what we call 'humanity'. No single species can lay claim to the original planet.

***"All the received wisdom gathered till now"* meant only that little part of the wisdom, which the ChristianWorld could ever manage to acquire on their own.**

***"How little we know"* on account of the self-imposed limitation by egoist crusaders of Christianity and their burning desire to destroy a highly developed civilization and its documentations from which they could have learned a lot.**

They lost the readily available opportunity on account of their inflated sense of superiority.

***"Many theories about the evolution"* have been developed by doing nothing substantial but indulging in intellectual luxuries, at enormous cost to the humanity, in trying to reinvent the wheel.**

***"It appears we never learn"*, but the question is how could you ever learn?**

To learn, first requirement would be to shove that ego down your own throat, and then humbly proceed towards the knowledgebase of those ancients, whom you all along condemned as ignorant, superstitious, and primitive.

Source: *The Invasion That Never Was*, p 18 quoting from *fragments historiques sur l'Inde*, Voltaire, *Euvres Completes*, Hachette, Paris, 1893, vol. 29, p 386

Voltaire: We have shown **how much we surpass the Bhaaratiyas in** courage and **wickedness**, and how inferior to them we are in wisdom.

But Christian sense of superiority would not allow them to accept it, leave aside learn anything from the Hindus.

They had a simple option: if we cannot raise ourselves to their level, let us bring them down to our level.

They also figured out the modus operandi.

2 - 2

They destroyed that
ancient Hindu
education system,
which had
consistently
produced, for
millenniums,
'humans of such
quality' that could
make humanity
proud

Source: Oxford Dictionary, p 1107

Macaulay 1st Baron (1800-59), a civil servant in BhaaratVarsh, where **he established a system of education and a new criminal code.**

In 1835 Macaulay instituted a new education system manned by Christian Missionaries.

He organized to destroy the infrastructure that supported ancient Hindu education system and ancient Hindu system of judiciary.

A nation that had a literacy rate higher than most other nations of Europe in those days, even at a village level, was reduced to an illiterate nation.

Irony of the situation is that today we admire the conspirators and loath the victim.

Conspirators are those who conspired to bring us to this lowly state.

Victims are we, ourselves, who have been kept in dark as to how this illiteracy was imposed on us.

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 231, n 44

Max Muller: Sir Thomas Munro estimated the children educated at public schools in the Madras presidency as less than one in three. But low as it was, it was, as he justly remarked, **a higher rate than existed till very lately in most countries of Europe.** *Hist. of India*, Elphinstone, I. 62. **In Bengal there existed no less than 80,000 native schools** ... According to a Government Report of **1835**, there was a village school for every 400 persons. *Missionary Intelligencer*, IX. 183-193.

Ludlow (*British India*, I. 62) writes: In **every Hindu village, which has retained its old form**, I am assured that the **children generally are able to read, write, and cipher**; but **where we have swept away the village system, as in Bengal**, there the **village school has also disappeared**.

Thus, ChristianBritish eliminated the Hindu education system, and made people illiterate.

They destroyed the entire structure and substituted by fewer schools run by ChristianBritish missionaries with an intent to Christianize the souls of students from early childhood.

Listen to it in their own words that they destroyed that education system with the sole objective of Christianizing Hindus

Macaulay was fiercely Christian in his thought and belief.

He wanted to put Christian Bible in action.

Christian Bible states "Idolaters to be put to death" [Deuteronomy 13 header].

But in the 19th century he could not have pronounced physical death to idol worshipper Hindus.

So, he chose to sentence them with ideological death.

Source: *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought*, Michel Danino, quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003

Michel Danino: That was what Macaulay, again, summarized best when he proudly wrote his father in 1836:

Macaulay: Our **English schools** are flourishing wonderfully ... It is my belief that if our **plans of education** are followed up, **there will not be a single idolater** among the respectable classes in **Bengal** thirty years hence.

The question is was he successful in his endeavor? Here we have a live example.

Mahendr Nath Gupta, principal of the renowned Vidya Saagar School argues with Shri RaamKrishn ParamHans Dev about idolatry.

He argues that people should not worship clay images of gods as it is wrong to do so.

Where did he learn this?

Obviously from the school he went for his education.

As principal of a school, now he was teaching the next generation that they should not worship clay images.

You would agree that Mahendr Nath Gupta, as the principal of renowned Vidya Saagar School was a befitting example of what Macaulay described as **among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence**.

Did it happen in thirty years from 1836?

I do not know but I know for sure it happened in forty five years from 1836 because Mahendr Nath Gupta [**M**] had an argument with Shri RaamKrishn ParamHans Dev in February **1882**.

Source: *Effects of Colonization on Indian Thought*, Michel Danino, quoted in *IndiaCause Newsletter* 17 Aug 2003

Michel Danino: In the middle of the **1857** uprising, the Governor-General Lord Canning (*was it Cuning!) wrote to a British officials:

Governor-General Lord Canning: As we must rule 150 millions of people by a handful

(more or less small) of Englishmen, let us do it in the manner best calculated to leave them divided ... and to inspire them with the greatest possible awe of our power and **with the least possible suspicion of our motives.**

That is the beauty of their **cunningness** as clearly spelled out by none other than **Canning**.

Do whatever you want to but with least possible suspicion of our motives!

2-3

Sanskrit was turned
into a **dead**
language within a
matter of a century

I grew up listening over and over again
that Sanskrit is a dead language!

Prof M Monier-Williams leaks away the true motive behind his compiling Sanskrit-English Dictionary

Lieutenant-Colonel Boden of the Bombay native Infantry returned to England in 1807 and died at Lisbon on 21 November 1811. His daughter died on 24 August 1827. His estate passed to the University of Oxford. In Vikram Samvat **1890** (*AD 1833) Horace Hayman Wilson became the first **Boden Professor of Sanskrit** at the Oxford University. His successor was Monier-Williams.

Source: *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Monier-Williams, Preface, p IX

Monier-Williams: I must draw attention to the fact that I am only the second occupant of the **Boden Chair**, and that its Founder, Colonel Boden, **stated most explicitly in his will** (dated August 15, 1811 AD) that **the special object of his munificent bequest was to promote the translation of Scriptures into Sanskrit; so as to enable his countrymen to proceed in the conversion of the natives of BhaaratVarsh to the Christian religion.**

Scriptures the sacred writings of Christianity contained in the **Bible**
Oxford Dictionary p 1672

Take a note of this statement. The special object of Lt Col Boden's

will was to promote the **translation** of Scriptures **into Sanskrit**; so as to **enable** his countrymen to proceed in the **conversion** of the natives of BhaaratVarsh to the **Christian** religion.

Sanskrit was a **thriving** language until early 19th century

This leads us to the question: why would they want to translate scriptures (Bible) into Sanskrit, a supposedly dead language, instead of translating it into Bengali, Bhojpuri, Punjabi, Raajasthani, Marathi, Oriya, Kannada, Telugu, Tamil, Malayaalam, Sindhi, Urdu, etc?

There could have been only reason that could have prompted them to translate Christian Bible into Sanskrit and, that is, it was NOT a dead language.

Was then Sanskrit well understood, and spoken, for day to day matters by a large enough section of people, who really mattered, so that they could be influenced by the Sanskrit translation of Bible?

The answer would be yes! Sanskrit was THE language that connected the whole of BhaaratVarsh into one thread like English does today.

It was not necessary that every man and woman in every village needed to speak in Sanskrit, as today **NOT** every man and woman speaks in English.

Yet, some intellectuals write that English is the unifying factor for BhaaratVarsh but they do not care to recognize that Sanskrit did the same only about a century ago until ChristianBritish systematically uprooted Sanskrit from common use!

Sanskrit was one language that was used by all those who were teachers and guides to the society.

Did you have any idea of this?

No, you have learned that Sanskrit is a DEAD language.

The image that you carry is: it had been DEAD and GONE for AGES, as far as we can think of.

What does this impression do to your thought process?

That the heritage we talk of is a LOST CAUSE, a matter of bygone days that even our memory cannot reach.

Now, what's the point bothering about it at all?

English is the language of today, Sanskrit belongs to an unknown PAST.

But HOW FAR is that past ~ ONLY 100-150 YEARS?

Monier-William's Sanskrit-English Dictionary was first published in 1899.

Does it NOT matter to you?

A language that was alive and thriving not so long ago has been consigned to total oblivion?

And with that has gone the memory of your heritage, everything good that you possessed, everything SUPERIOR that you possessed.

That was done with an ulterior motive: to cut you off from your roots.

And you have not been kept aware of it.

Rather, means for you to get back to them have been removed from your easy reach.

Is that not a conspiracy against the entire society and Hindu civilization?

Plenty of your Sanskrit manuscripts on science, law, history, geography, astronomy, philosophy, logic, humanities, name anything, have been handpicked and consigned to England for dumping so that they could be never traced back.

If any of them were translated into any European language (example: Rig'Ved) it was done not with a motive to show your heritage in a favorable light.

It was done with a dirty motive to show your heritage in a very poor light.

You have been told that Max Muller did a great favor to Hinduism by translating Rig'Ved.

But now you know why he did it. You have heard him in his own words.

Source: *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Monier-Williams, Preface, p IX-X

Monier-Williams: seven years after its [*Sanskrit-English Dictionary] publication the following testimony to its utility was voluntarily tendered by the Rev J Wenger, translator of Bible into Sanskrit and Editor of Dr Yate's Sanskrit Dictionary.

Rev J Wenger: I received a copy of Professor Monier Williams' English and Sanskrit Dictionary at a time when I was about to commence a **translation into Sanskrit of portions of the Old Testament. I have used it daily for the last seven years ...** Bhaaratiya missionaries generally owe him a large debt of gratitude.

This dictionary was extensively used for translation of Bible into Sanskrit.

I do not have to tell you that these translations of Bible were done with the sole purpose of converting Hindus into Christianity.

But we were given to understand that White Sahibs were learning our language as a tribute to our heritage!

They used their new found knowledge of Sanskrit not to improve themselves but to lower us to their own level.

Their true identity was not simply British, it was ChristianBritish.

It was not their British nationality but their Christian faith, which consistently drove them to conspire against you.

You were taught to admire the British.

In the process, you failed to notice the true motives of the ChristianBritish because you treated Christian and British in two separate compartments!

Take a note of their ulterior motive behind their plans and actions.

They were first Christians and then they were British!

Therefore, you will find me address them as ChristianBritish.

It is not my communal hatred that gives them that name.

It is their communal hatred that justifies this as their true identity.

However, the term communal hatred is never used for the Christians any where in the world. For them a softer term has been coined 'racial hatred'. If they must use the harder term 'communal hatred' they reserve it for the Hindus, example: Narendra Modi.

They were paid for this work be it compilation of Sanskrit English Dictionary or be it translation of Bible into Sanskrit, and they were paid from our money which they acquired by plunder (systematic loot).

In return of that payment, they were required to find ways and means to show Hinduism in poor light.

Their research was oriented towards a predefined objective to give shape to a predetermined action plan.

Their research and scholastic efforts were not oriented towards seeking truth.

You must learn one basic lesson that those not worthy of glorification must never be glorified.

2 - 4

How the
Christian British
poisoned the
minds of a vast
majority of the
Hindus by
systematically
pouring venom into
the **unsuspecting**
minds of the Hindu
children?

They taught Hindus and told rest of the world

That: Aryans invaded our country in the second millennium BC and they drove out original inhabitants down south or in jungles.

That: They created the Caste system to maintain their superiority over the original inhabitants and called them Shoodr.

That: Their literature described the original inhabitants by various derogatory names like Daas, Dasyu, Asur, Naag, Raakshas.

That: Braahmans were the cunning lot, and they controlled the society and reaped rich dividend for themselves.

That: Braahmans destroyed Buddhism, Jainism because those were rationalists and humanitarian religions, as opposed to Braahmanism, which was essentially a useless ritual oriented, primitive, superstitious, and cruel in its caste administration.

That: Braahmanism Hinduism has been economically exploitative, socially oppressive, and supportive of culturally dilapidated caste system.

That: Braahmanical philosophies were essentially a fraud to hide their real character.

That: Hinduism alias Braahmanism has continued, and will continue to suppress, sidetrack, subvert by all possible means any other religious or secular movement that can threaten caste system and challenge supremacy of deceitful Braahmans.

That: Scientifically speaking, in above historical perspective, original inhabitants are the real high caste and present high castes are dirty swindlers.

The word Hindu was robbed of all its pride that it had acquired during past centuries.

It was made synonymous to invaders who committed gross crimes against the natives.

Come to think of it what a fraud it was: those very Braahmans who had given shape to Hindu society that displayed consistent behavior pattern over the millenniums ~ such character that had been applauded without an exception by so many foreign visitors ~ those very Braahmans were made nasty people today.

That: Aryans (Aarya आर्य people) invaded our country in the second millennium before Christ, and they drove original inhabitants down south and to the jungles

Here we have an interesting analogy. ChristianBritish, greedy of imperialistic power, landed in four countries: present day USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. In each place they found original inhabitants. ChristianBritish occupied their lands and drove them to jungles.

In BhaaratVarsh they could not do it because here they met with a civilization superior to their own.

So, what did they do?

They decided to teach false history to the original inhabitants in schools and colleges.

Question they faced what should be that false history.

They did not have to go far. They looked at their own doings.

They remembered what they themselves had done with natives of present day USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

On similar lines they fabricated a story.

That Aarya people came from some place probably in Europe.

They did not say which place because they themselves did not know. Besides, they were afraid some one would go there and investigate, and their fraud will get exposed. So, they left the origin of Aarya people uncertain. They have not found out till today, as to from which imaginary place in Europe, these Aarya people came from. They have not been able to do so because they created that imaginary place from thin air. Historical fraud was nothing new to ChristianBritish. They had perfected the art already. They had practiced civilized frauds of one kind or another for grabbing title to the lands from the native Canadians.

What did they gain by doing that?

Advantages were several.

If Hindu Aarya people actually came from outside BhaaratVarsh, and drove the original inhabitants down south or to jungles, then they were essentially foreigners to this land, as were the ChristianBritish.

On that count, there was no particular difference between the two.

Hence, there was no cause for resentment against foreign occupation by the ChristianBritish!

If Hindu Aarya people displaced original inhabitants from their ancestral land then they were essentially oppressors.

On that count there was enough ground for Dravidians (those who had been driven down south) and Aadivaasis (those driven to jungles) to resent against foreign occupation by Hindu Aarya people, whereas there was not enough reason for resentment against ChristianBritish because they did not displace Dravidians and Aadivaasis.

If Hindu Aarya people were the oppressors and Dravidians and Aadivaasis were the oppressed ones for over past two

thousand years, then this was sufficient impetus for sowing seeds of separatism between them.

This would easily break the unity amongst them that had been so far achieved under one umbrella: Hinduism (or, Sanaatan Dharm as you may want to call it).

Who showed them the way to Divide
and Rule? Jesus of Holy Bible!
Surprised? Let us check Bible

Where did the ChristianBritish get the idea of divide and destroy the unity?

Well, they learned it from their messiah Jesus Christ.

New Testament 2nd part of **Christian Bible** [Oxford p 1249]

Gospel the record of **Christ's** life and **teachings** in the first four books of the **New Testament** [Oxford Dictionary p 792]

St Matthew - Apostle, author of **1st Gospel** [Oxford Dictionary p 1143] (*one of the 12 **chief** disciples)

Matthew 10:34 Think **not** I am come to send **peace** on earth: I come **not** to send **peace**, but a sword. 10:35 For **I am come to set a man at variance against his father**, and the daughter **against** the mother, and the daughter in law **against** her mother in law. 10:36 **And a man's foe shall be they of his own household.**

St Luke evangelist, author of the **3rd Gospel** [Oxford p 1099]

Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, **Nay; but rather division:** 12:52 For from henceforth there shall be five in one **house divided**, three **against** two, and two **against** three. 12:53 The father shall be **divided against** the son, and the son **against** the father; the mother **against** the daughter, and the daughter **against** the mother; the mother in law **against** her daughter in law, and the daughter in law **against** her mother in law.

St Thomas - Apostle [Oxford Dictionary p 1928] (*one of the 12 **chief** disciples)

Apostle - 12 chief disciples of Jesus Christ [Oxford p 77]

Source: The Myth of Saint Thomas, Ishwar Sharan, p 76 notes

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and **they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war.** For five will be in a house, there will be three **against** two and two **against** three, the father **against** the son and the son **against** the father. And they will stand because they are **single** ones.

That: they created the Caste system to maintain their superiority over the original inhabitants and called them Shoodr

This was an extension of the same divisive policy with intent to create rift between Shoodrs and other three Varn वर्ण in Hindu society. And they have been quite successful at it.

There plan was to divide the Hindu society into pieces until it bleeds to death. What we see today in Uttar Pradesh, Bihaar, Madhya Pradesh and other provinces is a rather full blown picture of what had been conceptualized roughly six generations ago by the ChristianBritish, and propagated intensively and extensively by use of ChristianBritish education and media of every kind.

After the British left, their stooges continued this task with renewed vigor. We speak here of six generations because it had been about 170 years from 1835, and it has been assumed that a new generation is ready in about thirty years.

Generation the average period, generally considered to be about thirty years, in which children grow up, become adults, and have children of their own [Oxford Dictionary, p 764]

The issue of 4 Varn वर्ण is very much misrepresented today, by friends and foes of Hinduism alike, and therefore, I have dealt with this subject in considerable depth in *Gita Today*. If you wish to acquire an insight into the subject from an entirely different perspective than what has been presented to you so far, all I could do is to request you to read those chapters in *Gita*

Today without which your impressions on the subject will remain considerably flawed. However, I do reckon that it is only the 1st edition of *Gita Today* and the presentation may need improvement but you should be able to get a feel from the maiden edition.

That: their literature described the original inhabitants by various derogatory names like Daas, Dasyu, Asur, Naag, Raakshas

Again this has been a thorough misrepresentation because Hindu literature did not describe original inhabitants by those names. Those categories referred to certain attributes amongst people. For example, Aasuric culture referred to a specific type of culture, and the term Asur referred to those people who were part of that particular culture. I have dealt with this topic in *Popes Saints Cardinals Archbishops Bishops*. As for now I would want to say that it is not very difficult to identify these people even today. Just look around and see, you will find plenty of specimen around you. From that you will know who were the Asur and Raakshas in Hindu terminology. Such people existed even in those days. All you need is to understand the concept clearly to be able to identify them readily.

That: Braahmans (ब्राह्मण not Brahmin ब्राह्मिन) were the cunning lot, and they controlled the society and reaped rich dividend for themselves

By and large Hindu Braahmans have been very poor and they kept themselves to priesthood and teaching. On the other hand, Christian and Muslim clergy have controlled national politics and governance of the State administration. Popes have done that for centuries and Khomeini, Taliban do it even today.

Source: *The New Oxford Dictionary of English*, p [as indicated below]

Khomeini Ruhollah (1900-89), Iranian Shi'ite Muslim leader; known as Ayatollah Khomeini. He returned from exile in 1979 to lead an Islamic revolution which overthrew the Shah. He established Iran as a fundamentalist **Islamic republic** and relentlessly pursued the Iran-Iraq War 1980-8 [p 1006]. **Shi'ite** an adherent of the Shia branch of Islam [p 1715]. **Taliban** a fundamentalist Muslim movement whose militia took control of much of Afghanistan from early 1995 and in 1996 took Kabul and set up an **Islamic state** [p 1891].

Hindu priests did not assume the role of their Christian and Islamic counterparts yet they have been made to look like them, on purpose with a predefined motive: to weaken the very foundation of Hindu Society.

Source: *A Hindu view of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 38

Seven hundred years ago Pope Boniface VIII had asserted his secular authority in the following words: **Both swords**, the spiritual and the material [or secular], **are in the power of the Church**; the material **for** the Church. The one by the hand of the priest; the other by hands of kings and knights **at the will** and the sufferance [*toleration] of the priest.

They took clues from the deeds of Christian priests and rewrote the Hindu history depicting Hindu Braahmans in bad light.

They taught this fabricated version of Hindu history to Hindu children generation after generation and created public opinion hostile towards Hindu Braahmans.

They did not limit their propaganda to BhaaratVarsh but took it on a global scale.

The need for this fabrication arose when there was conflict of interest, and the losing party needed to resort to fabrication.

The brain behind it was a person whom Christians call a Saint today. He has been granted the sainthood because he could give rise to a concept so apt for further development of a fabricated version of history that would stick. The dividends they reaped (not Braahmans but Christians) were so rich and the implications were so far reaching that Vatican could not but to offer sainthood to this person. Actually the very term "saint" has totally opposite (true) meanings in Christianity and Hinduism. This you will fully well understand when you read *Popes Saints Cardinals Archbishops Bishops*.

The name of this illustrious saint was Francis Xavier. He wrote to the Society of Jesus in his letter that if there were no Braahmans in Hindu society, he would have converted all Hindus into Christianity. Here was the conflict of interest.

He wanted to convert all Hindus into Christianity, but Hindus had little faith in the Christian Saint, and they had greater faith in Hindu Braahmans.

Why they had greater faith? It is simple. Look at the character of Braahmans in general, read through the 1st chapter of this work.

They were truthful, honest, simple like child. These are the descriptions by foreigners, not by Hindus.

On the other hand, the Christian saint being untruthful and cunning, he described Braahmans as wicked and crafty men, in his letter to the society of Jesus, and also documented the true reason for his hating Braahmans.

Source: *St Francis Xavier The Man and His Mission*, Sita Ram Goel, quoted in *The Myth of St Thomas*, Ishwar Sharan, p 80

Xavier wrote in another letter to the Society of Jesus, "There are in these parts among the pagans a class of men called Braahmans. They are as perverse and wicked a set as can anywhere be found, and to whom applies the Psalm, which says: 'From an unholy race, and wicked and crafty men, deliver me, Lord.' **If it were not for the Braahmans, we should have all the heathens embracing our faith.**"

That: Braahmans destroyed Buddhism, Jainism because those were rationalists and humanitarian religions, as opposed to Braahmanism, which was essentially a useless ritual oriented, primitive, superstitious and cruel in its caste

administration

This was yet another historical fraud because it was Muslims who had uprooted Buddhism from BhaaratVarsh, not the Braahmans.

On the contrary, Braahmans took care of Buddhist temple at BodhGaya when Buddhists abandoned it. It is the most significant temple of Buddhists in BhaaratVarsh because it was in Gaya that Buddh attained his enlightenment.

Source: *Ayodhya*, Koenraad Elst, p 36, p 38

Abdul Qudoos Ansari: The iconoclastic **fury of Islam** must have [had] a terrible effect on the shrines of the Gaya region, and particularly on Buddhism, with the result that a time came when, there being **no Buddhists to look after their own shrines** and worship at **BodhGaya**, the **Braahmans had to do their work even by going [outside] their jurisdiction.**

[*Archaeological Remains*, p 119]

According to [the famous Tibetan monk] Dharma swami [1234-36 in that area], the BodhGaya establishment had been deserted by all except for [some] monks, on account of **repeated Turkish conquests.**'

[*Archaeological Remains*, p 26].

Koenraad Elst: The popular support base and training grounds for Buddhist monks were being destroyed in all of North BhaaratVarsh, and **BodhGaya** was dying as a Buddhist center along with all those other establishments that were being physically eliminated by the Turks. **Not Hinduism but**

Islam destroyed Buddhism in BhaaratVarsh.

Source: *A Hindu view of the world*, N S Rajaram, p 163

Vincent Smith: The ashes of the Buddhist sanctuary at Saarnaath near Benaaras (Vaaraanasi) still bear witness to the **rage of the image-breakers**. Many noble monuments of the ancient civilization of BhaaratVarsh were irrevocably wrecked in the course of the early **Muslim invasions**. **These invasions were fatal to the existence of Buddhism as an organized religion in northern BhaaratVarsh** where its strength resided chiefly in Bihaar and certain adjoining regions.

Source: *Art of Indian Asia*, Heinrich Zimmer, Princeton, Paperback edition, 1983, Vol. I, p 201

Heinrich Zimmer: Buddhist and Hindu (Braahmanical) themes alternate in rich profusion. The **two traditions flourished side by side, even sharing colleges and monasteries, for nearly two millenniums**, until about the height of the **Muslim conquest (c.AD1200), Buddhism disappeared from the land of its birth**.

That: Braahmanism Hinduism has been economically exploitative, socially oppressive, and supportive of culturally dilapidated caste system

Again this is yet another aspect of the same historical fraud, because if Hindu civilization could not be wiped out from the face

of this earth despite thousand years of brutal, beastly onslaught by Muslims, it is due to the inherent strength of Hinduism, and **Braahmanism was pivotal to this inherent strength.**

And for this very reason, it has been so very necessary for Christianity (and later day Communism) to focus its entire offensive strategy towards Braahmanism.

2 - 5

The legacy goes on
and lies keep
compounding
through the efforts
of those who were
raised by Christian
English education
system and
influenced by
Marxist thinking

For this ChristianBritish needed to prepare a brigade of Hindu born Christianized cadre to give impetus to the offensive.

Out of this was born Jawaharlal Nehru who took pride in saying: *I am a Christian by education, Muslim by inclination and Hindu by accident!*

Source: *A Hindu View of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 181

Macaulay: We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Bhaaratiyas in blood and color, but (*Christian) **English in taste, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.**

These historical fabrications were continually taught in schools and colleges controlled and run by ChristianBritish establishments and Christian missions.

From the 'twenties of last century' these started finding place in Communist pamphlets and periodicals.

Mounting this wave, one section of the Indian National Congress started strutting around as progressive, radical, revolutionary, socialist.

Jawaharlal Nehru

In 1927 Jawaharlal visited Soviet Union briefly and after his return became the leader of this progressive, radical, revolutionary, socialist group.

Then he wrote *Glimpses of World History* and *Discovery of India*, which reveled in this lore. It were held classics in prestigious press in BhaaratVarsh and overseas. This is when Nehru started fancying himself as a great historian.

During his daughter Indira Gandhi's regime a TV serial was made on Nehru's book *Discovery of India* by one those prominent FakeSecular intellectual directors using taxpayers' money.

It was regularly telecast during 1980's, and was repeated in 2000's. They make sure that this garbage is filled in the minds of each new generation.

Professor Muhammad Habib of Aligarh Muslim University

Muslim historians of Marxist school came up with another innovation. Muhammad Habib of Aligarh Muslim University fabricated a new theory that Islamic invaders destroyed Hindu temples not because Islam taught Muslims to do so but because they wanted to loot those temples for their wealth.

This fabrication was aimed at salvaging blood-soaked history of Islam. Jawaharlal Nehru and his so-called progressive sect in the Indian National Congress immediately welcomed this theory with open arms.

Pundit Sunderlal

Well, Pundit Nehru and Habib were not alone but there were more of the likes. When something new starts gaining popularity others too join the bandwagon. So came along Pundit Sunderlal and he painted Islam and the Medieval Muslim Rule in glorious colors.

M N Roy

Then came another of the lot: M N Roy. He innovated yet another theory. That is, Islam came to BhaaratVarsh with a great purpose: to complete a social revolution.

And what that revolution was? Well, that was to complete the unfinished task of Buddhism. It was unfinished, in his mind, because those supposedly cunning Braahmans used fire and sword to finish Buddhism.

Sanskrit educated Braahmans of yesteryears and English educated Braahmans of today

Education can do wonders to human mind and thought. Ancient Hindu education turned Braahmans into truthful lot and Modern Christian education turned them into cunning liars. Pundit is a Braahman title and I think Roy also.

All-out attack on Hinduism

One thing seemed to be very clear: there was an all-out attack on Hinduism from every possible direction with one motive, that is, to obliterate it.

The stubborn Hinduism does not give up

But, this Hinduism was too stubborn. It would not give up, and it would not die howsoever hard one tried.

Islam tried for thousand years, Christianity tried also for centuries since ChristianPortuguese landed in Goa and established notorious papal (pope's) Inquisition.

The more stubborn Hinduism remains, more new fanatics are born to obliterate it.

Only they change their method, when one fails the other gets ready!

ChristianWorld gave such conspirators a respectable position in the society.

With all these onslaughts Hinduism was not yet exhausted. Probably there was too much strength remained hidden somewhere, unseen, but it was there. **It would not simply get lost, and so will be the invaders, in one form or other.**

The term Hindu still covered, by and large, Buddhists, Jains, and Sikhs. Swami Dayaanand, Bankim Chandr Chatterjee, Swami Vivekananda, Shri Aurobindo had probably seen through the ChristianBritish game in different measures. Shri RaamKrishn ParamHans Dev had silently prepared Vivekananda for the ordeal.

Abuse of the Constitution of BhaaratVarsh

Source: *Pseudo-Secularism*, Sita Ram Goel, c 4

The Constitution of independent BhaaratVarsh adopted in January 1950 made things quite smooth for the Christian missions. They surged forward with renewed vigor. Nationalist resistance to what had been viewed as an imperialist incubus during the Struggle for Freedom from British rule, broke down when the very leaders who had frowned upon it **started speaking in its favor**. Voices which still remained 'recalcitrant' (*willfully disobedient) **were sought to be silenced** by being branded as those of 'Hindu communalism'. Nehruvian Secularism had stolen a march under the smokescreen of Mahaatma Gandhi's **sarv-dharm-sambhaav**. The Christian missionary orchestra in BhaaratVarsh after independence has continued to rise from one crescendo to another with the applause of the Nehruvian establishment manned by a brood of **self-alienated Hindus spawned by missionary-macaulayite education**.

Jawaharlal Nehru as the first Prime Minister

After independence from the ChristianBritish, Nehru became the supreme power and he became the combined spokesman of Islamic, Christian and Communist imperialistic ideologies.

To further his designs he placed the Ministry of Education in the hands of a MuslimMarxist combine headed by Maulaana Abul Kalam Azad.

Simultaneously he gave Christian missions full freedom and encouragement to teach and convert Hindus as much as possible.

He also patronized the Communist Party of BhaaratVarsh such that soon it became a formidable force.

He let MuslimMarxist combine of 'historians' to capture the *Indian History Congress* and let them drive out many honest historians.

And this was facilitated by Westernized democratic system of which we are so proud of.

Muhammad Habib and Jawaharlal Nehru join hands

In 1954 Professor Muhammad Habib came up with another thesis that Hindu working class had preferred *Shariat* and Turks and Muslim conquest was an 'urban revolution' and 'turn of public opinion'.

Nehru approved this thesis in a Preface despite the fact that so-called working class and Shoodrs fought shoulder-to-shoulder with so-called Hindu upper class in their struggle with Muslim barbarians.

If Hindu working class had truly favored Shariat why they did not adopt Islam without struggle and without bloodshed?

Why Muslim barbarians had to offer the working class Hindus one of the two choices: Islam or death?

Why did they have to kill innocent working class Hindu civilians, old men, women and children?

Why did they have to enslave working class Hindu women and children to convert them into Islam?

It is truly unfortunate for the nation that our leaders like Jawaharlal Nehru, in whose hands Hindu BhaaratVarsh had given its rein, had such a questionable character.

I dare say 'Hindu BhaaratVarsh' because Jinnah had taken away Muslim Pakistan, and he had even offered Nehru to exchange left over Muslims in BhaaratVarsh, in lieu of left over Hindus in Pakistan.

Ethnic cleansing continues unabated

Nehru turned it down and the result is today Pakistan and BanglaDesh have barely enough Hindus left on account of systematic **ethnic cleansing** over past 50 years, whereas Bhaaratiya Muslims have multiplied many fold and enjoyed better privileges in comparison to their counterparts in Pakistan, legally granted to them such privileges on the pretext of minority protection. Enormous Hajj subsidy is one such example, and FakeSecular Nehru is the author.

Source: *Hindu Voice*, March 2005 Hindi edition, cover 3

1947 **24%** Hindus in **Pakistan** but now less than **1%**

1947 **30%** Hindus in **BanglaDesh** but now about **7%**

2 - 6

Effectively, the
Hindus became the
true Minority on
their own soil for all
practical purposes

Source: *Pseudo-Secularism*, Sita Ram Goel, p 7

The first Prime Minister of independent BhaaratVarsh became the leader of a Muslim-Christian-Communist combine for **forcing Hindus and Hinduism first on the defensive and then on a run for shelter. Now on everything, which Hindus held sacred could be questioned, ridiculed, despised and insulted.** At the same time the darkest dogmas of Islam and Christianity were **not only placed beyond the pale of discussion but also invested with divinity so that anyone who asked any inconvenient questions about them invited the attention of laws which were made more and more punitive.**

Would you like an example of the above statement "now on everything, which Hindus held sacred could be questioned, ridiculed, despised and insulted"? Here is one:

Source: *The Pioneer*, OP-ED, 3 June 2003

Take, for example, what **Hussain** painted. He has not only portrayed some **Hindu deities in the nude** but has gone to the extent of showing **Sita masturbating on the long tail of Hanumaan!**

Here we see that a famous Muslim painter (who lives on this soil where 80% population still comprises of Hindus though gradually declining) can boldly disrespect the

sentiments of Hindus (Mother Sita has been the example of purity) and we have no right to be angered by it.

If we express our anger, it is promptly denounced by the secularists who occupy significant positions.

Source: *A Hindu view of the world*, NS Rajaram, p 187

As far as the Macaulayite elite is concerned, it is the fear of the rise of the nationalism, which has brought it into the arms of the Muslim Fundamentalist forces. It defends vociferously M F Hussein's '**artistic**' right to desecrate Hindu icons, but remains tongue tied when Salmaan Rushdie and Taslima Nasreen are threatened with death for blasphemy. It holds on to discredited history like the Aryan invasion of BhaaratVarsh because rejecting it would make BhaaratVarsh the home of a great and ancient civilization. It attacks Natwar Jha and this writer for providing a solution to the puzzle of the Indus script because it means going to the Vedic sources to find the answer. All this, testimony to the greatness of BhaaratVarsh's past, this elite finds intolerable.

Nehru's daughter Indira became the Prime Minister soon after Nehru's death and to ensure her monopoly of power, she split the Congress Party.

She gave positions of power in Central and State Governments, and in prestigious institutions, to well known Communists and fellow-travelers.

Politicians love power so much that they would go to any length to attain their objectives.

ICHR was placed under control of MuslimMarxist combine 'historians' and they were given extensive patronage.

NCERT was taken over by these people and they laid down guidelines for producing school textbooks on various subjects and rewrote the fraudulent history with substantial amount of fabrication.

JNU was created and financed on fabulous scale. Communist professors from all over the country were brought to JNU to form the frontline brigade to launch all

out assault on Hindu society by way of anti-Hindu campaigns.

The slogan of secularism was given tremendous impetus and no one was supposed to question or examine if it was being used with its true meaning, or otherwise.

Its meaning in Bhaaratiya context was attributed by this MuslimMarxist combine as it served their purpose. The political backing to this manipulated concept was so enormous that no questions were supposed to be asked.

The new meaning was to be taken for granted. The political support, the academic thrust, and the media coverage together made anything that was related to Hinduism, and Nationalism, as 'Communalism'.

This is when 'Hindu' started becoming a dirty word.

Sikhs had opted out of Hindu fold and Jains started becoming more vocal that they were not Hindus.

In the context of Sikhs, many readers who have not known it, may find it surprising that till lately every good Hindu family donated their one son to Sikhism. This means, from every good Hindu family one son became Sikh, so thin was the line between Hinduism and Sikhism.

Source: *Hindu Voice*, Nov 2002, p 40

Francois Gautier: The Sikhs, because they had to defend themselves against the terrible persecution by the Muslims, cut themselves from the mainstream spirit of Hindu tolerance from where they originally came. But do they not come from the great Hindu family? **Has not till lately every good Hindu family donated one of their sons to Sikhism? Do not Hindus still today go to Gurudwaaras?**

Source: *Hindu Temples what happened to them*, Sita Ram Goel, p 407

The **climax** came when the **Ramakrishna Mission** and the **Arya Samaj** petitioned the **High Courts for obtaining the status of non-Hindu religions**. An article in the Constitution, which gave certain concessions to non-Hindu educational institutions, was being cited in defense of this volte-face. But that was only an excuse. **The real reason was that nobody who thought he was somebody was prepared to be known as Hindu any more.**

Source: *Hindu Temples what happened to them*, Sita Ram Goel, p 407

The Bhaaratiya Jan Sangh had been launched by some Hindus who were already shying away from the word 'Hindu', and opting for the word 'Bhaaratiya'. It was taken over in due course by a pompous Nehruvian, and whoever objected to the coup was hounded out, or silenced. **The party was now trying frantically to prove its Secular credentials. It was mortally afraid of being called a Hindu party, and frequently displayed its Muslim membership.** Its tragedy was that the authentic secularists were not prepared to accept its claims, although it had invited every stalwart of Secularism to use its platform for delivering lectures on the sanctified subject. **Again, the climax came when, under pressure from the newly formed Janata Party of which Bhaaratiya Jan Sangh had become a constituent, the RSS also got ready to consider dropping of the word 'Hindu' from its constitution. One wonders how things would have turned out if the Janata Party government had not fallen before the critical session of the RSS could be held.** In any case, leaders of Bhaaratiya Janata Party, the reincarnation of the Bhaaratiya Jan Sangh, could be heard saying till recently that **they could no more afford to be known as Hindus** (*ab apne aapko Hindu kahne se kaam nahi chalega*)!

Part - 3

The Game is NOT
yet Over

Though you may want to tell yourself
that it is!

Building Anti-Hindu nation of the Hindus

“Anti-Hindu” had been the thrust area of Christian education system all along. It is today and it has been all along. Let us look at two examples: one from today, and the other from Swami Vivekananda’s time.

If you fill the minds of children with anti-Hindu sentiments from the very childhood do you think they will respect Hinduism anymore? And if they do not then do you think they will try to protect the beauties of Hindu Value system?

Here is a simple example as to how they cement anti-Hindu feelings in minds of children. **They set up questions in examinations that would force children to think in a certain direction, and argue in its favor through essays or debates.**

For instance, students of standard XII at Father Agnel School, Mumbai were asked to write an essay on “Hindutv philosophy and its disastrous effects on secular BhaaratVarsh” in their English language paper.

This got accidentally reported in The Free Press Bombay Journal, 5 April 2003 p 9.

Do you know how many more such cases are there? Who will report them to you? Do you think, such children will report it, when they grow up to be anti-Hindu in their thinking? These schools would never ask children to write essays on Christian philosophy and its impact on children.

Source: *In defence of Hinduism*, Swami Vivekananda, p 27

Swami Vivekananda: What have the Hindus done to these disciples of Christ that **every Christian child is taught to call Hindus ‘vile’** (*extremely unpleasant; morally bad; wicked),

and '**wretches**' (*a despicable or contemptible person), and the **most horrible devils on earth?** Part of the **Sunday School education for children** here consists in **teaching them to hate** everybody who is not a Christian, and the **Hindus especially**, so that, from their very childhood they may subscribe their pennies to the missions.

Swami Vivekananda: If not for truth's sake, for the sake of the morality of their own children, the Christian missionaries ought not to allow such things going on. **Is it any wonder that such children grow up to be ruthless and cruel men and women?**

If the Hindus were extremely unpleasant, morally bad, wicked, despicable or contemptible people then do you think so many foreign visitors over past two millenniums would have given unqualified certificate to the Hindus as lovers of truth and justice?

Don't you think that Christianity turns its followers into first class liars be it Christian missionaries or be it Christian intellectuals?

Swami Vivekananda lived between 1863-1902 and it is then when he spoke. But it was nothing new. They were same everywhere in all ages.

Source: *Unzipped-The Popes Bare All* by Arthur Frederick Ide, Austin, Tex., 1987 quoted in *Myth of Saint Thomas*, Ishwar Sharan, pp 119-120

Arthur Frederick Ide: Contrary to the Christian apologist Justin, the Christians were not dispatched from this life because they were Christians. Christians were executed **only after their actions** (not their beliefs) were seen as riot inducing, **treasonous**, and **detrimental to the**

family unit, and especially dangerous to the children.

One primary reason Rome turned against the Christians was that Christians were **violently intolerant**. Christians would not accept altars to gods other than their own even though the Romans offered an altar to the Christian god. Christians spat upon those who would not convert. They hid documents. They **alienated families**. They prayed for the end of the empire and the enthronement of their god as the new king. **These were actions, which were socially disconcerting, disrupting, and dangerous.**

Was it only in Europe? No it had been the same in BhaaratVarsh. And, it was so all the time.

Source: *The Myth of Saint Thomas*, Ishwar Sharan, pp 118-119

T G Percival Spear, reputed Cambridge historian, commenting on the [*Christian] Portuguese in BhaaratVarsh in an *Encyclopedia Britannica* article: Then came Roman Catholicism, which today has perhaps 5,000,000 followers and an array of churches, convents, and colleges all over BhaaratVarsh. **A by-product has been a tradition of intolerance, which still lingers.**

Do you want evidence that it truly lingers even today?

Let me walk you through yet another world of surprises. During my childhood days I used to read about Assam and NEFA. Now I hear of many: ArunAanchal Pradesh, MeghAalay, Manipur,

Mizoram, Tripura, and so on. Similarly, I do not remember of Jhaarkhand, ChhattisGarh, UttarAanchal Pradesh from my childhood days. Let us see where they all come from.

Splitting the nation into pieces

Tribes that lived for millenniums peacefully have now been trained for organized violence

The people of the Northeast lived amidst bounty of nature through ages. They had all along been simple people. Violence had been miles away from them. This is how they lived for millenniums until very recently. All those days they had been Hindu.

They have changed now. They have become bloodthirsty. Newspapers do not tell you that they have been converted into Christianity ~ the much advertised religion of peace and service to humanity.

Your newspaper calls them as Ultras. It sounds more like Surf Ultra detergent washing powder. These Christian convert ultras are now cleaning up Hindus treating them as dirt much the same way Surf Ultra removes dirt from clothes.

Who knows what that "Ultras" mean except that it does not mean what it should mean: "the Christian converts now bloodthirsty after millenniums of peaceful existence as Hindus".

Source: All quotes hereafter, and factual information presented in this chapter, are from *Pseudo-Secularism: Christian Missions and Hindu Resistance*, Sita Ram Goel, unless otherwise stated specifically

The separatist tendency that has gripped the mind of the aboriginal under the Lutheran and Roman Catholic Missions is entirely due to the consistent policy pursued by the British Government and the Missionaries. The **final**

segregation of the aborigines in the Census of 1931 from the main body of the Hindus considered along with the recommendations of the Simon Commission which were incorporated in the Government of BhaaratVarsh Act, 1935 **apparently set the stage for the demand of a separate State of Jhaarkhand on the lines of Pakistan.**

Some 50 years ago Justice Niyogi warned us that tomorrow there may a demand for a separate state Jhaarkhand, by splitting the existing state of Bihaar, much the same way BhaaratVarsh was split into India and Pakistan, or for that matter Bengal was split into West and East Bengal, eventually to become East Pakistan, and now BanglaDesh.

We know that finally we have a separate State of Jhaarkhand now, and it is an eye-opener that the manipulative process had started long before, and an average Hindu has remained quite unaware of it.

Bleed the Hindu society till death

This is one of the many conspiracies against the Hindu society. The objective is simple: break the Hindu society into pieces and make it as weak as possible. In other words, bleed the Hindu society till death.

Let us see where else this manipulative process had been active and if we know anything more of the outcome. Chief Justice Dr Niyogi makes a mention of the Nagas in his 1956 report and on 1st December 1963 **Nagaland** became a separate state.

This attempt of the Aadivaasis **initiated by the Christian section thereof** is a feature which is common to the developments in Burma, Assam and Indo-China among the Karens, **Nagas** and Amboynes. This is attributed to the **spirit of religious**

nationalism awakened among the converted Christians as among the followers of other religions. **But the idea of change of religion as bringing about change of nationality appears to have originated in the Missionary circles ... thus, while the Census officer isolates certain sections of the people from the main bodies, the Missionaries by converting them give them a separate nationality so they may demand a separate State for themselves.**

Strategy for splitting the nation into pieces

Simply described, the strategy of Christian missionaries has been:

- **1st: convert Hindus into Christianity**
- **2nd: alienate them from their root Hinduism**
- **3rd: inject the poison of separatism within them**
- **4th: get them minority privileges granted by Constitution**
- **5th: make them demand a separate state for themselves**
- **6th: turn it into a wholly Christian state**
- **7th: carve out a separate Christian nation**
- **8th: create many such tiny little nations, scattered all around, within the geographical position of greater BhaaratVarsh**
- **9th: turn them into major security risk for BhaaratVarsh**
- **10th: split BhaaratVarsh into pieces, as many as possible!**

Christianity's Post-War World Policy for BhaaratVarsh

Niyogi Committee was not an all Hindu committee. One of the members of the Niyogi Committee was K C George, a Professor in the Commerce College at Wardha. He represented the interests of the Christian community. The Committee quoted **Christian Postwar World Policy** from several Christian sources.

The aim of the **Christian Postwar World Policy** in BhaaratVarsh was threefold:

(1) **To resist the progress of national unity**

(2) To emphasize the difference in the attitude towards the principle of coexistence between BhaaratVarsh and America

(3) **To take advantage of the freedom accorded by the Constitution of BhaaratVarsh to the propagation of a religion, and to create a Christian party in the Bhaaratiya democracy on the lines of the Muslim League ultimately to make out a claim for a separate State, or at least to create a 'militant minority'**

Teachings of Bible that showed them the way

How wonderful, come to think of it, the **Constitution** grants them the **freedom** to propagate their religion, and they make it political ammunition **against** the nation. No wonder they love **Jesus** to the core. They follow every word of their **messiah**:

Source: [Oxford Dictionary](#) p 1249, p 792, p 1143

[New Testament](#) is the second part of the [Christian Bible](#)

Gospel is the record of Christ's life and teachings in the first four books of the New Testament

St Matthew was an Apostle, and the author of the first Gospel

Source: Christian Bible New Testament

Matthew 10:34 Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword

Matthew 12: 30 He that is not with me is against me

Source: Oxford Dictionary p 1099

St Luke was an evangelist, and the author of the third Gospel

Source: Christian Bible New Testament

Luke 12:51 Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but rather division:

Nay a negative answer Oxford Dictionary p 1237

Source: *The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, Ishwar Sharan, p 76 n 36

Gospel of Thomas: the second century Coptic text of this Gnostic gospel, probably written in Syria, was discovered in Egypt in 1946. It contains the secret sayings of Jesus as recorded by St Thomas.

Source: Oxford Dictionary p 1928, p 77

St Thomas was an Apostle

Each of the twelve chief disciples of Jesus Christ is an Apostle

Source: Gospel of Thomas as quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple*, p 76 n

Gospel of Thomas 16 Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war.

Christianity's history in Europe

In BhaaratVarsh, by and large, common man is unaware of Christianity's history in Europe.

Source: *A Hindu View of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 90

The people and the rulers of Europe had to

carry on a **thousand year struggle** to free themselves from the **theocratic hold of the Church over nonreligious** (secular) **institutions and activities.**

Oxford Dictionary describes, **theocracy as a system of government in which priests rule in the name of God or a god.**

Now that the Church is more or less out of big business in Europe it is spreading its wings in Asia. Let us see how the Church operates its multinational business and how it does the funding.

Enormity of the money circulating

Niyogi Committee report gave the amount of money that was employed by Church in BhaaratVarsh during 4 years [Jan 1950 to Jun 1954]. Report gave country-wise contribution totaling to 29 Crores some 50 years ago [approximately 7424 Crores (US\$ 1.5 billion) in 2002 values].

Look at the enormity of this amount used for 'buying' new Christians and cultivating a religious nationalism in them, which in effect is a separatist move and essentially an anti-national activity.

And, any voice raised against it promptly gets branded by Christianized media and thinkers as **Hindu communalism**. A small part of that \$1.5 Bn can buy our media and thinkers easily.

The Mask

Niyogi Committee Report indicated that most of this money was received in BhaaratVarsh in the name of maintaining educational and medical institutions but in reality spent on conversion of Hindus into Christianity.

Naturally, it serves Western media well to promote the poverty stricken image of BhaaratVarsh. They comfortably ignore the fact: what a mess the ChristianBritish had left us with half a century

ago, and in comparison to that what we are now. The tremendous progress that we have made is simply ignored.

The poverty stricken image is splashed all over and it helps them collect plenty of dollars in the name of helping the poor of BhaaratVarsh, medically and educationally. But then, such collections end up being used for ulterior motives ~ to gradually build a Church regime in BhaaratVarsh, which Europe has now rejected [see *Popes Saints Cardinals Archbishops Bishops*].

Missionary schools in tribal regions

Niyogi Committee Report further provided factual data on how such missionary schools operate:

- **Harijan and Aadivaasi students were given free hostel facilities, food and books provided they attend Christian prayers;**
- **If a student failed to attend the Bible class but attended rest of the classes, he or she would be treated as absent for the whole day;**
- **School celebrations were used for showing the victory of the Cross over all other symbols;**
- **Hospitals were used for putting pressure on poor class patients to embrace Christianity.**

Missionary orphanages

On how Mission Orphanages worked, the Niyogi Committee Report revealed that during famines and other natural calamities such as flood and earthquakes, orphans were collected so that they can be raised as Christians.

Missionary money-lending business

On how Roman Catholic missions had specialized in money-lending business was also revealed in the Niyogi Committee Report. Poor people often approached the missionaries for loans which were written off if the debtor became a convert. Otherwise, he had to repay it with interest which was often found difficult. **One of the**

conditions for getting a loan, for instance, was that the recipient agreed to chop off the topknot (*choti*), the symbol of his being a Hindu. Some of the people who had received loans were **minors** and casual laborers. **When one member of a family had taken a loan, all the other members of that family were entered in the book as potential converts.** The rate of interest charged was 10% and in a large number of cases examined by the Committee, one year's interest was deducted in advance.

The Committee questioned many, and on being questioned, the people **without any hesitation**, said that their only purpose in going to the Mission had been to get money. All said that without the lure of money **none** would have sought to become a Christian.

Buying new Christians

Looking at these findings of the Niyogi Committee, wouldn't you agree that it is nothing but **buying** new Christians with their money power? Hope you understand why I do not call it *conversion* but I call it *buying* the poor.

The money they use for buying poor people does not come from their honest earnings. It came from plunder (systematic loot) from BhaaratVarsh during ChristianBritish regime. You may say that Americans found lot of gold and it is their money. Well, not quite so. ChristianBritish settlers in America carried some of that loot to America and then they cheated native American Red Indians and acquired their lands by covert means. From those lands later they got gold. In either way it is cheating money used for further cheating by habitual cheats.

Selling Christianity

Let us look at other innovative ways that these Missions adopted. The Committee found that new converts were employed as *pracharak* and their job was to **sell Christianity** to others.

The Committee also found that Christians working in various government departments were exhorted (*admonished earnestly) and expected to participate in the game. Those who did not help were cursed in missionary publications.

Christians placed in higher positions and missionaries who became influential members of the *Janpad Sabhaas* put pressure on junior officers for influencing people in favor of Christianity. What we see here is that they worked on all fronts possible, they left no stone un-turned.

Attack on Idol worship

The Committee also found that:

- **Missionary publications attacked idol worship in rather offensive terms;**
- **Dramas in which idol worship was ridiculed were performed in schools and elsewhere;**
- **Songs to the same effect were composed and sung;**
- **But, on the whole, the Committee noted that preference was given to vicious attacks on Hinduism, which was held up as a false religion.**

Child's dilemma

At school a child learns to look down upon idol worshipping. At home the child watches parents worshipping idols. The child loses respect for parents. The disrespect grows as the child grows. *Parents do not realize where it begins.* They send the child to Christian schools for perceived better education!

Press bought over?

Now let us stop for a moment and think, why did Bhaaratiya Constitution give the *freedom* for propagating religion to all? Was it for this purpose?

Also, let us ask the *Press*, those with substantial resources and reach, the bigger ones who play the role of opinion-makers to the nation; what are they doing today?

Are they doing any fact finding on their own? Are they serving the nation well when they have readily cooked

material in form of the Niyogi Committee Report? Did they publicize it sufficiently enough to raise public awareness, and by developing public opinion did they force the administration to take corrective measure?

What is the role of *media* in a democratic set up like ours? Or, did they find themselves helpless in front of enormous money power of these missions? Or, were they bought over by these missions?

Strategy behind conversion of one year old infants

Let us see what the Committee reported on mass Conversions:

Persons of varying ages from 60 years to 1 (**one**) year are shown as converts and the list includes women and children also. We have met many *Uranos* in the course of our tours and we were struck very much by their total **absence** of religious feelings.

This was with reference to the list of 4,000 converts made within 2 years in Surguja district as shown in Government records. Now let us look at the methodology step-by-step:

- **one year old children are *purchased* as new Christians;**
- **the name goes into government records as Christian;**
- **census shows them as Christian;**
- **different kinds demands are put up for various kinds of benefits and privileges for those Christians, they being part of the minority community;**
- **their number grows and in time they become a majority community in a geographical location;**
- **then comes the demand for a separate State for the people of that religion as they are now in majority;**
- **all this is organized and carefully monitored by the Christian missionaries while the process takes its due shape!**

How Secularists look at it?

This all happens within the framework of **democratic** setup and with due support of self-professed **Secular** forces of the nation. Here the meaning of secularism **changes** depending on the needs. First it is government support for minority religion. Then it is separate state for minority-turned-majority religion within one particular state.

None of it is communalism. **Communalism** is anything that is said against it. And if by mistake something like this is done for Hindus then it is anti-secular. All this happens with active support of opinion-makers to the nation.

Refusal to produce Baptism records

The Committee noted that Missions refused to produce Baptism records due to the fear of Truth being out ... As a rule, groups have been converted, and we find individual conversion has been an exception rather than rule.

Mass conversions

We have come across cases of individual conversion only of persons who are village leaders and they have invariably been followed by mass conversions of the **entire village** soon after.

Immediate prosperity of these converted village leaders were striking, and explanations were offered that it had nothing to do with the mass conversion of the whole village. Committee noted that they did not find such explanations acceptable.

So what we see here is that the village leader is approached and bribed to lead the whole village into mass conversion.

All factors being constant the only significant variable is ...

Let us look back at the first chapter where we have given documented records of many foreign visitors who came to BhaaratVarsh during last 2,300 years and recorded their individual observations about the qualities of Hindu society.

And then let us compare it with present scenario. What a downfall. Other factors have been constant. The variable factor has been the imposed company of Islam and Christianity.

Supremacy of Christian flag over National flag

The Niyogi Committee Report expressed the view that conversions led directly to **denationalization**. Greetings such as *Raam Raam* and *Jai Hind* [Victory to BhaaratVarsh] were substituted by *Jai Yeshu* [Victory to Jesus].

The Supremacy of the **Christian flag** over the **National flag** of BhaaratVarsh was also depicted in the drama, which was staged in a school at Jabalpur, the Committee noted.

Liberation of Goa opposed

When Goa was liberated from Portuguese and merged with BhaaratVarsh, the Missionary paper *Nishkalank* [unblemished] strongly propagated against it. They wanted Goa to remain part of Portugal. This is another example of their **anti-nationalism**.

Alienating Christian community from their Nation

The Niyogi Committee found:

Evangelization in BhaaratVarsh appears to be part of uniform world policy to revive Christendom for reestablishing Western supremacy and is **not** prompted by spiritual motives. **The objective is to disrupt the solidarity of the non-Christian**

societies, and the mass conversion of a considerable section of Adivaasis with this ulterior motive is fraught with danger to the security of the state. The Christian Missions were making a deliberate and determined attempt to alienate Bhaاراتiya Christian Community from their nation. The Community was most likely to become a victim of foreign manipulations in times of crisis.

The Report observed:

The history of the Christian missions provided ample proof that religion had been used for **political** purposes. Evangelization was not a religious philosophy but a force for politicization. The Church in BhaاراتVarsh was not independent but accountable to those who paid their upkeep. The concept of 'Partnership in Obedience' that covered the flow of foreign finances to the Church was of a piece with the strategy of Subsidiary Alliances, which the East India Company had employed earlier for furthering and consolidating its conquests. And conversions were nothing but politics by other means.

Phenomenal growth of Christian population revealed through Census

During 20 years (1951-71) the Christian growth in Nagaland was 252%, how? During the same period in Tripura we had 299% growth in 20 years; magic? North-East had 1% (1901) of the

whole; it grew to 8% (1951) and 13% (1971) of the whole; but by 1998 it became 40% of non-southern Christian population.

All copies of Niyogi Committee Report were destroyed so that it can no more be available to public

1982 Niyogi Committee Report was no more available in the Government shops because Christian missionaries had bought all available copies and destroyed them. Even in libraries, it was rarely available because the same missionaries had seen to it that copies were removed, or borrowed and **not returned.**

No point having democracy unless you have mechanism to prevent abuse by those whom you mistakenly respect

It is not enough to have democracy; it is important that we have the will to enforce it and prevent its abuse.

Part - 4

Scars that are very
part of your
existence

But all the while you have been trying
to tell yourself that they never existed

4 - 1

The Face of Muslim BhaaratVarsh

You may need to know this unless you want to invite it again in your life. Do not think that times have changed and it will not happen again. It has been happening in Pakistan and BanglaDesh for past 50 years. It will happen here with demographic changes taking place rapidly.

Inferno that Max Muller spoke of

Now we go back to the pre-Christian British period

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 66

Max Muller: after reading the accounts of the terrors and horrors of Mohammedan rule, **my wonder is that so much of native virtue and truthfulness should have survived.**

How so much of native virtue and truthfulness survived?

How strong may have been the roots?

How great must have been the foundation that the structure survived the onslaught.

What was that foundation?

Hindu social structure, of course.

On what premise that structure was built?

4-Varn system, obviously! They were 4 pillars.

Braahmans were the roots.

Kshatriyas were the trunk.

Vaishyas were the branches.

Shoodrs were leafs of that gigantic tree.

How the nation survived?

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* Max Muller, p 50

Max Muller: When you read the atrocities committed by the Mohammedan conquerors of BhaaratVarsh ... to my mind, is **how any nation could have survived such an inferno, without being turned into devils themselves.**

No, they did not turn into devils themselves until then.

The society bled but there were reservoirs of blood.

Supply was consistent.

Shoodrs also fought side by side with Kshatriyas against the invader Muslims.

They did not yet think of them as the oppressed.

They started thinking of themselves as oppressed much later.

It was not Islam's doing.

Islam came as a tornado, it broke, destroyed, looted, raped, killed but they could not break the backbone of Hindu society.

The reason was simple.

The foundation was strong.

The strength lied in social justice and love of truth.

How they fought back?

Source: *Arise Again, O India!* Francois Gautier, p 46

Will Durant: The Islamic conquest of BhaaratVarsh is **probably the bloodiest story in history**. It is a discouraging tale, for its evident moral is that civilization is a precious good, whose delicate complex order and freedom can at any moment be overthrown by barbarians invading from without and multiplying within.

Hindu society bled but not to death.

It recovered after each blow.

It built in more resistance as time passed.

Hindu bodies were slaved but not the Hindu minds.

As the minds were yet their own the bodies did not give up.

Minds directed the bodies again and again for a new up rise.

And, the bodies rose.

They fought back.

Islam on its last leg

Finally, Islam was exhausted. They had run out of strength. It had happened before to **Alexander** who has been bestowed with the title 'the Great'. He had to take **retreat**. **Selucus** had to offer his daughter in marriage to **ChandrGupt** Maurya to make peace. It was **about to happen** to Islam. ChhatrPati **Shivaaji** had broken the backbone of Mogul Empire. **It was on its last leg**. Hindus were about to heave a sigh of relief.

Games that ChristianBritish played

Destiny had something else on the platter. There was a **Meer Zaffer**. And there was the legendry betrayal in **connivance** with the British. Back door was left ajar for the **ChristianBritish**.

Hindus were **no match** for their cunningness and deception. It was **not** a battle of strength. The rules of the game that ChristianBritish played were **unknown** to the Hindus.

The beginning of the great Fall

This was the 'true' beginning of fall of Hindu society.

With time Hindu minds were enslaved.

Those minds could no more direct the bodies to fight back.

Minds were thoroughly confused.

They were made incapable of distinguishing between the friend and the enemy.

The divide was made

This is when Hindus learned many new things.

They learned that Braahmans were not the original inhabitants of the land.

They were the invaders from some place in the Europe.

They occupied the Hindu land.

They pushed the natives to the down south and to the jungles.

They were illegitimate occupiers.

They were oppressors.

They deserved nothing but hatred and enmity from the natives of the land.

Those who were the true sons of the soil were now known as Dravidians, Aadivaasis, and Shoodrs.

The divide was made!

Those who had mastered the art of deception

This was not a brilliant invention.

This was the same good old trick that liars always employ.

Behind every major lie there has to be an equally major truth.

Lie cannot exist on its own.

It always needs the crutches of the truth.

Truth is what always stands on its own legs even after it has been crippled for too long.

And to make truth cripple you need those who have mastered the art of deception.

Switching the Actors

ChristianBritish did not need to be innovative at all. They had the whole story in their backyard already. Remember what they did in the Americas? How they pushed the natives to the jungles? Look into the history of Canadian natives. Perhaps the same story was repeated again in the Australia and the New Zealand.

All they needed was to switch the actors.

What they had already done to the natives of those countries, those same crimes were reconstructed on paper and Hindu Braahmans were made the villains this time.

Brainwashing the children

Hindu children went to the Christian missionary schools and learned all that new history about their past.

They learned a totally new identity of their own heritage.

An identity that was almost no identity at all!

Slowly they learned to identify themselves as the subordinates of their white skin masters.

The process went on, repeated generation after generation.

This became the gospel truth now.

Hindus passed on the same to their children.

Finally the Sun set

Then came a time when Hitler broke the backbone of mighty British Empire, and the Sun which never set for the Empire was now gradually making its return to the darkness of night.

But by now new crops had been harvested

The worthiest stooge was the one who called himself 'a Christian by education, a Muslim by inclination and a Hindu by accident'.

This was actually the description of a **crossbred** hotchpotch who knew **not** his roots.

The legacy goes on

He became the Prime Minister and the dynasty he formed [masked as democracy] continued the legacy of fabricating history.

NCERT textbooks

NCERT National Council for Educational Research & Training

Source: *Eminent Historians*, Arun Shourie, p 107 quoting from NCERT textbook

"Despite the pressure of a section of the orthodox theologians," the eminent historian Satish Chandra assures the Class XI student, **"this policy of broad toleration was maintained during the Sultanate."**

Let us see what eminent historian Satish Chandra describes as policy of broad toleration.

Glimpses of the Policy of broad Toleration

Source: *Arise Again, O India!* p 46 quoting from *Histoire de l'Inde*, p 222

"From the time when Muslims started arriving, around 632 AD," remarks Alain Danielou (French historian), "the history of BhaaratVarsh becomes **a long monotonous series of murders, massacres, spoliations, destructions.** It is, as usual, in the name of "a holy war" (***Zihaad**) of their faith, of **their sole God**, that the **Barbarians have destroyed civilizations, wiped-out entire races.**" "Mahmoud Ghazni", continues Danielou "was an early example of Muslim ruthlessness, **burning** in 1018 of the temples of Mathura, **razing** Kannauz to the ground and **destroying** the famous temple of Somnaath, sacred to all Hindus. His successors were as ruthless as Ghazni: in 103 w.f (sic.) the holy city of Benaaras was **razed** to the ground, its marvelous temples destroyed, its magnificent palaces wrecked." "Indeed, the **Muslim policy vis-a-vis BhaaratVarsh**", concludes Danielou "**seems to have been a conscious systematic destruction of everything that was beautiful, holy, refined.**"

Question is: did our eminent historian continue well with the legacy of intellectual fraud in form of historical fabrication?

More importantly, why his peers at JNU and AMU kept quiet, tacitly supporting the fabrication, and giving it their stamp of approval?

Were they all in this conspiracy together?

Was it a planned conspiracy?

Not a single Hindu temple from Surat to Delhi

Source: *Ayodhya: an unhappy refusal by Muslims*, MV Kamath, The Free Press Journal, Mumbai, 17 July 2003, Editorial page p 4

A British traveler who journeyed from **Surat to Delhi** in the 18th century has recorded that throughout his journey he had **never seen a single Hindu temple.**

From Surat to Delhi about thousand kilometers, it was not a small distance and yet there was not a single Hindu temple!

What happened to those temples?

Temple destructions, disgracing Hindu gods would have come last.

You can visualize for yourself what would have preceded it.

Muslims would not have reached to that stage without genocide, rape, loot, wholesale destruction.

Is this a policy of broad toleration?

Immense contribution by Late Shri Sita Ram Goel

Source: *Eminent Historians*, Arun Shourie, p 107

Fortunately, the intrepid Sita Ram Goel has set out four hundred pages of extracts and evidence from the leading Islamic historians of those days in his decisive work, *Hindu Temples: What Happened to Them, The Islamic Evidence, Volume II*. Even a **small sample** from that mountain of evidence will be sufficient to indicate how much it is that **our eminent historians conceal.**

Well, I shall further reduce that small sample of Arun Shourie and present to you only a part of it and let you get the feel.

Remember these samples will be culled out of historical documentations made by the historians of Islam in those bygone days when these incidences took place.

I am sure Satish Chandra would have read them.

If he had not then how did he manage to acquire the recognition as an expert on medieval history of BhaaratVarsh?

Testimonies of Historians of Islam

Documented by Muslim historians of yesteryears which NCERT textbooks tried to hide from students

AD 1210-1236 Sultan Shamsu'd-Din Altutmish

Source: Taarikh-i-Mubaarak Shaahi of Yaahya bin Ahmad bin Abdu'llaah Sirhindi. Also: Tabqat-i-Akbari. Also: Muntakhabu't-Tawarikh. Also: Taarikh-I-Firuz Shaahi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 111-112

He uprooted the statue of Bikramaajit together with all other statues and images. Brought them to the capital where they were laid before the Jami'Masjid **for being trodden under foot by the people.**

AD 1290-1296 Sultan Jalaalu'd-Din Khalji

Source: Taarikh-I-Firuz Shaahi of Ziaau'd-Din Barani. Also: Nizaamu'd-Din Ahmad bin Muhammad Muquim al-Harbi. Also: Tabaquat-I-Akbari, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 107-108 [Location: Jhain (Raajasthaan: Northwest BhaaratVarsh)]

In the year AH 689 (AD 1290), the Sultan led an army to Ranthambhor. He took... Jhain, destroyed the idol-temples and **broke and burned the idols**

Location: Vidisha (Madhya Pradesh: Central BhaaratVarsh)

The idols were laid before the Badaaun gate
for true believers to tread upon

tread on set one's foot down on top of [Oxford Dictionary, p 1973]

AD 1296-1316 Sultan Alaau'd-Din Khalji

Source: Taarikh-I-Firuz Shaahi. Also: Tabaquat-I-Akbari. Also: Mulla Abdul Quaadir Badauni's Muntakhabu't-Tawarikh, who **adds** that a **mosque was constructed at the temple site**, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 108 [Location: Somnaath (Gujaraat: mid-west BhaaratVarsh)]

And the idol... was carried to Delhi where **it was laid for people to tread upon**

As we see above they all considered it a matter of pride that Hindu gods must be walked over by Muslims.

These are not the accounts of hostile historians of later years.

These are the documented records of those days and by their own historians.

They also do not fail to mention that Hindu temples were broken and Muslim mosques were raised in their place.

AD 1351-1388 Sultan Firuz Shah Tughlaq

Source: Taarikh-i-Firuz Shaahi of Shamsu'd-Din bin Siraaju'd-Din, a courtier of Firuz Shah, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 108 [Location: Puri (Orissa: mid-east BhaaratVarsh)]

There was a stone idol which infidels called Jagannaath. Sultan Firoz, in emulation of Mahmoud Subuktigin, having rooted up the idol, carried it away to Delhi **where he placed it in an ignominious position.**

Emulate match or surpass (a person or achievement), typically by imitation [p 605] **Ignominious** deserving or causing public disgrace or shame [Oxford Dictionary, p 909]

Reading the name of Subuktigin, I remembered having read some story about him in my childhood days. While I cannot remember the story fully well but I have very clear memory that the story created a very favorable impression on my mind, Subuktigin being a very compassionate person. Now that I read here Sultan Firoz was emulating Subuktigin, it tells me that Subuktigin was no kind man as I was given to understand. He was simply yet another barbarian of his class.

The point I am trying to make here is how much we have been kept in dark.

It is not only that history has been suppressed from us but also that opposite image has been carefully cultivated in our minds, so that we live in a make-believe world far from reality.

This is a very dangerous game, you realize it or not.

Source: Taarikh-i-Firuz Shaahi of Shamsu'd-Din bin Siraaju'd-Din, a courtier of Firuz Shah, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 108-9 [Location: Jajnnagar (Orissa: mid-east BhaaratVarsh)]

Victorious standards set out ... destruction of **idols, slaughter** of the enemies of Islam ... **wherever** there were temples and **idols** in that area; they were **trampled under the hoofs of the horses of Mussalmaans**

hoofs the horny part of the foot of an ungulate animal, especially a horse [p 881] **slaughter** the killing of a large number of people or animals in a **cruel** or violent way; massacre [Oxford p 1749]

So, you understand now, who all are the enemies of Islam, as described by them in their own words.

Hindus did not go out to trouble them.

Muslims came to slaughter Hindus perceiving us their enemy.

They hold the same view till today, believe it or not.

If only you keep your eyes and ears open, and stop having blind faith on your guides, you may see little more of light.

Among these deceptive guides, you can count the English media and their vernacular editions, your teachers, and your preachers.

The media loves to misguide you out of their commitment towards FakeSecularism.

Teachers misguide you out of their ignorance, or out of fear of loosing their jobs, or on account of their commitment towards fraudulent Secularism.

Your spiritual gurus misguide you out of their ignorance, or on purpose to appear as if they are great souls!

Ground reality is that they all lie to you, and make you live in a make-believe world of their own, which is certainly not 'your' real world!

Source: Sirat-Firuz Shaahi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 109 [Location: Puri (Orissa: mid-east BhaaratVarsh)]

Allah, who is the **only true God** and **has no other emanation**, endowed the king of Islam with the strength to **destroy this ancient shrine** on the eastern seacoast and to plunge it into the sea and after its destruction, he ordered the **nose of Jagannaath to be perforated** and **disgraced it** by casting it down to the ground. They dug out other idols ... for being laid in front of the mosques ... and stretched them in front of the portals of **every mosque, so that the body and the side of images may be trampled** at the time of ascent and descent, entrance and exit, by the **shoes on the feet of the Muslims.**

Emanation (in various mystical traditions) a being or force, which is a manifestation of God [Oxford Dictionary, p 601]

Muslims perceived Allah as the only true God, for them

Hindu gods were all false gods, deserve to be disgraced and eliminated from the face of the earth.

Now let us proceed to see what Sultan Firuz Shah Tughlaq himself writes about his noble deeds. These are from his memoirs not from another historian's records.

Source: Futuhat-i-Firuz Shaahi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 109 [Location: Delhi and its surroundings]

In Futuhat-i-Firuz Shaahi written by Sultan Firuz Shah Tughlaq himself, he records how he decreed that ... **idol-temples** had been **demolished** and **mosques** and pulpit **built** and exalted ... On the steps he took for **erasing idolatry** in Delhi and its surroundings, Sultan Firuz Shah records: The Hindus and idol-worshippers had agreed to pay the **money for toleration** (zar-i-zimmiya) and had consented to the poll **tax** (jizaya) in return for which they and their **families enjoyed security** ...

Does it not sound as if Hindus were living in a Mafia State governed by Muslims, as they were asked to pay tax for their safety!

More than that they were asked to pay money for being tolerated to be living, as if Hindus were not worthy of living!

Source: Futuhat-i-Firuz Shaahi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 110 [Location: Delhi and its surroundings]

Under divine guidance I destroyed these edifices and **I killed** those leaders of infidelity ... until **this abuse was entirely abolished**. Their **women and children** also went out in palanquins and carts. There they assembled in thousands and performed

idol-worship... when intelligence of this came to my ears **my religious feelings prompted me at once** to put a stop to this scandal and offence to the religion of Islam. On the day of the assembly **I went there in person** and I ordered ... **put to death ... I destroyed their idol-temples and instead thereof raised mosques... where** infidels and idolaters worshipped idols, Mussalman now, by God's mercy, perform their devotions to the **true God** ... I sent some persons there to destroy the idol temple and put a stop to their pernicious incitements to error.

Palanquin Palankeen Paalki (in BhaaratVarsh and the East) a covered litter for one passenger, consisting of a large box carried on two horizontal poles by four or six bearers [p 1335] **pernicious** having a harmful effect, especially in a gradual or subtle way [Oxford Dictionary, p 1383]

The point may be noted here that Sultan Firoz Shah himself records that he considered it a matter of religious dictate that he should destroy Hindu places of worship and kill Hindus.

He has put on record himself that he did it all under divine guidance.

This is all about Zihaad but today people have started fabricating a new definition of Zihaad, which is to fight against inner evils.

Lying has become the norm not the exception.

Interestingly, lying is more rampant among the professionals raised by ChristianBritish system.

Historians are the most socially respected liars.

Lawyers are notorious for lying but they do it legally.

Hindu legendary love for truth that survived in Hindu society for over two millenniums with influence of ancient Hindu education system, that love for truth has now disappeared in thin air with 170 years of ChristianEnglish education in our country.

Such is the effect of bad company Hindus were forced to keep.

The irony is that we have been taught, and we have learned, to respect that ChristianBritish education system, and at the same time, loath our ancient Hindu education system.

Look, how well we have advanced leaving our ancestors behind!

And today we are proud of it.

Source: Futuhat-i-Firuz Shaahi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 110-111. Location: Gohana (Haryana: northern BhaaratVarsh)

Some Hindus had erected a **new idol-temple** in the village of Kohana ... I ordered that ... put **to death** ... I also ordered that the infidel **books**, the **idols** ... **publicly burnt** ... **no** zimmi could follow such wicked practices in a Mussalman country.

Sultan Firuz Shah Tughlaq himself writes about his killings and burning but our fraudster historians do not know how to read them.

Source: Taarikh-i-Firishta by Muhammad Qaasim Hindu Shah Firishta, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 111. Location: Nagarkot, Kangra Himanchal Pradesh: northern BhaaratVarsh

Name of Nagarkot ... changed to that of **Mahomedabad** ... **broke** the idols of Nagarkot, and mixing the fragments with pieces of **cow's flesh**, filled bags with them and caused them to be **tied round the necks of Braahmans** ... *he* sent the image of Nowshaba to **Mecca**, to be thrown on

the road, that it might be **trodden under foot by pilgrims ...**

Cow's flesh was tied round necks of Braahmans ~ images of Hindu gods were sent to Mecca so Hajj pilgrims can crush them under their feet.

Also Hindu places were renamed with Muslim names.

They were here to eliminate Hinduism not only to loot, rape and destroy.

They knew it very well the reverence amongst Hindus for cow, and yet they did it because they wanted to humiliate Hindus and Hinduism.

They were not here to conquer the nation; they were here to eliminate Hinduism from the face of the earth.

AD 1375-1378 Sultan Alaau'd-Din Mujaahid Shah Bahmani

Source: Taarikh-i-Firishta, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 115. Location: Vijay Nagar (Karnaataka: southwest BhaaratVarsh)

He broke down many temples of idolaters and **laid waste the country... after** which he hastened to Beejanuggur ... on which stood a temple ... it was much venerated by the Hindus ... the **King considering its destruction a religious obligation** ascended to the hill and having razed the edifice ...

As the historian records, the Sultan considered it his religious obligation. This is not fiction. Qur'an demands it and Prophet Mohammed did it himself setting the examples for his followers to repeat.

AD 1389-1412 Sultan Nasiru'd-Din Mahmood Shah Tughlaq

Source: Taarikh-i-Muhammadi of Muhammad Bihamad Khani, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 112

Got founded a city named **Muhammadabad** ... at a place known as Kalpi which was a home of accursed infidels and he **got mosques raised** in place of temples for the worship of Allah. He got palaces, tombs and schools constructed, and ended the wicked ways of the infidels, and promoted the **Shariat of Prophet Mohammed**.

Sharia Shariah Shariat Islamic canonical law based on the teachings of the **Qur'an** and the traditions of the Prophet (**Hadith** and **Sunna**) prescribing both religious and secular duties and sometimes retributive penalties for lawbreaking. It has generally been supplemented by legislation adapted to the conditions of the day, though the manner in which it should be applied in modern states is a subject of dispute between Islamic fundamentalists and modernists. [p 1710] **Hadith** a collection of traditions containing sayings of the prophet Muhammad which, with accounts of his daily practice (the Sunna), constitute the major source of guidance for Muslims apart from the Qur'an [Oxford Dictionary, p 824]

AD 1422-1435 Sultan Ahmad Shah-I Waali Bahmani

Source: Taarikh-i-Firishta, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 115. Location: Vijay Nagar (Karnaataka: southwest BhaaratVarsh)

Wherever he went put to death men, **women and children, without mercy, contrary to the contract made** between his uncle and predecessor Mahomed Shah and the Rays of Beejanuggur. **Whenever the number of slain amounted to twenty thousands he halted three days and made a festival celebration of the bloody event.** He broke down, also, the idolatrous temples and **destroyed the**

colleges of Braahmans. During these operations, a body of five thousand Hindus, urged by desperation at the destruction of their religious buildings and at the insults offered to their deities, united in taking an oath to sacrifice their lives in an attempt to kill the king, as the author of all their sufferings.

Look at the religious fanaticism where a contract has no value and where human lives have none at all.

They were not killing Hindu soldiers but Hindu civilians, old men, women, children, and they were celebrating the occasion as the count reached 20,000 every time.

Satish Chandra calls it 'policy of broad toleration'. The beauty is that such historians are respected by us.

We must not forget that when we respect false virtues we imbibe them in our life, in our thought and action.

AD 1436-1469 Sultan Mahmoud Khalji of Maalwa

Source: Tabqat-i-Akbari, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 114. Location: ChittaurGarh (Raajasthaan: northwest BhaaratVarsh)

He started **laying waste the country ...**
he started **constructing mosques after**
demolishing temples

phrase **lay waste to** [or lay something (to) waste] completely destroy
[Oxford Dictionary, p 2085]

*AD 1440-1457 Sultan Mahmoud bin Ibraahim
Sharqi*

Source: Tabqat-i-Akbari, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 114. Location: Orissa - mid-east BhaaratVarsh

To Orissa with **intention of Zihaad ... and**

laid them waste, and destroyed the temples after demolishing them ...

Zihaad Jihad a holy war undertaken by Muslims against unbelievers; origin from Arabic jihad, literally 'effort', expressing, in Muslim thought, **struggle on behalf of God and Islam** [Oxford Dictionary, p 982]

Islamic historian specifically mentions it was with the intention of Zihaad, that is to say, an act solely on behalf of Allah and Islam.

*AD 1463-1482 Sultan Muhammad Shah-II
Bahmani*

Source: Taarikh-i-Firishta, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 115-116. Location: Komdapalli (Aandhr Pradesh: southeast BhaaratVarsh)

The king having gone to view the fort broke down the idolatrous temple and **killed some Braahmans**, who officiated at it, **with his own hands, as a point of religion**. He then gave orders for a **mosque to be erected on the foundation** of the temple ... Khwaaja Mahmood Gawan now represented, that as his majesty had **slain some infidels with his own hands**, he might fairly assume the **title of Ghazy**, an appellation of which **he was very proud**. Mahmood Shah was the first of his race who had slain a Braahman ...

Here we see the incentive to sultans for slaying Hindu priests with his own hands. It entitles them the honorable Islamic title of Ghazy.

For 50 years of my life I had been kept in dark by my educators and preachers that a religion can ask humans to become barbarians. They probably lied to me all along, or they may have been ignorant themselves.

Ghazy Ghazi (often as an honorific title) a Muslim fighter against nonMuslims [Oxford Dictionary, p 771]

AD 1489-1517 Sultan Sikandar Lodi

Source: Ahmad Yadgar's Taarikh-i-Shaahi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 112. Location: Nagarkot (Himaanchal Pradesh: northern BhaaratVarsh)

Sultan Sikandar **led a very pious life ... Islam** was regarded very highly in his reign. The infidels could not muster the courage to worship idols or bathe in the (sacred) streams. During **his holy reigns** idols were hidden underground. The stone (idol) of Nagarkot, which had misled the (whole) world, was brought and **handed over to butchers so that they might weigh meat with it.**

So now we learn a new definition of religious piousness.

A Muslim person is considered pious if he uses Hindu god images for weighing animal meat.

How many more examples do we need for Aasuric culture?

Source: Tabqat-i-Akbari, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 113, Location: Mandrail (Madhya Pradesh: central BhaaratVarsh)

The Sultan got the **temples demolished and mosques erected** in their stead ... and then ... he led an expedition towards the fort of Udit Nagar ... he got the temples demolished and mosques constructed in their stead ...

This was at Mathura, the birthplace of Bhagawaan Shri Krishn.

Hindu bathing at river bank of Mathura had to lose his limbs and was punished severely under Sultan Sikandar Lodi's regime, even if he/she did it unknowingly by mistake, as sometimes pilgrims arriving from far off places might do without knowing.

Source: Sheikh Rizqu'llaah Mushtaaqi's Waqiat-i-Mushtaaqi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 112-113

He got the temples of infidels destroyed.
No trace of infidelity was left at the place in Mathura where the infidels used to take bath ... **if a Hindu went there for bathing even by mistake he was made to lose his limbs and punished severely.**

Look at the extent to which religious and cultural subrogation was done with an intent to eliminate Hinduism.

Sultan Sikandar Lodi utterly destroyed places of Hindu worship leaving no trace of them.

Hindus were not allowed to shave head or beard.

Hindu god idols used by Muslim butchers for weighing meat.

Source: Abu'llaah's Taarikh-i-Da'udi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 113. Mathura (Uttar Pradesh: northern BhaaratVarsh)

He was so zealous a Mussalmaan that he **utterly destroyed diverse places of worship** of the infidels and **left not a vestige remaining of them.** He **entirely ruined the shrines of Mathura**, the mine of heathenism ... their **stone images were given to the butchers to serve them as meat-weight**, and all the Hindus in Mathura were **strictly prohibited from shaving their heads and beards**, and performing their ablutions

Vestige a trace of something that is disappearing or no longer exists; (usually with negative) the smallest amount [p 2057] **Heathen** Chiefly derogatory a person who does not belong to a widely held religion (especially **one who is not a Christian, Jew, or Muslim**) as regarded by those who do. A follower of a polytheistic religion: a pagan. Heathen people collectively, especially in biblical use) those who did not worship the God of Israel. An unenlightened person; a person **regarded as lacking culture or moral principles** [Oxford Dictionary, p 848]

From this description given by Oxford Dictionary, it would appear that on one side we have *heathens* like Hindus who lack in culture and moral principles, and on the other side we have great religions like Christianity and Islam.

Source: Abu'laah's Taarikh-i-Da'udi, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 113-4. Location: Dholpur (Madhya Pradesh: central BhaaratVarsh)

Erected a mosque **on the site of** an idol-temple ...

AD 1525-30 Baabar wrote in his memoir that he killed Hindus for sake of Islam to become a Ghazi

Source: *Taarikh-i-Baabari*, translated by Mrs A S Beveridge titled Babur Nama, Delhi 1970 reprint, pp 574-5, quoted by Koenraad Elst, Ayodhya, p 168

For Islam's sake, I wandered in the wild, prepared for war with unbelievers and Hindus, resolved myself to meet a martyr's death, **Thanks be to Allah! A Ghaazi I became.**

Ghaazi Ghazi (often as an honorific title) a Muslim fighter against nonMuslims [Oxford Dictionary, p 771] It has the same meaning as Mujaahid, though it is often used in the more precise sense of 'one who has effectively killed infidels with his own hands' [Koenraad Elst, p 168 n] **Baabar Babur** (1483-1530), first Mogul emperor of India (BhaaratVarsh) c.1525-30, descendant of Tamerlane; born Zahir ad-Din Muhammad. He invaded BhaaratVarsh c.1525 and conquered the territory from Oxus to Patna [Oxford Dictionary, p 122] **Mogul Mugal Mughal** a member of the Muslim dynasty of Mongol origin founded by the successors of Tamerlane, which ruled much of BhaaratVarsh from the 16th to the 19th century [Oxford Dictionary, p 1190] **Tamerlane Tamburlaine Timur Lenk Lame Timur** (1336-1405) Mongol ruler of Samarkand 1369-1405; Tartar name Timur Lenk ('lame Timur'). Leading a force of Mongols and Turks, he

conquered Persia, northern BhaaratVarsh, and Syria, and established his capital at Samarkand. He was the ancestor of the Mogul dynasty in BhaaratVarsh [Oxford Dictionary, p 1892]

*AD 1556-1605 Akbar Jalaalu'd-Din Muhammad Akbar Paadshaah **Ghazi***

Source: Muntakhabu't-Tawarikh, researched by Sita Ram Goel, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 114. Location: Nagarkot (Himaanchal Pradesh: northern BhaaratVarsh)

And black cows, to the number of 200, to which they pay boundless respect, and **actually worship**, and present to the temple, which they look upon as an asylum, and let lose there, were **killed by the Mussalman ... through** their zeal and **intense hatred of idolatry** they filled their **shoes full of blood and threw it on the doors and walls of the temple**

Akbar's soldiers killed 200 cows, filled their shoes with cow blood, threw at temple doors and walls.

And, my school textbooks called Akbar as great. Oxford Dictionary calls him Akbar the Great.

So we now know how great people work.

Akbar Jalaaludin Muhammad (1542-1605), Mogul Emperor of India 1556-1605, known as Akbar the **Great**. Akbar expanded the Mogul empire to incorporate northern BhaaratVarsh and established an efficient but **enlightened** administration [Oxford Dictionary, p 38]

AD 1658-1707 Aurangzeb

Satish Chandra writes in NCERT textbook that Aurangzeb's zeal for temple destruction abated after 1679 but then the official records published by Aurangzeb's administration tell us a different story.

Source: Akhbaaraat of Aurangzeb, researched by Sir Jadunath Sarkar, History

of Aurangzib, Volume III, Orient Longman, New Delhi, 1972 reprint, quoted by Arun Shourie, pp 134-5

25 May 1679 Location: Jodhpur (Raajasthaan: northwest BhaaratVarsh)

Khan-I-Jahaan Bahaadur returned from Jodhpur after demolishing its temples, and bringing with himself **several cartloads of idols**. The Emperor ordered that the idols, which were mostly of gold, silver, brass, copper or stone and adorned with jewels, should be cast in the quadrangle of the Court and **under the steps of the Jama Mosque for being trodden upon**.

Jan-Feb **1680** Location: Udaipur (Raajasthaan: northwest BhaaratVarsh)

The grand temple in front of the MahaaRaana's mansion (at Udaipur) ~ **one of the wonderful buildings of the age**, which had cost the infidels much money ~ was destroyed and its images broken.

24 January **1680** Location: Udaipur (Raajasthaan: northwest BhaaratVarsh)

On 24 January the Emperor went to view the lake UdaiSaagar and ordered all the three **temples** on its banks **to be pulled down**.

29 January **1680** Location: Udaipur (Raajasthaan: northwest BhaaratVarsh)

On 29 January Hasan Ali Khan reported that 172 other temples in the environs of Udaipur had been **demolished**.

22 February **1680** Location: ChittaurGarh (Raajasthaan: northwest BhaaratVarsh)

On 22 February the Emperor went to look

at Chittor, and by his order the **63 temples of the place were destroyed.**

2 August **1680** Location: Mewar (Rajasthan: northwest BharatVarsh)

Temple of Someshwar in western Mewar ordered to be **destroyed.**

10 August **1680** Location: Amber

Abu Turab returned to Court and reported that he had **pulled down** 66 temples in Amber.

September **1687** Location: Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh: southeast BharatVarsh)

On the capture of Golkunda, the Emperor appointed Abdur Rahim Khan as Censor of the city of Hyderabad with orders to put down infidel practices (heretical) innovations and **destroy the temples and build mosques on their sites.**

Middle of **1698** Location: Beejapur (Karnataka: southwest BharatVarsh)

Hamid-ud-din Khan Bahaadur who had been deputed to **destroy the temple of Beejapur** and **build a mosque** (there), returned to Court after carrying the order out and was praised by the Emperor.

Aurangzeb to Zulfiqaar Khan and Mogul Khan: **The demolition of a temple is possible at any time, as it cannot walk away from its place.**

Source: Kalimat-i-Aurangzib

Aurangzeb to Ruhullah Khan: The houses

of this country (MahaaRaashtr) are exceedingly strong and built solely of stone and iron. The hatchet men of the Government in the course of my marching do not get sufficient strength and power (i.e., time) to **destroy and raze the temples** of the infidels that meet the eye on the way; you should appoint an orthodox inspector (*daaroga*) who may **afterwards destroy them at leisure and dig up their foundations.**

1 January **1705** Location: Pandharpur (MahaaRaashtr: southwest BhaaratVarsh)

The Emperor, summoning Muhammad Khalil and Khidmat Raai, the *daaroga* of hatchet men ... ordered them to demolish the temple of Pandharpur, and to **take the butchers of the camp there and slaughter cows in the temple. It was done.**

Aurangzeb's religious obsession for destruction of places of Hindu worship was so strong and deep rooted that he would want his people to return and systematically eliminate such places of worship in leisure, when it is not possible during the conquest.

Slaughtering cows in temple was deliberate considering Hindus revered cows.

Aurangzeb (1618-1707), Mogul emperor of Hindustan 1658-1707, who increased the Mogul empire to its greatest extent [Oxford Dictionary, p 111]

If what we see was the reduced intensity as Satish Chandra tells the students, then what would have been the heightened intensity that our eminent historian attempted to hide?

If I keep filling the pages with killings, rapes and loots it may become a voluminous book by itself.

My objective is not to retell history already told, but to make you aware that history exists in documented form beyond the fabrications by so-called Secularists (fraudsters ~ I hesitate calling them historians), and it is for you to reach out for such documentations.

If you don't, they will only brainwash you with loads of lies.

Source: *Hindu Temples: what happened to them*, Sita Ram Goel, p 246

Mahmoud of Ghazni robbed and **burnt** 1,000 temples at Mathura and 10,000 in and around Kannauz. One of his successors, Ibraahim, **demolished** 1,000 temples each in Hindustan (Ganga-Yamuna Doaab) and Maalwa. Muhammad Ghorī **destroyed** another 1,000 at Vaaraanasi. Qutbu'd-Din Aibak **employed elephants** for pulling down 1,000 temples in Delhi. Ali I Aadil Shah of Beejaapur **destroyed** 200 to 300 temples in Karnaataka. A **Sufi**, Qayim Shah, destroyed 12 temples at Tiruchirapalli. Such exact or approximate counts, however, **available only in few** cases. Most of the time we are informed: **Many strong temples which would have remained unshaken even by the trumpets blown on the Day of Judgment were leveled with the ground when swept by the winds of Islam.**

Source: *Hindu Temples: what happened to them*, Sita Ram Goel, p 244

Starting with Al-Biladhuri who wrote in Arabic in the second half of the **ninth century**, and coming down to Syed Mahmudul Hasan who wrote in English in

the fourth decade of the **twentieth**, we have cited from **80 histories** spanning a period of more than **1,200 years**. Our citations mention **61 kings, 63 military commanders** and **14 Sufis** who **destroyed** Hindu temples in 154 localities, big and small, spread from Khurasan in the **West** to Tripura in the **East**, and from Transoxiana in the **North** to Tamil Naadu in the **South**, over a period of 1,100 years.

RaajPaal Hindi Dictionary describes **Sufis** as those who believe that God can be attained by **Tapasya** and **love** [p 845]

Isn't it quite interesting that among those who destroyed Hindu temples, there were 14 different Sufis?

Muslim Sufis (Saints) did their Tapasya (penance) and offered their love for attaining Islamic God by destroying Hindu temples!

Many Hindus respect Muslim Sufis, we see these things in **Hindi movies and television serials, and this is how a respectful attitude is created towards them.**

So much for Hindu self-deceptive nobility, or the motive of those who propagate such respect through use (or abuse?) of media!

Actually, they are not Hindus who do such things, they only carry Hindu names for convenience, their inner belief system has changed long ago.

But they do leave a good impression on Hindu masses about Muslim Sufis through their creative media use/abuse.

Source: *Hindu Temples: what happened to them*, Sita Ram Goel, p 244

In most cases, the destruction of temples was followed by erection of mosques, madarsas and khanquahs, etc., **on the temple sites and, frequently, with temple materials. Allah was thanked**

every time for enabling the iconoclast concerned to render service to the **religion of Muhammad** by means of this pious performance.

Allah was thanked every time Hindus were killed, temples were destroyed ~ these were their offerings to the God of Islam!

Source: *Medieval India*, Satish Chandra, National Council of Educational Research and Training, Delhi, 1996, for Class XI students, quoted by Arun Shourie, p 119

Satish Chandra: Thus, there was **no** atmosphere of **confrontation** between the **Sikhs** and the **Mughal** rulers during the period. **Nor** was there any **systematic persecution** of the Hindus, and hence, **no occasion** for the **Sikhs** or any group or sect to stand forth as the champion of the Hindus against religious persecution. The conflict between the Gurus and the Mughal rulers was **personal** and **political rather than religious**. Despite **some** display of orthodoxy by Shah Jahaan at the beginning of his reign and a **few acts** of intolerance, such as demolition of **'new'** temples, he was **not narrow** in his outlook, which was further tempered towards the end of his reign by the influence of his liberal son, **Dara**.

Well, Dara never got to live long.

As it is typical of Muslim ruler families, brothers very often love to kill each other. Aurangzeb did the job. He killed Dara Shikoh and Muraad, and he got one of them fed to wild hungry dogs, or something like that.

But more importantly, Shah Jahaan has been depicted as a highly

romantic person, one who spent most of his time with his wife Mumtaaz Mahal. That he rarely found time for the business of his empire that he inherited from his father Jahaangir. Besides this, we are told over and over again that after Mumtaaz Mahal died he built world famous Taj Mahal.

His romantic nature has been splashed over our minds so very often through history, movies, radio, dramas, and all sort of things that we perceived him as a very loving, caring person, and it never crossed our minds that he could have had yet another character, which could have anything to do with orthodoxy and intolerance towards Hindus.

Satish Chandra says Shah Jahaan displayed some orthodoxy, and he did a few acts of intolerance.

Think what would have been the real magnitude of his orthodoxy and intolerance?

Consider Satish Chandra's special agenda for significantly down playing such facts all the time.

We had been kept totally ignorant of the other side of Shah Jahaan's character. Image building with the aid of media played a substantial role in it.

Satish Chandra seems to have let the cat out of the bag by sheer mistake.

Moral of the story: bigger the liar, greater the expert in the intellectual circles of universities of fame across the world!

Source: *Hindu Voice*, Nov 2002, p 40

Francois Gautier: The Sikhs, because they had to **defend themselves against the terrible persecution by the Muslims**, cut themselves from the mainstream **spirit of Hindu tolerance** from where they originally came.

Prophet Muhammad Showed them the Way

Destruction of temples by the Prophet himself

Source: *Eminent Historians*, Arun Shourie, p 117

In an entire chapter, Chapter 16 of his book, Goel recalls **instances after instances set out with great pride by the biographers of the Prophet, describing the destruction of temples by the Prophet himself**. What the Prophet did, is by definition the Sunnah ~ along with Qur'an, it is one of the two principal sources in accordance with which every believer must order his conduct.

Sunna the traditional portion of the Muslim law based on Muhammad's words and acts, accepted (together with the Qur'an) as authoritative by Muslims [Oxford Dictionary, p 1861]

Arabia before and after prophet Muhammad

Source: *Ayodhya: The Case against the Temple*, Koenraad Elst, p 60

When Prophet Mohammed appeared on the scene, Arabia was a **multi cultural country** endowed with pagan shrines, churches, synagogues and Zoroastrian fire-temples. When he died all the nonMuslims had been **converted, expelled or killed and their places of worship laid waste or turned**

into mosques. As he had ordered before his death, **only one religion** remained in Arabia.

Muhammad Mohammed (c. 570-632), Arab prophet and founder of Islam; (additional information) in c. 610 in Mecca he received the first of a series of revelations which, as the Qur'an, became the doctrinal and legislative basis of Islam. In the face of opposition to his preaching he and his small group of supporters were forced to flee to Madina in 622 (the Hegira). Muhammad led his followers into a series of battles against the Meccans. In 630 Mecca capitulated, and by his death Muhammad had **united** most of Arabia [Oxford Dictionary, p 1213]

Prophet's entry into the Kaaba

Source: *Ayodhya: The Case against the Temple*, Koenraad Elst, p 60

The truly crucial event was Prophet's entry into the Kaaba, the central shrine of Arabia's native religion, where **he** and his nephew **Ali smashed the 360 idols with their own hands.**

Kabba (also **Cabba**) a square stone building in the center of the Great Mosque at Mecca, the site most holy to Muslims and towards which they must face when praying. It stands on the site of a pre-Islamic shrine said to have been built by Abraham, and a sacred Black Stone is set in the southeast corner [Oxford Dictionary, p 994]

Islamic account of prophet Muhammad's deeds

Source: *Ayodhya: The Case against the Temple*, Koenraad Elst, pp 60-61

The Islamic account itself establishes that the **model man Prophet Mohammed desecrated the Kabba and forcibly turned it into a mosque, setting an example**, particularly, for Mahmoud Ghaznavi, Aurangzeb and the Taliban to emulate. In reality, Mohammed's conduct

is the definitional standard of what it is to be a good Muslim.

Source: *Ayodhya: The Case against the Temple*, Koenraad Elst, p 62

It is the contents of their religion, which makes them cross the line between their own goodness and the evil of their terrorist acts.

Source: *Ayodhya: The Case against the Temple*, Koenraad Elst, p 63

One of the best-documented defects of any religion is the role of Islamic doctrine in the destruction of other people's cultural treasures, rivalled only by Christianity in some of its phases, and surpassed only in the 20th century by communism.

Allah had decreed that

Source: *Eminent Historians*, Arun Shourie, p 118

In a word, what was done was no fortuitous 'error'. **Allah had decreed that the houses of worship of other religions be destroyed. The Prophet had carried out the command at every occasion** on which it had been necessary and prudent to do so. And, what the Prophet did is the Sunnah, which, along with the Qur'an, is the model on which believers are to order their conduct. **That is what these rulers, invaders, and 'saints' did. That is what they and their historians said they were doing. And that is precisely what our eminent historians conceal.**

Quotes from Qur'an

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 2, Aayat 193, al-Bakra ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

2:193 Fight against them until idolatry is no more and Allah's religion reigns supreme.

Sura [Surah, Soora] a chapter or section of Qur'an Oxford, p 1866

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 2, Aayat 216, al-Bakra ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

2:216 Fighting is obligatory for you, much as you dislike it.

Source: Qur'an Majeed, p 231, Soora 4 an-Nisa, Aayat 56, revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

4:56 Lo! Those who disbelieve Our revelations, We shall expose them to Fire. As often as their skins are consumed We shall exchange them for fresh skins that they may taste the torment. Lo! Allah is ever Mighty, Wise.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 256 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 12 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:12 I shall cast terror into the hearts of the infidels. Strike off their heads, maim them in every limb

Maim wound or injure (someone) so that part of the body is permanently damaged. Limb arm or leg of a person or four-legged animal, or a bird's wing.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 15-18 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:15-18 It was not you, but Allah, who slew them. It was not you who smote them; Allah smote them so that He might richly reward the faithful.

Slay kill (a person or animal) in a violent way. Smite strike with a firm blow.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 8, Aayat 39, al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:39 Make war on them until idolatry is no more and Allah's religion reigns supreme.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 259 Qur'an Soora 8 Aayat 67 al-Anfaal ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

8:67 It is not for any Prophet to have captives until he has made slaughter in the land...

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 259, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 2-3, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:2-3 Allah will humble the unbelievers... Allah and His apostle are

free from obligation to the idol-worshipper... Proclaim a woeful punishment to the unbelievers.

Woeful characterized by, expressive of, or causing sorrow or *misery*

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 262, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 7, at-Tauba
~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:7 Allah and His apostle repose no trust in idolaters...

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 39, at-Tauba
~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:39 If you do not fight He will punish you sternly and replace you by other men...

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 41, at-Tauba
~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:41 Whether unarmed or well equipped, march on and fight for the cause of Allah, with your wealth and your persons.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255 Qur'an Soora 9 Aayat 73 at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:73 O Prophet! Make war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites. Be harsh with them. Their ultimate abode is Hell, a hapless journey's end.

Hapless (especially of a person) unfortunate

Source: Qur'an Majeed, p 388, Soora 9 At-Tauba, Aayat 111, revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:111 Lo! Allah hath bought from the believers their lives and their wealth because the Garden [*paradise] will be theirs: they shall fight in the way of Allah and shall slay and be slain. It is promise which is binding on Him in the Torah and the Gospel and the Qur'an. Who fulfilleth His covenant better than Allah? Rejoice then in your bargain that ye have made, for that is the supreme triumph.

Torah (in Judaism) the law of God as revealed to Moses and recorded in the first five books of the Hebrew scriptures (the Pentateuch) Oxford Dictionary p 1955

Gospel 1) the teaching or revelation of Christ 2) the record of Christ's life and teachings in the first four books of the New Testament [the four Gospels ascribed to St Matthew, St Mark, St Luke, and St John] Oxford Dictionary p 792

Koran [Quran, Qur'an کورآن] the Islamic sacred book, believed to be the word of God as directed to Muhammad by the archangel Gabriel and written down in Arabic. The Qur'an consists of 114 units

of varying lengths, known as Suras; the first sura is said as part of the ritual prayer. These *touch upon all aspects of human existence*, including matters of doctrine, social organization, and legislation Oxford Dictionary p 1019

Gabriel (In the Bible) the archangel who foretold the birth of Jesus to Virgin Mary (Luke 1:26-38), and who also appeared to Zacharias, father of John the Baptist, and to Daniel; (in Islam) the archangel who revealed the Qur'an to the Prophet Muhammad Oxford Dictionary p 749

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 9, Aayat 123, at-Tauba ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

9:123 Believers! Make war on the infidels who dwell around you. Let them find harshness in you.

Source: *A Hindu View of the World*, N S Rajaram, p 44

Quran 9.123, 29, 5 Surely the worst beasts in God's sight are the unbelievers. O ye who believe! Fight those of the unbelievers and let them find in you harshness. Humiliate the nonMuslims to such an extent that they surrender and pay tribute. Then, when the sacred months are drawn away, slay the idolaters wherever you find them, and take them and confine them, and lie in wait for them at every place of ambush.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 266, Qur'an Soora 22, Aayat 19-21, al-Hazz ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

22:19-21 Garments of fire have been prepared for unbelievers. Scalding (*boiling) water shall be poured upon their heads, melting their skins and that which is in their bellies. They shall be lashed with red iron.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 256 Qur'an Soora 47, Aayat 4-15, Muhammad ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

47:4-15 When you meet the unbelievers in the battlefield strike off their heads and when you have laid them low, bind your captives firmly. Then grant them their freedom or take ransom from them, until war shall lay down her armor. Thus shall you do! Had Allah willed, He could Himself have perished them (without your help); but He has ordained it thus that He might test you, the one by the other. As for those who are slain in the cause of Allah, He will not allow their works to perish. He will vouchsafe them guidance and ennoble their state; He will admit them to the Paradise He has made known to them.

Believers! If you help Allah, he will help you and make you strong. But the unbelievers shall be consigned to perdition (*eternal damnation). Because they have opposed His revelations, He will frustrate their works ... Allah is the protector of the faithful; unbelievers have no protector. Allah will admit those who embrace the true faith to gardens (*paradise) watered by running streams.

The unbelievers take their full of pleasure and eat as the beasts eat; but Hell shall be their home ... They shall abide in Hell forever and drink scalding water, which will tear their bowels.

Perdition (in Christian theology) a state of eternal punishment and damnation into which a sinful and unrepentant person passes after death; penitent feeling or showing sorrow and regret for having done wrong; repentant

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, preface p xv

What has made it particularly alluring for the faithful throughout the ages is something else, namely, the bevy of beautiful virgins who never grow old or lose their charms, and who never tire of providing newer and ever more plentiful pleasures to those who have lived or died for the faith. Lusty and lurid descriptions of paradise comprise a whole corpus of Islamic lore starting with the Qur'an and the Hadis.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 258 Qur'an Soora 48 Aayat 29 al-Fath ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

48:29 Muhammad is Allah's apostle. Those who follow him are ruthless to the unbelievers but merciful to one another.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 262, Qur'an Soora 60, Aayat 4, al-Mumtahana ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

60:4 We renounce you (i.e., the idolaters): enmity and hate shall reign between us until you believe in Allah only..

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 255, Qur'an Soora 66 Aayat 9 at-Tahreem ~ Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

66:9 O Prophet! Make war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites and deal sternly with them. Hell shall be their home, evil their fate.

Source: The Calcutta Qur'an Petition, p 257, Qur'an Soora 69, Aayat 30-33, al-Hakka ~ Allah to Muhammad at Mecca

69:30-33 We shall say, 'Lay hold of him and bind him. Burn him in the fire of Hell, then fasten him with a chain seventy cubits long. For he did not believe in Allah, the Most High.

Constant and consistent behavior pattern for more than eleven hundred years

Source: *Hindu Temples: what happened to them*, Sita Ram Goel, p 255

The evidence presented in this volume, from purely Islamic sources, show that the

destruction of Hindu temples at the hands of Islamized invaders continued for more than **eleven hundred years**, from the middle of the seventh century to the end of the eighteenth. It took place **all over the vast cradle of Hindu culture**, from Sin Kiang in the North to Tamil Naadu in the South, and from Seistan in the West to Assam in the East. All along, the iconoclasts **remained convinced that they were putting into practice the highest tenets of their religion.**

Iconoclast a destroyer of images used in religious worship, in particular; in historical context a supporter of the 8th and the 9th century movement in the Byzantine Church, which sought to abolish the veneration of icons and other religious images – A puritan of the 16th or 17th century [Oxford Dictionary, p 906]

Source: *Hindu Temples: what happened to them*, Sit Ram Goel, p 255

They also saw to it that the **record was kept** of what they prized as a **pious performance**. The language of the record speaks for itself. It leaves no doubt that they **took considerable pride** in what they did.

It is inconceivable that a **constant and consistent behavior pattern**, witnessed for a **long time** and **over a vast area**, can be explained except in terms of a **settled system of belief**, which leaves no scope for second thought.

Looking at the very large number of temples, big and small, destroyed or desecrated or plundered or converted into Muslim monuments, **economic** or **political**

explanations can be only a futile, if not fraudulent, exercise. The explanations are not even plausible.

In fact, it is not at all difficult to locate the **system of belief, which inspired the behavior pattern**. We have only to turn to the **scriptures of Islam, the Qur'an and the Sunnah** of the Prophet, and we run straight into what we are looking for. The principles and the pious precedents, which were practiced and followed by the subsequent swordsmen of Islam are, all of them, there.

The scriptures of Islam do not merely record what happened in the past; they also **prescribe** that what is recorded should be **imitated** by the faithful in the **future**, till the **end of the time**. That is why the swordsmen of Islam who functioned in times much later than that of the Qur'an and the Sunnah, did what they did. It is the **very nature of the scriptures** that they make **permanent** what can otherwise be dated and dismissed as temporary aberrations.

Look at the treatment Hindus received from Muslims. There is so much talk of Hitler but so little talk about these? Hitler did it all only for a short period of time and with him died his deeds. But Prophet Muhammad's deeds lived long after him. For thousand years they were practiced on Hindus on our soil.

Think, why no Hollywood movies

Why is it that we have no Hollywood movies on the subject, whereas there are plenty on Nazi atrocities?

Is it because these were Hindu lives, which were sacrificed?

And that too, those Hindu lives, which gave the humanity the best treatment it could ask for so far documented history has remained to witness that.

A journey of this kind over a prolonged period of thousand years can easily destroy the morale of any race, erode their moral values, denigrate their self-esteem, and corrupt their social structure, if not eliminate them totally.

When I was working on *Arise Arjun Awaken my Hindu Nation*, one of my friend's elder brothers, who is in a very senior position in one large corporation, visited me. During talks he said:

"We respect Jesus, we respect Prophet Muhammad"

We will talk about Jesus elsewhere but as for now let us have a glimpse of Prophet Muhammad's life. You must remember that he is considered as the model man of Islam. **Therefore, expect all Muslims to put their best efforts to become like him.** Let us hear the **biographers** of prophet Muhammad.

Source: Oxford Dictionary, p 824

Hadith (*HadIs) a collection of traditions containing **sayings** of the prophet Muhammad which, with accounts of **his daily practice** (the Sunna), constitute the **major source of guidance for Muslims** apart from the Qur'an.

Source: *Understanding Islam through Hadis*, Ram Swarup, web version

The sources of Islam are two: the Qur'an and the HadIs (Sayings or Traditions) usually called the Sunna (customs), **both having their center in Muhammad.** The **Qur'an contains the Prophet's 'revelations'** (wahy); the **HadIs, all that he did or said, or enjoined, forbade or did not forbid, approved or disapproved.**

Muslim theologians make no distinction between the Qur'an and the HadIs. ... To them, the **HadIs is the Qur'an in action**, revelation made concrete in the life of the

Prophet. **In the Qur'an, Allah speaks through Muhammad; in the Sunna, He acts through him.**

Muhammad's life is a visible expression of Allah's utterances in the Qur'an. God provides the divine principle, Muhammad the living pattern. According to the Qur'an, when Allah and His Apostle have decided a matter, the believer does not have his or her own choice in the matter. Qur'an 33:036

To them [Muslims], morality derives from the Prophet's actions. **The moral is whatever he did. Morality does not determine the Prophet's actions, but his actions determine and define morality. Muhammad's acts were not ordinary acts; they were Allah's own acts.**

Source: The *Calcutta Qur'an Petition*, preface vii

There are six authentic **Hadis** collections: **Bukhaari, Muslim**, Tirmizi, Ibn Majah, Ibn Daaud, Nasaii

Source: *Eminent Historians*, Arun Shourie, p 94

HadIs Saahih **Bukhaari** 82.794-7 & Saahih **Muslim** 4130-7: The Prophet had them caught. He then ordered their hands and legs to be cut off, their **eyes to be branded with heated pieces of iron**. He ordered that the cut hands and legs should not be cauterized **so that they bleed to death**. 'And when they asked for water to drink', records the Hadis, '**they were not given water.**'

Now who were these people and what was their crime? They belonged to tribe Ukl. They had been converted into Islam. After conversion they understood the true nature of Islam a little better. So, they decided to quit. They left Islam. That was their crime.

Source: *Eminent Historians*, Arun Shourie, pp 93-94

HadIs Saahih Bukhaari 84.057: Whosoever changes his Islamic religion **kill him.**

Source: *Eminent Historians*, Arun Shourie, p 93

Qur'an 4.091: Then, if they turn their backs, take them and **slay them wherever you find them.**

Slay kill (a person or animal) in a violent way. Oxford, p 1750

This verse is in relation to those persons who, having accepted Islam went back to their traditional observances.

I have read our preachers condemning Hindus for changing religion, and at the same time, praising Muslims for not doing so.

Well, they seem to be so very ignorant of what would happen to a Muslim if he changed religion.

And out of this ignorance, they in effect glorify Islam saying look, the followers of Islam are so very loyal to their religion!

These preachers do not realize that they are glorifying Adharm.

4 - 2

The Face of
Christian
BhaaratVarsh

Inferno that Max Muller did not speak of

In the last chapter you read about the inferno that Max Muller spoke of. Now let us look at the inferno that Max Muller **did not** speak of.

Was it because he himself a Christian?

What was he trying to say when he said the following and what did he avoid saying that he ought to have.

Source: *INDIA what can it teach us?* F Max Muller, p 66

Truthfulness is a luxury, perhaps the greatest, and let me assure you, the most expensive luxury in our life – and happy the man who has been able to enjoy it from his very childhood. It may be **easy enough in our days, and in a free country, like England, never to tell a lie** ~ but the **older we grow, the harder we find** it to be **always true**, to speak the truth, the **whole truth, and nothing but the truth.**

Now, let us see if he spoke the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth as he was the resident of a free country **like England!**

Goa Inquisition unimaginably worse than Nazi atrocities

Source: *Hindu Voice*, Nov 2003, The Saint Business, Rajeev Srinivasan, quoting from *The Empire of the Soul*, Harper Collins, 1999 pp 4-5

Paul William Roberts: **Children** were flogged and **slowly dismembered** (*tear or cut limb from limb) **in front of their parents,** whose (*parents') eyelids had been sliced off (*so they couldn't close their eyes) to make sure they missed nothing. Extremities (*the hands and feet) were amputated carefully, so that a person could remain conscious even when all that remained was a torso (*the trunk of the human body) and head. **Male genitals were removed and burned in front of wives, breasts hacked off and vaginas penetrated by swords while husbands were forced to watch. ... And it went on for two hundred years.**

This is about Goa and its surroundings. Was it any less than any inferno?

Source: The Goa Inquisition, A K Priolkar, cover 3

The Goa Inquisition was established in **1560** as recommended earlier by **St Francis Xavier**, and finally abolished in **1812**.

Think of the Hindus who had been subjected to this for two hundred years. And **who** did it and **why** did it?

What Prompted Them?

Christians did it to the Hindus in general, targeting the Braahmans in particular.

Hindus were idolaters.

Idol worshippers had no right to live.

The God of Christian Bible had set those Laws, which Christians were required to follow till eternity.

Total elimination of idol worshippers was one of them.

Source: Oxford Dictionary, p 1291, p 645, p 1272, p 504, p 966

Old Testament is the first part of the **Christian Bible**

Exodus is the second book of the **Bible**

Numbers is the fourth book of the **Bible**

Deuteronomy is the fifth book of the **Bible**

Isaiah was a major Hebrew **prophet**

Isaiah is a book of **Bible** containing his prophecies

Teaching of the Holy Bible you can verify for yourself

Deuteronomy 12:1 These are the **statutes** and **judgments**, which ye shall observe to do in the land, which the **LORD God** of thy fathers **giveth thee** to possess it, **all the days ye live on the earth.**

Statute a **law** or decree made by **God** [Oxford Dictionary, p 1818]

Deuteronomy 20:16 But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save **alive nothing** that **breatheth**: But thou shalt utterly destroy them.

Deuteronomy 32:24 They shall be burnt with hunger, and **devoured** with burning heat, and with bitter destruction: I will also send the teeth of beasts upon them, with the poison of serpents of the dust 32:25 The sword without, and terror within, shall **destroy** both young man and the virgin, the **suckling** also with the **man of gray hairs**.

Numbers 31:17 Now therefore **kill every male among little ones, and kill every woman** that hath known man by lying with him. 31:18 **But all the women children,** that have not known a man by lying with him, **keep alive for yourselves**.

Isaiah 13:16 Their **children** also shall be dashed to pieces **before their eyes**; their houses shall be spoiled, and their **wives** ravished (***raped**).

Ravish force a woman to have sexual intercourse against her will [Oxford Dictionary, p 1541]

Exodus 23:24 Thou shalt not bow down to their gods, nor serve them, nor do after their works: but thou shalt utterly overthrow them, and quite **break** down their **images**.

Exodus 34:13 But ye shall **destroy** their

altars, break their **images**, and cut down their groves.

Deuteronomy 12:2 Ye shall **utterly destroy** all the places wherein the nations, which ye possess served their Gods, upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and every green tree: 12:3 And ye shall overthrow their altars, and break their pillars, and burn their groves with fire; and ye shall hew down the **graven images** of their gods, and destroy the names of them out of that place.

Graven image a carved idol or representation of a god used as an object of worship [Oxford Dictionary, p 801]

Things have NOT changed with time

Year 1746 that was 200 years ago

Source: J Frederick Price and K Rangaachaari translated the *Private Diary of Aanand Ranga Pillai*, reproduced in *History of Hindu-Christian Encounters*, Sita Ram Goel, quoted in *The Myth of Saint Thomas*, Ishwar Sharan, p 81

The *Vedpuri Ishwaran Temple* was the principle place of worship for the Hindus of Pondicherry. The Jesuit missionaries built the Church of St Paul adjacent to it and obtained an order from the King of France that the Hindu temple should be destroyed.

March 17, 1746: On Wednesday night at 11 two unknown persons entered the Ishwaran Temple carrying in a vessel of **liquid filth**, which they **poured on the heads of the gods around the altar, and into the temple**, through the drain of the shrine of Ishwaran; and having broken the pot of dirt on the image of the god Nandi they went away through a part of the building which had been demolished ... As the report of this sacrilege spread, **Hindu 'from the Braahman to the pariah' held a public meeting**. The governor Dupliex, when he heard of it, sent his chief peon to disperse the meeting ... the people, however, defied the order and protested, 'you better kill us all' ...

December 31, 1746: It was reported tonight at 7 that an earthen **jar filled with filth was thrown from within the grounds of the Church of St Paul into the temple of Vedpuri Ishwaran.** It very nearly fell on the head of Shankar Aiyan, who was at the shrine of the God Pillaiyar on his way round the temple in the performance of his religious duties. When the jar struck the ground and broke to pieces the stench emitted was unbearable ... the temple was now doomed to destruction.

September 8: Yesterday 200 soldiers, 60 or 70 troopers and sepoy were stationed at St. Paul's Church in view of the matter on hand. This morning, M Gerbault (the engineer), the priests with diggers, masons, coolies and other 200 in all, with spades, pickaxes and whatever needed to demolish walls, began to pull down the southern wall of the Vedpuri Ishwaran Temple and the outhouses. At once the temple manager, Braahmans and mendicants came and told me ... Just then ... news was brought that **Father Coeurdoux, the superior of St Paul's Church, had kicked the inner shrine with his foot** and had ordered the Coffrees to remove the doors and the Christians to break the Vaahanams ... [Pillai now went to Governor Dupliex in an attempt to save the temple as did the other caste leaders who sought to save the temple's movable articles but it was all to no avail]. Then **Father Coeurdoux** of Karikal came with a great hammer, **kicked the**

Lingam, broke it with his hammer, and ordered the Coffrees and the Europeans to break the images of Vishnu and other gods. Madame [Dupliex] went and told the priest that he might break the idols as he pleased. He answered that she had accomplished what had been impossible for fifty years, that she must be one of those Mahaatma [*great soul] who established this [Christian] religion in old days and he would publish her fame through the world ... Then [the **native convert**] Varlam also kicked the great Lingam nine or ten times with his sandals in the presence of Madame and the priest and spat on it out of gladness and hoping that the priest and Madame will also regard him as Mahaatma. Then he followed Madame. I can neither write nor describe what abominations were done in the temple

Go back to what happened on 17 March 1746:

As the report of this sacrilege spread, **Hindu 'from the Braahman to the pariah' held a public meeting.** The governor Dupliex, when he heard of it, sent his chief peon to disperse the meeting ... the people, however, defied the order and protested, 'you better kill us all'

Braahman to Pariah all considered them Hindu and they all were ready to be killed rather than their idols are desecrated.

But now, Christian missionaries and ChristianizedHindus have taught the Pariahs that they are not Hindu.

They have now put the two against each other.

Christians in BhaaratVarsh follow the Laws of God of Bible till today.

LORD God has commanded that those should be followed till Christians live on this earth.

Year 1998-2005 and this is now

Source: *Hindu Voice*, September **2003**, P Deivamuthu, Editor, p 26

P Deivamuthu: Malvani village is a **suburb** in **Mumbai**, **predominantly occupied by Christians. A Hindu family, which came to reside in that area is being harassed by the residents. The Hindu family's only mistake is that they are Hindus and observe all Hindu rituals.** Mr. Elaya Thambi and his wife Radhamani bought a residential Room No. 3/3 Ankit Cottage, Malvani Village, Malaad West, Mumbai 400095, in **1998**. They decided to shift to this room, along with their two children - a daughter and a son. Their trouble started the moment they decided to enter the premises. As is the case with all Hindus, they decided to have a Yagya on the day of GrihaPravesh. For this purpose, they engaged a Purohit and made grand arrangements for the pooja. On the day of GrihaPravesh with Yagya, her Christian neighbors entered the room. They objected to them for performing the **pooja**, which according to them, is **satanic**. They objected to the smoke emanating from the Yagya यज्ञ. Even incense sticks were not allowed to be lighted. Placing of (*plant) **Tulsi** pot near the entrance was also **objected** to. Ringing of Pooja **bells** was

not allowed. They even threatened the Purohit with dire consequences, which made him run away from the place, leaving all his Pooja accessories. Mrs Radhamani was **attacked** with sticks. **The main objection of the Christians was that this was a Christian locality and only Christian and Western festivals are allowed. They told them in no uncertain terms that they should either become Christians or leave the place, selling it to a Christian.** More than Elaya Thambi, it was Radhamani who was more stubborn in opposing the Christians. She insisted on her rights to live as a **free citizen** in a **democratic** country. This brought her untold miseries. Her 16 year old daughter has been chased many times, while on her way to school, by some of her **Christian neighbors** and their associates. They are making **vulgar** and obscene postures to her, which still **continues (*2003)**. Her 8 year old son has been **kidnapped** a few times. However, he was saved by the timely intervention of friends and well-wishers. Mrs Radhamani has made several complaints to the Malvani **police station** and also to the Asst. Commissioner of **Police**. While the complaints were registered, **no action** has been taken by the Police, and Mrs Radhamani continues to receive **threats** and anonymous telephone calls at odd times. She has even lodged a complaint with the Mahila & Crime Protection Cell. Her

written complaint to the **Rev Father**, St Anthony Church, Malvani Village, for intervening in the matter has also been **neglected**. Frustrated at the noncooperation from all concerned, Mrs Radhamani has finally decided to take up the matter to the **court**. She has filed a writ petition in the Mumbai High Court, requesting the Court to order the Malvani Police Station to take stringent action against the culprits, so that she can live peacefully.

Now let look at the chronology. Problem started in 1998. Five years later it appeared in print when *Hindu Voice* published it. Since then two years have passed. While updating this edition, I wrote to Shri Deivamuthu if he was aware of any further developments.

Source: P Deivamuthu, e-mail 10 February 2005

A few months back there was a fight between the lady and other Christians. The **Lady was thrashed by Christians**, and she went to VHP office at Grant Road to complain. I am not aware of anything more. I am also not aware of the fate of the court case.

If this can happen in one of Christian dominated residential locality in the suburbs of Mumbai then visualize what can happen in Christian dominated states of Northeast BhaaratVarsh.

Year 2003 so very recently

Source: *Hindu Voice*, September 2003, S V Badri, pp 40-41

S V Badri: This morning (13th August **2003**) I received a SOS from one Mrs. Gunasekaran, village Kovilancheri, **Kaanchi**

district, Tamil Naadu. **She informed me that the Shiv Lingam was desecrated by the Christians. Somebody used it as toilet seat. And had garlanded Shiv with torn chappals.** She was in tears. And the entire Hindu community in the village was shocked beyond belief. We drove to Kovilancheri. By the time we reached the spot, despite our prior request not to tamper with the Lingam so we could take a few photographs of the vandalism, the Inspector of Police, Salaiyur, Shri Krishnamurthy had the place cleaned (his argument was he could not stand the sight and had wanted it to be cleaned instantly). The Hindus in the village gathered in large numbers (women outnumbered men 2:1). We did the following: 1. Made the villagers draft a complaint to the police in the letterhead of Grama Nala Sangham. 2. A complaint to the Collector of Kaanchi, Shri Venkatesan, IAS, was drafted by Hindu Munnani and faxed, copy sent to CM cell. 3. Performed a Shuddhi of the ShivLingam and later an Abhishekam and Aaraadhana. Apart from informing all Hindu leaders instantly, I also contacted the SP of **Kaanchi**, Shri Sankar, IPS, with a request to visit the site personally, which he did. We described to him the background of the village: of how **the Church** had come **illegally** on the **temple land**; of how the temple land was used as **inducement to convert** unsuspecting Dalit families to Christianity. We showed him the church that was barely 100 meters away from the ShivLingam. The SP ordered the Inspector of Police for a thorough investigation of the case, after registering a FIR. He assured us that he would directly follow this up.

Letter to the Chief Minister of Tamil Naadu "Madam, Kovilancheri is a village close to Mambakkam, Chennai and comes under the Kaanchipuram district. **There is an 800 plus year old ShivLingam**, open to sky and uncared for. Though the Shiv owns close to 7.5 acres of

land, as per village records (Village 58 - Kovilancheri - Survey: 101/2). The village consists predominantly of Vanniars with Dalits outnumbering them in the colony. **100 meters away from the ShivLingam, a Church had suddenly sprung up, almost a year ago.** The Pastor of the church is: Mathew Munuswamy and the President of the organization is: James Santhosam. The church is called Samadhana Prabhu Oozhiyargal (Tel Office 24941765 and Res 24661372). The church had not only come **illegally on the temple land** but has also used the temple land as inducement to lure the helpless Dalit members embrace Christianity by **offering them the temple land for 'enjoyment'**. Close to 50 families have thus been converted."

For ages people lived in that village peacefully.

Then comes up a Christian church and that too by illegally occupying Hindu temple land.

A section of villagers are converted into Christianity and then they are instigated to desecrate Hindu idol.

This happened in the year 2003.

This has been happening since the 16th century.

This is history in continuity.

You have heard history repeats itself.

These are real life examples.

When does history repeat itself?

When we do not take corrective measure.

Sleep as long as you wish until they come to your door step.

If you want to build a nation tomorrow you will need to start thinking from today what kind of a nation do you want to build.

How very saintly Saints?

Source: *St Francis Xavier*, Sita Ram Goel, quoted Ishwar Sharan, p 80

Xavier wrote in a letter to the **Society of Jesus**: Following the baptisms, the new Christians return to their homes and come back with their wives and families to be in their turn also prepared for baptism. **After all have been baptized, I order that everywhere the temples of the false gods be pulled down and idols broken. I know not how to describe in words the joy I feel before the spectacle of pulling down and destroying the idols by the very people who formerly worshipped them.**

Sita Ram Goel: Xavier **did this after** the Hindu raja of Quilon had given him a **large grant to build churches.**

Source: *The Myth of Saint Thomas*, Ishwar Sharan, p 65

Ishwar Sharan: They came with canon and a papal mandate to instruct the inhabitants of the land in the Catholic faith ... their arrival and that of the first **Jesuit** missionary **Francis Xavier** in 1542 turned Christianity in BhaaratVarsh into a **violent** and **destructive** political force that continues to operate in the country **till today.**

Jesuits a member of the **Society of Jesus** Oxford Dictionary, p 981

Source: *The Myth of Saint Thomas*, Ishwar Sharan, p 80

K A Nilakanta Shastri: The **Franciscan** friars and **Jesuits** were busy **demolishing temples** and building churches in the coastal cities, and the Portuguese governor of Goa was

reported to be organizing a plundering raid against the rich temples of Kaanchipuram.

Franciscan a friar Oxford p 727 **Friar** a member of any of certain religious orders of men, specially the four *mendicant* orders Oxford p 733 **Mendicant** of or denoting one of religious orders who originally relied **solely** on **alms** Oxford Dictionary, p 1156

So, you see these Franciscans and Jesuits are Swamis and Saints of Christianity and their favorite hobby is to break Hindu temples and desecrate Hindu idols.

It was then, and it is today wherever they can manage, as you saw above.

In their mind they always keep the motto that their messiah has defined for them two thousand years ago:

Source: **Holy Bible**, p 759, New Testament Matthew 12:30

He that is **not with** me is **against** me

So, either you are a Christian or you are enemy of a Christian. Normally, a Christian is a **gentleman**. He will not tell you these things. Rather he will tell you all the **opposite**. For instance, he loves **peace**, his motto in life is to **serve** humanity, he wants to love **everyone** the way Jesus loved him. His **real thoughts** will remain inside his mind and will reflect through his **conducts** wherever circumstances **permit** him.

Source: Oxford Dictionary, p 325

Christian having or showing qualities associated with Christians, especially those of decency, kindness, and fairness

When Oxford Dictionary defines **decency** as one of the qualities associated with Christians what it really means is the decency "**for show**".

That is why a Christian will show you what will **appear** decent. He/She will **not tell** you what is in his/her mind because too often it will not meet the yardstick of decency. Similarly, **ChristianizedEnglish newspapers** did not tell you the incidences of year 1998-2005 (Malvani, Mumbai) and year 2003 (Kovilancheri, Kaanchi).

Conclusion

It is important that we understand very clearly:

- **What Hinduism made of us when Islam and Christianity were not in the picture, and**
- **The direction in which we have been moving to, with Islam and Christianity influencing our values.**

It is also important to realize that:

- **Islam had all along been the visible threat though, off late, there had been a lot of whitewashing effort. On the other hand, Christianity's threat had not been so apparent. On the top of it, Christianity had acquired a deceptive benevolent look, and**
- **Islam with its thousand year presence has not been able to do as much damage to Hinduism as Christianity has done within a matter of few centuries ~ it has acted like opium, and**
- **A threat which is visible is a threat you can combat. A threat which is deceptive makes you think it is a friend. Beware of deception more than anything else ~ it makes you live in a make believe world.**

Aim for Protection of Dharm धर्म

Once that is taken care of everything else will fall in its place

Part - 5

Your Heritage and your duty towards protecting it

There is no way you can go back to your roots unless you learn to give due importance to these small little things that you would otherwise want to dismiss as petty matters.

Know the beauty of your heritage language

Source: Michel Danino, Arsha Vidya Newsletter, July 2004, pp 24-5

Serious Western students of Bhaاراتiya civilization - "Orientalists" as they were called - tended to share such views, especially after the 1780s, when the first European scholars started reading Sanskrit. The founder of Calcutta's Asiatic Society, Sir William Jones, in his well-known praise of Sanskrit, found it to be

"of wonderful structure, more **perfect** than Greek, more copious than the Latin, and more exquisitely **refined** than either."

Copious abundant in supply or quantity Oxford Dictionary p 405

Protect the phonetic integrity of the language that shaped your heritage

Language shapes our thoughts and clothes our expressions.

Our past was documented in Sanskrit.

If at all you care to identify yourself with your lost heritage

then, you will also need to recognize the strengths of this language.

Language is the foundation of a culture, because the fabric of that culture is woven around the thought process of a society, and such thoughts are expressed through the medium of a language.

For this reason, you cannot take the language lightly.

Nor can you allow it to be corrupted by gross apathy towards it.

Remember that relating yourself back to your heritage has to be in totality, not in piecemeal.

You cannot take few things of your culture and then mix them with another culture, which seeks to destroy your culture, and thus create a hotchpotch, and pride yourself having found a nice blend.

Please do not fool yourself with these tricks because that is how you can bring about the greatest harm to yourself.

Phonetic integrity

Sanskrit has a **scientifically phonetic** alphabet English does not. For this reason, we pronounce 'put' and 'but' differently though we write them similarly. Sanskrit does not face such **irrationality** as it has double the number of letters in its alphabet as compared to English.

Our effort should be to **retain** this phonetic integrity of Sanskrit as much as possible. There is no reason to let that be corrupted en-masse due to our **apathy**.

Today what is happening is that we are **bringing down** Sanskrit to the level of English as far its **phonetic capabilities** are concerned.

Corruption galore

Let me give a glaring example. Take any copy of *BhagavadGita* printed in Sanskrit alphabet. You will find the title of each and

every chapter ending with Sanskrit term योग. But everyone pronounces it as योग. This en-masse corruption in phonetic character of this popular term has come about due to **only one** reason: the presence of **ailing-a** in Yoga ('a' at the end).

Why does this happen?

It happens because there is an **English phonetic tradition**. It is: if you see a ailing-a, pronounce it as lon-a. By **long-a** we mean 'a' as in 'arm'. In English we have only one 'a'. Depending on the situation you pronounce it either as a long-a or as a short-a. By **short-a** we mean 'a' as in 'rural'.

What is the situation with Sanskrit?

In Sanskrit, you look at the letter, and pronounce it **exactly as it appears** to yo in print because each letter has a **unique** pronunciation. This is possible because short-a is written as अ and long-a is written as आ. They are **visually** different. You do not need to know in **which situation**, it should be pronounced how.

Highly unfair

Since Sanskrit provides this flexibility, it is highly unfair to pronounce योग as योग. Occasionally it may not matter. But doing it consistently is highly unfair.

Average reader's expectations and limitations

An average reader is not expected to know how a Sanskrit term should be pronounced when he or she looks at the Sanskrit word written in English alphabet.

*They will simply apply English phonetic traditions **because** they are reading it in English.*

*They **cannot** be expected to know what Sanskrit phonetic traditions are.*

*It would also be **foolish** to expect them to consult an expert every time they need to pronounce a Sanskrit term printed in English.*

*They will simply look at the Sanskrit word printed in English alphabet, use their **common sense**, and pronounce it.*

Considering the fact that every average reader pronounces योग as योगा the same way when he or she looks at its printed form then naturally, they are in line with reason.

Helping young Hindus with better Sanskrit pronunciation

Our newer generations **do not** have the benefit of learning Sanskrit terms by looking at them in Sanskrit **original** script.

Most English educated Hindus today learn to pronounce Sanskrit terms by **looking at** their English spellings.

Use of visually phonetic English spellings for Sanskrit terms may help them understand **relatively** correct pronunciation.

Such pronunciations may then come into practice over a period of time through **repetition**.

Visually phonetic approach

*Visually phonetic spelling is that which, by its **look and feel**, **prompts a reader** to pronounce the term as close to **the intended** pronunciation, as possible.*

Readers use their **common sense** to decide how a written word should be pronounced.

They look at the written word.

They **feel** it, and they pronounce it.

The look and feel of the written word is important to them.

*Therefore, a written word should **look closer** to how it should be pronounced.*

Blending English and Sanskrit phonetic traditions

Proper blending of desired Sanskrit phonetic traditions with current English phonetic traditions is necessary; ignoring either of them won't help.

Helping Westerners with better Sanskrit pronunciation

Living in the West has brought me the awareness that in today's world Westerners have been becoming increasingly conscious of pronouncing Eastern names the way Easterners **themselves** would want to pronounce.

*It is **up to us** to take advantage of this growing consciousness and correct the situation by **ourselves adopting** correct pronunciations.*

*That can be put in to practice when we ourselves start writing Sanskrit terms in English alphabet **differently**.*

For this, first thing we have to do is to break our **mental barriers**.

That can happen only when we stop **blindly following** those who are supposed to be the experts, and **start applying** the head above own our shoulders.

Know the Sanskrit terms as they are written in Sanskrit alphabet

Here I wish to deal with a few typical examples. I have no desire to fill pages with whole array of Sanskrit terms I may be using in my works. These **typical** examples attempt to explain the **theory** and can be applied with advantage to a **variety** of situation.

Bharat does not tell us that first-a is should be pronounced as long-a whereas second-a should be pronounced as short-a. Expect the unsuspecting reader to pronounce both as short-a. That will be भरत the illustrious younger **brother** of Bhagavaan Shri Raam Chandr not our country भारत. To make it look like भारत you must spell it as **Bhaarat**. Traditionally our country was known as भारतवर्ष

and that must look like **BhaaratVarsh** without tailing-a which will distort it as भारतवर्षा.

Bhaاراتiya should **not** have a tailing-a but then if we drop it, left over Bhaaraty will get pronounced as भारती which is worse than भारतीय. Sanskrit term is भारतीय which is **closer** to भारतीय than to भारती. In such circumstances we are constrained to **retain** the tailing-a.

Brahmin is a horrible **distortion** with use of totally unwarranted single-i. Quite obviously it was designed by someone who simply did not know how to pronounce the Sanskrit term ब्राह्मण and the beauty is that every one down the line **blindly followed** it for centuries like meek sheep who only know how to follow each other with no mind of their own. Besides, the single-a offers no clue that it should be pronounced as long-a. The common sense tells us that **Braahman** ब्राह्मण serves the purpose beautifully, double-a signifying long-a and single-a indicating short-a.

Yet another horrible distortion and **sheep mentality** is reflected through the persistent use of **Ganges** गैजेस which has **no resemblance** whatsoever to गंगा. No one needed to tax his brains too much to notice that simple solution lies in **Ganga** where the tailing-a gets pronounced as long-a in line with English phonetic tradition.

Pardon me for my **harshness** but those who occupy the position of experts in transliteration must admit their due share of blame in letting our heritage language go down the **drain** phonetically.

Chandragupta has unwarranted 'a' at two places which turn चन्द्रगुप्त into चन्द्रगुप्ता or for that matter चन्द्रागुप्ता. **ChandrGupt** takes care of these anomalies, and with its **capital-G** it also hints at the **joining** of two words चन्द्र and गुप्त.

Mahabharata महाभारता does not give the reader any visual clue as to which of **five** single-a in Mahabharata stand for long-a and which for short-a? **MahaaBhaarat** महाभारत can deal with that because it provides adequate visual clue to the reader as to where long-a emphasis is needed as opposed to short-a.

One does not need to be an expert in transliteration. All that one needs is to have some common sense. Sometimes I wonder if common sense happens to be the sole domain of the common people. The moment such common people graduate to the level of expert they seemingly leave that common sense behind. I wouldn't know why but may be because it does not suit their new found status any more.

Maha महा and **Bhaarat भारत** are two words. The capital-B provides the reader a visual clue as to where the two words join. As an **independent word**, Maha_ with one tailing-a works well, as readers tend to assume that tailing-a always represents long-a of arm, not short-a of rural. But when the same word Maha becomes part of a **composite word**, it becomes necessary to use double-a to signify long-a of arm, as in **MahaaBhaarat**.

Raam represents राम correctly without any distortion. **Rama** gets pronounced as रामा, which in Sanskrit, means a woman of low origin. Christian missionaries are very fond of spelling **Ram** रैम as it means, in English, a male sheep!

Source: *The Student's Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, Vaman Shivram Apte, p 468

Rama रामा (a) beautiful woman, a charming young woman (b) a beloved, wife, mistress (c) a woman in general (d) a woman of low origin (e) vermilion (f) Asa Foetida.

Sanskrit texts do not show **Bhagavad-Gita** भगवद्-गीता with a hyphen. Sanskrit texts also do not show **Bhagavad Gita** भगवद् गीता as two separate words without a hyphen. Sanskrit term is भगवद्गीता, it is one word, and without a hyphen.

Bhagavad Gita, written as two separate words *without* a hyphen, conveys the wrong message. It tells the reader that Bhagavad with 'द' द is an *independent* word. But this is not true because Bhagavat with 'त्' त is an independent word.

Bhagavad-Gita, written *with* a hyphen, also conveys the wrong message. It tells the reader that Bhagavad with 'द' द, when joined with Gita, make the *composite word* Bhagavad-Gita. But this is

not true because Bhagavat with 't' त्, when joined with Gita, becomes Bhagavad with 'd' द्.

Aryan has promoted distorted pronunciation आर्यन. Sanskrit term is आर्य. You can see for yourself the difference between आर्यन and आर्य. The difference is quite visible. You can even hear the two words and they will **sound** to you very different. For आर्य, **Aarya** आर्या is a closer option than **Aary** आरी as you can see for yourself.

Do you notice the **obvious** difference between **Jnana** ज्ञाना

and the actual Sanskrit term ज्ञान? You can even hear the two words, and they will sound to you very different. In fact, so very **different** that you may feel that there is no similarity, though in print that difference is **not** so well pronounced and that is why I had to magnify it so you notice the difference. **Gyaan** may help promote the pronunciation ज्ञान. But there is a relatively minor disadvantage. ज्ञ 'gya' is required to be pronounced with a *nasal tone*, of which we have no English *letter* equivalent to give you a good enough clue.

Jnana prompts a reader to pronounce **Jn** ज न as in **Jack** and **Norman**. Experts did **not** want that to happen. Yet it **does** happen because that is how it **looks** to common people.

This is what the experts need to take notice of, instead of summarily **ignoring** it, and remaining blissfully **content** with the **incremental** corruption of Sanskrit pronunciations with each new generation. Instead of showing the younger generations the right way to pronounce, experts show them the way to **corrupt** it further.

Gnyan prompts readers to pronounce **Gn** ग न as in **God** and **Norman**. This helps not. And, single-a in *Gnyan* does not convey to the reader that it requires long-a emphasis.

Swami Jnanananda gets called as स्वामी ज्ञानानन्दा whereas his true name is स्वामी ज्ञानानन्द. If you know the difference between the **sound** these two names produce, you will realize what I am saying. The two **sound** as totally different names to someone who listens to them for the **first time**.

Sanskrit has three **visually distinguishable** syllables, one for **S** स as in **see**, and the other two for **Sh** ष and श as in **she**. Sanskrit also has a letter, which sounds like the combination of **Ksh**, and it is written as क्ष.

Kuruksetra कुरुक्षेत्रा sounds different from Sanskrit term is कुरुक्षेत्र which is better represented by **KuruKshetr**.

Sanskrit has two visually distinguishable different syllables for short 'u' उ as in **put** and long 'oo' ऊ as in **boot**.

Shudra शुद्रा sounds different from Sanskrit term शूद्र with long-u, which is better represented by **Shoodr**.

Yajna यजना is a phonetically **corrupt** version of Sanskrit term यज्ञ. See the difference between यजना and यज्ञ? It is quite visible.

You can even hear the two words. यजना and यज्ञ **sound** poles apart. Hearing them you may feel that there is **no** similarity whatsoever. **Yagya** can be a much better choice. But a relatively small problem. ज्ञ 'gya' is required to be pronounced with a *nasal tone*, of which we have no English *letter* equivalent to give you a good enough clue.

Yajna prompts a reader to pronounce **Jn** ज न as in Jack and Norman.

Experts did not want that to happen but it does happen because that is how it looks to common people.

*Experts do not seem to realize that they **devised** transliteration **for** the common people.*

*It seems they designed it for **themselves** so that **only they** know how to use it.*

Despite all that I have heard the so-called experts pronounce Sanskrit terms in phonetically corrupt manner having no regard whatsoever for the extraordinary phonetic beauty of their heritage language Sanskrit.

Remember that all kind of corruption begins at the top and percolates down to the bottom.

Therefore, I see no reason to be respectful towards those who occupy those upper berths but let their heritage language corrupt.

Yagya with the nuisance of tailing-a is a **better** option than **Yagy** without it.

We have to look for **reducing** the element of phonetic corruption through **careful** selection of English spellings for Sanskrit terms.

Part - 6

Action Plan

Aim for Protection of Sanaatan Dharm
धर्म Hinduism

*Once that is taken care of everything else will fall
in its place*

Duty of the Students, the Householders and the Sannyasis towards Protection of their SoulMother Sanaatan Dharm धर्म Hinduism

Often people advocate that we must crush *Aasuric* tendencies within our **inner self**.

These people often forget to tell us that we must crush the **external** *Aasuric* forces that keep surrounding us.

Result is, those people who are already with **more** of *Dhaarmic* propensities **within** them, they work towards **destroying** the **less** of *Adhaarmic* propensities **within** them.

On the other hand, those with **more** of *Adhaarmic* propensities **within** them, they do **not** listen to this advice, and work towards **destroying** the **less** of *Dhaarmic* propensities **within** them.

Thus, people with more of Dhaarmic propensities within them tend to become all the more Dhaarmic.

And, people with more of Adhaarmic propensities within them tend to become more Adhaarmic.

In the end, Adharm grows so much that it overpowers Dharm. So we see the folly of such teaching.

No doubt that *Dhaarmic* people must increase their *Dhaarmic* tendencies, and destroy the *Adhaarmic* tendencies 'within' them. But it is **no less important** that they **destroy** the **external** *Adhaarmic* *Aasuric* forces **surrounding** them.

Actually, it is **more important** because when this is **ignored** and our focus is **inwardly**, the external *Adhaarmic* forces **grow so much** that they charge the **whole** environment.

And no one; repeat **no one**, can fully remain **unaffected** by the environment that is charged with *Adhaarmic* propensities, if they are **part of** that environment.

This is where the environment takes precedence over inner self. First, the environment must be cleaned, and then the focus should be turned inward.

But most often those who preach often preach the opposite.

Thus, they help grow the good within few, which helps not the humanity on the whole.

These few, who grow inwardly, tend to become indifferent towards the external environment.

As the number of such people increases, the human race gets polarized.

Many with *Adhaarmic* propensities stay together on one side, while many others with *Dhaarmic* propensities turn indifferent; thus, those with *Adhaarmic* tendencies forge ahead unabated.

For a **Student** and for a **Householder** the first responsibility is towards the Hindu society of which he or she is a **part of**, and therefore, his or her **resources** should first be **employed** towards **cleaning** the **external** environment surrounding them. Once that is ensured **only then** the focus should be **inwards** and **self-centered** for uplifting the **Self** or self realization whatever name you may want to assign such activity.

For **Sannyasis** self realization is the **goal**. But in a **time like ours** when Sanaatan Dharm itself is in **danger**, their first duty is towards the society which **looks after** their upkeep.

Think of it this way: if this society does not remain intact and well guided by Sanaatan Dharm, who will then take care of their upkeep. If they themselves have to take care of their food, cloth, shelter will they be able to devote themselves fully towards self-realization?

Building Organizations

If you are **convinced** that there is a **need** to **protect** Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism then I would request you to **do** the following:

Gather **like minded** people and **form** action groups.

Divide **spheres** of activity among yourselves.

Keep yourself **focused**.

Expand your group, **begin** with local, and take it to **regional**.

Centers of Sanaatan Dharm

Aashrams and **temples** are spiritual centers of Sanaatan Dharm. Those can serve well as focal points, the **nucleus** for all activity.

But please keep yourself **away** from **those** Aashrams which tell you the blatant lie that **all** religions are **equal** and they **all** preach **love**.

Some of the **major** spiritual organizations today fall in that category.

They can simply **kill** your efforts to protect Sanaatan Dharm by their **self-fulfilling** preaching.

You can organize **Sat'Sang** at temples and Aashrams for awakening **nationalism** within participants and for discussing the urgent need for **Hindu unity** with the sole objective of **self-preservation**.

No intellectual luxuries please

Do not get involved in endless arguments among yourselves as to what is **good** and what is **bad** in Hinduism.

Such debates are good for inactive people who want to get a **deceptive** feel of being active.

Do not get involved with **discussion groups** on **internet** whose sole objective is to **vent out** accumulated frustration within them in absence of any **constructive** work towards a solution, howsoever **remote** that solution may seem **initially**.

Do not **waste** your energies in discussions and debates at any platform **other than** those **specifically** organized to **respond** to anti-Hindu forces **attempting** to destroy Hinduism.

Action please, no self-fulfilling debates

Keep track of all anti-Hindu propaganda.

Respond to them **forcefully** at all forums.

Use **every form** of available media to make your voice **heard**.

Let mischief makers know that you are **firm**, you are **united**, and you are **willing** to stand like a **wall** in front of those who make any attempt to **denigrate** Hinduism.

Let your **goal** be **simple**: *Aim for Protection of Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism.*

Ensure Visibility

Let your **moral strength** be visible to all.

Organize **peaceful** demonstrations in small and large groups at **strategic** places like prominent **media** centers, **political** hubs, **anti-Hindu** organizations.

Remember that students' **collective** strength can be **awesome**.

It can leave its impressions not only on **current** events but also can imprint **inerasable** marks in the minds of the participants which may guide their **future** plans and later day actions.

Spreading Knowledge

It is important that **first** we act and **then** we preach! Without that whatever we may preach will have **no lasting** effect.

Single-handed I myself do the following activities on my own without any help:

Research, conceptualizing, writing, typing, editing

DTP and preparation of dummies for printing

Making printing arrangements, financing the production, accounting

Promoting, selling, packing, taking to the post office for mailing

Designing, developing, and maintaining the web site

With many hands you all can join together do the following:

Reach these works to **many** more

Make **lesser** known facts better known

Turn such knowledge into **real** Strength of the Hindus

Make available free access to **withheld** information

Remove the Curse emanating from ignorance of **such** information

Reaching you ammunition in form of organized information is my duty; using them is your duty

If you take it to the **like minded** people it will be well received.

If you try to convince those **hostile** towards Hinduism, you will only be hitting your head against the **wall**.

There are **plenty** of people who have some faith in Hinduism but they have **no ammunition** in form of organized information. Pass on these works to them **without** spending your energy to convince them. These books will do the **rest**. Do **not** expect any **quick fix** results!

Part - 7

Miscellany

Letters from the Readers

The subject that I am writing on is tricky and the environment within our country is not quite congenial to such outspoken literature. People do not like to speak about the subject openly. People feel uncomfortable about their names coming in print with subject matters like this. This is not the case with every one but it is with many. I have no way of knowing who feels how about his or her name coming in print with this subject. Hence, I have made a decision not to divulge the identity of the writer unless he or she expressly writes to me to put his/her name in print by the side of his/her reactions.

No doubt, identity gives greater degree of credibility to such statements when they come from a wide cross-section of the society. But then I do not need to use their identity as a tool to market my work.

From a Sannyasi [letter 16 December 2004]

It has a good tone and is well organized.

From another Sannyasi [letter 2 February 2005]

It has very good and needed insight, needed very much if we want Hindu Sanatana Dharma to survive monotheism and 'modernity'. May your work reach many people!!

From a young man of 31 [letter 18 January 2005]

Reading your books makes my heart bleed. I sincerely pray to God to give you further strength. May Ma Durga protect you. May Ma Saraswati give you further knowledge. May Lord Ganesh give speed to your fingertips. May Ma Lakshmi give you immense wealth so that your books reach in every corner of the globe and in all possible languages.